

ensures that the elections scheduled for February 20, 2004, will be neither free nor fair; and

Whereas the circumstances in Iran clearly call into serious question whether pro-democratic reform within the regime of Iran is not possible: Now, therefore, be it

*Resolved*, That it is the sense of the Senate that—

(1) the United States should not support the elections in Iran scheduled to take place on February 20, 2004, as such elections stifle the growth of the democratic forces in Iran and do not serve the national security interest of the United States;

(2) the support provided by the United States to Iran should be provided to the people of Iran; and

(3) the policy of the United States should be to advocate a democratic government in Iran that will restore freedom to the people of Iran, will abandon terrorism, will protect human rights, and will live in peace and security with the international community.

#### SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 89—EXPRESSING THE SENSE OF THE CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTINUITY OF THE PRESIDENCY

Mr. CORNYN (for himself and Mr. LOTT) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Rules and Administration:

S. CON. RES. 89

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring)*, It is the sense of Congress that during the period preceding the end of a term of office in which a President will not be serving a succeeding term—

(1) that President should submit the nominations of individuals to the Senate who are selected by the President-elect for offices that fall within the line of succession;

(2) the Senate should conduct confirmation hearings and a Senate floor vote on the nominations described under paragraph (1), to the extent feasible, between January 3 and January 20 before the Inauguration; and

(3) that President should agree to sign and deliver commissions on January 20 before the Inauguration of all approved nominations, to ensure continuity of Government.

#### AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 2558. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. STEVENS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2491 submitted by Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. STEVENS) and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, to authorize funds for Federal-aid highways, highway safety programs, and transit programs, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2559. Mrs. MURRAY (for herself, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, and Mr. STEVENS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to

amendment SA 2492 submitted by Mrs. MURRAY (FOR HERSELF, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. BOXER, Ms. CANTWELL, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. COCHRAN, Mr. CORZINE, Mr. EDWARDS, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Ms. MIKULSKI, Ms. MURKOWSKI, Mr. SCHUMER, Ms. SNOWE, AND Mr. STEVENS) AND INTENDED TO BE PROPOSED TO THE BILL S. 1072, SUPRA; WHICH WAS ORDERED TO LIE ON THE TABLE.

SA 2560. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2561. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2562. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2563. Mr. BAUCUS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2564. Mr. SHELBY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2565. Mr. SHELBY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2566. Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself and Mr. BAUCUS) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2549 submitted by Mr. GRASSLEY (for himself and Mr. BAUCUS) and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2567. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2568. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2569. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2570. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2571. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2572. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2573. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2574. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2575. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2576. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2577. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2578. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2579. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2580. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2581. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2582. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2583. Mr. BYRD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2584. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2315 submitted by Mr. KYL and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2585. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2315 submitted by Mr. KYL and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2586. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2511 submitted by Mr. DASCHLE and intended to be proposed to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2587. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2382 submitted by Mr. McCain (for himself and Mr. HOLLINGS) and intended to be proposed to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2588. Mr. INHOFE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2418 submitted by Mr. CARPER and intended to be proposed to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2589. Mr. CONRAD submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 2414 submitted by Mr. NICKLES and intended to be proposed to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2590. Mr. ROCKEFELLER (for himself, Mr. BURNS, and Mr. DORGAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2591. Mr. INHOFE proposed an amendment to amendment SA 2388 proposed by Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. KYL, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. GRAHAM of Florida, Mr. McCain, Ms. STABENOW, and Mrs. FEINSTEIN) to the amendment SA 2285 proposed by Mr. INHOFE to the bill S. 1072, supra.

SA 2592. Mr. DAYTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2593. Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. CARPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2594. Mr. HOLLINGS (for himself and Mr. CARPER) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 2595. Mr. HOLLINGS submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1072, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.