

it ensures these issues will always stay on the Department's "front burner."

America faces persistent risk from terrorists and rogue states that want to threaten our people with weapons of mass destruction.

But under the current structure at DHS, important WMD defense efforts can get lost in the bureaucratic noise.

By consolidating these programs, the legislation will keep WMD challenges on the radar of top officials.

It will also allow DHS to conduct its CBRNE activities more strategically and effectively.

Streamlining Government—H.R. 3875 helps prevent taxpayer dollars from being wasted—and aims to reduce overlap and duplication wherever possible.

Hundreds of millions of taxpayer dollars have been spent on failed CBRNE programs at DHS that were ill-planned and lacked effective oversight and management.

This legislation ensures DHS programs for combating WMD threats will be better coordinated and more closely monitored at the highest levels of the Department.

The bill simplifies the Secretary's ability to oversee the Department's WMD defense activities by consolidating standalone offices and streamlining the reporting structure.

I also creates the possibility of long-term savings by allowing the merged offices to combine their administrative functions.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MCCAUL. Mr. Speaker, I have no more speakers. If the gentlewoman from Texas has no further speakers, I am prepared to close once the gentlewoman does.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman very much for his leadership. I do not have any further speakers, but I would like to close and thank the committee as well for considering a bill that is now being reviewed—I want to thank the committee—H.R. 85, Terrorism Prevention and Critical Infrastructure Protection Act, which I hope contributes to all of our discussions about securing America.

This bill, Mr. Speaker, in particular, H.R. 3875, would consolidate important CBRNE activities within the Department of Homeland Security. I am hopeful that this reorganization will improve DHS' ability to carry out its mission in this space.

Today, Mr. Speaker, the diversity in the terrorist landscape is unprecedented. There are actors with aspirations to hit Western targets with deadly conventional weapons. There are also actors that are actively seeking to secure radiological and other non-conventional weaponry to exact maximum death, destruction, and chaos.

The Department of Homeland Security, first established after 9/11, has been designated and dictated to by the American people to keep them safe. It has an important role to play to address these threats. It is my great hope that this reorganization will help DHS take its CBRNE efforts to the next level.

Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCCAUL. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me first thank my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, Ms. JACKSON LEE and Mr. THOMPSON of Mississippi, for their coordination on this bill. I think this committee, probably more than any other one, has operated in a very bipartisan fashion. I am proud of that, as a chairman. I think in matters of national security, that is how we should operate, to reach across the aisle to get good things done for the American people to make them safer. So let me just say thank you for that.

I don't have to remind you, Mr. Speaker, the threats are real out there. We got a classified briefing on San Bernardino, the pipe bombs that were manufactured. In Dabiq Magazine, ISIS' latest publication, they discuss the ease with which to move a nuclear device through transnational criminal organizations into the Western Hemisphere: through Mexico and across our southwest border. That is precisely the kind of threat that this bill is designed to stop.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. MCCAUL) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3875, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

□ 1545

DHS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY REFORM AND IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2015

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3578) to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002, to strengthen and make improvements to the Directorate of Science and Technology of the Department of Homeland Security, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3578

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "DHS Science and Technology Reform and Improvement Act of 2015".

SEC. 2. SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF HOMELAND SECURITY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title III of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 is amended—

(1) in section 301 (6 U.S.C. 181)—

(A) by striking "There" and inserting the following new subsection:

"(a) IN GENERAL.—There"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) MISSION.—The Directorate of Science and Technology shall be the primary research, development, testing, and evaluation arm of the Department, responsible for coordinating the research, development, testing, and evaluation of the Department to strengthen the security and resiliency of the United States. The Directorate shall—

"(1) develop and deliver knowledge, analyses, and innovative solutions that are responsive to homeland security capability gaps and threats to the homeland identified by components and offices of the Department, the first responder community, and the Homeland Security Enterprise (as such term is defined in section 322) and that can be integrated into operations of the Department;

"(2) seek innovative, system-based solutions to complex homeland security problems and threats; and

"(3) build partnerships and leverage technology solutions developed by other Federal agencies and laboratories, State, local, and tribal governments, universities, and the private sector.";

(2) in section 302 (6 U.S.C. 182)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking "The Secretary, acting through the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, shall" and inserting the following new subsection:

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, acting through the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, shall carry out the mission described in subsection (b) of section 301 and shall";

(B) in subsection (a), as so amended by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph—

(i) in paragraph (1), by inserting "and serving as the senior scientific advisor to the Secretary" before the semicolon at the end;

(ii) in paragraph (2)—

(I) by striking "national";

(II) by striking "biological,," and inserting "biological,,"; and

(III) by inserting "that may serve as a basis of a national strategy" after "terrorist threats";

(iii) in paragraph (3)—

(I) by striking "the Under Secretary for Intelligence and Analysis and the Assistant Secretary for Infrastructure Protection" and inserting "components and offices of the Department"; and

(II) by inserting "terrorist" before "threats";

(iv) in paragraph (4), by striking "except that such responsibility does not extend to human health-related research and development activities" and inserting the following: "including coordinating with relevant components and offices of the Department appropriate to—

"(A) identify and prioritize technical capability requirements and create solutions that include researchers, the private sector, and operational end users, and

"(B) develop capabilities to address issues on research, development, testing, evaluation, technology, and standards for the first responder community,

except that such responsibility does not extend to the human health-related research and development activities;";

(v) in paragraph (5)(A), by striking "biological,," and inserting "biological,,";

(vi) by amending paragraph (12) to read as follows:

"(12) coordinating and integrating all research, development, demonstration, testing, and evaluation activities of the Department, including through a centralized Federal

clearinghouse established pursuant to paragraph (1) of section 313(b) for information relating to technologies that would further the mission of the Department, and providing advice, as necessary, regarding major acquisition programs;”.

