

People are hiring and manufacturing orders are up. All the economic indexes we see in virtually every category are up, including the payroll survey for the last 3 months, and we expect to have fairly good employment numbers coming out tomorrow. The jobless claims that came out today were the lowest level we have seen since the year 2000, again, another good piece of economic news.

That is why I think it is the right thing to do, to not further extend the temporary extended unemployment benefits program. It already expired in March.

We have heard a lot from the other side of the aisle about deficits and how much of a threat deficits are to the current economy and the future economy of the United States. I agree with that. In fact I, by the National Taxpayers Union, was rated No. 1 as the biggest deficit hawk in the Senate. I am very concerned with deficits. My votes match my rhetoric.

Extending the unemployment insurance benefits cost \$1 billion a month, which is added to the deficit. That is deficit spending. A few of the proposals we have heard from the other side would make it a \$2 billion-a-month program. So if people care about the deficit, if they believe that it is something we should not be adding on to, as the Senator from Washington is trying to do by adding back in the extension of the unemployment benefits, then they should not support her amendment.

To sum this up, the facts are, the economy is growing, and growing strongly. Yes, we can do better. I will admit that. I want to see us do more. Pass the JOBS bill that is in front of the Senate today that the Senator from Montana, the ranking member on the Finance Committee, and the Senator from Iowa, the chairman of the committee, have put together. They put together a bill that will create jobs in America. That is part of doing better. There are many other things we can do.

I believe it would actually do harm to the economy, by adding \$1 billion a month to the deficit and discouraging those people who are currently on unemployment, if we were to continue extending the TEUC program for weeks and weeks, and months, instead of giving people the incentive to go out and find the jobs that are being created in America.

I yield the floor and yield the remainder of my time.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NOMINATIONS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, earlier today, during the Negroponte debate, a number of my friends from the other side of the aisle expressed concern that some ambassadors were pending on the Executive Calendar. I think concern is a very light word. I think we could use words such as they expressed outrage—concern. I wish to comment on this because I think it was misguided. Perhaps they didn't have the right information.

One of the most unfortunate charges I heard was that the Democrats have ensured that there were vacancies in U.S. Embassies in countries representing 700 million people.

Another unfortunate charge was that we were hamstringing the war on terrorism by not having confirmed ambassadors that the Foreign Relations Committee just found time to report out last week.

Again, we were criticized because we were hamstringing the war on terrorism because, after action taken by the committee last week, we didn't do floor action within the next few days. I think anyone who understands Senate procedure knows acting on work of a committee within a very short period of time doesn't happen very often. What I mean by that is a matter of weeks.

I hope if these Senators think these people were needed so urgently, the Foreign Relations Committee should have moved a little faster—or a lot faster.

But this really is not the issue, because all my colleagues know the record does not support these accusations—and that is what they are. Later tonight we will confirm 20 ambassadors. We have already voted for Ambassador Negroponte. His nomination was completed with nearly record speed, given he was only nominated by President Bush last week.

As to the charge the Democrats have kept several American Embassies vacant, we have been told there are 10 embassies the State Department has said are currently vacant. Of these 10, the President has chosen to fill only 5 of them. Out of 10, half of those the President has not sent names.

Tonight, we will confirm ambassadors to fill Nigeria and Serbia. The only reason we have Serbia tonight is last week Republicans objected to confirming this qualified Foreign Service officer. We also wanted to confirm the new Ambassador to Nepal tonight, but there was an objection, I am told, by our Republican friends that would prevent the Senate from ensuring that this very qualified career Foreign Service officer will not be confirmed. The remaining two vacancies, Sweden and Finland, need to be filled, of course. These are going to be political appointees because they did not fill out the term they committed to serve.

The facts that were propounded by my friends on the other side of the aisle about ambassadors not being ap-

pointed simply is without any basis of fact. We will confirm two tonight. We have 10 that are unfilled. The President has not even sent five of the names to us. I repeat: Two of them we are going to fill tonight. We have five vacancies. A third we will fill tonight, we would not have needed to do that but for an objection by the Republicans last week over that very qualified person. Then, of course, I indicated the person to go to Nepal is being objected to by the Republicans also.

We have two vacancies, then: Sweden and Finland. The reason those are vacant is because they were political appointees and the people decided they wanted to come home early.

We are doing the very best we can. There are a lot of places that people could place blame, but certainly not in the case of appointment of ambassadors because the facts do not support the allegations that we have been slowing up the ambassadors.

I am happy to see the two managers of the bill in the Senate. We are cautiously optimistic we will be able to complete in the near future the very important FSC bill, the JOBS bill. While the two Senators are in the Senate, I say publicly how much I appreciate their work on this piece of legislation. This committee they are responsible for running, the Finance Committee, is as important if not more important than any other committee in the Congress. They work well together. This is a very complicated bill. There have been a lot of political sideshows that have gone on during the pendency of this legislation, as happens in all complex bills. We might get lucky later tonight and work out an arrangement to complete this bill in the near future, probably early next week.

Again, I express my appreciation to the two Senators. They are both experienced. Both come from relatively sparsely populated States, like the State of Nevada. The Founding Fathers set up the Constitution so that the Senate was not determined by how many people are in a State but, rather, that it is a State. There is no better example of what the Founding Fathers had in mind than these two fine men who run this most important committee. I express my appreciation for the good work they do and have done on this bill.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. VOINOVICH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. CHAMBLISS). Without objection, it is so ordered.

EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Mr. VOINOVICH. Mr. President, I am rising this evening in support of S.