

weakest link. One county, one State not quite up to par, and a deadbeat parent has an instant safe haven to avoid child support collection.

With our legislation, deadbeat parents will have nowhere to hide. Cross a county line or a State border, and we still have a hold on the paycheck. I know it will surprise our fellow citizens who are standing in line at the post office to send their tax returns in as we speak, but the IRS has an 84 percent success rate. We can and must harness that success for our children.

EXCHANGE OF SPECIAL ORDER

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take the 5 minute special order of the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON).

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

PEACE HAWKS—WITH EYES ON THE GROUND

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. DELAY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. DELAY. Mr. Speaker, I came down to take this 5-minute special order because I read in the Washington Times this morning an excellent article by Elaine Donnelly that so aptly puts where we are today and puts things in perspective as it relates to Kosovo, that I wanted to come down to the floor and read it on the floor because it puts so well what I had been thinking. It goes like this, and I quote:

"As President Clinton continues the bombing campaign over Kosovo, confusion abounds. Former 'doves' are cheering but traditional 'hawks' appalled by Mr. Clinton's command blunders, don't know what to say. Concerned Americans want to support the troops, but they are flummoxed by a President who is misusing authority over them.

"To make sense of what is happening, it helps to recognize Mr. Clinton is not conducting a serious, traditional war. If he were, the first wave of NATO planes would have reduced the palace of Slobodan Milosevic, Rembrandt painting and all, to smoking smithereens.

"The Kosovo operation is different and oxymoronic. It is a 'peace war' waged by 'peace hawks' pursuing a dovish social agenda. Peace hawks are global idealists and former anti-war activists, including the youthful Bill Clinton, who used to 'loathe' the military because it uses lethal force. Now that he is commander in chief, Mr. Clinton can use the troops for more virtuous purposes.

"Doing good' on a worldwide scale appeals to peace hawks, who are motivated by altruism, not patriotism. The sight of uniformed peacekeepers distributing food in faraway places makes their hearts sing. As columnist Paul

Gigot wrote: 'It's as if liberals feel better waging war when U.S. interests aren't at stake.'

"The Kosovo peace war is all about good intentions and grand social objectives. President Clinton said so in a speech before a public employees' union on March 23, rambling on about a vision of 'diversity, community, belonging, and wanting our neighbors to do well,' the President rhapsodized, 'This is why I devoted so much time,' quoting the President, 'to that initiative on race and why I keep fighting for passage of the Hate Crimes legislation, the Employment Nondiscrimination, gay rights legislation, all these things, because I am telling you look all over the world—that's what Kosovo is about. People are still killing each other out of primitive urges because they think what is different about them is more important than what they have in common,'" close quote.

"Mr. Clinton conceded that the people of Yugoslavia had been battling off and on for hundreds of years, but exulting in his own enlightened insight, Mr. Clinton said, 'It is an insult to them to say that somehow they were intrinsically made to murder one another.'

"Deriding those who would say, 'They're just that way' to excuse violence in Northern Ireland or misbehavior among children, the President added, 'Well, if every parent said that, the jails would be five times as big as they are. That's not true. I just don't believe that. And I know what happened in Bosnia, where we found the unity and the will to stand up against the aggression, and we helped to end the war. And later, to make sure the peace would last, we agreed to send troops in with our allies. And I think it was a good investment.'

"So there you have it—victory, as defined by Bill Clinton. Like a parent disciplining an unruly child, our peace-war commander in chief is saying to Kosovo, 'Can't you just get along?' NATO is supposed to continue the bombing, in order to pacify warring factions in Serbia and Kosovo. The ultimate goal is to duplicate the edgy silence of Bosnia, and enforce it with NATO peacekeepers for years, perhaps for decades. This is the 'it' we are 'in', and there is no way Americans can win.

"The entire operation was conceived and launched by Secretary of State Madeleine Albright, who once said to General Colin Powell, then chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, 'What's the point of having this superb military that you're always talking about if we can't use it?' General Powell wrote in his autobiography that Mrs. Albright's outburst, made during a briefing on Bosnia, almost gave him an aneurysm. The general tried to explain that 'American GIs are not toy soldiers to be moved around on some sort of global gameboard.'

"But Mrs. Albright is finally getting her way, despite reported warnings from the current Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Once again uniformed leaders are being ordered to make war and peace simultaneously."