(vii) in paragraph (13), by striking “and” at the end;

(viii) in paragraph (14), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(ix) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(15) establishing a process that—

“(A) includes consideration by Directorate leadership, senior component leadership, first responders, and outside expertise;

“(B) is strategic, transparent, and repeatable with a goal of continuous improvement;

“(C) through which research and development projects undertaken by the Directorate are assessed on a regular basis; and

“(D) includes consideration of metrics to ensure research and development projects meet Directorate and Department goals and inform departmental budget and program planning;

“(16) developing and overseeing the administration of guidelines for periodic external review of departmental research and development programs or activities, including through—

“(A) consultation with experts, including scientists and practitioners, regarding the research and development activities conducted by the Directorate of Science and Technology; and

“(B) biennial independent, external review—

“(i) initially at the division level; or

“(ii) when divisions conduct multiple programs focused on significantly different subjects, at the program level; and

“(17) partnering with components and offices of the Department to develop and deliver knowledge, analyses, and innovative solutions that are responsive to identified homeland security capability gaps and threats to the homeland and raise the science-based, analytic capability and capacity of appropriate individuals throughout the Department by providing guidance on how to better identify homeland security capability gaps and threats to the homeland that may be addressed through a technological solution and by partnering with such components and offices to—

“(A) support technological assessments of major acquisition programs throughout the acquisition lifecycle;

“(B) help define appropriate technological requirements and perform feasibility analysis;

“(C) assist in evaluating new and emerging technologies against homeland security capability gaps and terrorist threats;

“(D) support evaluation of alternatives;

“(E) improve the use of technology Department-wide; and

“(F) provide technical assistance in the development of acquisition lifecycle cost for technologies;

“(18) acting as a coordinating office for technology development for the Department by helping components and offices define technological requirements, and building partnerships with appropriate entities (such as within the Department and with other Federal agencies and laboratories, State, local, and tribal governments, universities, and the private sector) to help each such component and office attain the technology solutions it needs;

“(19) coordinating with organizations that provide venture capital to businesses, particularly small businesses, as appropriate, to assist in the commercialization of innovative homeland security technologies that are

expected to be ready for commercialization in the near term and within 36 months.”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(b) REVIEW OF RESPONSIBILITIES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this subsection, the Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate a report on the implementation of paragraphs (2) (including how the policy and strategic plan under such paragraph may serve as a basis for a national strategy referred to in such paragraph), (11), (12), (13), (16), and (17) of subsection (a).”;

(3) in section 303(1) (6 U.S.C. 183(1)), by striking subparagraph (F);

(4) in section 305 (6 U.S.C. 185)—

(A) by striking “The” and inserting the following new subsection:

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The”;

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(b) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—The Secretary shall review and revise, as appropriate, the policies of the Department relating to personnel conflicts of interest to ensure that such policies specifically address employees of federally funded research and development centers established pursuant to subsection (a) who are in a position to make or materially influence research findings or agency decision making.”;

(5) in section 306 (6 U.S.C. 186)—

(A) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following new sentence: “If such regulations are issued, the Under Secretary shall report to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate prior to such issuance.”; and

(B) by amending subsection (d) to read as follows:

“(d) PERSONNEL.—In hiring personnel for the Directorate of Science and Technology, the Secretary shall have the hiring and management authorities described in section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note; Public Law 105-261). The term of appointments for employees under subsection (c)(1) of such section may not exceed five years before the granting of any extension under subsection (c)(2) of such section.”;

(6) in section 308 (6 U.S.C. 188)—

(A) in subsection (b)(2)—

(i) in subparagraph (B)—

(I) in clause (iv), by striking “and nuclear countermeasures or detection” and inserting “nuclear, and explosives countermeasures or detection (which may include research into remote sensing and remote imaging)”;

(II) by adding after clause (xiv) the following new clause:

“(xv) Cybersecurity.”; and

(ii) by amending subparagraph (D) to read as follows:

“(D) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this subparagraph and annually thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the implementation of this section. Each such report shall—

“(i) indicate which center or centers have been designated pursuant to this section;

“(ii) describe how such designation or designations enhance homeland security;

“(iii) provide information on any decisions to revoke or modify such designation or designations;

“(iv) describe research that has been tasked and completed by each center that

has been designated during the preceding year;

“(v) describe funding provided by the Secretary for each center under clause (iv) for that year; and

“(vi) describe plans for utilization of each center or centers in the forthcoming year.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(d) TEST, EVALUATION, AND STANDARDS DIVISION.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Directorate of Science and Technology a Test, Evaluation, and Standards Division.

“(2) DIRECTOR.—The Test, Evaluation, and Standards Division shall be headed by a Director of Test, Evaluation, and Standards, who shall be appointed by the Secretary and report to the Under Secretary for Science and Technology.

“(3) RESPONSIBILITIES, AUTHORITIES, AND FUNCTIONS.—The Director of Test, Evaluation, and Standards—

“(A) through the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, serve as an adviser to the Secretary and the Under Secretary of Management on all test and evaluation or standards activities in the Department; and

“(B) shall—

“(i) establish and update as necessary test and evaluation policies for the Department, including policies to ensure that operational testing is done at facilities that already have relevant and appropriate safety and material certifications to the extent such facilities are available;

“(ii) oversee and ensure that adequate test and evaluation activities are planned and conducted by or on behalf of components and offices of the Department with respect to major acquisition programs of the Department, as designated by the Secretary, based on risk, acquisition level, novelty, complexity, and size of any such acquisition program, or as otherwise established in statute;

“(iii) review major acquisition program test reports and test data to assess the adequacy of test and evaluation activities conducted by or on behalf of components and offices of the Department, including test and evaluation activities planned or conducted pursuant to clause (ii); and

“(iv) review available test and evaluation infrastructure to determine whether the Department has adequate resources to carry out its testing and evaluation responsibilities, as established under this title.

“(4) LIMITATION.—The Test, Evaluation, and Standards Division is not required to carry out operational testing of major acquisition programs.

