

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

*Wetlands Reserve Program*

[Total U.S. Backlog = \$568,772,170]

TOP 10 STATES

Arkansas .....	\$89,102,486
Iowa .....	81,965,541
California .....	78,988,416
Louisiana .....	69,656,427
Missouri .....	41,111,255
Florida .....	27,539,000
Minnesota .....	25,017,968
Illinois .....	24,986,434
Michigan .....	20,500,000
Mississippi .....	18,173,136

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

*Farmland Protection Program*

[Total U.S. Backlog = \$255,677,581]

TOP 10 STATES

California .....	\$47,692,183
New York .....	33,760,639
Maryland .....	29,531,511
Florida .....	18,799,852
Pennsylvania .....	15,908,572
Delaware .....	12,926,040
Kentucky .....	12,290,000
Michigan .....	11,579,235
New Jersey .....	10,692,132
Massachusetts .....	10,465,820

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

*Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program*

[Total U.S. Backlog = \$14,447,989]

TOP 10 STATES

Oregon .....	\$1,129,115
Texas .....	1,100,000
Florida .....	1,040,000
West Virginia .....	1,030,472
Arkansas .....	920,000
Colorado .....	770,000
Maine .....	650,000
Michigan .....	613,434
Alabama .....	548,000
South Dakota .....	529,395

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

*Environmental Quality Incentives Program*

[Total U.S. Backlog = \$1,378,348,711]

TOP 10 STATES

Texas .....	\$175,615,986
Oklahoma .....	60,684,644
Georgia .....	55,908,744
Arkansas .....	53,263,407
Kansas .....	49,142,061
Montana .....	46,421,056
Kentucky .....	44,107,218
Nebraska .....	42,912,850
Tennessee .....	40,772,836
Virginia .....	39,795,591

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Mr. HARKIN. These States have tremendous backlogs and needs in the Environmental Quality Incentives Program to help clean up the water and conserve resources in these States. We had about \$½ billion in our bill to help all of the States meet the environmental standards and needs in States.

Many of the farmers in these States have to meet environmental standards, and even without requirements, farmers and ranchers strive to take care of the land. They want to do their best to be good stewards. In many cases farmers are doing this out of their own pockets with their own machinery and their own time.

I believe we need to help them. We need to help these farmers meet these

environmental standards. Yet the House bill provides nothing.

It is too bad that the President would not even meet with us and would not try to work out some decent compromise. We were willing. The President said, no. They made their point they were only going to have \$5.5 billion for our farmers; they were not going to have any conservation.

We also wanted to broaden this bill out to address the needs of our specialty crop producers in America, the people who raise peas and lentils and apples and all the other fruits and vegetables that are part of our great bounty that we have in this country. These farmers are hurting, too. We tried to help them. The House bill does a little bit, but hardly anything at all, to help these beleaguered farmers.

Lastly, I want to say—and I want to make this point one more time, as I made it to OMB and to the White House—the \$7.5 billion that we had in our bill fully complied with the budget. No budget point of order would lay against our bill. We had \$5.5 billion in fiscal year 2001. We used \$2 billion of the \$7.35 billion that was allowed us in 2002. We did not bust any budgets. We stayed within the budget. We met our obligations, and we met our obligations both to fiscal responsibility and also our responsibility to the farmers of this country.

So I will close by saying that the fight goes on. This Senator, and I am sure many other Senators in this body, are not going to give up. The President got his way because he has the veto.

I am hopeful that we can work with the White House in August and in September, and going into this fall, on two things. One is to shape and fashion a new farm bill that will get us off the failed policies of the past. There is no doubt in anyone's mind that the Freedom to Farm bill has failed, and failed miserably. We need a new farm bill. We need a new vision of agriculture in America. We need a farm bill that will move us into the 21st century. I look forward to working with the administration and with the Secretary of Agriculture, for whom I have the highest regard and respect, to fashion that new farm bill.

I also hope that as we go into the fall, we should come back and see what we might need to fill the gap between the end of September and whenever the farm bill is passed. The House bill we passed shorted farmers in Iowa and across the nation. The market loss and oilseed payments were cut back. The specialty crops were left out. Conservation was left out. Some assistance to our dairy farmers was left out. I hope we can come back in September—maybe early October—and revisit this and, hopefully, have the help and the support of the White House at that time to at least fill in that gap. That is what we tried to do in this bill, to fill in the gap from the end of September until such time as the farm bill is passed and enacted to make sure that

our programs for conservation were not interrupted, and to make sure that farmers were taken care of.

The fiscal year may end on September 30, but the crop-year does not. Farmers need help in October and November.

So hope springs eternal. The fight goes on. We will never give up the fight to provide the kind of assistance and support that our farmers and our farm families need—and not just those in the Midwest, but those in Michigan and New York and Washington State and all over this country, to make sure that those farm families are able to continue and to provide the agricultural products that we need for our country.

I yield the floor.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. STABENOW). The Senator from Indiana is recognized.

Mr. LUGAR. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that Senator CRAPO be added to the list of speakers who have been granted 5 minutes to speak.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HARKIN. Excuse me just one second. I am supposed to add someone else.

Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that Senator DODD be added to the list of speakers who have been granted 10 minutes to speak.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

EMERGENCY AGRICULTURAL ASSISTANCE

Mr. LUGAR. Madam President, I join the distinguished chairman of the Agriculture Committee in saying the fight always goes on for American farmers. In the Agriculture Committee we have that commitment. And it is one we take with a great deal of pride and, likewise, with a high energy level. But today, Madam President, let me just say American farmers rejoice because a remarkable thing has occurred in this Senate Chamber this morning. We have come together with our colleagues in the House to pass a bill, which now, through some effort, will go to the House, to the President for signature, and to American farmers.

Let me just say the benefits to American farmers are very substantial. We began this quest because American farmers, according to the best estimate of the USDA, would receive—without our action—\$3 billion less in aggregate cash income this year. We have, by our actions this morning, sent to American farmers \$5.5 billion. We have, in fact, exceeded the gap and, as a matter of fact, made certain that agricultural income in America for this year will be \$2.5 billion more than last year.

That has not escaped the attention of a good number of agricultural organizations that have beneficiaries. The