As the late Army Gen. Creighton Abrams, Vietnam-era Chief of Staff used to say, "Fighting in the name of peace is like seeking virtue in a bordello."

It is time to start over, before a bad situation gets worse. The deployment of land troops for combat—daintily described by Mrs. Albright as a "nonpermissive environment"—will not bring peace to a Kosovo that no longer exists. Why not follow the president's lead, and do something to make everyone feel better about the situation?

There are lots of creative ways to achieve the president's stated goals—diversity, community and belonging—without passing bad legislation or needlessly putting combat soldiers at risk. For starters, Mr. Clinton's Hollywood friends could stage a remake of that memorable soft-drink commercial—the one featuring a hillside of children folk-singing about apple trees, honey bees, and buying the world a Coke.

With help, Balkan refugees could participate in the production. Perhaps the International Monetary Fund could take the \$5 billion loan that Russian Prime Minister Yevgeny Primakov recently passed up, and divert it to Albania and other neighboring countries that are willing to provide clean clothes, food, and safe, temporary housing.

Forget the usual presidential photo-ops with deployed soldiers in fatigues. Let Bill Clinton risk his own neck for a change. To burnish his legacy, he could fly into Belgrade on an Apache helicopter, and play the saxophone at one of those rock concerts. Even with bullet-proof glass, it would make a great picture for the history books—just like the ones of John F. Kennedy in Berlin and Ronald Reagan at the Wall.

Then the belligerent Balkan leaders could be flown back to the White House for some friendly attitude adjustment. They could even shake hands in front of a beaming president, arms outstretched in a striking freeze frame that would make everyone feel good. So all together now . . . let's join hands, light a candle, and sing "Kumbaya." We can win the peace war in Kosovo. Just keep our soldiers out of it.

TAX DEDUCTION FAIRNESS ACT OF 1999

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. BAIRD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BAIRD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce legislation that will help restore tax fairness to millions of people in my home State of Washington and in other States throughout this great Nation. The problem, Mr. Speaker, is the lack of a deduction for sales taxes in the current tax code. Although the government allows tax deductions for a number of things, State and local income taxes, property taxes, self-employment taxes and others, one category is noticeably missing and that is sales tax. Today and every year at this time, taxpayers send their tax returns to the IRS. It is a ritual that all Americans have become accustomed to. It is

often frustrating. But we do it because we have to uphold our duties as a citizen. But that ritual brings added frustration for taxpayers in my State. A taxpayer in my State who has identical income and expenses to someone in another State should be able to deduct the amount they pay in State income tax, but that is not the case in Washington. We have no income tax, and we are not allowed to deduct our State sales taxes.

Folks in my State have the same amount of Federal income taxes withheld from their paychecks, but when it comes time to itemize their returns, they can only deduct nothing, because they have no income tax and they are not allowed to deduct their sales tax. It is not that we pay less in taxes. On the

contrary, we are in the top quarter of States in the amount of our personal income that goes to taxes. But thanks to the change in the tax code in 1986 when lawmakers decided to remove the deduction for sales taxes, people in Washington State were shortchanged.

Let me ask this simple question. Should residents of Washington have to pay hundreds more to the Federal treasury than those who live in other States, including States right across the river? Does it make sense for the Federal Government to dictate to States how they should structure their tax system? I would assert that the answer is clearly no. Federal taxes should be levied on all of our Nation's citizens in a fair and equitable manner, not in a way that gives preference to some

who happen to live in one State with an income tax while penalizing residents in States with sales taxes.

That is why today I am introducing legislation to correct this inequity. My bill, the Tax Deduction Fairness Act of 1999, would reinstate the sales tax deduction and direct the IRS to develop tables of average sales tax liabilities for taxpayers in every State. It would then give the taxpayer an option, to deduct either the State income tax or their State sales taxes paid in the previous year.

Frankly, this is nothing new. Before 1986, taxpayers were allowed to use simple tables to deduct their sales tax.

Mr. Speaker, I enter into the RECORD a sample of the form that was used in 1986.

1986 Optional State Sales Tax Tables

If you kept records that show you paid more sales tax than the table for your state indicates, you may claim the higher amount on Schedule A, line 8a.

Your itemized deduction for general sales tax paid can be estimated from these tables plus any qualifying sales taxes paid on the items listed on page 20.