“(5) EVALUATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TECHNOLOGIES.—The Director of Test, Evaluation, and Standards may evaluate technologies currently in use or being developed by the Department of Defense to assess whether such technologies can be leveraged to address homeland security capability gaps.”;

(7) in section 309(a) (6 U.S.C. 189(a)), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any funds provided to a Department of Energy national laboratory by the Department may not be treated as an assisted acquisition.”;

(8) in section 310 (6 U.S.C. 190), by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) SUCCESSOR FACILITY.—Any successor facility to the Plum Island Animal Disease Center, including the National Bio and Agro-Defense Facility (NBAF) under construction as of the date of the enactment of this subsection, which is intended to replace the Plum Island Animal Disease Center shall be

subject to the requirements of this section in the same manner and to the same extent as the Plum Island Animal Disease Center under this section.”;

(9) in section 311 (6 U.S.C. 191)—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) in paragraph (1)—

(I) by striking “20 members” and inserting “not fewer than 15 and not more than 30”; and

(II) by inserting “academia, national labs, private industry, and” after “representatives of”;

(ii) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(iii) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

“(2) SUBCOMMITTEES.—The Advisory Committee may establish subcommittees that focus on research and development challenges, as appropriate.”;

(B) in subsection (c)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by inserting “on a rotating basis” before the period at the end;

(ii) by striking paragraph (2) and redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2); and

(iii) in paragraph (2), as so redesignated, by striking “be appointed” and inserting “serve”;

(C) in subsection (e), in the second sentence, by striking “the call of”;

(D) in subsection (h)—

(i) in paragraph (1)—

(I) in the first sentence—

(aa) by striking “render” and inserting “submit”; and

(bb) by striking “Congress” and inserting “the appropriate congressional committees”;

(II) in the second sentence, by inserting “, and incorporate the findings and recommendations of the Advisory Committee subcommittees,” before “during”; and

(i) in paragraph (2)—

(I) striking “render” and inserting “submit”; and

(II) by striking “Congress” and inserting “the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate”;

(E) in subsection (i), by inserting “, except that the Advisory Committee shall file a charter with Congress every two years in accordance with subsection (b)(2) of such section (14)”;

(F) in subsection (j), by striking “2008” and inserting “2020”;

(10) in section 313 (6 U.S.C. 193)—

(A) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(B) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

“(c) APPLICATION OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary, acting through the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, shall use the program established under subsection (a) to—

“(1) enhance the cooperation between components and offices of the Department on projects that have similar goals, timelines, or outcomes;

“(2) ensure the coordination of technologies to eliminate unnecessary duplication of research and development;

“(3) ensure technologies are accessible for component and office use on a Department website; and

“(4) carry out any additional purpose the Secretary determines necessary.”;

(11) by adding after section 317 (6 U.S.C. 195c) the following new sections:

“SEC. 318. IDENTIFICATION AND PRIORITIZATION OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall establish a process to de-

fine, identify, prioritize, fund, and task the basic and applied homeland security research and development activities of the Directorate of Science and Technology to meet the needs of the components and offices of the Department, the first responder community, and the Homeland Security Enterprise (as such term is defined in section 322).

“(b) PROCESS.—The process established under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) be responsive to near-, mid-, and long-term needs, including unanticipated needs to address emerging terrorist threats;

“(2) utilize gap analysis and risk assessment tools where available and applicable;

“(3) include protocols to assess—

“(A) off-the-shelf technology to determine if an identified homeland security capability gap or threat to the homeland can be addressed through the acquisition process instead of commencing research and development of technology to address such capability gap or threat; and

“(B) communication and collaboration for research and development activities pursued by other executive agencies, to determine if technology can be leveraged to identify and address homeland security capability gaps or threats to the homeland and avoid unnecessary duplication of efforts;

“(4) provide for documented and validated research and development requirements;

“(5) strengthen first responder participation to identify and prioritize homeland security technological gaps, including by—

“(A) soliciting feedback from appropriate national associations and advisory groups representing the first responder community and first responders within the components and offices of the Department; and

“(B) establishing and promoting a publicly accessible portal to allow the first responder community to help the Directorate of Science and Technology develop homeland security research and development goals;

“(6) institute a mechanism to publicize the Department’s homeland security technology priorities for the purpose of informing Federal, State, and local governments, first responders, and the private sector;

“(7) establish considerations to be used by the Directorate in selecting appropriate research entities, including the national laboratories, federally funded research and development centers, university-based centers, and the private sector, to carry out research and development requirements;

“(8) incorporate feedback derived as a result of the mechanism established in section 323, ensuring the Directorate is utilizing regular communication with components and offices of the Department; and

“(9) include any other criteria or measures the Under Secretary for Science and Technology considers necessary for the identification and prioritization of research requirements.

“SEC. 319. DEVELOPMENT OF DIRECTORATE STRATEGY AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN.

“(a) STRATEGY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section, the Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall develop and submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate a strategy to guide the activities of the Directorate of Science and Technology. Such strategy shall be updated at least once every five years and shall identify priorities and objectives for the development of science and technology solutions and capabilities addressing homeland security operational needs. Such strategy shall include the co-

ordination of such priorities and activities within the Department. Such strategy shall take into account the priorities and needs of stakeholders in the Homeland Security Enterprise (as such term is defined in section 322). In developing such strategy, efforts shall be made to support collaboration and avoid unnecessary duplication across the Federal Government. Such strategy shall be risk-based and aligned with other strategic guidance provided by—

“(A) the National Strategy for Homeland Security;

“(B) the Quadrennial Homeland Security Review; and

“(C) any other relevant strategic planning documents, as determined by the Under Secretary.

“(2) CONTENTS.—The strategy required under paragraph (1) shall be prepared in accordance with applicable Federal requirements and guidelines, and shall include the following:

“(A) An identification of the long-term strategic goals, objectives, and metrics of the Directorate, including those to address terrorist threats.

“(B) A technology transition strategy for the programs of the Directorate.

“(C) Short- and long-term strategic goals, and objectives for increasing the number of designations and certificates issued under subtitle G of title VIII, including cybersecurity technologies that could significantly reduce, or mitigate the effects of, cybersecurity risks (as such term is defined in subsection (a)(1) of the second section 226, relating to the national cybersecurity and communications integration center), without compromising the quality of the evaluation of applications for such designations and certificates.

“(b) FIVE-YEAR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall develop, and update at least once every five years, a five-year research and development plan for the activities of the Directorate of Science and Technology. The Under Secretary shall develop the first such plan by the date that is not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this section.

