

trade agreements. I believe it's unfortunate because without fast track authority it will be more difficult to negotiate reductions in non-tariff barriers throughout the world that would stimulate demand for American products and create jobs for American citizens.

I have outlined a heavy burden, Mr. President, one whose weight may surprise us. Many Americans thought we won, no doubt, and that the burden of leadership—along with the cloud of danger—had passed. We did win, Mr. President, our blood and treasure struck a tremendous blow for freedom. Our pride is not diminished by the fact that our work is not done.

Shortly before the Soviet Union fell, one of the great soldiers of the Cold War, General Colin Powell, met with General Jack Galvin—commander of NATO—to discuss threats to our security. General Galvin wore a worried look on his face as he plodded through threat after threat after threat that remained. General Powell responded: "Smile, Jack. We won."

Smile, Mr. President. But we must also steel our will. The burden of war is behind us. The burden of victory remains.

---

#### EXPORT-IMPORT BANK AND THE ENVIRONMENT

Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, I understand that my good friend and colleague from Alaska, Senator MURKOWSKI, chairman of the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee, has recently introduced legislation which would amend the Export-Import Bank Act of 1945 to assure that the United States is consistent with other G-7 countries in evaluating environmental concerns whenever the Bank undertakes project financing. I understand the Senator's concerns. However, I feel that this issue would be much better addressed with a full hearing. Adding this provision onto the Omnibus Appropriations bill without fully discussing it and analyzing its implications with a hearing, may not be prudent.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, my good friend from New York, the chairman of the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, Senator D'Amato, is correct. I have introduced a bill, S. 2537, to amend the Export-Import Bank's environmental provisions. The bill does two things. First, it directs the Ex-Im Bank to negotiate a multi-lateral agreement with the export financing agencies of all G-7 countries to address environmentally sensitive development overseas. Second, until such agreement is reached, my legislation would ensure that U.S. companies have access to Ex-Im Bank financing of overseas projects where other G-7 countries are providing or have indicated an intent to provide financing to the project in question without conditioning such assistance on environmental policies or procedures. The net effect of this law is to

impose unilateral sanctions on U.S. companies in the name of the environment.

I had intended to discuss this legislation as part of Senate action on trade issues, because the issue here is trade and competition. This year, however, trade legislation may only be adopted as part of the omnibus spending bill, or not at all.

Mr. D'AMATO. Clearly, my friend has raised a valid concern. Certainly, no member in the Senate is in favor of needlessly denying the necessary financing to a U.S. company, and allowing them to compete internationally, especially in light of the disproportionate levels of financing, and in some cases subsidization provided by many foreign governments to their domestic businesses. I share the Senator's concerns that the Bank not give any other country an unfair advantage when it comes to competing for jobs abroad. However, I am also concerned that this issue has not been addressed properly by the Senate Banking Committee, the committee of jurisdiction with regard to this issue. When ever the Bank considers financing projects abroad, there certainly should be consideration given to the effects on the environment. And additionally, the U.S. should continue to participate in negotiations with the rest of the international community which seek to establish some set of standards for all countries.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. Mr. President, I understand the concerns of the Senator from New York about this legislation, particularly because he is chairman of the committee with jurisdiction over the Export-Import Bank. And I agree that this matter is so important that it deserves the attention of the full Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs. Is the Senator saying that when the Senate reconvenes for the 106th session, the Chairman will schedule a hearing on my legislation at the earliest possible convenience?

Mr. D'AMATO. Mr. President, that is precisely what I am suggesting, and I appreciate the cooperation of the Senator from Alaska and his understanding on this matter.

Mr. MURKOWSKI. I thank my good friend from New York. As a result of his commitment on hearings, I will not attempt to include my Ex-Im legislation in the omnibus spending bill. I will look forward to working with the Chairman next year to address this important issue.

---

#### SOFTWARE COMPETITION

Mr. KERRY. As many of my colleagues are aware, on October 7, a coalition of prominent consumer groups released a study entitled "The Consumer Case Against Microsoft." The report reviews quantitative evidence, journalistic accounts of the software industry and evidence presented by the Department of Justice and the states Attorneys General in its discussion of four major areas of alleged attempts at

monopolization—operating systems, desktop applications, web browsers and electronic commerce. The report concludes that Microsoft has a monopoly in several important segments of the consumer software market and is likely to continue to use its market power to gain monopoly market share in other existing and developing markets. In addition, the report argues that Microsoft's business practices and monopoly status combine to deprive consumers of cost savings, quality and choice. These are important issues, and I hope the next Congress will further explore this matter.

Later this month, after we adjourn, the antitrust case against Microsoft will go to trial, and it may conclude before the next Congress convenes. During the course of this trial, the public will learn much about business practices in the software industry, and issues surrounding competition in the software industry will likely gain a higher degree of visibility. I commend all of my colleagues to monitor this trial and the questions that it may raise.

I also ask my colleagues to review the consumers groups' report along with any rebuttal which Microsoft may put forth. The issues raised in the report and during the trial may force Congress to examine whether existing antitrust law sufficiently addresses market abuses in the new digital age. They may also force Congress to consider new and important consumer protection and market dominance issues absent traditional antitrust examination. In the final analysis, we must strive to ensure that all consumers, large and small, are able to benefit from a vibrant and competitive electronic marketplace marked by innovation, competitive pricing and consumer choice.

---

#### MANUFACTURED HOUSING IMPROVEMENT ACT

Mr. SHELBY. Mr. President, due to an inadvertent oversight, Senator SUSAN COLLINS was not listed as a co-sponsor of S. 2145, the Manufactured Housing Improvement Act of 1998, when the Senate returned from August recess in September. I hope this statement in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD will clarify Senator COLLIN's enthusiasm for S. 2145. I thank Senator COLLINS for her support of the bill.

---

#### PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE REAUTHORIZATION

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I am pleased that the Senate has passed the United States Patent and Trademark Office Reauthorization Act, Fiscal Year 1999, H.R. 3723. This bill, which passed the House of Representatives on May 12, 1998, is an important measure that would benefit all American inventors and would, for the first time in the history of the U.S. patent system, reduce patent fees.