

RELIGIOUS LIBERTY AND CHARITABLE DONATION CLARIFICATION ACT OF 2006

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I rise today in support of the Religious Liberty and Charitable Donation Clarification Act of 2006. My distinguished colleague from Illinois, Senator OBAMA, and I have worked diligently and quickly to clarify the treatment of charitable contributions in chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code. As many of my colleagues know, a bankruptcy court in the Northern District of New York recently upheld an objection to the confirmation of a chapter 13 plan due to the inclusion of a charitable contribution in the disposable income calculation. Shortly after learning of the decision I, along with Senators GRASSLEY and SESSIONS, sent a letter to the Department of Justice expressing my concern about the treatment of charitable contributions in the Chapter 13 context, and while I believe the Department of Justice will affirm its policy of allowing charitable contributions that are consistent with the Religious Liberty and Charitable Contribution Protection Act of 1998, I do not want the religious practices and beliefs of individuals subject to the vagaries of judicial interpretation.

As a whole, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005, BAPCPA, was—and still is—a good bill. However, like many large bills, it was not perfect. As a key architect of the recent bankruptcy reforms, I can say without equivocation that Congress intended to preserve the Religious Liberty and Charitable Contribution Protection Act of 1998 in BAPCPA. Unfortunately, the Northern District of New York thought differently.

I do not like impromptu legislative responses to judicial decisions, particularly ones with limited precedential value; however, I believe that Senator OBAMA and I have put together a narrowly-tailored clarification that leaves little doubt about Congress' intent when it passed BAPCPA. I want to make it very clear that this bill does not, in any way, affirm the Northern District of New York Bankruptcy Court's reasoning in *In re Diagostino*. I agree with the Department of Justice's position that charitable contributions consistent with the requirements of the 1998 Religious Liberty and Charitable Contribution Protection Act should be allowed under the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005. The bill that Senator OBAMA and I introduced is meant to simply clarify existing law in furtherance of the Department's interpretation and Congress's intent.

HONORING AMERICAN INDIAN CODE TALKERS

Mr. JOHNSON. Mr. President, I wish to speak today of the Code Talkers Recognition Act, which passed the Sen-

ate last week with 79 cosponsors. This bill would present commemorative medals to Sioux, Comanche, Choctaw, Sac and Fox, and any other Native American code talkers that served during World War I and World War II in recognition of the contributions of their service to the United States.

Earlier this summer, I, along with Senator JOHN THUNE, were able to present Clarence Wolf Guts, our last remaining Lakota code talker, with a star quilt on behalf of the National Indian Education Association. Mr. Wolf Guts is now 83 years old and is of Og-lala and Rosebud descent. Mr. Wolf Guts attended St. Francis Indian School in Marty, SD, and spent most of his life living on the Pine Ridge Reservation. He now lives in a state veteran's home in Hot Springs, SD.

In his late teens, Mr. Wolf Guts enlisted in the Marines and served as a radio operator during World War II. He has become a spokesman among tribal elders and traditional leaders about the importance of keeping native languages alive for future generations. He is very proud to be a veteran, a full-blooded Lakota, and a Lakota speaker.

Earlier this year, another Lakota code talker, Charles Whitepipe, passed away. Mr. Whitepipe, a Sicangu Lakota from the Rosebud tribe, valiantly served in the Army as a Code Talker in World War II. He served as a "Forward Observer" on Japanese-held islands in the South Pacific, communicating by radio with a ship-based partner, using the Lakota language to direct artillery fire from ships at sea onto the islands.

Other Lakota code talkers that will also be recognized in this legislation include Eddie Eagle Boy, Simon Brokenleg, Iver Crow Eagle, Sr., Edmund St. John, Walter C. John, John Bear King, Phillip "Stoney" LaBlanc, Baptiste Pumpkinseed, and Guy Rondell.

During World War II, these men were Army radio operators who used their native Lakota, Nakota, and Dakota dialects to transmit strategic messages to foil enemy surveillance in both the Pacific and European theaters. There is no doubt that the bravery and the courage of Mr. Whitepipe and Mr. Wolf Guts, as well as the other code talkers, helped to make the United States the free and proud place it is today. While Navajos have received the most recognition, it is important to remember that members of at least 17 other tribes also served as code talkers in World War I and World War II.

The syntax and tonal qualities of the native languages were so complex that no message transmitted by any code talker was ever decoded by the enemy. However, for the code talkers who returned home, there were no parades or special recognition, as they were sworn to secrecy, an oath they kept and honored but one that robbed them of the accolades and place in history that they rightfully deserved.

The accomplishments of the code talkers were even more heroic, given

the cultural context in which they were operating. Subjected to alienation in their homeland and discouraged from speaking their native languages, they still stepped forward and developed the most significant and successful military code of their time. That spirit of military service continues today. Native Americans make up a higher percentage of servicemen and servicewomen in the Armed Forces than any other ethnic group in America. They have served with honor in all of America's wars, beginning with the Revolutionary War and on through our current operations in Iraq.

I commend the work of Senators INHOFE, GRASSLEY, HARKIN and THUNE for their work in moving this bill forward, as well as the leadership of the Banking Committee, Senators SHELBY and SARBANES. It is now time to honor all of our native code talkers that have contributed to the safety of our Nation.

TELEPHONE RECORDS AND PRIVACY PROTECTION ACT OF 2006

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, we have recently been reminded of the tremendous threat to consumer privacy posed by what is known as phone pretexting—the use of fraud and deception to acquire consumer phone records. The investigation into pretexting at Hewlett-Packard is just the latest example of why there is a need to enact legislation to safeguard the privacy and security of Americans' sensitive personal data.

Consumer telephone records have become a hot commodity and this information is a treasure trove for those who would misuse it to make a profit or who exploit it for harmful purposes. More and more, this sensitive personal information is being collected, stored and disseminated without our knowledge or consent.

Last Spring, the Senate Judiciary Committee unanimously reported a bipartisan bill that would protect the privacy interests of millions of American consumers who use cell phones, by making the act of pretexting illegal. The Telephone Records and Privacy Protection Act—TRAPP Act—S. 2178, clarifies that it is illegal to use deception and fraud to obtain and sell confidential phone records. The bill ensures that the Department of Justice has the legal authority to seek criminal penalties and up to 10 years imprisonment for anyone who engages in pretexting. The legislation also preserves the rights of State and local governments to enforce their own privacy laws, to best protect the privacy rights of consumers.

In April, the House unanimously passed an essentially identical phone pretexting bill, H.R. 4709. The language used in that bill was worked out with Senators from both sides of the aisle before it was considered by the House, so that when adopted by the Senate it could be sent directly to the President