

The FBI would like to search the travel records of a suspected terrorist to help determine if he attended a meeting with other extremists. The FBI has reason to believe the records are related to a suspected terrorist, so the SAFE Act would authorize the issuance of a subpoena.

The FBI suspects that an individual affiliated with an extremist organization is planning a terrorist attack. The FBI would like to search the suspect's computer drive to learn more about the plot without tipping off the suspect and his co-conspirators. The SAFE Act would permit the issuance of a "sneak and peek" warrant, and permit the FBI to delay notice of the warrant for as long as it would continue to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual, result in flight from prosecution, or result in the destruction of or tampering with the evidence sought under the warrant.

At the same time, the SAFE Act would protect innocent Americans from unchecked Government surveillance. For example:

The FBI is investigating suspected members of a terrorist cell and would like to subpoena the records of a library and a bookstore that they frequent. Currently, the FBI could subpoena all of the records of the library and bookstore, including the records of countless innocent Americans, by certifying they are sought for a terrorism investigation, the exceedingly low standard created by the PATRIOT Act. The SAFE Act would permit the FBI to obtain the records related to the suspected terrorists, but not records related to innocent Americans who are not suspected terrorists.

The FBI is tracking a suspected terrorist who is using public phones at local restaurants to do business. The PATRIOT Act would permit the issuance of a roving wiretap that would apply to any phone the suspect uses. Under the PATRIOT Act, the FBI could monitor the conversations not just of the suspect, but of innocent patrons of these restaurants. The SAFE Act would also permit the issuance of a roving wiretap that would apply to any phone the suspect uses, but would only permit the FBI to gather intelligence when they ascertain that the suspect is using a phone.

The Justice Department has argued that amending the PATRIOT Act would handcuff law enforcement and make it very difficult to combat terrorism. Nothing could be further from the truth. It is possible to combat terrorism and protect our liberties. The SAFE Act demonstrates that. I urge my colleagues to support it.

#### SUBMITTED RESOLUTIONS

#### SENATE RESOLUTION 238—AUTHORIZING REGULATIONS RELATING TO THE USE OF OFFICIAL EQUIPMENT

Mr. LOTT (for himself and Mr. DODD) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 238

*Resolved*, That (a) the Committee on Rules and Administration of the Senate may issue regulations to authorize a Senator or officer or employee of the Senate to use official equipment for purposes incidental to the conduct of their official duties.

(b) Any use under subsection (a) shall be subject to such terms and conditions as set forth in the regulations.

#### SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 71—PROVIDING FOR A CONDITIONAL ADJOURNMENT OR RECESS OF THE SENATE

Mr. FRIST submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring)*, that when the Senate recesses or adjourns at the close of business on Friday, October 3, 2003, on a motion offered pursuant to this concurrent resolution by its Minority Leader or his designee, it stand recessed or adjourned until Tuesday, October 14, 2003, at a time to be specified by the Majority Leader or his designee in the motion to recess or adjourn, or until noon on the second day after Members are notified to reassemble pursuant to section 2 of this concurrent resolution, whichever occurs first.

SEC. 2. The Majority Leader of the Senate after consultation with the Minority Leader of the Senate, shall notify the Members of the Senate to reassemble whenever, in his opinion, the public interest shall warrant it.

#### AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 1800. Mr. SPECTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, making emergency supplemental appropriations for Iraq and Afghanistan security and reconstruction for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1801. Mr. SMITH submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1585, making appropriations for the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and related agencies for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1802. Mr. COLEMAN (for himself, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. DORGAN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. JOHNSON, Mr. CORZINE, Ms. COLLINS, Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina, Mr. CONRAD, Mr. SUNUNU, Mr. ALLEN, Mr. BYRD, Mr. PRYOR, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. BUNNING, Mr. LEAHY, and Mr. NELSON of Florida) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, making emergency supplemental appropriations for Iraq and Afghanistan security and reconstruction for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2004, and for other purposes.

SA 1803. Mr. LEAHY (for himself and Mr. DASCHLE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1804. Mr. DAYTON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1805. Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1806. Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1807. Mr. CHAFEE (for himself and Mr. LEAHY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1808. Mr. VOINOVICH (for himself and Mr. LOTT) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1809. Ms. MIKULSKI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1810. Ms. MIKULSKI submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by her to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1811. Mr. CORZINE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1812. Mr. REED (for himself, Mr. BAYH, and Mr. KENNEDY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1813. Mr. KENNEDY (for himself, Mr. KERRY, and Mr. GREGG) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1814. Mr. LEAHY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1815. Mr. BAYH (for himself and Mr. NELSON of Nebraska) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1816. Mr. DASCHLE (for himself, Mr. GRAHAM of South Carolina, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. STEVENS, Mr. BOND, Mr. BURNS, Mr. WARNER, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. CHAMBLISS, Mr. HAGEL, Mr. REID, and Mr. ALLEN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1817. Mr. DODD (for himself and Mr. CORZINE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1818. Mr. BYRD (for himself, Mr. KENNEDY, and Mr. LEAHY) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1819. Mr. BYRD (for himself and Mr. DURBIN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1820. Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. ENZI, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. AKAKA, Mrs. CLINTON, Mr. BYRD, Mr. MCCAIN, and Mr. LEVIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1821. Mr. STEVENS proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1822. Mr. REID (for Mrs. MURRAY (for herself and Mr. DURBIN)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1823. Mr. REID (for Ms. STABENOW (for herself, Mr. DURBIN, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. JOHNSON, and Mr. SCHUMER)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1689, supra.

SA 1824. Mr. FRIST (for Ms. SNOWE (for herself, Mr. FRIST, Mr. DASCHLE, Mr. GREGG, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. JEFFORDS, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. DODD, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. HARKIN, Ms. COLLINS, Mrs. MURRAY, Mr. HAGEL, Ms. CANTWELL, Mr. HATCH, Mr. LAUTENBERG, Mr. LUGAR, and Mr. KERRY)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1053, to prohibit discrimination on the basis of genetic information with respect to health insurance and employment.

#### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 1800.** Mr. SPECTER submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill S. 1689, making emergency supplemental appropriations for Iraq and Afghanistan security and reconstruction for the fiscal year ending