“(2) CONTENTS.—Each five-year research and development plan developed and revised under subsection (a) shall—

“(A) define the Directorate of Science and Technology’s research, development, testing, and evaluation activities, priorities, performance metrics, and key milestones and deliverables for, as the case may be, the five-fiscal-year period from 2016 through 2020, and for each five-fiscal-year period thereafter;

“(B) describe, for the activities of the strategy developed under subsection (a), the planned annual funding levels for the period covered by each such five-year research and development plan;

“(C) indicate joint investments with other Federal partners where applicable, and enhanced coordination, as appropriate, with organizations as specified in paragraph (19) of section 302;

“(D) analyze how the research programs of the Directorate support achievement of the strategic goals and objectives identified in the strategy required under subsection (a);

“(E) describe how the activities and programs of the Directorate meet the requirements or homeland security capability gaps or threats to the homeland identified by customers within and outside of the Department, including the first responder community; and

“(F) describe the policies of the Directorate regarding the management, organization, and personnel of the Directorate.

“(3) SCOPE.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall ensure that each five-year research and development plan developed and revised under subsection (a)—

“(A) reflects input from a wide range of stakeholders; and

“(B) takes into account how research and development by other Federal, State, private sector, and nonprofit institutions contributes to the achievement of the priorities identified in each plan, and avoids unnecessary duplication with such efforts.

“(4) REPORTS.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate an annual report for seven years beginning not later than one year after the date of the development of the initial five-year research and development plan under paragraph (1) on the status and results to date of the implementation of such plan and the updates to such plan, including—

“(A) a summary of the research and development activities for the previous fiscal year in each mission area, including such activities to address homeland security risks, including threats, vulnerabilities, and consequences, and a summary of the coordination activities undertaken by the Directorate of Science and Technology for components and offices of the Department, together with the results of the process specified in paragraph (15) of section 302;

“(B) clear links between the Directorate’s budget and each mission area or program, including those mission areas or programs to address homeland security risks, including threats, vulnerabilities, and consequences, specifying which mission areas or programs fall under which budget lines, and clear links between Directorate coordination work and priorities and annual expenditures for such work and priorities, including joint investments with other Federal partners, where applicable;

“(C) an assessment of progress of the research and development activities based on the performance metrics and milestones set forth in such plan; and

“(D) any changes to such plan.

“SEC. 320. MONITORING OF PROGRESS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall establish and utilize a system to track the progress of the research, development, testing, and evaluation activities undertaken by the Directorate of Science and Technology, and shall provide to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and customers of such activities, at a minimum on a biannual basis, regular updates on such progress.

“(b) REQUIREMENTS.—In order to provide the progress updates required under subsection (a), the Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall develop a system that—

“(1) monitors progress toward project milestones identified by the Under Secretary;

“(2) maps progress toward deliverables identified in each five-year research and development plan required under section 319(b);

“(3) generates up-to-date reports to customers that transparently disclose the status and progress of research, development, testing, and evaluation efforts of the Directorate of Science and Technology; and

“(4) allows the Under Secretary to report the number of products and services devel-

oped by the Directorate that have been transitioned into acquisition programs and resulted in successfully fielded technologies.

“(c) EVALUATION METHODS.—

“(1) EXTERNAL INPUT, CONSULTATION, AND REVIEW.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall implement procedures to engage outside experts to assist in the evaluation of the progress of research, development, testing, and evaluation activities of the Directorate of Science and Technology, including through—

“(A) consultation with experts, including scientists and practitioners, to gather independent expert peer opinion and advice on a project or on specific issues or analyses conducted by the Directorate; and

“(B) periodic, independent, external review to assess the quality and relevance of the Directorate’s programs and projects.

“(2) COMPONENT FEEDBACK.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall establish a formal process to collect feedback from customers of the Directorate of Science and Technology on the performance of the Directorate that includes—

“(A) appropriate methodologies through which the Directorate can assess the quality and usefulness of technology and services delivered by the Directorate;

“(B) development of metrics for measuring the usefulness of any technology or service provided by the Directorate; and

“(C) standards for high-quality customer service.

“SEC. 321. HOMELAND SECURITY SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FELLOWS PROGRAM.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary, acting through the Under Secretary for Science and Technology and the Under Secretary for Management, shall establish a fellows program, to be known as the Homeland Security Science and Technology Fellows Program (in this section referred to as the ‘Program’), under which the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, in coordination with the Office of University Programs of the Department, shall facilitate the placement of fellows in relevant scientific or technological fields for up to two years in components and offices of the Department with a need for scientific and technological expertise.

“(b) UTILIZATION OF FELLOWS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Under the Program, the Department may employ fellows—

“(A) for the use of the Directorate of Science and Technology; or

“(B) for the use of a component or office of the Department outside the Directorate, under a memorandum of agreement with the head of such a component or office under which such component or office will reimburse the Directorate for the costs of such employment.

“(2) RESPONSIBILITIES.—Under an agreement referred to in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1)—

“(A) the Under Secretary for Science and Technology and the Under Secretary for Management shall—

“(i) solicit and accept applications from individuals who are currently enrolled in or who are graduates of postgraduate programs in scientific and engineering fields related to the promotion of securing the homeland or critical infrastructure sectors;

“(ii) screen applicants and interview them as appropriate to ensure that such applicants possess the appropriate level of scientific and engineering expertise and qualifications;

“(iii) provide a list of qualified applicants to the heads of components and offices of the Department seeking to utilize qualified fellows;

“(iv) subject to the availability of appropriations, pay financial compensation to such fellows;

“(v) coordinate with the Chief Security Officer to facilitate and expedite provision of security and suitability clearances to such fellows, as appropriate; and

“(vi) otherwise administer all aspects of the employment of such fellows with the Department; and

“(B) the head of the component or office of the Department utilizing a fellow shall—

“(i) select such fellow from the list of qualified applicants provided by the Under Secretary;

“(ii) reimburse the Under Secretary for the costs of employing such fellow, including administrative costs; and

“(iii) be responsible for the day-to-day management of such fellow.

“(c) APPLICATIONS FROM NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology may accept an application under subsection (b)(2)(A) that is submitted by a nonprofit organization on behalf of individuals whom such nonprofit organization has determined may be qualified applicants under the Program.

“SEC. 322. CYBERSECURITY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall support research, development, testing, evaluation, and transition of cybersecurity technology, including fundamental research to improve the sharing of information, analytics, and methodologies related to cybersecurity risks and incidents, consistent with current law.

