

to a foreign country for the purpose of interrogation, it seems reasonable to expect that we would monitor the interrogation by video or audio recording or by some other means.

Why are we sending detainees to other countries to be interrogated in the first place? Under the Bush administration, the CIA has reportedly transferred detainees to countries that routinely engage in torture so that these detainees can be interrogated using torture techniques that would not be permissible under U.S. law. The administration calls this practice rendition. Others call it by a different name outsourcing torture.

The Torture Convention, which the United States has ratified, makes it illegal to transfer individuals to countries where they are likely to be tortured. The administration has said that it stands by this legal prohibition.

However, the administration has said that it will transfer a detainee to a country that routinely engages in torture if the State Department receives so-called "diplomatic assurances" that the detainee will not be tortured. Based on diplomatic assurances, the administration has reportedly sent detainees to countries that systematically engage in torture, including Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Syria. Some of these detainees, like Abu Omar, say that they were then tortured in these countries. Now there may be video or audio taped evidence of that.

Even with diplomatic assurances, should we be sending people to countries like Egypt to be interrogated? Every year, our State Department issues Country Reports on the human rights practices of countries around the world. Here is what the most recent Country Report on Egypt says:

Principal methods of torture . . . included stripping and blindfolding victims; suspending victims from a ceiling or doorframe with feet just touching the floor; beating victims with fists, whips, metal rods, or other objects; using electrical shocks; and dousing victims with cold water.

The State Department claims that it monitors compliance with diplomatic assurances. Experts point out that it is very difficult to monitor whether a country has kept its promise not to torture someone. Now it appears that there may be recordings to help the State Department make this determination.

This week's news raises many questions:

Have recordings been made of interrogations of detainees who were rendered by the CIA to foreign countries?

Were these recordings made at the request of the CIA?

Are these recordings in the possession of the CIA?

Have these recordings been destroyed by or at the request of the CIA?

Do these recordings contain evidence that detainees were tortured?

Has the State Department reviewed these recordings to determine whether foreign countries have complied with their "diplomatic assurances" not to torture detainees who we transfer to them?

Yesterday, I sent a letter to CIA Director Michael Hayden to ask him about the CIA's involvement in these recordings. I also sent a letter to Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice asking her whether the State Department has reviewed these recordings to determine whether detainees we have transferred to foreign countries were tortured. And, finally, I sent a letter to Attorney General Mukasey asking him to expand the Justice Department's inquiry into the CIA torture tapes to cover recordings of detainees who the CIA sent to foreign countries for the purposes of interrogation.

I am glad that Attorney General has opened a preliminary inquiry into this issue. Now comes the difficult part getting to ground truth. Unfortunately, there certainly will be more revelations to come. It will be a long time before we get to the bottom of this torture scandal. I fear it will be even longer before we undo the damage done to America's image and our values.

FURTHER CHANGES TO S. CON. RES. 21

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, section 307 of S. Con. Res. 21, the 2008 budget resolution, permits the chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to revise the allocations, aggregates, and other appropriate levels for legislation, including one or more bills and amendments, that reauthorizes the 2002 farm bill or similar or related programs, provides for revenue changes, or any combination thereof. Section 307 authorizes the revisions provided that certain conditions are met, including that amounts provided in the legislation for the above purposes not exceed \$20 billion over the period of fiscal years 2007 through 2012 and that the legislation not worsen the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2007 through 2012 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2007 through 2017.

I find that Senate amendment No. 3819 offered by Senator BROWN to Senate amendment No. 3500, an amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2419, satisfies the conditions of the deficit-neutral reserve fund for the farm bill. Therefore, pursuant to section 307, I am adjusting the aggregates in the 2008 budget resolution, as well as the allocation provided to the Senate Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry Committee.