To use the tables:

Step 1—Figure your total available income. Use the total of the amount on Form 1040, line 33, and nontaxable income such as veterans' benefits, workers' compensation, nontaxable part of unemployment compensation or long-term capital gains (however, do not include gains that are nontaxable because they were

reinvested in similar property, such as a principal residence), nontaxable part of social security and railroad retirement benefits, dividend exclusion, deduction for a married couple when both work, and public assistance payments.

Step 2—Count the number of exemptions for you and your family. Do not count exemptions claimed for being 65 or over or blind as part of your family size.

Step 3—Find the income line for your state on the tables and read across to find the amount of sales tax for your family size.

Income	Family size					Family size					Family size					Family size					Family size					Family size																														
	1	2	3	4	Over	1	2	3	4	Over	1	2	3	4	Over	1	2	3	4	Over	1	2	3	4	Over	1	2	3	4	Over	1	2	3	4	Over																					
At least																															Alabama ¹	Arizona ²					Arkansas ¹					California ³					Colorado ²					Connecticut ⁴				
But less than																																																								
\$0 \$10,000	128	153	171	184	195	211	143	158	167	174	179	187	151	181	201	217	230	249	167	187	200	210	218	229	43	50	55	58	61	66	141	146	150	153	154	157																				
10,000 15,000	156	187	208	224	238	258	178	196	208	216	223	233	184	220	244	263	279	302	206	232	248	260	270	284	55	64	70	74	78	83	179	186	191	194	197	200																				
15,000 20,000	193	229	255	275	292	316	223	245	260	270	279	291	224	268	298	321	340	368	257	288	308	324	336	353	71	82	90	95	100	107	229	239	244	249	252	256																				
20,000 25,000	223	267	297	320	339	368	263	290	306	319	329	343	260	311	345	372	394	427	303	340	363	381	396	416	85	99	108	115	120	128	276	287	294	299	303	308																				
25,000 30,000	251	301	335	361	383	415	300	331	350	364	376	392	293	350	389	419	444	480	345	387	414	434	451	474	98	114	125	133	139	149	320	333	341	347	351	357																				
30,000 35,000	278	334	371	400	424	460	336	370	391	407	420	438	323	386	429	462	490	530	385	432	462	484	503	529	111	129	141	150	157	168	362	376	385	392	397	404																				
35,000 40,000	303	364	404	436	462	501	369	406	430	447	461	482	351	420	467	503	533	577	422	474	507	532	552	581	124	143	157	167	175	187	382	418	428	435	441	449																				
40,000 45,000	327	392	436	470	499	541	401	441	467	486	501	523	378	453	503	541	574	621	458	514	550	577	599	630	135	157	172	183	192	205	440	458	469	477	483	492																				
45,000 50,000	350	420	467	503	534	578	431	475	503	523	540	563	404	483	537	574	612	663	493	553	591	620	643	677	147	171	186	198	208	222	478	497	509	518	525	534																				
50,000 60,000	382	459	510	550	583	632	475	523	554	576	595	621	440	527	585	630	668	723	542	608	650	682	708	745	164	190	207	221	231	247	532	554	567	577	584	595																				
60,000 70,000	423	507	564	608	645	699	531	585	619	644	664	693	486	581	646	696	737	798	604	678	725	761	789	831	185	215	234	249	262	279	602	626	641	652	660	672																				
70,000 80,000	461	553	615	663	703	762	584	643	680	708	730	762	529	633	703	757	802	868	663	744	796	835	866	912	205	238	260	277	290	310	668	696	712	724	734	747																				
80,000 90,000	498	597	664	716	759	828	634	698	739	769	793	828	569	681	757	815	863	935	720	807	864	906	940	989	225	261	285	303	318	340	733	763	781	794	804	819																				
90,000 100,000	532	638	710	765	812	880	682	752	795	828	854	891	608	727	808	870	922	998	774	868	928	974	1011	1064	244	284	309	329	345	369	795	828	847	862	873	889																				