“(b) ACTIVITIES.—The research and development supported under subsection (a) shall serve the components of the Department and shall—

“(1) advance the development and accelerate the deployment of more secure information systems;

“(2) improve and create technologies for detecting attacks or intrusions, including real-time continuous diagnostics and real-time analytic technologies;

“(3) improve and create mitigation and recovery methodologies, including techniques and policies for real-time containment of attacks, and development of resilient networks and information systems;

“(4) support, in coordination with the private sector, the review of source code that underpins critical infrastructure information systems;

“(5) develop and support infrastructure and tools to support cybersecurity research and development efforts, including modeling, testbeds, and data sets for assessment of new cybersecurity technologies;

“(6) assist the development and support of technologies to reduce vulnerabilities in industrial control systems; and

“(7) develop and support cyber forensics and attack attribution.

“(c) COORDINATION.—In carrying out this section, the Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall coordinate activities with—

“(1) the Under Secretary appointed pursuant to section 103(a)(1)(H);

“(2) the heads of other relevant Federal departments and agencies, including the National Science Foundation, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, the Information Assurance Directorate of the National Security Agency, the National Institute of Standards and Technology, the Department of Commerce, the Networking and Information Technology Research and Development Program Office, Sector Specific Agencies for critical infrastructure, and other appropriate working groups established by the President to identify unmet needs and cooperatively support activities, as appropriate; and

“(3) industry and academia.

“(d) TRANSITION TO PRACTICE.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall

support projects through the full life cycle of such projects, including research, development, testing, evaluation, pilots, and transitions. The Under Secretary shall identify mature technologies that address existing or imminent cybersecurity gaps in public or private information systems and networks of information systems, identify and support necessary improvements identified during pilot programs and testing and evaluation activities, and introduce new cybersecurity technologies throughout the Homeland Security Enterprise through partnerships and commercialization. The Under Secretary shall target federally funded cybersecurity research that demonstrates a high probability of successful transition to the commercial market within two years and that is expected to have notable impact on the cybersecurity of the information systems or networks of information systems of the United States.

“(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) CYBERSECURITY RISK.—The term ‘cybersecurity risk’ has the meaning given such term in the second section 226, relating to the national cybersecurity and communications integration center.

“(2) HOMELAND SECURITY ENTERPRISE.—The term ‘Homeland Security Enterprise’ means relevant governmental and nongovernmental entities involved in homeland security, including Federal, State, local, and tribal government officials, private sector representatives, academics, and other policy experts.

“(3) INCIDENT.—The term ‘incident’ has the meaning given such term in the second section 226, relating to the national cybersecurity and communications integration center.

“(4) INFORMATION SYSTEM.—The term ‘information system’ has the meaning given that term in section 3502(8) of title 44, United States Code.

“SEC. 323. INTEGRATED PRODUCT TEAMS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish integrated product teams to serve as a central mechanism for the Department to identify, coordinate, and align research and development efforts with departmental missions. Each team shall be managed by the Under Secretary for Science and Technology and the relevant senior leadership of operational components, and shall be responsible for the following:

“(1) Identifying and prioritizing homeland security capability gaps or threats to the homeland within a specific mission area and technological solutions to address such gaps.

“(2) Identifying ongoing departmental research and development activities and component acquisitions of technologies that are outside of departmental research and development activities to address a specific mission area.

“(3) Assessing the appropriateness of a technology to address a specific mission area.

“(4) Identifying unnecessary redundancy in departmental research and development activities within a specific mission area.

“(5) Informing the Secretary and the annual budget process regarding whether certain technological solutions are able to address homeland security capability gaps or threats to the homeland within a specific mission area.

“(b) CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT.—Not later than two years after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall provide to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate information on the impact and effectiveness of the mechanism described in subsection (a) on research and development efforts, component

relationships, and how the process has informed the research and development budget and enhanced decision making, including acquisition decision making, at the Department. The Secretary shall seek feedback from the Under Secretary for Science and Technology, Under Secretary for Management, and the senior leadership of operational components regarding the impact and effectiveness of such mechanism and include such feedback in the information provided under this subsection.

“SEC. 324. HOMELAND SECURITY-STEM SUMMER INTERNSHIP PROGRAM.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall establish a Homeland Security-STEM internship program (in this section referred to as the ‘program’) to carry out the objectives of this subtitle.

“(b) PROGRAM.—The program shall provide students with exposure to Department mission-relevant research areas, including threats to the homeland, to encourage such students to pursue STEM careers in homeland security related fields. Internships offered under the program shall be for up to ten weeks during the summer.

“(c) ELIGIBILITY.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall develop criteria for participation in the program, including the following:

“(1) At the time of application, an intern shall—

“(A) have successfully completed not less than one academic year of study at an institution of higher education in a STEM field;

“(B) be enrolled in a course of study in a STEM field at an institution of higher education; and

“(C) plan to continue such course of study or pursue an additional course of study in a STEM field at an institution of higher education in the academic year following the internship.

“(2) An intern shall be pursuing career goals aligned with the Department’s mission, goals, and objectives.

“(3) Any other criteria the Under Secretary determines appropriate.

“(d) COOPERATION.—The program shall be administered in cooperation with the university-based centers for homeland security under section 308. Interns in the program shall be provided hands-on research experience and enrichment activities focused on Department research areas.

“(e) ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS; OPERATION.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall determine the academic requirements, other selection criteria, and standards for successful completion of each internship period in the program. The Under Secretary shall be responsible for the design, implementation, and operation of the program.

“(f) RESEARCH MENTORS.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall ensure that each intern in the program is assigned a research mentor to act as counselor and advisor and provide career-focused advice.

“(g) OUTREACH TO CERTAIN UNDER-REPRESENTED STUDENTS.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology shall conduct outreach to students who are members of groups under-represented in STEM careers to encourage their participation in the program.

“(h) INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘institution of higher education’ has the meaning given the term in section 102 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1002), except that the term does not include institutions described in subparagraph (C) of such section 102(a)(1).”

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on

the date that is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this section.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 317 the following new items:

“Sec. 318. Identification and prioritization of research and development.

“Sec. 319. Development of Directorate strategy and research and development plan.

“Sec. 320. Monitoring of progress.

“Sec. 321. Homeland Security Science and Technology Fellows Program.

“Sec. 322. Cybersecurity research and development.

“Sec. 323. Integrated product teams.

