

Carnahan Jackson-Lee
Carney (TX)
Carson (IN) Jenkins
Castor (FL) Johnson (GA)
Chandler Johnson, E. B.
Clarke Kagen
Clay Kanjorski
Cleaver Kaptur
Clyburn Kennedy
Cohen Kildee
Connolly (VA) Kilpatrick (MI)
Conyers Kilroy
Cooper Kind
Costello Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Courtney Kissell
Crenshaw Kosmas
Crowley Kratovil
Cummings Kucinich
Dahlkemper Langevin
Davis (CA) Larsen (WA)
Davis (IL) Larson (CT)
Davis (TN) Lee (CA)
DeFazio Levin
DeGette Lewis (GA)
Delahunt Loeb sack
DeLauro Lofgren, Zoe
Dicks Lowey
Dingell Lujan
Doggott Lynch
Donnelly (IN) Mack
Doyle Maffei
Driehaus Maloney
Edwards (MD) Markey (CO)
Edwards (TX) Markey (MA)
Ehlers Massa
Ellison Matsui
Ellsworth McCarthy (NY)
Engel McCollum
Eshoo McDermott
Etheridge McGovern
Farr McIntyre
Fattah McMahon
Filner McNeerney
Frank (MA) Meek (FL)
Fudge Meeks (NY)
Giffords Melancon
Gonzalez Michaud
Gordon (TN) Miller, George
Grayson Mollohan
Green, Al Moore (KS)
Green, Gene Moore (WI)
Grijalva Moran (VA)
Gutierrez Murphy (CT)
Hall (NY) Murphy (NY)
Hare Murphy, Patrick
Harman Murtha
Hastings (FL) Nadler (NY)
Heinrich Napolitano
Higgins Neal (MA)
Hill Oberstar
Himes Obey
Hinchev Ortiz
Hinojosa Pallone
Hirono Pascrell
Hodes Pastor (AZ)
Hoekstra Paul
Holt Payne
Honda Perlmutter
Hoyer Peters
Inslee Peterson
Israel Pingree (ME)
Jackson (IL) Platts

NOT VOTING—7

Berry Granger Teague
Burgess Perriello
Butterfield Stark

□ 1644

Messrs. KIND, FARR, BISHOP of Georgia, PETERSON, RUSH, MORAN of Virginia, WAMP, CARDOZA, McMAHON, LYNCH and ADLER of New Jersey and Mrs. TAUSCHER, Ms. LEE of California, Ms. WOOLSEY, Ms. SPEIER and Ms. TITUS changed their vote from “yea” to “nay.”

Messrs. WILSON of South Carolina, DUNCAN and LUETKEMEYER changed their vote from “nay” to “yea.”

So the motion to recommit was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. This is a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—ayes 249, noes 175, not voting 10, as follows:

[Roll No. 223]

AYES—249

Abercrombie Foster
Ackerman Frank (MA)
Adler (NJ) Frelinghuysen
Altmire Fudge
Andrews Gerlach
Arcuri Giffords
Baca Gonzalez
Baird Grayson
Baldwin Green, Al
Barrow Green, Gene
Bean Grijalva
Becerra Gutierrez
Berkley Hall (NY)
Berman Halvorson
Biggart Hare
Bishop (GA) Harman
Bishop (NY) Hastings (FL)
Blumenauer Heinrich
Boccheri Herse th Sandlin
Bono Mack Higgins
Boswell Hill
Boucher Himes
Boyd Hinchev
Brady (PA) Hinojosa
Hirono Braley (IA)
Brown, Corrine Hodes
Cao Holden
Capps Holt
Capuano Honda
Cardoza Hoyer
Carnahan Inslee
Carson (IN) Israel
Cassidy Jackson (IL)
Castle Jackson-Lee
Castor (FL) (TX)
Chandler Johnson (GA)
Clarke Johnson, E. B.
Clay Kagen
Cleaver Kanjorski
Clyburn Kaptur
Coffman (CO) Kennedy
Cohen Kildee
Connolly (VA) Kilpatrick (MI)
Conyers Kilroy
Cooper Kind
Costa Kirk
Costello Kirkpatrick (AZ)
Courtney Kissell
Crowley Klein (FL)
Cuellar Kosmas
Cummings Kratovil
Dahlkemper Kucinich
Davis (CA) Lance
Davis (IL) Langevin
DeFazio Larson (WA)
DeGette Larson (CT)
Delahunt Lee (CA)
DeLauro Levin
Dent Lewis (GA)
Diaz-Balart, L. Lipinski
Diaz-Balart, M. LoBiondo
Dicks Loeb sack
Dingell Lofgren, Zoe
Doggott Lowey
Doyle Lujan
Driehaus Lynch
Edwards (MD) Maffei
Edwards (TX) Maloney
Ellison Markey (CO)
Ellison Markey (MA)
Engel Marshall
Eshoo Massa
Etheridge Matheson
Farr Matsui
Fattah McCarthy (NY)
Filner