100,000 or more	566	678	754	813	862	935	729	803	850	884	912	952	645	772	857	923	978	1059	826	926	991	1039	1079	1135	263	305	333	354	372	397	858	891	912	927	939	956																				
Income	District of Columbia ¹					Florida ¹					Georgia ¹					Hawaii ¹					Idaho ¹					Illinois ⁵																														
\$0 \$10,000	125	147	162	174	183	197	157	174	184	192	198	207	130	158	176	191	203	221	230	258	277	291	302	318	149	179	200	216	230	250	180	204	220	232	242	256																				
10,000 15,000	155	183	201	215	227	244	197	217	230	240	248	258	156	189	212	229	244	265	274	308	330	347	360	379	182	219	244	264	280	304	221	251	270	285	297	314																				
15,000 20,000	193	227	250	268	283	304	247	273	289	301	311	325	188	228	255	276	294	320	328	369	396	415	432	455	223	268	299	323	343	373	272	309	333	351	366	387																				
20,000 25,000	227	268	295	316	333	358	293	324	343	357	369	385	217	263	294	318	338	368	376	423	453	475	494	520	259	312	348	377	400	434	318	362	390	411	428	453																				
25,000 30,000	259	306	337	360	380	409	336	371	393	409	423	442	242	294	328	356	378	412	419	471	504	530	550	580	293	353	393	425	451	490	361	410	442	466	485	514																				
30,000 35,000	289	341	375	402	424	456	376	415	440	459	473	495	266	322	360	390	415	452	458	515	552	579	602	634	324	390	435	470	499	543	400	455	490	517	539	570																				
35,000 40,000	318	374	412	441	465	500	415	458	485	505	522	545	288	349	390	423	450	489	494	556	596	626	650	685	353	426	475	513	545	592	438	497	536	565	589	623																				
40,000 45,000	345	406	447	479	505	543	451	498	528	550	568	593	309	374	419	453	482	525	529	595	637	669	695	732	381	459	512	553	588	638	473	538	580	611	637	674																				
45,000 50,000	371	437	481	515	543	584	487	537	569	593	612	640	329	398	445	482	513	558	561	631	676	710	738	777	407	491	548	592	628	683	507	577	621	655	683	722																				
50,000 60,000	408	481	529	567	598	643	538	594	629	655	677	707	357	432	483	523	557	606	607	683	732	768	798	841	445	536	598	647	687	746	556	632	681	718	748	792																				
60,000 70,000	455	536	590	632	666	717	602	665	704	734	758	792	392	474	530	574	611	665	664	747	800	841	873	920	492	594	662	715	760	825	617	701	756	797	830	879																				
70,000 80,000	499	589	648	694	732	787	664	733	776	809	835	873	424	514	572	622	662	720	717	807	864	908	943	993	537	647	722	780	836	901	675	767	826	871	908	961																				
80,000 90,000	542	639	703	753	794	854	723	798	845	881	909	950	455	551	616	667	709	772	767	853	925	971	1008	1062	579	698	779	841	894	971	729	829	893	942	981	1039																				
90,000 100,000	583	687	756	810	854	919	779	860	911	950	980	1024	484	586	655	710	755	822	814	916	981	1031	1070	1128	620	747	833	900	956	1023	782	888	957	1009	1052	1113																				
100,000 or more	622	733	808	865	912	981	834	921	975	1016	1049	1096	512	620	693	750	798	869	859	967	1036	1087	1129	1190	658	793	885	956	1015	1103	832	946	1019	1074	1120	1185																				
Income	Indiana ¹					Iowa ¹					Kansas ¹					Kentucky ¹					Louisiana ⁶					Maine ⁷																														
\$0 \$10,000	169	191	206	217	225	238	134	147	155	161	166	173	118	142	158	171	182	197	118	127	132	136	139	144	105	113	117	121	124	128	117	127	133	137	141	146																				
10,000 15,000	208	236	253	267	277	293	167	183	193	201	207	215	145	175	195	211	224	243	150	161	168	173	177	182	133	143	149	154	158	163	148	160	168	174	178	185																				
15,000 20,000	258	291	313	330	343	362	209	229	242	251	259	270	180	217	242	261	278	302	191	205	214	220	226	233	171	183	191	197	202	209	188	204	211	217	223	231																				
20,000 25,000	302	342	367	387	402	425	248	271	286	298	306	319	211	255	284	307	326	354	229	246	257	264	271	279	205	221	230	237	243	251	225	244	253	261	271	281																				
25,000 30,000	343	388	417	439	457	482	283																																																	

