

Speaker, and Federal programs, it is not how much money you spend that counts; it is how Washington spends the money.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. MATHESON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MATHESON addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. DREIER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. DREIER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. EMANUEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. EMANUEL addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. GINGREY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. GINGREY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Dakota (Mr. POMEROY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. POMEROY addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Utah (Mr. BISHOP) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BISHOP of Utah addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

THE CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING PRESIDENT JEAN-BERTRAND ARISTIDE OF HAITI

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. CONYERS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CONYERS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to my colleagues' attention the circumstances surrounding President Jean-Bertrand Aristide of Haiti, whose circumstances are somewhat in doubt tonight. I have spent a fair amount of time calling a number of people to find out whether President Aristide and his wife, Mildred Aristide, are in safe circumstances; and I have this report to make to my colleagues tonight.

We have called the offices of the Assistant Secretary of State, Mr. Noriega; the Secretary of State, Mr. Powell; the Security Council Chief, Ms. Rice; the President of the United States, Mr. Bush; the President of the

Central Republic of Africa; the ambassador to the United States of the Central Republic of Africa; the Secretary of Defense, Mr. Rumsfeld; and the head of the Central Intelligence Agency, Mr. George Tenet.

I was able to reach General Craddock, who works as an assistant to Secretary Rumsfeld, who asked that we send a communication so that they could begin trying to help us determine the whereabouts, and, more importantly, the safety of the circumstances surrounding President Aristide. We sent the following letter, which I include for the RECORD.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
Washington, DC, March 10, 2004.

Hon. DONALD RUMSFELD,
c/o General Craddock,
U.S. Secretary of Defense, Washington, DC.

DEAR GENERAL CRADDOCK. This letter is written notification in response to a telephone inquiry on today's date of the location of Haitian President Jean-Bertrand Aristide. This evening the inquiry was conducted by a member of my staff, Bernard Graham, and yourself.

As per your conversation, please advise me as soon as possible as to the whereabouts of President Aristide. My staffer has informed me that you will start to retrieve this information tonight through proper channels.

This matter is of utmost importance to me and I look forward to your timely response. Sincerely,

JOHN CONYERS, Jr.,
Member of Congress.

In addition, I was able to reach Mr. Brian Newbert, the watch officer at the State Department, who was very cooperative, who was calling Bangui, the capital of the Central Republic of Africa, in an attempt to locate President and Mrs. Aristide. He was not able to do it. There is an 11-hour time difference. But he told me that he would continue this search in the morning.

Now, this problem has arisen because in last week's testimony before a subcommittee of the Committee on International Relations we were told by Assistant Secretary Noriega that it was true that a U.S. aircraft, or an aircraft controlled by the United States, had taken the President and his wife to the Central Republic of Africa. We asked him how were they doing, and he said that he did not know, because the United States Government's responsibility ended with him delivering President Aristide to this francophone country of 3.5 million people in the center of the continent of Africa, and that he had no further responsibility in connection with this.

This was a slightly shocking statement to the people that were in the hearing room, because it would have seemed that we might want to know what was happening to him from that point on.

We have a very sensitive and very serious matter here, and I hope that I will continue to enjoy the cooperation of the various heads of the agencies as we attempt to reach and make contact with President Aristide.

□ 2100

His country was overrun by rebels. He was forced to leave the country. He

left under United States auspices and control, and it seems to me that the most elementary act of courtesy would be for us to make sure that he and his wife, which we pray are alive and in good condition and safe, are that. But it is very disturbing to me to report to my colleagues tonight that not only have I not been able to reach anyone that has been in contact with him, but we do not know anybody that has.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEARCE). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. MCCOTTER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. MCCOTTER addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

WASHINGTON WASTE WATCHERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MARIO DIAZ-BALART of Florida. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Washington Waste Watchers, and I just listened to one of my esteemed colleagues from Texas speak about instances of waste in Federal Government and why some of us have such a hard time understanding and believing why it is so easy for our good friends, the Democrats, to constantly ask for massive tax increases while we see the waste that goes on in the Federal Government.

I just would like to read portions of a memo from the Inspector General of the Department of Energy dated March 2003. It is an audit report regarding the transfer of excess personal property from the Nevada test site to the community reuse organization. Mr. Speaker, during the 1990s, as a result of changes in program direction of the Department, the Department of Energy downsized or reconfigured a number of different facilities, including this State of Nevada test site. To mitigate any economic damages or impacts, Congress then authorized the Department to transfer excess personal property and provide aid to these local civic development organizations that are commonly known as CROs.

These transfers, and that is what the memo says, these transfers were based on the express understanding that the property was to be excess to department needs, obviously, and also the memo then further states, despite the realization that the transfers might be made at less than fair market value, the Department was to receive, obviously, the Department was to receive reasonable consideration from these CROs for said personal property.

Mr. Speaker, I want to kind of talk about some of the results, though, of the audit. The audit disclosed that Nevada's personal property transfers