

McCarthy (NY) Petri  
 McCollum Pickering  
 McCotter Platts  
 McCrery Pombo  
 McDermott Pomeroy  
 McGovern Porter  
 McHugh Portman  
 McInnis Price (NC)  
 McIntyre Pryce (OH)  
 McKeon Putnam  
 McNulty Quinn  
 Meehan Radanovich  
 Meek (FL) Rahall  
 Meeks (NY) Ramstad  
 Menendez Rangel  
 Mica Regula  
 Michaud Rehberg  
 Millender Renzi  
 McDonald Reyes  
 Miller (FL) Reynolds  
 Miller (MI) Rodriguez  
 Miller (NC) Rogers (AL)  
 Miller, Gary Rogers (KY)  
 Miller, George Rogers (MI)  
 Mollohan Rohrabacher  
 Moore Ross  
 Moran (KS) Rothman  
 Moran (VA) Roybal-Allard  
 Murphy Royce  
 Murtha Ruppertsberger  
 Musgrave Rush  
 Myrick Ryan (OH)  
 Nadler Ryan (WI)  
 Napolitano Ryan (KS)  
 Neal (MA) Sabo  
 Nethercutt Sanchez, Linda  
 Ney T.  
 Northup Sanchez, Loretta  
 Norwood Sanders  
 Nunes Sandlin  
 Nussle Saxton  
 Oberstar Schakowsky  
 Obey Schiff  
 Olver Schrock  
 Ortiz Scott (GA)  
 Osborne Scott (VA)  
 Ose Sensenbrenner  
 Otter Serrano  
 Owens Sessions  
 Oxley Shadegg  
 Pallone Shaw  
 Pascrell Shays  
 Pastor Sherman  
 Paul Sherwood  
 Payne Shimkus  
 Pearce Shuster  
 Pelosi Simmons  
 Pence Simpson  
 Peterson (MN) Skelton  
 Peterson (PA) Smith (MI)

NOT VOTING—10

Buyer Istook Towns  
 Carson (IN) Pitts Udall (CO)  
 Gephardt Ros-Lehtinen  
 Hyde Slaughter

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAHOOD) (during the vote). The Chair would remind all Members there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1346

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

RATHDRUM PRAIRIE/SPOKANE VALLEY AQUIFER STUDY

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUINN). The pending business is the question of suspending the rules and passing the bill, H.R. 699.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr.

POMBO) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 699, on which the yeas and nays are ordered.

This will be a 5-minute vote.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 414, nays 6, not voting 14, as follows:

[Roll No. 70]

YEAS—414

Abercrombie Davis (IL)  
 Ackerman Davis (TN)  
 Aderholt Davis, Jo Ann  
 Akin Davis, Tom  
 Alexander Deal (GA)  
 Allen DeFazio  
 Andrews DeGette  
 Baca Delahunt  
 DeLauro DeLay  
 DeMint DeLoach  
 Baldwin Deutsch  
 Ballance Diaz-Balart, L.  
 Ballenger Diaz-Balart, M.  
 Barrett (SC) Dicks  
 Bartlett (MD) Dingell  
 Barton (TX) Doggett  
 Bass Dooley (CA)  
 Beauprez Doolittle  
 Becerra Doyle  
 Bell Dreier  
 Bereuter Duncan  
 Berkeley Berkley  
 Berman Edwards  
 Berry Ehlers  
 Biggert Emanuel  
 Bilirakis Emerson  
 Bishop (GA) Engel  
 Bishop (NY) English  
 Bishop (UT) Eshoo  
 Blackburn Etheridge  
 Blumenauer Evans  
 Blunt Everett  
 Boehlert Farr  
 Boehner Fattah  
 Bonilla Feeney  
 Bonner Ferguson  
 Bono Filner  
 Boozman Fletcher  
 Boswell Foley  
 Boucher Forbes  
 Boyd Ford  
 Bradley (NH) Fossella  
 Brady (PA) Frank (MA)  
 Brady (TX) Franks (AZ)  
 Brown (OH) Frelinghuysen  
 Brown (SC) Frost  
 Brown, Corrine Gallegly  
 Brown-Waite, Garrett (NJ)  
 Ginny Gerlach  
 Burns Gibbons  
 Burr Gilchrest  
 Burton (IN) Gillmor  
 Calvert Gingrey  
 Camp Gonzalez  
 Cannon Goode  
 Cantor Goodlatte  
 Capito Gordon  
 Capps Goss  
 Capuano Granger  
 Cardin Graves  
 Cardoza Green (TX)  
 Carson (OK) Green (WI)  
 Carter Greenwood  
 Case Grijalva  
 Castle Gutierrez  
 Chabot Gutknecht  
 Chocola Hall  
 Clay Harman  
 Clyburn Harris  
 Cole Hart  
 Collins Hastings (FL)  
 Combust Hastings (WA)  
 Conyers Hayes  
 Cooper Hayworth  
 Costello Hefley  
 Cox Hensarling  
 Cramer Herger  
 Crane Hill  
 Crenshaw Hinchey  
 Crowley Hinojosa  
 Cubin Hobson  
 Culberson Hoeffel  
 Cunningham Hoekstra  
 Davis (AL) Holden  
 Davis (CA) Holt  
 Davis (FL) Honda

