

Alyssa Lo, Cassie Churnside, and Monica Coughlan; their teammates Kate Baldoni, Jillian Garton, Alexis Lee, Victoria Kennedy, Kaitlyn Lo, Lexie Ross, Kelsey Suggs, Lizzie Peiros, Kaley Dodson, Emily Dorst, Kiley Neushul, Catherine Carpenter, Cory Dodson, and Ashley Grossman; coaches Susan Ortwein and Kyle Utsumi; and Stanford fans everywhere. Bravo to the Cardinals for a thrilling season, and for demonstrating the highest standards of teamwork and sportsmanship. They have brought added distinction to California's 14th Congressional District and stand out as the best in America.

INDIAN TRIBAL TRADE AND INVESTMENT DEMONSTRATION PROJECT ACT OF 2011

SPEECH OF

HON. HOWARD L. BERMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, July 23, 2012

Mr. BERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong opposition to H.R. 2362, the Indian Tribal Trade and Investment Demonstration Project Act of 2011. My reasons for opposing this ill-conceived and unnecessary legislation are spelled out in a dear colleague I issued with several of my colleagues, and which I submit for the record. I urge all of my colleagues to vote "no" on H.R. 2362.

VOTE NO ON H.R. 2362

DEAR COLLEAGUE: We urge you to oppose H.R. 2362, the Indian Tribal Trade and Investment Demonstration Project Act of 2011, when it is considered on the House floor today. This bill provides for investment activities by WTO member nations in a select number of Indian tribal lands, with implied special consideration for Turkish businesses. Although the bill ostensibly applies equally to all WTO member nations, its Findings section exclusively discusses Turkey's relations with Native Americans, alleging that Turkey has "demonstrated a unique interest in bolstering cultural, political, and economic relationships with Indian tribes and tribal members"—without explaining the nature of this "unique interest." Moreover, in both the operative and non-operative sections of the bill, the concept of "all WTO member nations" is expressed as "Turkey and other World Trade Organization member nations"—an odd description that, if adopted by Congress, would suggest that the United States, for no apparent reason, prefers Turkish investment in tribal areas over that from other WTO member nations.

Turkey is an important NATO ally, but we are concerned about the prospect of singling out Turkey for special consideration at a time when Ankara is pursuing so many objectionable policies. For example:

Turkey recognizes the terrorist Hamas government in Gaza and even received its leader in the Turkish parliament earlier this year—disturbing hypocrisy from a state that receives U.S. support for its own fight against terrorism. Turkey also demands that Israel end its naval blockade of Gaza, despite the deadly security threat Hamas poses to Israel. Turkey's repeated, flagrant criticism of Israel is particularly troubling and potentially destabilizing.

As a member of the UN Security Council two years ago, Turkey voted against sanctions on Iran.

For 38 years, Turkey has illegally occupied the northern third of the island Republic of

Cyprus, a member of the European Union. More recently, Turkey has threatened the use of force to stop Texas-based Noble Energy from drilling for oil and gas off the shores of EU-member Cyprus and to blacklist any businesses that work with Cyprus for natural resource extraction.

Turkey continues to deny the Armenian Genocide during which 1.5 million Armenians perished and has threatened punitive measures against the United States if Congress recognizes this tragic event. Since 1993 Turkey has maintained a destabilizing blockade of Armenia.

On July 19, Congress sent H.R. 205 to the President for signature into law. That bill, known as the HEARTH Act, provides that all Native American tribes, not just a few, would have the right to lease tribal lands for economic development purposes to any party, domestic or foreign—not just to Turkish parties. H.R. 205 would also maintain traditional federal government oversight of economic use of tribal lands; in contrast, H.R. 2362 would limit that oversight for the tribal lands to which it would apply. But, even at it is best, H.R. 2362—with its focus on only a few tribal areas and its implied preference for Turkish investment—is redundant and an unusual, unprecedented, and unnecessary endorsement of a state that, though an ally, continues to pursue problematic policies.

We encourage you to vote no on H.R. 2362.

HOWARD L. BERMAN.

GARY ACKERMAN.

ELIOT ENGEL.

SHELLEY BERKLEY.

THE 21ST CENTURY POSTAL SERVICE ACT

HON. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 24, 2012

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, it has now been nearly three months since the Senate passed its comprehensive postal reform legislation in an effort to address the mounting financial woes of the United States Postal Service. The 21st Century Postal Service Act, as it is known, would allow the USPS to address the growing demands of a modern age of technology, and grant the agency the authority it needs to avoid insolvency without unnecessary cuts to labor.

Yet, the Republican-controlled House still refuses to consider the Senate bill despite this very serious threat to millions of businesses and residential neighborhoods across the country. The Postal Service processed over 167 billion mail pieces in 2011 alone. Further, there are nearly 8.4 million jobs and over \$1 trillion in revenue attributed to the mailing industry. Sitting back idly, or blindly mandating drastic and indiscriminate cuts to essential services, will cause immeasurable harm to our economic recovery.

That is why I have joined my Democratic colleagues in cosponsoring sensible reform in Congress to bolster the Postal Service's operations, and to clear the path for thoughtful ways to restore the USPS to its former prosperity. When there is so much at stake, this is simply not a time to politicize these issues. Sadly, that is what my Republican colleagues in Congress are doing, and that is the cause of this delay.

Mr. Speaker, the Postal Service is an American institution that unites our Nation and pro-

vides reliable and inexpensive services to businesses and residents alike. We must recognize the Postal Service as the American institution that it is, and act swiftly and appropriately to address this issue while there is still time.

FORMER PENNSYLVANIA GOVERNOR WILLIAM WARREN SCRANTON

HON. LOU BARLETTA

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, July 24, 2012

Mr. BARLETTA. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor former Pennsylvania Governor William Warren Scranton on the occasion of his 95th birthday.

Born July 19, 1917, in Madison, Conn., William Scranton comes from a long line of public servants, business leaders and philanthropists in northeastern Pennsylvania. The city of Scranton—where his ancestors established companies and served as elected officials—was named in honor of his family.

Governor Scranton and his wife, the former Mary Lowe Chamberlin, recently celebrated their 70th wedding anniversary. The couple raised four children including William Worthington Scranton, who went on to serve as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania.

After graduating from Yale University in 1939, Governor Scranton enlisted in the U.S. Army Air Corps where he served as a pilot during World War II, flying combat supplies to North Africa. After he graduated from Yale Law School, he returned to Scranton, launched a successful legal career and began to make his mark on the community through many civic endeavors. One of his pet projects was turning the Community Chest, forerunner to the United Way, into a countywide organization in Lackawanna County.

His esteemed public service began in 1959 when he was appointed special assistant to the U.S. Secretary of State by President Dwight D. Eisenhower. A year later he beat the political odds and was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives from Pennsylvania's 10th District in a win that President John F. Kennedy called "the political miracle of 1960."

As a freshman member, he emerged as a crusader for the civil rights movement and worked tirelessly for his constituents. He served only one term in Congress because he answered his party's call once again: In 1962 Republican leaders across the Commonwealth urged him to run for governor.

He won the 1962 gubernatorial race, defeating then-Philadelphia Mayor Richardson Dilworth. During his four years in office, Governor Scranton advocated for a strong educational system, continued industrial development in the United States and abroad, and for fiscal responsibility.

Numerous programs were launched under the Scranton administration as the new governor set out to tackle the nation's second-highest unemployment rate, poorly managed state funds, and the decline of the rail, coal and textile industries. He founded a state student loan program for education, instituted the community college system and increased the number of vocational-technical schools.

When he left the Governor's mansion in 1967, Pennsylvania's unemployment rate was