“Sec. 324. Homeland Security-STEM summer internship program.”

(d) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.—Section 831 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 391) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “2015” and inserting “2020”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking the last sentence; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) PRIOR APPROVAL.—In any case in which a component or office of the Department seeks to utilize the authority under this section, such office or component shall first receive prior approval from the Secretary by providing to the Secretary a proposal that includes the rationale for the use of such authority, the funds to be spent on the use of such authority, and the expected outcome for each project that is the subject of the use of such authority. In such a case, the authority for evaluating the proposal may not be delegated by the Secretary to anyone other than the Under Secretary for Management.”;

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) in paragraph (1), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “2015” and inserting “2020”; and

(B) by amending paragraph (2) to read as follows:

“(2) REPORT.—The Secretary shall annually submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate a report detailing the projects for which the authority granted by subsection (a) was used, the rationale for such use, the funds spent using such authority, the extent of cost-sharing for such projects among Federal and non-federal sources, the extent to which use of such authority has addressed a homeland security capability gap or threat to the homeland identified by the Department, the total amount of payments, if any, that were received by the Federal Government as a result of the use of such authority during the period covered by each such report, the outcome of each project for which such authority was used, and the results of any audits of such projects.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

“(e) TRAINING.—The Secretary shall develop a training program for acquisitions staff in the use of other transaction authority to help ensure the appropriate use of such authority.

“(f) OTHER TRANSACTION AUTHORITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘other transaction authority’ means authority under subsection (a).”

(e) AMENDMENT TO DEFINITION.—Paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of the second section 226 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6

U.S.C. 148; relating to the national cybersecurity and communications integration center) is amended to read as follows:

“(2) INCIDENT.—The term ‘incident’ means an occurrence that actually or imminently jeopardizes, without lawful authority, the integrity, confidentiality, or availability of information on an information system, or actually or imminently jeopardizes, without lawful authority, an information system.”

(f) GAO STUDY OF UNIVERSITY-BASED CENTERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall initiate a study to assess the university-based centers for homeland security program authorized by section 308(b)(2) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 188(b)(2)), and provide recommendations to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate for appropriate improvements.

(2) SUBJECT MATTERS.—The study required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(A) A review of the Department of Homeland Security’s efforts to identify key areas of study needed to support the homeland security mission, and criteria that the Department utilized to determine those key areas for which the Department should maintain, establish, or eliminate university-based centers.

(B) A review of the method by which university-based centers, federally funded research and development centers, and Department of Energy national laboratories receive tasking from the Department of Homeland Security, including a review of how university-based research is identified, prioritized, and funded.

(C) A review of selection criteria for designating university-based centers and a weighting of such criteria.

(D) An examination of best practices from other agencies’ efforts to organize and use university-based research to support their missions.

(E) A review of the Department of Homeland Security’s criteria and metrics to measure demonstrable progress achieved by university-based centers in fulfilling Department taskings, and mechanisms for delivering and disseminating the research results of designated university-based centers within the Department and to other Federal, State, and local agencies.

(F) An examination of the means by which academic institutions that are not designated or associated with the designated university-based centers can optimally contribute to the research mission of the Directorate of Science and Technology of the Department of Homeland Security.

(G) An assessment of the interrelationship between the different university-based centers and the degree to which outreach and collaboration among a diverse array of academic institutions is encouraged by the Department of Homeland Security, particularly with historically Black colleges and universities and minority-serving institutions.

(H) A review of any other essential elements of the programs determined in the conduct of the study.

(g) PRIZE AUTHORITY.—The Under Secretary for Science and Technology of the Department of Homeland Security shall utilize, as appropriate, prize authority granted pursuant to current law.

(h) PROHIBITION ON NEW FUNDING.—No funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section and the amendments made by this section. Such section and

amendments shall be carried out using amounts otherwise appropriated or made available for such purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. RATCLIFFE) and the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include any extraneous material on the bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 3578, the DHS Science and Technology Reform and Improvement Act of 2015, makes targeted adjustments and strategic improvements to the ways in which the Department of Homeland Security’s Science and Technology Directorate, or DHS S&T, carries out its responsibility to conduct research and development. These strategic improvements will strengthen the Directorate and address some of its well-documented challenges.

DHS S&T monitors the Nation’s evolving threats and makes use of technological advancements to develop and deliver solutions to meet the critical needs of the DHS components.

The legislation we are considering today provides a clear mission statement for the Directorate and it codifies S&T’s portfolio review process. This process engages key leadership and stakeholders to ensure that research and development meets the Directorate and Department goals.

Amendments considered at both the subcommittee and full committee further strengthen this legislation, including Mr. RICHMOND’s amendment to codify integrated product teams, a mechanism that will support the Directorate’s ability to identify, coordinate, and align research and development efforts with departmental missions.

H.R. 3578 also ensures that the Directorate identifies technical capability requirements and creates solutions with researchers and the private sector. It also bolsters S&T’s role as coordinator of research and development across the Department.

This bill requires additional transparency by requiring S&T to link its budget with mission areas and programs.

Cybersecurity research and development is essential to support DHS’ efforts to secure the dot-gov domain. The seriousness of this mission received heightened awareness after the OPM breach compromised the highly sensitive and personal information of over 20 million Americans.

H.R. 3578 bolsters S&T’s cybersecurity research and development by ensuring sector specific agencies for critical infrastructure are included in the coordination of cybersecurity research and development and by codifying the Transition to Practice program to support the lifecycle of cyber projects, including research, development, testing, evaluation, and transition.

S&T is the primary research arm of the Department, managing the basic and applied research and development of science and technology for DHS’ operational components. S&T’s work includes supporting research and development for technologies to benefit first responders, the Nation’s border and maritime security, cybersecurity, and chemical and biological defenses.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank the gentleman from Texas, Chairman SMITH, of the Science, Space, and Technology Committee for his support in moving this legislation forward.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation would strengthen the important role and work of the Directorate to meet both the scientific and technological security needs of our Nation.

I urge all Members to join me in supporting this bill, and I reserve the balance of my time.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, SPACE, AND TECHNOLOGY,

Washington, DC, December 4, 2015.