Millender- McDougal  
 Miller (MI) Regula  
 Miller (NC) Rehberg  
 Miller, Gary Renzi  
 Miller, George Reyes  
 Mollohan Reynolds  
 Moore Rodriguez  
 Moran (KS) Rogers (AL)  
 Moran (VA) Rogers (KY)  
 Murphy Rogers (MI)  
 Murtha Rohrabacher  
 Musgrave Ross  
 Myrick Rothman  
 Nadler Roybal-Allard  
 Napolitano Ruppertsberger  
 Neal (MA) Rush  
 Nethercutt Ryan (OH)  
 Ney Ryan (WI)  
 Norwood Ryun (KS)  
 Nunes Sabo  
 Nussle Sanchez, Linda  
 Oberstar T.  
 Obey Sanchez, Loretta  
 Olver Sanders  
 Ortiz Sandlin  
 Osborne Saxton  
 Ose Schakowsky  
 Otter Schiff  
 Owens Schrock  
 Oxley Vitter  
 Pallone Scott (VA)  
 Pascrell Sensenbrenner  
 Pastor Serrano  
 Payne Sessions  
 Pearce Shadegg  
 Pelosi Shaw  
 Pence Shays  
 Peterson (MN) Sherman  
 Peterson (PA) Sherwood  
 Petri Shimkus  
 Pickering Shuster  
 Platts Simmons  
 Pombo Simpson  
 Pomeroy Skelton  
 Porter Smith (MI)  
 Portman Smith (NJ)  
 Price (NC) Smith (TX)  
 Pryce (OH) Smith (WA)  
 Putnam Snyder  
 Quinn Solis  
 Radanovich Souder  
 Rahall Spratt

NAYS—6

Burgess Cummings Miller (FL)  
 Coble Flake Paul

NOT VOTING—14

Buyer Kilpatrick Royce  
 Carson (IN) Manzullo Slaughter  
 Gephardt Northup Towns  
 Hyde Pitts Udall (CO)  
 Jones (NC) Ros-Lehtinen

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (during the vote). The Chair would remind the Members that there are 2 minutes remaining in this vote.

□ 1353

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

Stated for:

Mrs. NORTHUP. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 70 I was unavoidably absent. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their

remarks and to include extraneous material on the bill, H.R. 975, the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2003.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Wisconsin?

There was no objection.

**BANKRUPTCY ABUSE PREVENTION  
AND CONSUMER PROTECTION  
ACT OF 2003**

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to House Resolution 147 and rule XVIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill, H.R. 975.

□ 1355

**IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE**

Accordingly, the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the consideration of the bill (H.R. 975) to amend title 11 of the United States Code, and for other purposes, with Mr. LAHOOD in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Pursuant to the rule, the bill is considered as having been read the first time.

Under the rule, the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. DELAHUNT) each will control 30 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. SENSENBRENNER).

Mr. SENSENBRENNER. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, today is a victory for those Americans who work hard, pay their bills, but are forced to shoulder the debts of those who abuse our bankruptcy system. H.R. 975 restores personal responsibility and integrity to our bankruptcy system by offering a fresh start to those who deserve one, while cracking down on those who do not.

All Americans suffer when people who have the ability to pay their bills do not do so. Just yesterday the Spiegel Group, an entity that owns the famous Spiegel Catalogue and the Eddie Bauer stores, filed for bankruptcy. Why? This company, founded in 1871, began offering credit to its customers under the slogan "We trust the people."

According to one news report, however, the company trusted too many people, and some did not pay their credit card bills. Analysts estimate that the default rate with respect to Spiegel's credit card receivables ranged from 17 to 20 percent.

