

must have been afraid that reform would hurt their profits, so they self-regulated, keeping costs under control until the threat of reform had passed. Then when Republicans claimed the majority and health care reform was dropped, costs began to skyrocket. Between 1996 and 2007, the cost of health care increased by about \$102 billion every single year.

These numbers are clear. Fourteen years ago, we saw exactly what a trigger provision would look like. It simply doesn't work. What we need is a public option, plain and simple. It is time to abandon half measures. It is time to abandon empty political gestures. The evidence is clear we must make a public option a central component of the health care reform legislation. It will compete with private insurers, resulting in better coverage for everyone. It will improve health care outcomes and allow Americans to keep their current doctor. It will provide stability and security, especially if someone loses their job and needs to buy their own coverage. It will save money and reduce the burden on American businesses and families. It will not lead to a government takeover of the health care industry, as some critics have claimed. These claims have no basis in fact, and we have heard them before.

Allow me to quote a Republican Senator on the floor of this Chamber who said if a health care reform bill is enacted, "it will be the beginning of the end of private hospitals and medical insurance for individuals over 65." That is a dire prediction. These words were spoken by Senator Carl T. Curtis of Nebraska. But he wasn't talking about the current health care bill. Senator Curtis spoke these words more than 40 years ago in opposition to the Medicare law that established one of the most successful programs in American history.

A public option would not destroy private insurance. It will merely help the American people hold them accountable. As President Obama reminded us in his recent address, there are many thriving private universities in this country, even though they compete directly with public universities.

Over the weekend, I was speaking with a friend of mine who is a lawyer. He runs his own small practice, and he is proud of it. The subject of health care reform came up, and he told me he was worried. Costs went up so much, so fast that he could no longer afford to provide health care for all of his employees. He had no choice but to cut benefits or drop coverage for some of the people who worked for him.

Sadly, my friend is not alone. Thousands of American small businesses are face to face with the same tough choices. But it doesn't have to be this way. I told my friend about the public option. I explained how it would compete with private companies and the insurance industry, driving prices down, which will allow him to shop around and find the right plan for an

affordable price. He loved the idea. He told me the public option would save money and allow him to commit to the people who worked for him.

I am convinced that a public option is the best and most effective way to address the health care crisis in America today, and we can make it happen. The majority of Senate Democrats has said they would consider voting for such a measure. Only one has come out against it. So let's seize the chance to enact reform. Let's give the American people the health care choices they deserve. After all, if the public option is good enough for Members of Congress, it should be good enough for the American people. Let's extend a high-quality congressional health care plan to everyone. Let's pass a public option that will reduce costs and increase accountability. That is good policy, and it just so happens it is also good politics.

I yield the floor.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mrs. GILLIBRAND). Morning business is closed.

TRANSPORTATION, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 2010

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of H.R. 3288, which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 3288) making appropriations for the Departments of Transportation, and Housing and Urban Development, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, and for other purposes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Washington.

Mrs. MURRAY. Madam President, again, we are on the floor of the Senate today considering the transportation-housing appropriations bill. This is a major appropriations bill with funding for States across the country. I have been talking with a number of Senators who have amendments they would like to offer. Again, this is now the fourth day we have been on the Senate floor. We started on Thursday, we were here Friday, and we were here yesterday. We are here again today. The majority leader would like us to finish this bill tomorrow. We have other appropriations bills that need to be done and conferences to be concluded in order to meet important deadlines for this fiscal year.

Again, I want all Members to know we need them to offer their amendments, if they intend to, so we can wrap up this bill by tomorrow. I expect a few Senators will be here shortly to offer amendments. If other Senators are going to offer amendments, if they could please let us know so we could get them up in order and get votes

scheduled so we could move to conclusion on this important bill.

I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCAIN. I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMENDMENT NO. 2375

Mr. MCCAIN. Madam President, I call up amendment No. 2375 and ask that it be made pending.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Arizona [Mr. MCCAIN] proposes an amendment numbered 2375.

Mr. MCCAIN. I ask unanimous consent that reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

(Purpose: To provide that all amounts in the bill provided for congressional earmarks shall be made available for NextGen and NextGen programs)

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

SEC. _____. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, amounts provided in this Act for a congressionally directed spending item shall be made available to the Department of Transportation for NextGen and NextGen programs.

(b) In this section, the term "congressionally directed spending item" shall have the same meaning given such term in rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate.

Mr. MCCAIN. Madam President, this amendment would take \$1.7 billion in earmarks and porkbarrel projects in this bill, 589 congressionally directed spending projects known by most Americans as earmarks, and instead redirect that money toward air traffic control modernization. Modernizing our outdated air traffic control system will positively impact all Americans, not just a favored few. It would decrease airport delays, improve the flow of commerce, and advance our Nation's air quality by reducing aircraft carbon emissions, unlike earmarks that only affect a small segment of our Nation's population and generally those Americans who happen to live in a State represented by a Senator who is a member of the Appropriations Committee.

For example, the distinguished manager of the bill had secured more earmarks than any other Member—50 earmarks—including \$2 million for a bike trail in Spokane—a bike trail. Right now, with the American people hurting all over America, we are going to spend an additional \$2 million of their money for a bike trail, and \$750,000 for a Freight Transportation Policy Institute. Madam President, \$750,000 of my taxpayers' dollars is going to be spent in the State of Washington for a Freight Transportation Policy Institute.