1986 Optional State Sales Tax Tables—Continued

Income At least But less than	Family size					Family size					Family size					Family size					Family size															
	1	2	3	4	Over 5	1	2	3	4	Over 5	1	2	3	4	Over 5	1	2	3	4	Over 5	1	2	3	4	Over 5	1	2	3	4	Over 5						
	Nebraska ¹					Nevada ¹					New Jersey					New Mexico ¹					New York ¹⁰					North Carolina ¹¹										
\$0 \$10,000	102	112	119	125	129	135	122	132	138	142	145	150	106	110	112	113	114	116	196	232	255	274	288	310	124	136	143	148	153	159	158	191	213	231	245	267
10,000 15,000	126	140	148	155	160	167	156	168	176	181	185	192	138	142	145	147	149	151	240	283	312	334	352	379	158	170	179	186	192	200	190	230	257	278	296	322
15,000 20,000	157	174	185	193	199	209	201	216	226	233	238	246	180	186	190	192	194	197	295	348	383	410	433	466	196	215	226	235	242	252	230	278	311	337	356	390
20,000 25,000	186	206	218	228	235	246	243	261	272	281	288	297	220	227	231	235	237	240	343	405	447	478	505	543	233	255	269	279	288	299	266	321	359	389	413	450
25,000 30,000	212	235	249	260	269	281	282	303	316	326	334	345	258	266	271	275	278	282	388	458	505	541	570	614	268	293	309	321	330	344	298	360	403	436	464	505
30,000 35,000	237	262	278	290	300	314	319	343	358	369	378	391	295	304	310	314	317	322	430	507	559	599	631	680	301	329	347	360	371	386	327	396	443	480	510	555
35,000 40,000	260	288	306	319	330	345	355	382	399	411	421	435	330	341	347	352	356	361	469	553	610	653	689	742	332	363	383	398	409	426	355	430	481	520	553	602
40,000 45,000	282	313	332	346	358	374	390	419	438	451	462	477	364	376	384	389	393	398	506	597	658	705	744	800	362	396	418	434	446	465	381	462	516	559	594	647
45,000 50,000	304	336	357	373	385	403	424	456	476	490	502	519	398	411	419	425	429	435	542	639	704	755	796	857	391	428	451	468	482	502	406	492	550	595	633	689
50,000 60,000	334	371	393	410	424	444	473	508	531	547	560	578	447	462	471	477	482	489	592	699	770	825	870	937	432	473	499	518	533	555	442	535	598	647	688	749
60,000 70,000	373	414	439	458	473	495	535	576	601	620	634	655	511	528	538	545	551	558	656	774	853	914	964	1037	485	531	560	581	598	623	486	588	658	712	757	824
70,000 80,000	410	454	482	503	520	544	596	641	669	689	706	729	572	591	602	610	617	626	716	845	931	997	1052	1132	536	586	618	642	661	687	527	638	713	772	821	894
80,000 90,000	446	494	524	547	565	591	654	703	734	757	775	800	632	653	665	674	681	691	773	912	1005	1076	1135	1222	584	639	674	700	720	749	566	685	766	829	882	960
90,000 100,000	479	531	564	588	608	636	710	764	798	822	842	870	691	713	727	737	744	755	827	976	1075	1152	1215	1307	631	690	728	755	778	809	631	760	848	914	960	1022
100,000 or more	512	567	602	628	649	679	765	824	860	886	907	937	748	772	787	798	806	817	879	1037	1143	1224	1291	1390	676	740	780	809	833	867	639	773	864	935	995	1082