Hon. MICHAEL MCCAUL,
Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security,
House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: I am writing concerning H.R. 3578, the “DHS Science and Technology Reform and Improvement Act of 2015,” which your Committee ordered reported on September 30, 2015.

H.R. 3578 contains provisions within the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology’s Rule X jurisdiction. However, in consideration of your request to expedite this bill for floor consideration, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology will forego formal consideration of H.R. 3578. This is being done on the basis of our mutual understanding that doing so will in no way diminish or alter the jurisdiction of the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology with respect to the appointment of conferees, or to any future jurisdictional claim over the subject matters contained in the bill or similar legislation.

I appreciate that the Committee on Homeland Security has consulted with the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology and the two Committees have reached agreement on the final text of H.R. 3578. I understand you acknowledge the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology’s jurisdiction over the legislation and that the Committee on Homeland Security agrees to work with the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology to develop and enact an additional homeland security research and development measure early in 2016.

I would appreciate your response to this letter confirming this understanding and would request that you include a copy of this letter and your response in the Congressional Record during the floor consideration of this bill. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

LAMAR SMITH,
Chairman.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
COMMITTEE ON HOMELAND SECURITY,
Washington, DC, December 4, 2015.

Hon. LAMAR SMITH,
Chairman, Committee on Science, Space, and
Technology, Rayburn House Office Build-
ing, Washington, DC.

DEAR CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 3578, the “DHS Science and Technology Reform and Improvement Act of 2015.” I acknowledge that by forgoing action on this legislation your Committee is not diminishing or altering its jurisdiction.

I also concur with you that forgoing action on this bill does not in any way prejudice the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology with respect to its jurisdictional prerogatives on this bill or similar legislation in the future. Furthermore, I would support your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees to any House-Senate conference involving this or similar legislation.

In addition, I agree that the Committee on Homeland Security will continue to work with the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology to develop additional legislation addressing homeland security research and development in early 2016.

I will include copies of this exchange in the *Congressional Record* during consideration of this measure on the House floor. I appreciate your cooperation regarding H.R. 3578, and I look forward to working with the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology as the bill moves through the legislative process.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL T. MCCAUL,
Chairman,
Committee on Homeland Security.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise to support H.R. 3578, the Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Reform and Improvement Act of 2015.

First, I want to say to the gentleman from Texas, thank you so very much for your leadership. Again, we have a great opportunity working together, along with your ranking member, Mr. RICHMOND, and the chairman of the full committee, Mr. MCCAUL, and, as well, Mr. THOMPSON. I believe we are continuously building blocks of security for the American people.

Research and development is a key component of the Department of Homeland Security’s mission to make America more secure and better able to prevent, respond to, and recover from natural disasters and terrorist acts.

In the constantly evolving threat landscape, technology-based force multipliers are essential for managing our borders, safeguarding cyberspace, and making sure we are resilient in the face of disasters.

H.R. 3578 will improve the way the Science and Technology Directorate serves its customers within the Department in the first responder community in three ways.

Before I say that, let me indicate to the chairman, we understand that we are looking at generational gaps. Terrorists are young. People who wish to undermine the landscape of cybersecurity can use, if I might say, these young minds, these technocrats, to do things that we may have never heard of, so our system must be resilient.

First, this bill requires S&T to engage in strategic planning and priority-setting exercises that will assist Congress in measuring the management effectiveness and utility of the research and technologies it funds. This kind of self-assessment will make S&T a more effective partner to its customers and will help make its program more efficient.

Second, H.R. 3578 directs S&T to evaluate its university programs and collaborative agreements and assess its efforts to broaden outreach to diverse institutions, which may have a unique expertise to add to S&T’s ongoing work.

Given the current fiscal challenges, it is critical that we maximize the way we leverage the capabilities of knowledge-rich universities, and this provision will help S&T do just that. In fact, I believe that the universities are our richest source of talent, and not only for the researchers and the professors, but certainly the students who are young, who are there to do good, of whom we can utilize both their talents, their approach, and their intellect.

Finally, the bill encourages carefully targeted venture capital investments in the homeland security enterprise that can accelerate product development and add mission critical capabilities quickly and efficiently.

These targeted investments will help put better technologies into the hands of DHS boots-on-the-ground State and local first responders soon.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 3578 codifies existing practices at S&T that are working and will make S&T a stronger, more reliable partner in the homeland security mission.

I encourage my colleagues to support this important bipartisan legislation, and, as well, I continue to look forward to working with this subcommittee, among others, to begin to look at the cyber space and the cybersecurity infrastructure.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. SMITH), my friend and colleague.

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I thank my friend and colleague from Texas (Mr. RATCLIFFE) for his work on this legislation, for his earlier generous comments, and for yielding me time as well. I also want to thank both him and the gentleman from Texas, MICHAEL MCCAUL, the full committee chairman, for their work on this legislation.

The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology shares jurisdiction with the Homeland Security Committee over the research and development programs carried out by the Department of Homeland Security. In the case of this bill, H.R. 3578, it is the R&D of the Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Directorate, which was established by legislation that originated in the House Committee on Science, Space, and Technology.

The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology, likewise, shares jurisdiction of the bill we just considered, H.R. 3875. That bill will assess and plan DHS research and development of chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and explosives defenses.

Next year, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology expects to continue to advance science and technology efforts to counter terrorist threats to the homeland.

In anticipation of today’s legislation, our committee exercised its jurisdiction by holding two hearings. In September of 2014, the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology’s Research and Technology Subcommittee held a joint DHS S&T Directorate oversight hearing with Homeland Security’s Cybersecurity, Infrastructure Protection, and Security Technologies Subcommittee.

The hearing focused on a series of Government Accountability Office reviews that found serious problems with management and coordination of R&D within the Department of Homeland Security. This includes fragmented and overlapping R&D programs and millions of taxpayer dollars spent on duplicative R&D projects.

The GAO recommended that the S&T Directorate develop stricter policies and guidance to help define, oversee, coordinate, and track R&D across the Department of Homeland Security.

The Committee on Science, Space, and Technology conducted a follow-up oversight hearing on October 27 of this year. At that hearing, Under Secretary Brothers described the progress made in its implementation of the GAO’s recommendations and updated us on the S&T Directorate’s initiatives to help DHS meet the full spectrum of threats.