When businesses hurt, their employees and investors hurt, and our economy suffers. America's bankruptcy system was established to help provide a fresh start for individuals with demonstrated financial need. H.R. 975 maintains this goal by providing relief to those who truly require financial

protection as a result of unexpected medical bills, unemployment, or other legitimate needs.

Our bankruptcy system was also established to encourage the reliable collection of debt owed to creditors. The measure we consider today advances both of these objectives and provides a comprehensive framework to promote the integrity of our bankruptcy system.

Take, for example, homestead exemptions. We have all heard about the former corporate executives acquiring or building multibillion-dollar mansions in the very face of those shareholders who are defrauded by such individuals.

I am particularly pleased that this legislation places reasonable monetary limitations on unlimited homestead exemptions which have often been misused by debtors to unfairly evade their financial obligations. This legislation will keep crooked corporate executives from using bankruptcy to shield their mansions and penthouses from the claims of creditors, defrauded shareholders, and employees.

In addition, H.R. 975 includes numerous proconsumer provisions. The bill includes special protection for individuals with spousal and child support claims. In addition to giving these claims the highest priority in regard to payment, it expands the definition of these claims to include obligations that are accruable before or after a bankruptcy case is filed, and requires deadbeat parents to pay those debts even after filing bankruptcy relief.

H.R. 975 exempts from the claims of creditors certain retirement pension funds and educational IRAs for the debtor's children. It mandates that credit lenders give consumer borrowers more disclosure about the adverse consequences of just paying the minimum monthly payment.

The bill requires debtors to receive credit counseling before they can be eligible for bankruptcy relief, so that they will make an informed choice about bankruptcy, its alternatives, and its consequences.

□ 1400

In several significant respects, H.R. 975 helps our Nation's family farmers in financial distress. It makes Chapter 12, a specialized form of bankruptcy relief, a permanent component of the bankruptcy codes. It ensures that more family farmers will be eligible for Chapter 12 by easing some of the income and debt limitations that currently restrict access to this type of bankruptcy relief; and for the first time family fishermen will be eligible to file for relief under Chapter 12.

H.R. 975 authorizes the increases of 28 additional bankruptcy judgeships. According to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, the workload of bankruptcy judges has increased 52 percent since 1992, which was the last time additional bankruptcy judges were authorized.

Another major reform of H.R. 975 deals with the economic stability of our Nation's financial marketplace. The bill includes provisions intended to reduce systemic risk with respect to the setoff or netting of various financial transactions. Federal Reserve Board Chairman Alan Greenspan has described the enactment of these provisions as being extremely important. Finally, H.R. 975 addresses problems presented by the inconsistent and unpredictable current state of bankruptcy laws concerning the treatment of bankrupt multinational corporations. It largely codifies the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency to ensure greater legal certainties for trade and investment, as well as provide for the fair and efficient administration of these cases.

The time for these reforms is long overdue. This body has on six previous occasions passed similar bankruptcy reform bills. It is my hope that today we will again do the right thing and pass this needed bipartisan bankruptcy reform legislation. Perhaps the seventh attempt will prove to be a charm and finally lead to the enactment of these critically important reforms.

Mr. Chairman, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. DELAHUNT. Mr. Chairman, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Chairman, the American people should not be deceived as to who really benefits from this so-called reform because it is not the American consumer. It is not the American taxpayer or the worker who loses his job or someone facing catastrophic medical expenses or the small business entrepreneur who is also hurt by provisions in this bill. No, the big winner here is the credit card industry because passage is going to mean billions of dollars to their bottom line.

The American consumers should understand that the interest rate on their credit card will not decline because of this bill. Over a 12-year period when the Federal fund rate fell from 13.5 percent to 3.5 percent, a line of some 10 percentage points, the average credit card rate actually rose to nearly 18 percent. Furthermore, it is going to cost the American taxpayer \$500 million over 5 years to transform the Federal bankruptcy system into a collection agency for the benefit of the credit card industry.

We are going to hear a lot and we have heard during the course of our hearings about personal responsibility. Well, no one disagrees with that particular principle, but it ought to be a two-way street. Whatever happened to creditor responsibility? The former Chair of the Committee on the Judiciary, Henry Hyde, identified some 75 creditor enhancements in this bill. Passage of this legislation will undoubtedly exacerbate the imbalance between creditor and debtor.

A respected consultant for the credit card industry stated that the principle