Income	North Dakota ¹	Ohio ¹	Oklahoma ¹	Pennsylvania	Rhode Island	South Carolina																														
\$0 \$10,000	95	105	111	116	120	125	119	128	133	137	141	145	111	132	145	156	164	177	109	114	117	119	121	123	117	121	124	125	127	129	163	196	217	234	248	269
10,000 15,000	120	132	140	146	151	158	151	162	169	175	179	184	136	161	177	190	201	216	139	145	149	152	154	158	149	154	157	159	161	164	198	237	263	283	300	325
15,000 20,000	152	168	178	186	192	200	193	207	216	223	228	236	167	197	218	233	246	265	178	187	192	196	199	203	190	197	201	204	206	210	240	286	320	344	365	395
20,000 25,000	182	201	213	222	229	239	232	249	260	268	274	283	194	230	253	272	287	309	215	226	232	236	240	245	228	237	241	245	248	252	278	333	370	398	422	457
25,000 30,000	210	232	245	256	264	276	268	288	301	310	317	327	220	260	286	307	324	349	250	262	269	274	278	284	264	274	280	284	287	292	312	374	415	447	474	514
30,000 35,000	236	261	276	288	297	311	303	325	340	350	358	370	243	287	317	340	358	386	284	297	305	311	316	322	299	309	316	321	324	329	344	412	457	493	522	566
35,000 40,000	261	289	306	319	329	344	336	361	377	388	397	410	265	313	346	370	391	421	316	330	339	346	351	358	332	344	351	356	360	366	373	447	497	536	568	615
40,000 45,000	285	315	334	348	360	376	368	396	413	425	435	450	286	338	373	400	422	454	347	363	373	380	386	394	363	377	384	390	395	401	401	481	534	576	610	661
45,000 50,000	309	341	362	377	389	407	399	429	448	461	472	486	306	362	397	427	451	486	377	394	405	413	419	428	394	408	417	423	428	435	428	513	570	614	651	705
50,000 60,000	343	379	401	418	432	451	444	477	496	513	525	542	335	395	436	467	493	531	420	440	452	461	468	477	439	455	464	471	476	484	464	558	620	669	708	768
60,000 70,000	386	426	452	471	486	508	501	539	562	580	593	613	370	438	483	517	546	588	476	499	512	522	530	541	496	514	524	532	538	547	513	615	683	736	780	846
70,000 80,000	427	472	500	521	538	562	557	598	624	643	658	680	404	477	526	564	595	641	530	555	570	581	590	602	551	570	582	591	598	607	558	668	740	800	848	919
80,000 90,000	467	516	547	570	588	615	610	655	684	705	721	745	436	515	568	609	642	692	582	609	626	638	648	661	603	625	638	648	655	665	603	718	798	860	912	988
90,000 100,000	505	558	591	616	636	665	661	711	741	764	782	808	466	551	608	651	687	740	633	662	680	694	704	718	654	678	692	702	710	722	640	766	851	917	972	1053
100,000 or more	542	599	635	662	683	714	711	764	797	822	841	869	595	685	746	792	830	886	682	714	733	747	759	774	704	729	745	756	764	776	678	812	902	972	1030	1116

Income	South Dakota ²	Tennessee ¹	Texas ¹	Utah ¹²	Vermont	Virginia ¹³																														
\$0 \$10,000	162	192	211	226	238	256	190	224	247	265	279	301	101	111	118	122	126	132	188	223	246	264	279	301	56	65	71	76	80	85	122	147	164	177	188	204
10,000 15,000	198	234	258	276	291	313	232	274	302	324	341	367	127	140	149	155	160	167	229	272	300	322	340	367	71	83	91	97	102	109	149	179	200	216	229	249
15,000 20,000	243	287	316	339	357	384	285	337	371	397	419	451	162	178	189	197	203	212	281	333	368	395	417	450	91	106	116	124	130	140	182	219	244	264	280	304
20,000 25,000	284	334	368	394	416	448	332	392	432	463	488	526	194	214	226	236	243	254	327	388	429	460	486	524	110	128	140	149	157	168	211	254	284	306	325	353
25,000 30,000	320	378	416	446	470	506	376	443	489	523	552	594	223	247	261	272	281	293	370	438	484	519	549	592	127	148	162	173	182	195	238	287	320	345	367	398
30,000 35,000	355	418	461	493	520	560	416	491	541	580	611	658	252	278	294	306	316	330	409	485	536	575	607	655	144	168	184	196	206	220	263	317	353	382	405	440
35,000 40,000	387	458	502	538	567	611</																														

April 15, 1999

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

H2109

Critics might suggest this would make the tax code more complex. I am the last to want to make the tax code more complex and in fact I will work vigorously to simplify that code. But the bill I am introducing today does not complicate the tax code. It adds one very simple line to one simple form already filled out by a taxpayer itemizing his or her deductions. Adding that line will save our taxpayers hundreds of dollars every year. For clarity, I will submit that Schedule A for the RECORD as well.

SCHEDULES A&B (Form 1040)

Schedule A—Itemized Deductions (Schedule B is on back)

OMB No. 1545-0074

1998

Attachment Sequence No. 07

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service (99)

Attach to Form 1040. See instructions for Schedules A and B (Form 1040).

Name(s) shown on Form 1040

Your social security number

Main form area with sections: Medical and Dental Expenses, Taxes You Paid, Interest You Paid, Gifts to Charity, Casualty and Theft Losses, Job Expenses and Most Other Miscellaneous Deductions, Other Miscellaneous Deductions, Total Itemized Deductions.

