

## AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1948. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, to provide for comprehensive immigration reform and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1949. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1950. Mr. ALEXANDER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1951. Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1952. Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1953. Mr. SCHUMER (for himself and Mr. GRAHAM) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1954. Mr. CONRAD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1955. Mr. SESSIONS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1956. Mr. HATCH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1957. Mrs. FEINSTEIN proposed an amendment to amendment SA 1934 (Division I) proposed by Mr. REID (for Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. SPECTER)) to the bill S. 1639, supra.

SA 1958. Mr. SPECTER proposed an amendment to amendment SA 1934 (Division II) proposed by Mr. REID (for Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. SPECTER)) to the bill S. 1639, supra.

SA 1959. Mr. VITTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1960. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1961. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1962. Mr. ISAKSON (for himself and Mr. CHAMBLISS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1963. Mr. COLEMAN (for himself and Mr. CARPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1964. Mr. COLEMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1965. Mr. STEVENS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1966. Mr. STEVENS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1967. Mr. SANDERS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment in-

tended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1968. Mr. SANDERS (for himself and Mr. GRASSLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1969. Mr. NELSON of Florida submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1970. Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself and Mr. MARTINEZ) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1971. Mr. NELSON of Florida (for himself and Mr. GREGG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1972. Mr. LAUTENBERG (for himself, Mr. BROWNBACK, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mrs. CLINTON) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1973. Mr. DODD (for himself, Mr. MENENDEZ, and Mr. REID) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1974. Mr. THUNE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1975. Mr. COLEMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1976. Mr. MARTINEZ submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1906 submitted by Mr. CHAMBLISS and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1977. Mr. ENSIGN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1934 (Division XI) proposed by Mr. REID (for Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. SPECTER)) to the bill S. 1639, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1978. Mr. KENNEDY proposed an amendment to amendment SA 1934 (Division VII) proposed by Mr. REID (for Mr. KENNEDY (for himself and Mr. SPECTER)) to the bill S. 1639, supra.

## TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 1948. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, to provide for comprehensive immigration reform and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 452, strike line 11 and all that follows through page 454, line 16, and insert the following:

“(D) under section 101(a)(15)(Y)(ii), may not exceed—

“(i) 100,000 for the first fiscal year in which the program is implemented;

“(ii) in any subsequent fiscal year, subject to clause (iii), the number for the previous fiscal year as adjusted in accordance with the method set forth in paragraph (2); and

“(iii) 300,000 for any fiscal year.”;

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (11) as paragraphs (3) through (12), respectively;

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following:

“(2) MARKET-BASED ADJUSTMENT.—With respect to the numerical limitation set in subparagraph (A)(ii) and (D)(ii) of paragraph (1)—

“(A) if the total number of visas allocated for that fiscal year are issued during the first 6 months that fiscal year, an additional 15 percent of the allocated number shall be made available immediately and the allocated amount for the following fiscal year shall increase by 15 percent of the original allocated amount in the prior fiscal year;

“(B) if the total number of visas allocated for that fiscal year are issued before the end of that fiscal year, the allocated amount for the following fiscal year shall increase by 10 percent of the original allocated amount in the prior fiscal year; and

“(C) with the exception of the first subsequent fiscal year to the fiscal year in which the program is implemented, if fewer visas were allotted the previous fiscal year than the number of visas allocated for that year and the reason was not due to processing delays or delays in promulgating regulations, then the allocated amount for the following fiscal year shall decrease by 10 percent of the allocated amount in the prior fiscal year.”;

(4) in paragraph (10), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this section, by amending subparagraph (A) to read as follows:

“(A) Subject to subparagraphs (B) and (C), an alien who has already been counted toward the numerical limitation under paragraph (1)(D) during any 1 of the 3 fiscal years immediately preceding the fiscal year of the approved start date of a petition for a non-immigrant worker described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(b) shall not be counted toward the limitations under clauses (i) and (ii) of paragraph (1)(D) for the fiscal year in which the petition is approved. Such alien shall be considered a returning worker.”; and

(5) in paragraph (11), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this section—

(A) by inserting “(A)” after “(11)”;

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) The numerical limitations under paragraph (1)(D) shall be allocated for each fiscal year to ensure that the total number of aliens subject to such numerical limits who enter the United States pursuant to a visa or are accorded nonimmigrant status under section 101(a)(15)(Y)(ii) during the first 6 months of such fiscal year is not greater than 50 percent of the total number of such visas available for that fiscal year.”.

SA 1949. Mr. BINGAMAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, to provide for comprehensive immigration reform and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

In section 601(f)(2), strike “12 months” and insert “2 years”.

SA 1950. Mr. ALEXANDER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1639, to provide for comprehensive immigration reform and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

On page 6, between lines 11 and 12, insert the following:

(e) AGREEMENT OF BORDER GOVERNORS.—The programs described in subsection (a) shall not become effective until at least 3 of the 4 governors of the States that share a land border with Mexico agree that the border security and other measures described in subsection (a) are established, funded, and operational.

(f) DEFINED TERM.—In this section, the term “operational control” means the prevention of all unlawful entries into the United States, including entries by terrorists, other unlawful aliens, instruments of terrorism, narcotics, and other contraband.