

accompanying report of the Department of State.

ORDERS FOR THURSDAY,
NOVEMBER 6, 2003

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 9:30 a.m., Thursday, November 6. I further ask consent that following the prayer and pledge, the morning hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and the Senate then begin a period of morning business for 60 minutes, with the first 30 minutes under the control of the minority leader or his designee, and the second 30 minutes under the control of Senator HUTCHISON or her designee; provided that following morning business, the Senate proceed to executive session and the consideration of Calendar No. 310, the nomination of William Pryor, to be U.S. circuit judge for the Eleventh Circuit, and that there then be 60 minutes equally divided for debate on the nomination prior to the vote on the motion to invoke cloture.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. Mr. President, reserving the right to object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The assistant Democratic leader.

Mr. REID. Thank you, Mr. President.

Let me just say, very briefly, we have been told that next Wednesday the majority leader is going to move to a period of time where the majority will come and talk for some 30 hours about how the judges that have been recommended by President Bush have been treated.

I would say, I cannot possibly imagine why in the world we would take the time of this body at such an important time in the history of this country. On this side of the aisle, we have bent over backwards to cooperate on appropriations bills. We have cajoled, begged members on our side not to offer controversial amendments. On any one of these appropriations bills, there can be all kinds of things offered. Maybe they would be deemed not appropriate procedurally, but certainly a debate could be had and they would have to be disposed of by a vote. But we wanted to work for what we thought was the betterment of this body and this country.

We agreed, without any reservation or hesitation, to be in next Monday and Tuesday, Tuesday being a legal holiday. And when we are told that the sacrifices made to move this matter along are going to, in effect, play second fiddle to two legislative days; that is, 30 hours talking about judges, keep in mind we have done a pretty remarkably good job on these judges.

We have approved 168 judges; we have turned down 4—168 to 4. We have the lowest vacancy rate of the Federal judiciary in some 15 years.

So I say—and not in any way as criticism other than constructive criticism—I cannot imagine how the majority would allow this to happen. We are aware of this. And as my friend, the distinguished Senator from Utah knows, we work very hard to try to make things as convenient for Members as possible. But, keep in mind, recognizing how we can work to make things easy on Members, we can also work to make things hard on Members.

If this is going to be done, there has to be some reasonable response to it. You cannot be slapped around forever. We believe in turning our cheeks, and we have done it. Our cheeks have been turned and both sides slapped and we still move forward. But I think this is the ultimate. I think we have taken about as much as we are going to take.

I say to everyone within the sound of my voice, this is not to threaten, but just to make people understand that there is going to have to be some appropriate action taken if this is going to happen. We have been told it is going to happen by the highest authorities on the majority side. We have asked that it not happen. We have been told it is going to happen. I think it is too bad for our Nation.

I have no objection to the unanimous consent request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

PROGRAM

Mr. BENNETT. For the information of all Senators, tomorrow, following morning business, there will be 60 minutes for debate prior to the cloture vote on the Pryor nomination. If cloture is not invoked on the nomination, the Senate is expected to resume consideration of H.R. 2673, the Agriculture appropriations bill. It is hoped that we can finish that bill at an early hour during tomorrow's session, and therefore Senators should expect a very busy day tomorrow with rollcall votes occurring throughout.

ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. BENNETT. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order, following the remarks of Senator SESSIONS.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. COLEMAN). Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senator from Alabama.

JUDICIAL NOMINATIONS

Mr. SESSIONS. Mr. President, I thank Senator BENNETT for his leadership today and the work he does. He is such an able part of this body. I will just say to Senator REID, the assistant Democratic leader, that something has happened here in this body that has never happened before.

Even though there are a majority of Senators prepared to vote and confirm a series of highly qualified nominees for the Federal bench, for the first time in the history of this Nation, the Democratic leadership—Senator DASCHLE and his team—have deliberately and systematically filibustered. That has never been done before on Federal judges. It should not be done. It is a complete change in the history of this body.

I believe that Senator FRIST is correct that we need to talk about these nominees, and we need to spend some time talking about them. We need to state what their records are, what their accomplishments are, why they are fine and decent men and women, and why they ought to be confirmed.

I hope the American people will listen because everywhere I go people tell me they are concerned about the courts. They believe judges are stepping outside of their bounds. They are legislating when they ought to be adjudicating. They are taking over schools, prisons, hospitals, and whatever else, and running them for years and years. And people question that.

President Bush has said: I am going to nominate judges who believe in the rule of law and who believe in doing the right thing, who do not legislate but adjudicate, who decide cases based on what the law says, not what they think is good politics.

Now we have these filibusters for the first time in history. I cannot imagine why Senator DASCHLE and his team would object to utilizing the legitimate, historic rules of this body, to talk all night, if need be, about why filibustering is unfair. They are not going to be out here anyway doing business. We are not doing anything in the middle of the night anyway.

To take a day of this session to talk it all the way through that day about the incredible, historical change in procedure that has occurred here is eminently justified. Why they would think they should, therefore, be offended is really amazing to me. There is just no basis for it. It is mock anger that they are going to now block legislation, which apparently was the intention all along.

We passed the CARE Act 90 to 5. We can't move the bill to conference because that bill is being filibustered under the leadership of Senator DASCHLE and the Democrats. We passed the Healthy Forests Act 80 to 14, an overwhelmingly bipartisan vote. That is being blocked, so it cannot be sent to conference. This is obstructionism again and again. I believe it is not harmful for the American people to have a glimpse of what is going on in this body.

When we saw what went on in the Intelligence Committee with the disclosure of this internal memorandum for the first time in history that I know of—the Intelligence Committee, which has always been organized and always been led to be a nonpartisan—not bipartisan, a nonpartisan entity dealing