

back. I am told there is a two-word difference between the bill we sent to them and the bill they sent back to us. I guess we can accept a two-word difference. It is long overdue. I want to compliment Mr. ENGEL for his hard work and perseverance. And Mr. STEARNS, Mr. MURPHY, and others on our side, and of course Mr. BOUCHER for this bill.

The primary reason I am speaking, though, is I want to say some heartfelt words about Mr. BOUCHER. Sooner or later this Congress is going to mercifully adjourn—and I hope sooner rather than later—and so I don't know how many more times we are going to be on the floor, but I wanted to say in his presence what an honor it has been to serve with him. He is a workhorse Member; he is not a show horse. He doesn't get involved in many, many issues, but when he does get involved, he is meticulous in his preparation and understanding of the issue and his detail. His word is gold. It is always good.

On the rare occasions when I have disagreed with him, I have always been impressed with the merit of his argument. He will be missed. He is one of the Members who makes the institution work. He does it behind the scenes. He is always thoughtful and prepared and just a joy to work with.

I had the privilege to work with him when I was the subcommittee chairman and he was my ranking member, and I have had the privilege to work with him while he has been in the majority as a subcommittee chairman. The work he and Congressman STEARNS have done on privacy is work that will bear fruit in the coming Congress I hope. The work he has done on energy issues and telecommunications issues, his work will stand the test of time.

I do want to support the pending legislation, but I also wanted to give the gentleman from Virginia my very best wishes. I look forward to working with him in whatever endeavors he pursues in the future. It has really been an honor to serve with you in the House of Representatives.

Mr. STEARNS. Madam Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I just would echo the comments of the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON) about Mr. BOUCHER. Having worked with Mr. BOUCHER, he and I have cosponsored many bills across the spectrum. Recently, obviously, we worked on privacy together. And also, we tried to hammer out some kind of compromise on net neutrality. Net neutrality was difficult because the FCC was attempting to move it to title II. We finally got them to stop that. In fact, the court stopped them. Again, Mr. BOUCHER and I met with the stakeholders across the board to try and see if there was some compromise. We both agreed it should be under the jurisdiction of the Congress and not the FCC acting unilaterally, as it appears they are going to do on December 21 when they vote for net neutrality, which I

am against. But I have to admire Mr. BOUCHER's perseverance, his stick-to-it-ness, whether it is trying to reach compromise on legislation, or his reach-out to stakeholders. For example, on the privacy, he had a comment period on his privacy bill that I cosponsored, which is unusual around here. A lot of times we say we don't have an opportunity to even read the bills before they are voted on, but in fact, under Mr. BOUCHER's leadership as chairman of the Telecommunications Subcommittee, he took his bill and offered it as a draft to get stakeholders' comments. That is a credit to his leadership.

As Mr. BARTON pointed out, we are going to miss him. He provides strong, competent leadership, and we wish him well and thank him for his service.

I yield back the balance of my time. Mr. BOUCHER. Madam Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time, and I do so to thank my colleagues, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. BARTON) and my friend, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. STEARNS) for their kind remarks. I want to thank them for the collaboration and the friendship over the years.

Mr. STEARNS and I have participated together in developing the ideas, developing the legislation, and bringing through the Communications Subcommittee all of the bills that that subcommittee acted on legislatively in this 2-year session of Congress. I appreciate so much the good ideas Mr. STEARNS shared, his work with me to ensure that all of our legislation had a bipartisan foundation, and I think what we were able to do was a better product by virtue of the fact that we worked together. It has been a privilege over the years to have the opportunity to work with him. He is an outstanding legislator.

□ 1240

I want to commend him for the fine work that he has done, and mostly thank him for the friendship and the partnership that he and I have enjoyed together. And I want to say thank you to my friend (Mr. BARTON) with whom I was privileged to work on the Energy Subcommittee when he was chairman and I was the ranking member. During the time he chaired the full committee, I had the privilege of participating with him on a whole range of undertakings, and I admire very much the leadership that he has provided as chairman of the Energy and Commerce Committee and more recently as the ranking member.

So, thank you, gentlemen, for those kind remarks. I am humbled by them. And I appreciate your taking very much the occasion of our debate on this legislation to make those comments.

Madam Speaker, I have no further requests for time, I urge support of the legislation currently pending, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Ms. RICHARDSON). The question is on the

motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. BOUCHER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 30.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order: H.R. 5446 and House Resolution 1759, both by the yeas and nays; Senate Concurrent Resolution 72 and H.R. 6205, both de novo.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

HARRY T. AND HARRIETTE MOORE POST OFFICE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5446) to designate the facility of the United States Postal Service located at 600 Florida Avenue in Cocoa, Florida, as the "Harry T. and Harriette Moore Post Office," on which the yeas and nays were ordered.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentlewoman from California (Ms. CHU) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 405, nays 0, not voting 28, as follows:

[Roll No. 631]

YEAS—405

Ackerman	Boehner	Castle
Aderholt	Bono Mack	Castor (FL)
Adler (NJ)	Boozman	Chaffetz
Akin	Boren	Chandler
Alexander	Boswell	Childers
Altmire	Boucher	Chu
Andrews	Boustany	Clarke
Arcuri	Boyd	Clay
Austria	Brady (PA)	Cleaver
Baca	Brady (TX)	Clyburn
Bachmann	Braley (IA)	Coble
Bachus	Bright	Coffman (CO)
Baird	Broun (GA)	Cohen
Baldwin	Brown (SC)	Cole
Barrett (SC)	Brown, Corrine	Conaway
Barrow	Buchanan	Connolly (VA)
Bartlett	Burgess	Conyers
Barton (TX)	Burton (IN)	Cooper
Bean	Butterfield	Costa
Becerra	Buyer	Costello
Berkley	Calvert	Courtney
Berman	Camp	Crenshaw
Biggert	Campbell	Critz
Bilbray	Cao	Crowley
Bilirakis	Capito	Cuellar
Bishop (GA)	Capps	Culberson
Bishop (NY)	Capuano	Cummings
Bishop (UT)	Carnahan	Dahlkemper
Blackburn	Carney	Davis (CA)
Blumenauer	Carson (IN)	Davis (KY)
Blunt	Carter	Davis (TN)
Bocchieri	Cassidy	DeFazio