The legislation before the House today reflects the work of the members of the Committee on Science, Space, and Technology and the Committee on Homeland Security to help the S&T Directorate meet a broad range of homeland security challenges by stretching the technological envelope.

The bill establishes a clear mission for the Directorate, updates its responsibilities, and requires strategy and R&D plans to prioritize addressing homeland threats. It also authorizes targeted cybersecurity R&D projects and creates new S&T integrated product teams to develop technological solutions to meet the Department’s mission areas and address threats to the homeland.

Last week’s horrifying terrorist attack in San Bernardino, California, just days after a terrorist attack in Paris, reminds us that this legislation is ultimately about defending the American people and our country from terrorists.

Again, I thank Chairman MCCAUL for taking the initiative with this critical legislation, and I thank the gentleman from Texas (Mr. RATCLIFFE) as well.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

In order to meet the needs of those on the front line of homeland security activities from Customs and Border Protection and the Transportation Security to local first responders, the Science and Technology Directorate must rapidly develop and deliver innovative solutions that advance DHS' mission.

I am convinced that the whole matter of cyber technology are the new frontier of terrorism and that this Department must be, as it has been, very well prepared with human personnel being on the front lines of the first responders, and must give them extra tools through S&T to help to further the mission of the security of this Nation. It is a complex and difficult mission.

H.R. 3578 puts S&T on a pathway to making smarter and quicker R&D investment in technology and tools that help our first responders do their jobs better and more effectively.

With that, I ask my colleagues to support H.R. 3578, and I thank the proponent of this legislation.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I thank the gentlewoman for her support and leadership in connection with this bill. I would also like to thank Chairman MCCAUL and Ranking Member THOMPSON for their leadership in moving this important bill forward.

Mr. Speaker, threats in technologies are always changing. This bill will help DHS S&T find strategic and focused technology options and innovative solutions to address homeland security capability gaps and threats to our homeland.

I, once again, urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 3578, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. RATCLIFFE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 3578, as amended.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the yeas have it.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

□ 1600

STATE AND LOCAL CYBER PROTECTION ACT OF 2015

Mr. HURD of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3869) to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 to require State and local coordination on cybersecurity with the national cybersecurity and communications integration cen-

ter, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 3869

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "State and Local Cyber Protection Act of 2015".

SEC. 2. STATE AND LOCAL COORDINATION ON CYBERSECURITY WITH THE NATIONAL CYBERSECURITY AND COMMUNICATIONS INTEGRATION CENTER.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The second section 226 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 148; relating to the national cybersecurity and communications integration center) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(g) STATE AND LOCAL COORDINATION ON CYBERSECURITY.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Center shall, to the extent practicable—

“(A) assist State and local governments, upon request, in identifying information system vulnerabilities;

“(B) assist State and local governments, upon request, in identifying information security protections commensurate with cybersecurity risks and the magnitude of the potential harm resulting from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of—

“(i) information collected or maintained by or on behalf of a State or local government; or

“(ii) information systems used or operated by an agency or by a contractor of a State or local government or other organization on behalf of a State or local government;

“(C) in consultation with State and local governments, provide and periodically update via a web portal tools, products, resources, policies, guidelines, and procedures related to information security;

“(D) work with senior State and local government officials, including State and local Chief Information Officers, through national associations to coordinate a nationwide effort to ensure effective implementation of tools, products, resources, policies, guidelines, and procedures related to information security to secure and ensure the resiliency of State and local information systems;

“(E) provide, upon request, operational and technical cybersecurity training to State and local government and fusion center analysts and operators to address cybersecurity risks or incidents;

“(F) provide, in coordination with the Chief Privacy Officer and the Chief Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Officer of the Department, privacy and civil liberties training to State and local governments related to cybersecurity;

“(G) provide, upon request, operational and technical assistance to State and local governments to implement tools, products, resources, policies, guidelines, and procedures on information security by—

“(i) deploying technology to assist such State or local government to continuously diagnose and mitigate against cyber threats and vulnerabilities, with or without reimbursement;

“(ii) compiling and analyzing data on State and local information security; and

“(iii) developing and conducting targeted operational evaluations, including threat and vulnerability assessments, on the information systems of State and local governments;

“(H) assist State and local governments to develop policies and procedures for coordinating vulnerability disclosures, to the ex-

tent practicable, consistent with international and national standards in the information technology industry, including standards developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology; and

“(I) ensure that State and local governments, as appropriate, are made aware of the tools, products, resources, policies, guidelines, and procedures on information security developed by the Department and other appropriate Federal departments and agencies for ensuring the security and resiliency of Federal civilian information systems.

“(2) TRAINING.—Privacy and civil liberties training provided pursuant to subparagraph (F) of paragraph (1) shall include processes, methods, and information that—

“(A) are consistent with the Department's Fair Information Practice Principles developed pursuant to section 552a of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the 'Privacy Act of 1974' or the 'Privacy Act');

“(B) reasonably limit, to the greatest extent practicable, the receipt, retention, use, and disclosure of information related to cybersecurity risks and incidents associated with specific persons that is not necessary, for cybersecurity purposes, to protect an information system or network of information systems from cybersecurity risks or to mitigate cybersecurity risks and incidents in a timely manner;

“(C) minimize any impact on privacy and civil liberties;

“(D) provide data integrity through the prompt removal and destruction of obsolete or erroneous names and personal information that is unrelated to the cybersecurity risk or incident information shared and retained by the Center in accordance with this section;

“(E) include requirements to safeguard cyber threat indicators and defensive measures retained by the Center, including information that is proprietary or business-sensitive that may be used to identify specific persons from unauthorized access or acquisition;

“(F) protect the confidentiality of cyber threat indicators and defensive measures associated with specific persons to the greatest extent practicable; and

“(G) ensure all relevant constitutional, legal, and privacy protections are observed.”.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT.—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the national cybersecurity and communications integration center of the Department of Homeland Security shall provide to the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate information on the activities and effectiveness of such activities under subsection (g) of the second section 226 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 148; relating to the national cybersecurity and communications integration center), as added by subsection (a) of this section, on State and local information security. The center shall seek feedback from State and local governments regarding the effectiveness of such activities and include such feedback in the information required to be provided under this subsection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. HURD) and the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.