Sutton Van Hollen
Tauscher Velázquez
Thompson (CA) Visclosky
Thompson (MS) Walden
Tierney Walz
Titus Wasserman
Tonko Schultz
Towns Waters
Tsongas Watson

NOES—175

Aderholt Garrett (NJ)
Akin Gingrey (GA)
Alexander Gohmert
Austria Goodlatte
Bachmann Gordon (TN)
Bachus Graves
Barrett (SC) Griffith
Bartlett Guthrie
Barton (TX) Hall (TX)
Bilbray Harper
Bilirakis Hastings (WA)
Bishop (UT) Heller
Blackburn Hensarling
Blunt Herger
Boehner Hoekstra
Bonner Hunter
Boozman Inglis
Boren Issa
Boustany Jenkins
Brady (TX) Johnson (IL)
Bright Johnson, Sam
Broun (GA) Jones
Brown (SC) Jordan (OH)
Brown-Waite, King (IA)
Ginny King (NY)
Buchanan Kingston
Burton (IN) Klime (MN)
Buyer Lamborn
Calvert Latham
Camp LaTourette
Campbell Latta
Cantor Lee (NY)
Capito Lewis (CA)
Carney Linder
Carter Lucas
Chaffetz Luetkemeyer
Chidlers Lummis
Coble Lungren, Daniel
Cole E.
Conaway Mack
Crenshaw Manzullo
Culberson Marchant
Davis (AL) McCarthy (CA)
Davis (KY) McCaul
Davis (TN) McClintock
Deal (GA) McCotter
Donnelly (IN) McHenry
Dreier McHugh
Duncan McIntyre
Ehlers McKeon
Ellsworth McMorris
Emerson Rodgers
Fallin Melancon
Flake Mica
Fleming Miller (FL)
Forbes Miller (MI)
Fortenberry Miller, Gary
Foxy Moran (KS)
Franks (AZ) Murphy, Tim
Gallegly Myrick

NOT VOTING—10

Berry Miller, George Stark
Burgess Murtha Teague
Butterfield Perriello
Granger Ruppertsberger

□ 1655

So the bill was passed. The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

SUPPORTING THE OBSERVANCE OF NATIONAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION MONTH

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. WALZ). The unfinished business is the question on suspending the rules and agreeing to the resolution, H. Res. 337.

The Clerk read the title of the resolution.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from the Northern Mariana Islands (Mr. SABLAN) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the resolution, H. Res. 337.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the vote incurs objection under clause 6 of rule XX.

Record votes on postponed questions will be taken later.

PROTECTING INCENTIVES FOR THE ADOPTION OF CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS ACT OF 2009

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the Senate bill (S. 735) to ensure States receive adoption incentive payments for fiscal year 2008 in accordance with the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate bill.

The text of the Senate bill is as follows:

S. 735

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Protecting Incentives for the Adoption of Children with Special Needs Act of 2009”.

SEC. 2. ELIMINATION OF RESTRICTION ON PAYMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008.

