

MARTIN AND GRACIA BURNHAM

(Mr. TIAHRT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. TIAHRT. Mr. Speaker, today marks the 341st day that Martin and Gracia Burnham have been held captive by Muslim terrorists in the Philippines.

Unfortunately, I have disturbing news to report today. According to a report in the Associated Press, the Abu Sayyaf group that is holding the Burnhams hostage is no longer interested in negotiations and has threatened to kill Martin and Gracia. "The door is closed for negotiations. It is up to them if they want to look for the dead bodies," terrorist leader Abu Sabaya chillingly stated in a radio interview. Apparently now the ASG is more interested in embarrassing the Philippine and American governments and military than their own supposed political demands.

The Abu Sayyaf will not succeed in embarrassing us, for we will not back down in the face of terrorism. President Bush has committed our resources to rooting out the terrorism around the world. The Philippine government has been working with us to combat terrorism in their own nation. I am confident that we will eliminate the Abu Sayyaf and other evil organizations, and I pray that the Burnham family will soon be reunited.

At this crucial time, I ask my colleagues to join me in prayer for Martin and Gracia and their loved ones that this nightmare may soon be over.

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ISSA). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. NUSSLE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. NUSSLE. Mr. Speaker, I submit for printing in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD revisions to the 302(a) allocations and budgetary aggregates established by H. Con. Res. 83, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2002. My authority to make these adjustments is derived from Sec. 314 of the Congressional Budget Act and Sec. 221(c) of H. Con. Res. 83.

H.R. 3090, the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 (P.L. 107-147), contained emergency-designated direct spending. The emergency-designated direct spending increases the 302(a) allocation to the House Ways and Means Committee and the budgetary aggregates by \$5,984,000,000 in new budget authority and \$5,755,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002 and \$5,464,000,000

in new budget authority and \$5,675,000,000 in outlays for the total of fiscal years 2002 through 2006.

Those adjustments increase the 302(a) allocation to the House Ways and Means Committee to \$7,344,000,000 in new budget authority and \$6,655,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2002 and \$20,873,000,000 in new budget authority and \$20,744,000,000 in outlays for the total of fiscal years 2002 through 2006. The budgetary aggregates for fiscal year 2002 are increased to \$1,679,172,000,000 in new budget authority and \$1,644,607,000,000 in outlays.

Questions may be directed to Dan Kowalski at 67270.

STATUS REPORT ON CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS OF ON-BUDGET SPENDING AND REVENUES FOR FY 2002 AND THE 5-YEAR PERIOD FY 2002 THROUGH FY 2006

Mr. NUSSLE. Mr. Speaker, to facilitate the application of sections 302 and 311 of the Congressional Budget Act and section 201 of the conference report accompanying H. Con. Res. 83, I am transmitting a status report on the current levels of on-budget spending and revenues for fiscal year 2002 and for the five-year period of fiscal years 2002 through 2006. This status report is current through April 30, 2002.

The term "current level" refers to the amounts of spending and revenues estimated for each fiscal year based on laws enacted or awaiting the President's signature.

The first table in the report compares the current levels of total budget authority, outlays, and revenues with the aggregate levels set forth by H. Con. Res. 83. This comparison is needed to enforce section 311(a) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the budget resolution's aggregate levels. The table does not show budget authority and outlays for years after fiscal year 2002 because appropriations for those years have not yet been considered.

The second table compares the current levels of budget authority and outlays for discretionary action by each authorizing committee with the "section 302(a)" allocations made under H. Con. Res. 83 for fiscal year 2002 and fiscal years 2002 through 2006. "Discretionary action" refers to legislation enacted after the adoption of the budget resolution. This comparison is needed to enforce section 302(f) of the Budget Act, which creates a point of order against measures that would breach the section 302(a) discretionary action allocation of new budget authority for the committee that reported the measure. It is also needed to implement section 311(b), which exempts committees that comply with their allocations from the point of order under section 311(a).

The third table compares the current levels of discretionary appropriations for fiscal year 2002 with the "section 302(b)" suballocations of discretionary budget authority and outlays among Appropriations subcommittees. The comparison is also needed to enforce section 302(f) of the Budget Act because the point of order under that section equally applies to measures that would breach the applicable section 302(b) suballocation.

The fourth table gives the current level for 2003 of accounts identified for advance appropriations in the statement of managers accom-

panying H. Con. Res. 83. This list is needed to enforce section 201 of the budget resolution, which creates a point of order against appropriation bills that contain advance appropriations that are: (i) not identified in the statement of managers or (ii) would cause the aggregate amount of such appropriations to exceed the level specified in the resolution.

The fifth table compares discretionary appropriations to the levels provided by section 251(c) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985. If at the end of a session discretionary spending in any category exceeds the limits set forth in section 251(c) (as adjusted pursuant to section 251(b)), a sequestration of amounts within that category is automatically triggered to bring spending within the established limits. As the determination of the need for a sequestration is based on the report of the President required by section 254, this table is provided for informational purposes only.

REPORT TO THE SPEAKER FROM THE COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET—STATUS OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2002 CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET ADOPTED IN H. CON. RES. 83

(Reflecting Action Completed as of April 30, 2002 (On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars))

	Fiscal year 2002	Fiscal years 2002-2003
Appropriate Level:		
Budget Authority	1,679,172	n.a.
Outlays	1,644,607	n.a.
Revenues	1,638,202	8,878,506
Current Level:		
Budget Authority	1,670,534	n.a.
Outlays	1,631,627	n.a.
Revenues	1,629,592	8,790,551
Current Level over (+)/under (-) Appropriate Level:		
Budget Authority	-8,638	n.a.
Outlays	-12,980	n.a.
Revenues	-8,610	-87,955

n.a.—Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2003 through 2006 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

Budget Authority: Enactment of measures providing new budget authority for FY 2002 in excess of \$8,638,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2002 budget authority to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 83.

Outlays: Enactment of measures providing new outlays for FY 2002 in excess of \$12,980,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause FY 2002 outlays to exceed the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 83.

Revenues: Enactment of measures that would result in revenue loss for FY 2002 in excess of \$8,610,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause revenues to fall further below the appropriate level set by H. Con. Res. 83.

Enactment of measures resulting in revenue loss for the period FY 2002 through 2006 in excess of \$87,955,000,000 (if not already included in the current level estimate) would cause revenues to fall further below the appropriate levels set by H. Con. Res. 83.

DIRECT SPENDING LEGISLATION—COMPARISON OF CURRENT LEVEL WITH AUTHORIZING COMMITTEE 302(A) ALLOCATIONS FOR DISCRETIONARY ACTION

(Reflecting Action Completed as of April 30, 2002 (Fiscal Years, in millions of dollars))

House Committee	2002		2002-2006	
	BA	Outlays	BA	Outlays
Agriculture:				
Allocation	7,350	7,350	28,492	25,860
Current Level	0	2	0	0
Difference	-7,350	-7,348	-28,492	-25,860
Armed Services:				
Allocation	146	146	398	398
Current Level	163	146	276	276
Difference	17	0	-122	-122