Name(s) shown on Form 1040. Do not enter name and social security number if shown on other side.

Your social security number

Schedule B—Interest and Ordinary Dividends

Attachment Sequence No. 08

Note: If you had over \$400 in taxable interest income, you must also complete Part III.

Part I Interest

(See pages 20 and B-1.)

Note: If you received a Form 1099-INT, Form 1099-OID, or substitute statement from a brokerage firm, list the firm's name as the payer and enter the total interest shown on that form.

- 1 List name of payer. If any interest is from a seller-financed mortgage and the buyer used the property as a personal residence, see page B-1 and list this interest first. Also, show that buyer's social security number and address
2 Add the amounts on line 1
3 Excludable interest on series EE U.S. savings bonds issued after 1989 from Form 8815, line 14. You MUST attach Form 8815 to Form 1040
4 Subtract line 3 from line 2. Enter the result here and on Form 1040, line 8a

Table with columns for line numbers (1, 2, 3, 4) and Amount.

Part II Ordinary Dividends

(See pages 21 and B-1.)

Note: If you received a Form 1099-DIV or substitute statement from a brokerage firm, list the firm's name as the payer and enter the ordinary dividends shown on that form.

- 5 List name of payer. Include only ordinary dividends. Report any capital gain distributions on Schedule D, line 13
6 Add the amounts on line 5. Enter the total here and on Form 1040, line 9

Table with columns for line numbers (5, 6) and Amount.

Part III Foreign Accounts and Trusts

(See page B-2.)

You must complete this part if you (a) had over \$400 of interest or ordinary dividends; (b) had a foreign account; or (c) received a distribution from, or were a grantor of, or a transferor to, a foreign trust.

- 7a At any time during 1998, did you have an interest in or a signature or other authority over a financial account in a foreign country, such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account?
b If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country
8 During 1998, did you receive a distribution from, or were you the grantor of, or transferor to, a foreign trust? If "Yes," you may have to file Form 3520. See page B-2

Table with columns for Yes and No for questions 7a, b, and 8.



If you look simply at line 5 of Schedule A, you see where people who pay income taxes to their State can deduct that, and you will see there is no line for Washington State taxpayers or taxpayers in similar States to deduct their sales tax.

This is not a complicated bill. It is a very simple bill, it is a fair bill and I would urge my colleagues to support it. We have an obligation to treat citizens fairly at the Federal level. That is why I am here, to fight for simple fairness.

This is the second time I have stood here in this well in less than a month to sponsor legislation that will protect our citizens from being subjected to unfair taxation. I will come back to the well of this House again and again until we achieve that standard.

I hope that my colleagues will see the wisdom of this fair proposal and that we can take swift action to restore this common-sense option. I invite them to join me in this effort for the simple reason that it is the right thing to do.

ON NATIONAL SECURITY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. NETHERCUTT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. NETHERCUTT. Mr. Speaker, I rise this afternoon out of concern for the State of America's national security. I do not want to speak directly to the ongoing operations in Kosovo today, although I am deeply troubled by the enormous uncertainties that seem to be the consequence of a poorly planned policy. Instead, I want to address the consequences of Kosovo on the U.S. military presence worldwide. I believe we are facing a period of unacceptable risk.

Our armed forces are spread across the globe, from South Korea to Latin America. We are engaged in areas that are clearly essential to American security and in areas that are clearly tangential to our security. We are engaged in what are essentially two air wars on two continents at the same time to which we are asking combat engineers to devote themselves to building roads and bridges. We are deterring invasion and we are garrisoning in support of peace agreements.

What we must consider is whether we are doing too much and we spread too thin. Historically we have been warned of the dangers of "imperial overstretch." Unfortunately, I have fears that we are reaching such a point today. I do not want to call for retrenchment or retreat, but we must ask if we have gone too far and if we have asked too much of the armed forces. If we have, it is the job of Congress and the administration to work together to identify solutions.

In 1997, the Quadrennial Defense Review reaffirmed the requirement that the U.S. must be prepared to fight two nearly simultaneously major theater wars while also staying ready for lesser contingencies. I have argued in Congress that the available funding for the Department of Defense has been inadequate to meet those requirements.

