

their GOP counterparts to facilitate confirmations.

Emblematic is the President's nomination of U.S. District Judge Gerard Lynch, who served with distinction on the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York since 2000. New York Democratic Senators Schumer and Kirsten Gillibrand expeditiously suggested the superb trial judge to Obama, who nominated Lynch on April 2. By mid-May, the panel conducted Lynch's confirmation hearing, and on June 11, the committee approved Lynch. In mid-September, the Senate confirmed Lynch on a 94-3 vote.

Senator Schumer's Sept. 9 announcement that he had recommended District Judge Denny Chin to the White House and the jurist's Oct. 6 nomination are precisely the correct approaches. The New York and Connecticut senators must continue suggesting excellent candidates for the three Second Circuit openings which remain. Obama must swiftly consider their proposals and nominate outstanding prospects. The Judiciary Committee should promptly afford hearings and votes, while the Majority Leader ought to expeditiously schedule floor debates and votes.

Judge Sotomayor's Supreme Court elevation, the assumption of senior status by Judges Calabresi, Parker and Sack and Judge Lynch's recent Senate confirmation mean there are four openings in the Second Circuit's thirteen judgeships. President Obama should cooperate with the Senate to quickly fill the vacancies with superior judges, so that the tribunal can deliver appellate justice.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that my further remarks be charged against my time in connection with this nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The remarks of Mr. LEAHY are printed in today's RECORD under "Morning Business.")

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I wish to briefly make a few comments about the confirmation vote we will soon be having on supporting this nominee. I saw him, as a member of the Judiciary Committee, and we made inquiry of him. I liked him. He handled himself well.

He has been a strong and ardent Democrat all his life—an active Democrat. He was educated, I believe, at the University of South Dakota and has practiced law a long time there. I think he has the ability and the commitment—he said he did and I believe him—not to allow his politics to influence his decisionmaking once he puts on that robe; that he will be objective and fair; that he will comply with the oath a judge takes to be impartial; that he will provide equal justice for the poor and the rich; and that he will serve the laws of the United States under the Constitution. So we moved

him forward, and I am glad he will be confirmed.

I will note that some nominees I will not be able to support, and I would expect some others may object as well. It is our responsibility to be careful and to be cautious in making decisions about judges because they are given a lifetime appointment. They can't be removed for bad decisionmaking. I believe the President has submitted two more nominees to the district bench. There are 74 vacancies in the Federal courts in America as of today. A few days ago, there were 9 nominations pending—this is 1 of them—and now there are 11 nominations, I understand, pending.

As the President gets his machine up and running and starts submitting nominees, I think we will have good hearings. My view is that if they are qualified, it doesn't make any difference to me if they are an active, partisan, campaigning Democrat. That is fine. The question simply is, once they put on the robe and they are required to decide cases, can they put aside their personal feelings, backgrounds, emotions, and partisanship? Most judges can.

I practiced in Alabama, where judges run on a party ticket. They run as Republicans and Democrats. Everybody knows which of them—very few—carry those biases with them.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator's time has expired.

Mr. SESSIONS. I thank the Chair, and I urge my colleagues to support the nomination.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. (Mr. UDALL of New Mexico.) The question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Roberto A. Lange, of South Dakota, to be United States District Judge for the District of South Dakota?

Mr. SESSIONS. I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk called the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 100, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 324 Ex.]

YEAS—100

Akaka	Carper	Gillibrand
Alexander	Casey	Graham
Barrasso	Chambliss	Grassley
Baucus	Coburn	Gregg
Bayh	Cochran	Hagan
Begich	Collins	Harkin
Bennet	Conrad	Hatch
Bennett	Corker	Hutchison
Bingaman	Cornyn	Inhofe
Bond	Crapo	Inouye
Boxer	DeMint	Isakson
Brown	Dodd	Johanns
Brownback	Dorgan	Johnson
Bunning	Durbin	Kaufman
Burr	Ensign	Kerry
Burriss	Enzi	Kirk
Byrd	Feingold	Klobuchar
Cantwell	Feinstein	Kohl
Cardin	Franken	Kyl

Landrieu	Murray	Specter
Lautenberg	Nelson (NE)	Stabenow
Leahy	Nelson (FL)	Tester
LeMieux	Pryor	Thune
Levin	Reed	Udall (CO)
Lieberman	Reid	Udall (NM)
Lincoln	Risch	Vitter
Lugar	Roberts	Voivovich
McCain	Rockefeller	Warner
McCaskill	Sanders	Webb
McConnell	Schumer	Whitehouse
Menendez	Sessions	Wicker
Merkley	Shaheen	Wyden
Mikulski	Shelby	
Murkowski	Snowe	

The nomination was confirmed.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the motion to reconsider is considered made and laid upon the table. The President will be immediately notified of the Senate's action.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate will resume legislative session.

The Republican leader is recognized.

MEDICARE PHYSICIAN PAYMENTS

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I am going to take a moment of my leader time. Americans are increasingly alarmed by the expansion of our national debt and this spending binge we are putting on the national credit card. They are asking us to do what they have been doing. They want us to take out our scissors and cut the credit card. They want us to live within our means so their children and their grandchildren do not wake up in the morning to find the American dream buried under an avalanche of debt.

Our fiscal situation has simply spiraled out of control. Yet the proponents of this measure want to put another quarter of a trillion dollars on the Federal credit card. Republicans offered a series of fiscally responsible ways to prevent pay cuts to our physicians. That was not agreed to.

Let me remind everybody, we are in very dangerous territory. I am going to vote against this deficit-expanding bill because enough is enough. I hope, on a bipartisan basis, we will send a message to the American people that we do not intend to charge from \$¼ trillion to \$300 billion on the nation's credit card by approving this measure.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The majority leader is recognized.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, we have been aware of the fact that because of activities and actions of the Republican-dominated Washington for a number of years, that the doctors who take Medicare patients have been hammered so hard that not all doctors take Medicare patients.

We want senior citizens, Medicare recipients, to be able to go a doctor. We do not want all of those folks going to Medicare Advantage. We want Medicare to survive as a program.

Because people who ran this town for a number of years did not like Social Security, tried to privatize that, did