I ask unanimous consent that the following revisions to S. Con. Res. 21 be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Further Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 307 Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for the Farm Bill*

[In billions of dollars]	
Section 101 (1)(A) Federal Revenues:	
FY 2007 .....	1,900.340

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Further Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 307 Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for the Farm Bill—Continued*

FY 2008 .....	2,024.835
FY 2009 .....	2,121.607
FY 2010 .....	2,176.229
FY 2011 .....	2,357.094
FY 2012 .....	2,498.971
(1)(B) Change in Federal Revenues:	
FY 2007 .....	-4.366
FY 2008 .....	-25.961
FY 2009 .....	14.681
FY 2010 .....	12.508
FY 2011 .....	-37.456
FY 2012 .....	-98.125
(2) New Budget Authority:	
FY 2007 .....	2,371.470
FY 2008 .....	2,508.879
FY 2009 .....	2,526.003
FY 2010 .....	2,581.239
FY 2011 .....	2,696.657
FY 2012 .....	2,737.412
(3) Budget Outlays:	
FY 2007 .....	2,294.862
FY 2008 .....	2,471.563
FY 2009 .....	2,573.042
FY 2010 .....	2,609.763
FY 2011 .....	2,702.677
FY 2012 .....	2,716.475

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Further Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 307 Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for the Farm Bill*

[In billions of dollars]

Current Allocation to Senate Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry Committee:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	14,284
FY 2007 Outlays .....	14,056
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	17,088
FY 2008 Outlays .....	14,629
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	76,881
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	71,049
Adjustments:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	0
FY 2007 Outlays .....	0
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	46
FY 2008 Outlays .....	15
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	-510
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	-136
Revised Allocation to Senate Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry Committee:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	14,284
FY 2007 Outlays .....	14,056
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	17,134
FY 2008 Outlays .....	14,644
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	76,371
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	70,913

FURTHER CHANGES TO S. CON. RES. 21

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, earlier today, pursuant to section 307 of S. Con. Res. 21, I filed revisions to S. Con. Res. 21, the 2008 budget resolution. Those revisions were made for Senate amendment No. 3819, an amendment offered to Senate amendment No. 3500, an amendment in the nature of a substitute to H.R. 2419.

The Senate did not adopt Senate amendment No. 3819. As a consequence, I am further revising the 2008 budget resolution and reversing the adjustments made pursuant to section 307 to the aggregates and the allocation provided to the Senate Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry Committee for Senate amendment No. 3819.

I ask unanimous consent to have the following revisions to S. Con. Res. 21 printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Further Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 307 Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for the Farm Bill*

[In billions of dollars]

Section 101	
(1)(A) Federal Revenues:	
FY 2007 .....	1,900.340
FY 2008 .....	2,024.835
FY 2009 .....	2,121.607
FY 2010 .....	2,176.229
FY 2011 .....	2,357.094
FY 2012 .....	2,498.971
(1)(B) Change in Federal Revenues:	
FY 2007 .....	-4.366
FY 2008 .....	-25.961
FY 2009 .....	14.681
FY 2010 .....	12.508
FY 2011 .....	-37.456
FY 2012 .....	-98.125
(2) New Budget Authority:	
FY 2007 .....	2,371.470
FY 2008 .....	2,508.833
FY 2009 .....	2,526.124
FY 2010 .....	2,581.369
FY 2011 .....	2,696.797
FY 2012 .....	2,737.578
(3) Budget Outlays:	
FY 2007 .....	2,294.862
FY 2008 .....	2,471.548
FY 2009 .....	2,573.005
FY 2010 .....	2,609.873
FY 2011 .....	2,702.839
FY 2012 .....	2,716.392

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Further Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 307 Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for the Farm Bill*

[In millions of dollars]

Current Allocation to Senate Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry Committee:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	14,284
FY 2007 Outlays .....	14,056
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	17,134
FY 2008 Outlays .....	14,644
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	76,371
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	70,913
Adjustments:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	0
FY 2007 Outlays .....	0
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	-46
FY 2008 Outlays .....	-15
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	510
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	136
Revised Allocation to Senate Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry Committee:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	14,284
FY 2007 Outlays .....	14,056
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	17,088
FY 2008 Outlays .....	14,629
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	76,881
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	71,049

CHANGES TO S. CON. RES. 21

Mr. CONRAD. Mr. President, section 308(a) of S. Con. Res. 21, the 2008 budget resolution, permits the chairman of the Senate Budget Committee to revise the allocations, aggregates, and other appropriate levels and limits in the resolution for energy legislation that

meets certain conditions, including that such legislation not worsen the deficit over the period of the total of fiscal years 2007 through 2012 or the period of the total of fiscal years 2007 through 2017.

I find that H.R. 6, the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, satisfies the conditions of the deficit-neutral reserve fund for energy legislation. Therefore, pursuant to section 308(a), I am adjusting the aggregates in the 2008 budget resolution, as well as the allocation provided to the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee.

