

to be a general intended deceit of the American people. I hope that is not the case and I certainly will take back those words if it is not. But actions are occurring behind the scenes as I speak that suggest I am not inaccurate.

What am I talking about? This past week the Senate was consumed in debating a bill about healthy forests and trying to develop some degree of active management on our public forest lands to reduce the overall fuel load that was and has been feeding the fires on our forested lands. Of course, last week, while we were debating here on the floor, America's attention was riveted in California where people were dying, homes were burning, and tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands of acres were being consumed. Probably that was the worst wildfire this country has seen in several decades.

What happened last Thursday after a very full and robust debate on a bipartisan bill that had been crafted in the Agriculture Committee and then re-crafted between the Senator from California, a Democrat, the Senator from Oregon, a Democrat, myself, a Republican, and a variety of others to build a bipartisan alternative approach to this problem? We debated that bill and we passed it by a vote of 80 to 14. That would demonstrate to the American people that those who opposed us in the past somehow had gotten the message. Somehow there was an awakening here in the Senate that there was truly a need to resolve the issue of forest health.

The poster I have just put up was used last week. It says: "California Burns, Democrat Filibuster Continues."

That filibuster was broken. There was a rousing debate and an 80-to-14 vote. The Healthy Forests initiative passed, an initiative I had worked on for a good number of years as chairman of the Forestry Subcommittee. The President of the United States, standing in ashes in the forests of California or Oregon the summer before last, declared this country had to get busy at being better stewards of their public lands or we were going to continue to see catastrophic wildfires.

All of that finally came together last week. Now, on the morning news, we see a caravan of mourning firefighters as they lay to rest one of the firefighters who was killed in those cataclysmic fires of last week in southern California. While there are those laying to rest over 20 people killed in those fires, and while the Senate last Thursday, on an 80-to-14 vote, passed out a Healthy Forests initiative, now, quietly, behind the scene, the Democrat leaders are saying: No more. We will not allow the bill to move any further. We will not allow the bill that passed by a bipartisan vote to go to conference with the House to work out our differences, to actually make it law.

Do you understand what I am saying? I am saying the debate last week and

the cataclysmic fires in California somehow have not changed anybody's mind; they have not changed or are not going to allow public policy to change; that behind the scenes there is now a silent, invisible filibuster on the part of Democratic leadership that will not allow this bipartisan bill to go to conference because, if it doesn't go to conference and the House and the Senate can't work out their differences, it will not become law. If it is not law, we cannot begin to deal with the 20 million acres of urban/wildland interface that are addressed within this legislation so that we will thin and clean and make them less susceptible to fire.

What is the picture here? Am I getting this wrong? Is this scenario I have on this picture now replaying itself? The fires are out in California, or at least we hope they are nearly out. But they will come again. Here is the reason they will come again. Here is a map of the United States. All these red areas—

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The time of the Senator has expired.

Mr. CRAIG. I ask for 1 additional minute.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CRAIG. The red on this map demonstrates not 20 million acres but 90 million acres of class 3 lands that are dead and dying and phenomenally susceptible to fire. See right down here in southern California where the fires burn, that red land that was looked at in 2000, which we said was going to burn? It burned: 3,400 homes, 20 lives, billions of dollars worth of assets. Now a silent filibuster on the part of Democratic leadership says we will not allow the bill to go forward? I hope I am wrong. I was not wrong yesterday. I understand they are still blocking a unanimous consent request to appoint conferees so the House and the Senate can work out their differences, so we can get at the business of being the good stewards of our public lands the public wants us to be and somehow, some way, treat our lands and deny wildfire to other areas of the country.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, how much time remains?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Three minutes.

Mr. McCONNELL. Madam President, the Senator from Idaho is entirely correct. What is going on here is a filibuster over naming of conferees. As a part of the normal legislative process, you send Members to a conference with the House to resolve the differences. In effect, a Healthy Forests bill is now being filibustered without the naming of conferees. The differences between the Senate and the House cannot be resolved. Unless conferees are named, the 80-to-14 vote we had here in the Senate just last week is meaningless, absolutely meaningless. No legislation to protect our forests, our people, our firefighters, and our homes can move

forward while the appointment of conferees is being filibustered.

While efforts to solve this critical legislation may seem illogical or even callous in the face of the disaster we have witnessed in California on the nightly news, mind you, what is simply unbelievable is that the legislation to prevent catastrophic fires such as these was filibustered just over a year ago. Last year when the risk of catastrophic forest fires was clear and immediate and action was needed, there was an effort to block even the consideration of amendments to the Interior appropriations bill that would have reduced the sort of hazardous fuels that have set ablaze southern California. We knew this was a problem last year. We knew it needed to be addressed. But time and time again we have been prevented from moving forward. That was then and this is now. Now that 22 lives have been lost, 800,000 acres have been burned, and 3,400 homes have been destroyed, you would expect Congress might have gotten the message to get the lead out and get the job done. But some in the Senate just do not get it.

As the Senator from Idaho pointed out, the American people have a right to basic safety and security, which this bill provides. After all we have seen, they have the right to ask: Why in the world is this bill being delayed by 1 second? We saw this bill move at lightning speed by a huge majority last week. Now it is stalled and likely to fail in this session of Congress.

How many acres must incinerate, how many homes must burn, and how many lives must be lost before we move forward on the Healthy Forests conference?

During the last year, 27 firefighters lost their lives fighting blazes such as those this bill intends to diminish. Would it be today that my friends in the Senate will move forward to appoint conferees and finally pass this much-needed legislation into law or will the Senate, like Nero, fiddle while the Nation burns?

I yield the floor.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

NATIONAL CONSUMER CREDIT REPORTING SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2003

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will proceed to consideration of S. 1753, which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1753) to amend the Fair Credit Reporting Act in order to prevent identity theft, to improve the use of and consumer access to consumer reports, to enhance the accuracy of consumer reports, to limit the sharing of certain consumer information, to improve financial education and literacy, and for other purposes.