Effective as if included in the enactment of the Omnibus Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111–8), title II of division F of such Act is amended under the heading “CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SERVICES PROGRAMS” under the heading “ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES”, by striking “That without regard to the fiscal year limitations set forth in section 473A of the Social Security Act, from the amounts appropriated herein, the Secretary shall pay adoption incentives for fiscal year 2008 in the same manner as such incentives were awarded in fiscal year 2008 for the previous fiscal year: *Provided further,*”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDERMOTT) and the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. LINDER) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Washington.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and ex-

clude extraneous material on this bill under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Washington?

There was no objection.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, last fall, Congress passed bipartisan legislation that provided broad improvements to our Nation’s child welfare system. The legislation, the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act, won unanimous approval in both the House and Senate last fall and was signed into law a short time later.

The landmark legislation represented the most significant reform in the child welfare system in over a decade.

Among the provisions of the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act was the reauthorization and improvement of the Adoption Incentives Program. To encourage and reward States for increasing the number of children who are able to leave the public foster care system for a safe, permanent and loving adopted home, Congress established the Adoption Incentives Program in 1997 as part of the Adoption and Safe Families Act. The Adoption Incentives Program provides States with financial incentives for increasing, above a certain specific baseline, the number of adoptive families for children in foster care, particularly for those with disabilities or with other special needs or for older youth.

The bill under consideration, the Protecting Incentives for the Adoption of Children with Special Needs Act of 2009, will ensure that the improvements made to the Adoption Incentives Program last fall are implemented as Congress intended.

The bipartisan bill eliminates a restriction that was inadvertently placed in the Adoption Incentives Program by the Omnibus Appropriations Act of 2009. The Omnibus Appropriations Act included a provision that required the Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, to pay adoption incentive payments awarded for fiscal year 2008 in the same manner as they were awarded in the previous years. The provision was prior to the changes being made to the program of the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act.

The bill before us simply eliminates the provision included in the Omnibus Appropriations Act, thereby allowing HHS to base upcoming award payments on the new criteria established by last fall’s bipartisan child welfare legislation. Removing the inadvertent provision will ensure that the newly reauthorized and improved Adoption Incentives Program is operated as intended by Congress.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LINDER. I thank the gentleman from Washington for bringing this bill to the floor.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Protecting Incentives for the Adoption of Children with Special Needs Act of 2009. This bipartisan legislation makes an important technical fix to ensure that Congress’ intent is carried out and that States have improved financial incentives to help more children in foster care find permanent, loving adoptive homes.

This legislation continues a long tradition of bipartisan activity by the Ways and Means Committee, a tradition designed to promote the adoption of children from foster care. In 1997, the committee played a key role in crafting the landmark Adoption and Safe Families Act; in 2003 the Adoption Promotion Act; and last fall the Fostering Connections to Success and Increasing Adoptions Act of 2008. These laws streamline the adoption process. They encourage more efforts to quickly move children from foster care into permanent, loving homes, and they helped achieve the dramatic increases in the number of children successfully adopted from foster care in the past decade. In each case, the legislation was designed to benefit children who face some of the most daunting personal challenges in our country—those who have spent years, sometimes practically all of their lives, in the foster care system.

The legislation before us today would ensure the goals of last year’s bipartisan Fostering Connections law are realized. That legislation encouraged increased adoptions from foster care by revising the Adoption Incentives Program and by extending its authorization through fiscal year 2013.

Among other improvements, the Fostering Connections law gave States more generous Federal funds if they helped more families adopt children now languishing for years in foster care, especially older and disabled children.

Unfortunately, the fiscal year 2009 omnibus appropriations bill, which passed through the House with limited consideration, included an error that effectively overrides some of the improvements in last year’s Fostering Connections law. In short, the omnibus bill incorrectly stipulated that adoption incentive funds should be provided under the old, less generous rules Congress wanted to replace instead of the new, more generous rules included in the Fostering Connections law.

This legislation makes a simple repair of ensuring that congressional intent is followed so that States have the full intended incentives to promote the adoption of older and disabled children in foster care, among others. According to the Congressional Budget Office, this technical fix has no cost.

I would like to thank my colleagues, Representatives CAMP and RANGEL—ranking member and chairman of the House Committee on Ways and Means respectively—for introducing legislation to correct this error in the House. Their bill, H.R. 1840, is identical to the