I ask unanimous consent to have the following revisions to S. Con. Res. 21 printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the Record, as follows:

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 308(a) Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for Energy Legislation*

[In billions of dollars]

Section 101	
(1)(A) Federal Revenues:	
FY 2007 .....	1,900.340
FY 2008 .....	2,025.851
FY 2009 .....	2,121.871
FY 2010 .....	2,175.887
FY 2011 .....	2,357.053
FY 2012 .....	2,499.050
(1)(B) Change in Federal Revenues:	
FY 2007 .....	-4.366
FY 2008 .....	-24.945
FY 2009 .....	14.945
FY 2010 .....	12.166
FY 2011 .....	-37.497
FY 2012 .....	-98.046
(2) New Budget Authority:	
FY 2007 .....	2,371.470
FY 2008 .....	2,508.899
FY 2009 .....	2,526.205
FY 2010 .....	2,581.535
FY 2011 .....	2,696.951
FY 2012 .....	2,737.742
(3) Budget Outlays:	
FY 2007 .....	2,294.862
FY 2008 .....	2,471.612
FY 2009 .....	2,573.079
FY 2010 .....	2,610.024
FY 2011 .....	2,702.968
FY 2012 .....	2,716.556

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 308(a) Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for Energy Legislation*

[In millions of dollars]

Current Allocation to Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	5,016
FY 2007 Outlays .....	5,484
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	5,071
FY 2008 Outlays .....	4,757
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	25,838
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	24,730
Adjustments:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	0
FY 2007 Outlays .....	0
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	66
FY 2008 Outlays .....	64
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	631
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	582
Revised Allocation to Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee:	
FY 2007 Budget Authority .....	5,016

*Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2008—S. Con. Res. 21; Revisions to the Conference Agreement Pursuant to Section 308(a) Deficit-Neutral Reserve Fund for Energy Legislation—Continued*

FY 2007 Outlays .....	5,484
FY 2008 Budget Authority .....	5,137
FY 2008 Outlays .....	4,821
FY 2008-2012 Budget Authority .....	26,469
FY 2008-2012 Outlays .....	25,312

HONORING OUR ARMED FORCES

SERGEANT FIRST CLASS JAMES DOSTER

Mrs. LINCOLN. Mr. President, I rise today to remember a great Arkansan, SFC James D. Doster, of White Hall, AR, who was killed on September 29, 2007, in Baghdad, Iraq. A soldier in the B Company, 2nd Battalion, 16th Infantry Regiment, 1st Infantry Division based in Fort Riley, KS, Sergeant First Class Doster died from injuries sustained when an improvised explosive device, IED, detonated near his vehicle. He was 38 years old at the time of his death.

Sergeant First Class Doster graduated from White Hall High School and attended Hendrix College in Conway for 1 year before joining the Army. He served for nearly 17 years, including a tour during Operation Desert Storm in Iraq. When that conflict ended, he continued to serve, mostly as a recruiter.

A devoted husband and father, Sergeant First Class Doster is survived by his wife Amanda and two young girls, Kathryn and Grace. He is also survived by his mother, Billie K. Doster of White Hall, and brother, Robert Doster of Albuquerque, NM. His father, the late Charles C. Doster, Jr., passed away last year.

Mr. President, it is truly a sad day that our Nation has lost another great patriot. Sergeant First Class Doster served our Nation proudly for so many years, and his loss will be felt throughout the Jefferson County community. My thoughts and prayers are with his family during their time of grief.

SPECIALIST TODD A. MOTLEY

Mr. President, it is with great sadness that I rise today to pay tribute to a citizen with family roots in Arkansas who served his country with honor, Specialist Todd A. Motley of Clare, MI. In support of Operation Iraqi Freedom, Specialist Motley was one of four soldiers who lost their lives during combat operations outside Baghdad on September 14, 2007. Specialist Motley, along with SSG Terry Wagoner of Piedmont, SC, SPC Jonathan Rivandeneira of Jackson Heights, NY, and PVT Christopher McCloud of Malakoff, TX, suffered mortal wounds upon the detonation of an improvised explosive device near their vehicle. Each of the men was assigned to B Troop, 6th Squadron, 9th Cavalry Regiment, 1st Cavalry Division, Fort Hood, TX.

Motley joined the Army in March 2005 after graduating Clare Pioneer High School in 2003. "Todd was one of your 'once-in-a-lifetime' kids," says Pioneer principal Lori Enos. Enos described Motley as creative, loyal and "outgoing but not obnoxious."