When the United States fought the 1991 Persian Gulf War, we had about 3.2 million soldiers in the active and reserve components. Ten years later, today, we have 900,000 fewer men and women in uniform.

□ 1645

The Army, which has been tasked with the responsibility of maintaining the majority of our overseas presence, has seen its active duty end strength fall by some 40 percent since 1991. Today we maintain as a matter of national strategy 100,000 troops in Asia and another 100,000 troops in Europe. We now have more than 20,000 personnel actively engaged in Operation Allied Force, and nearly 40,000 personnel are engaged in an astonishing 20 other operations around the world today, and the situation today varies only slightly from the breakneck operational pace since the Persian Gulf War. A recent Congressional Research Service report counts 28 different contingency operations from 1991 until now at a cost of nearly \$18 billion. The President has committed our resources to these operations.

The Air Mobility Command Base in my hometown of Spokane at Fairchild is an example of this extraordinary intensive operational tempo. Fairchild is kept very busy supporting KC-135 aerial refueling tankers from 16 different locations around the world. Ninety-seven percent of the total crew force from the 92nd Airlift Wing is deployed today.

We are trying to maintain this level of international presence with increasingly ancient equipment. The KC-135's based at Fairchild have an average age of 37 years. There is no planning for replacement largely because there are no funds available. The B-52s, which were also once based at Fairchild, are slightly older, yet the Air Force intends to keep them in the inventory until 2040. No replacement is in sight, another victim of dramatically smaller defense budgets. Despite the intensive operational pace, defense spending has fallen 30 percent from Fiscal Year 1991 levels and 40 percent from Fiscal Year 1985 levels.

As we overcommit our forces to tangential operations around the globe, the risk increases. Troops deployed in Haiti cannot immediately support missions in Korea, and troops trained to keep the peace in Bosnia are not combat ready if they are called upon to defend Kuwait.

A rubber band can only be stretched so far before it breaks, and I fear we are nearing that point. Mr. Milosevic called the Clinton administration's bluff in Kosovo, and 3 weeks ago American forces were pitched into a war we had not planned for and lacked the resources to immediately support. What would formerly have been considered a lesser contingency has now tied down a significant number of our conventional combat power.

General Clark's recent request for reinforcements is for a total of 800 planes in the region, tying up nearly seven combat air wings out of a total of 20 in Europe. Our most important assets are

committed. We have heavily taxed our available airlift. It is all tied up with supporting our forces and the refugees in Kosovo. There is no carrier battle group providing coverage in Northeast Asia because of the need to support the Balkan mission. We have nearly expended all available air launched cruise missiles, and both the Air Force and the Navy have submitted emergency requests to replenish depleted stores.

Now it looks like the President is going to be calling up the Reserves to support this mission, the first call-up since the Persian Gulf War. Can we sustain this pace? It is very questionable. We must fund it if we are going to sustain it.

The services have presented the National Security Appropriations Subcommittee a list of unfunded requirements that amounts to over \$7 billion a year, and these funds are needed just to meet the military's most critical needs, not considering any of the shortfalls that have emerged in the last few weeks. This is a serious situation and supplemental funding should include not just the costs of the operation, but also the critical funds that the military needs to step back from the brink to which it has been pushed. We must reverse continued deterioration of our Armed Forces.

FEDERAL EMPLOYEES GROUP LONG-TERM CARE INSURANCE ACT OF 1999

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. SHIMKUS). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CUMMINGS) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, the provision of long-term care insurance coverage to Federal employees is an important priority for me as ranking member of the Subcommittee on Civil Service. On January 6, I introduced H.R. 110, the Federal Employees Group Long-Term Care Insurance Act of 1999. My bill is one of four elements of the comprehensive long-term care package proposed earlier this year by President Clinton.

H.R. 110 would authorize the Office of Personnel Management to purchase a policy or policies from one or more qualified private sector contractors to make long-term care insurance available to Federal employees, retirees and eligible family members at group rates. Coverage would be paid for entirely by those who elect it.

The Clinton administration and I support modifying H.R. 110 to extend long-term care coverage to employees of the United States Postal Service, active duty military personnel, military retirees and their families. I believe that extending coverage to Postal employees and military personnel would make the risk larger and more diverse and would help keep costs down.

All participants other than active employees and active duty military personnel would be fully underwritten, as is standard practice with products of this kind. Coverage made available to individuals would be guaranteed renewable and could not be canceled except