

JULY 25, 2002.

Resolved, That the House insist upon its amendment to the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 4546) entitled "An Act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2003 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes", and ask a conference with the Senate on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses thereon.

Ordered, That the following Members be the managers of the conference on the part of the House:

From the Committee on Armed Services, for consideration of the House amendment and the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Stump, Mr. Hunter, Mr. Hansen, Mr. Weldon of Pennsylvania, Mr. Hefley, Mr. Saxton, Mr. McHugh, Mr. Everett, Mr. Bartlett of Maryland, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Watts of Oklahoma, Mr. Thornberry, Mr. Hostettler, Mr. Chambliss, Mr. Jones of North Carolina, Mr. Hilleary, Mr. Graham, Mr. Skelton, Mr. Spratt, Mr. Ortiz, Mr. Evans, Mr. Taylor of Mississippi, Mr. Abercrombie, Mr. Meehan, Mr. Underwood, Mr. Allen, Mr. Snyder, Mr. Reyes, Mr. Turner, and Mrs. Tauscher.

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, for consideration of matters within the jurisdiction of that committee under clause 11 of rule X: Mr. Goss, Mr. Bereuter, and Ms. Pelosi.

From the Committee on Education and the Workforce, for consideration of sections 341-343, and 366 of the House amendment, and sections 331-333, 542, 656, 1064, and 1107 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Isakson, Mr. Wilson of South Carolina, and Mr. George Miller of California.

From the Committee on Energy and Commerce, for consideration of sections 601 and 3201 of the House amendment, and sections 311, 312, 601, 3135, 3155, 3171-3173, and 3201 of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Tauzin, Mr. Barton, and Mr. Dingell.

From the Committee on Government Reform, for consideration of sections 323, 804, 805, 1003, 1004, 1101-1106, 2811, and 2813 of the House amendment, and sections 241, 654, 817, 907, 1007-1009, 1061, 1101-1106, 2811, and 3173 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Burton, Mr. Weldon of Florida, and Mr. Waxman.

From the Committee on International Relations, for consideration of sections 1201, 1202, 1204, title XIII, and section 3142 of the House amendment, and subtitle A of title XII, sections 1212-1216, 3136, 3151, and 3156-3161 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Hyde, Mr. Gilman, and Mr. Lantos.

From the Committee on the Judiciary, for consideration of sections 811 and 1033 of the House amendment, and sections 1067 and 1070 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Sensenbrenner, Mr. Smith of Texas, and Mr. Conyers.

From the Committee on Resources, for consideration of sections 311, 312, 601, title XIV, sections 2821, 2832, 2841, and 2863 of the House amendment, and sections 601, 2821, 2823, 2828, and 2841 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Duncan, Mr. Gibbons, and Mr. Rahall.

From the Committee on Science, for consideration of sections 244, 246, 1216, 3155, and 3163 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Boehlert, Mr. Smith of Michigan, and Mr. Hall of Texas.

From the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, for consideration of sec-

tion 601 of the House amendment, and sections 601 and 1063 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Young of Alaska, Mr. LoBiondo, and Ms. Brown of Florida.

From the Committee on Veterans' Affairs, for consideration of sections 641, 651, 721, 723, 724, 726, 727, and 728 of the House amendment, and sections 541 and 641 of the Senate amendment, and modifications committed to conference: Mr. Smith of New Jersey, Mr. Bilirakis, Mr. Jeff Miller of Florida, Mr. Filner, and Ms. Carson of Indiana.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate disagree to the House amendment to the Senate amendment, agree to the request for a conference, and that the Chair be authorized to appoint conferees on the part of the Senate, without further intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Presiding Officer (Mr. CARPER) appointed Mr. LEVIN, Mr. KENNEDY, Mr. BYRD, Mr. LIEBERMAN, Mr. CLELAND, Ms. LANDRIEU, Mr. REED, Mr. AKAKA, Mr. NELSON of Florida, Mr. NELSON of Nebraska, Mrs. CARNAHAN, Mr. DAYTON, Mr. BINGAMAN, Mr. WARNER, Mr. THURMOND, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. SMITH of New Hampshire, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. ROBERTS, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. HUTCHINSON, Mr. SESSIONS, Mr. COLLINS, and Mr. BUNNING conferees on the part of the Senate.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now return to legislative session.

GREATER ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE PHARMACEUTICALS ACT OF 2001

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now return to legislative session and resume consideration of S. 812, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 812) to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide greater access to affordable pharmaceuticals.

Pending:

Reid (for Dorgan) amendment No. 4299, to permit commercial importation of prescription drugs from Canada.

AMENDMENT NO. 4326 TO AMENDMENT NO. 4299 (Purpose: To provide for health care liability reform)

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I am about to send to the desk an amendment. I understand from discussions with the other side, we will be allowed to vote on or in relation to this amendment sometime Tuesday morning, with the time prior to that equally divided. I say to my friend from Nevada, what was he thinking of, a couple of hours equally divided on Tuesday morning before the vote or in relation thereto?

Mr. REID. I say to my friend, we will probably come in at about 9:30, have an

hour of morning business, with the vote to occur around noon, which would allow us to do our party conferences. So I suggest 90 minutes equally divided.

Mr. MCCONNELL. That would certainly be agreeable to me. I thank the assistant majority leader.

Mr. REID. Staff is putting that in writing. Before the day is out, we will try to iron out something like that. We will get it worked out between the two leaders.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I send an amendment to the desk and ask for its consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the amendment.

The legislative clerk read as follows: The Senator from Kentucky [Mr. MCCONNELL] proposes an amendment numbered 4326 to amendment No. 4299.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

Mr. DURBIN. Reserving the right to object, and I will not object, if the Senator could give me a copy of his amendment.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I say to my friend from Illinois, I will be happy to do that. Of course, it will be out there from now until Tuesday morning so people will have ample opportunity to take a look at it. As soon as the clerk can Xerox a copy, I am sure he will be glad to give it to the Senator from Illinois.

Mr. DURBIN. I do not object.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The text of the amendment is printed in today's RECORD under "Text of Amendments.")

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who yields time?

The Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. MCCONNELL. The Senate last voted on the issue of medical malpractice back in 1995. It was an amendment I offered at that particular time. There were 53 votes in support of the amendment, including Senators FEINSTEIN and LIEBERMAN on the Democratic side who are still Members of the Senate. In addition, Senator Nunn, Senator Exon, and Senator JEFFORDS also supported that medical malpractice amendment back in 1995, which was, as I said, the last time we had a vote on this issue.

I will briefly describe what the amendment at the desk would do, and then I want to talk for a few minutes about the growing crisis. I know Senator HATCH is anxious to speak on judges, but I do want to at least describe what the amendment does and make a few observations about the growing crisis in the country.

First, let me make it clear that the amendment at the desk is pro-victim and pro-consumer. This amendment does not cap noneconomic—that is, pain and suffering—damages at all, not one penny. So compensatory damages—economic as well as pain and suffering—those kinds of damages are not