

They were quite overwhelming. They involved, especially, choice and child care issues. I come to the floor this afternoon, however, grateful that we have removed contraception from the women's list of demerits for the 105th Congress. May we all do better in the 106th Congress.

FOUR YEARS' ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF REPUBLICAN-CONTROLLED CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. SMITH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SMITH of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I just came from our Republican Conference talking about what is in this omnibus appropriation bill. The good news is that when we think of what might have happened with the tax-and-spend presidency, with the tax-and-spend Democrats, what would have happened if Democrats were in control of this Chamber not fighting to make sure that we did not reach into the surpluses that we have for additional spending.

What we have accomplished since the Republicans took the majority 4 years ago is a tremendous reduction in deficit spending. The unified budget deficit 4 years ago was \$210 billion. If we add to that what we were borrowing from the Social Security trust fund, then it came to about \$160 billion, more deficit at that time than we have this year.

We actually paid down the debt to the public this past year by \$60 billion. We expect that this current fiscal year, the 1999 fiscal year, we will reduce the debt to the public, the public debt, by an additional \$62 billion. It is not as good as it should be. We are still borrowing some of that money from the Social Security trust fund for other spending. I wish my colleagues would join me in cosponsoring my bill that stops the government from borrowing this money and leaving simple IOUs.

Look, the point is that we have got a good start. We have got a smaller deficit by \$260 billion than we had 4 years ago. If we look at what happened when I first came to this Congress in 1993, the frustration of a Democrat majority and a presidency that increased taxes on senior citizens, on gasoline, and so most Republicans voted against it, most every Democrat voted for it, and we have been trying to turn that around ever since.

Now the goals of this Congress, with a majority of Republicans, I think is twofold. I think we are looking at traditional family values. There is not a lot that government can do about traditional family values, but, look, there are some things we can do. The marriage penalty tax. We can set an example. We can encourage neighborhoods to get involved. And that is what we are trying to do with our drug program.

□ 1800

We have expanded money for drug control in this Congress with this

budget that we expect to pass in the next 48 hours. Drugs are disrupting education. Drugs are one of the major causes of crime. And we are saying that, look, we are going to be serious about an all-out war on drugs.

I think as we look at our challenges ahead for the future, certainly we have got to put a priority on trying to deal with the fiscal problem of Social Security and Medicare. Those are two huge challenges that we have got to face up to. I am concerned about the politics that is being played with those items during this election year.

I would just suggest, Mr. Speaker, to everybody out there that might be listening to this program to brace up for the last two weeks of this campaign, as there is going to be a lot of misleading and false information out there that suggests that one side of the aisle cares less about balancing the budget or more than the other side, that one side cares less or more about Social Security and Medicare.

Our two biggest fiscal problems are Social Security and Medicare that we have got to deal with. I think on the domestic side, we need to look at traditional family values. We need to give the kind of priority to education that this appropriation bill gives, as we have expanded over and above what the President requested for the programs for the slow learners, for the special program education money that goes into IDEA, because hopefully both sides of the aisle will give the kind of priority to education, will give the kind of priority to reducing crime that this particular appropriation bill does that we will pass in the next two days.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GUTKNECHT). The Chair would advise that it is inappropriate to address the viewing audience.

HOW HISPANIC AMERICANS FARED

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Mr. BECERRA) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BECERRA. Mr. Speaker, we are very close to the end of this session, and perhaps it is fitting that we have an opportunity to try to assess what has gone on this year. It happens that as the individual who has been given the privilege to serve this Congress as the chairman of the Congressional Hispanic Caucus, a caucus which is composed of all those Members of Congress of Hispanic heritage, that this year we have an opportunity to talk a little bit about how Hispanic Americans have fared in this Congress and through this White House in legislation and in proposals administered by the executive branch of government.

And to help us in that we are fortunate. About 8 years ago many of the national Hispanic organizations came

together and formed an umbrella organization, the National Hispanic Leadership Agenda. Back in 1991, when they formed, they decided to have a policy to try to come together and see if with all the voices of these national organizations, they could try to project a voice for Americans of Hispanic descent.

This organization is nonpartisan and it is, as I said, a coalition of all the major national Hispanic organizations. It includes communities from all the different streams of Hispanic America. It includes those individuals of Mexican American ancestry, Puerto Rican ancestry, Cuban Americans and all those who are from the Caribbean, Central and South American areas.

Let me give you a list of some of the organizations, national organizations that are part of the National Hispanic Leadership Agenda. We have the Hispanic National Bar Association. We have the Hispanic Association of Corporate Responsibility. We have the U.S. Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, Cuban American National Council, the League of United Latin American Citizens, the National Hispanic Corporate Council. We have the Society of Hispanic Professional Engineers, the Puerto Rican Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Hispanic Association of Colleges and Universities, the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, the American GI Forum, Alianza Dominicana, the National Puerto Rican Coalition, MANA, a National Latina Organization, the National Hispanic Council on Aging, the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials, the National Council of La Raza, and the U.S.-Mexico Chamber of Commerce, to name some of the many organizations that are part of the National Hispanic Leadership Agenda. Overall they represent millions of Americans and try as best possible to come together in one voice.

Let me show you a little bit of what they came up with. This is their scorecard that they just recently issued. It is called the National Hispanic Leadership Agenda congressional scorecard, 105th Congress. What the various organizations do within the NHLA is to take a number of very important votes that this Congress took this year and in 1997, during the 105th Congress, and assess where we stood as a Congress with respect to issues important to Americans of Hispanic descent.

They took many votes, about 24 of the most important votes that were taken here in the House and about 11 of the most important votes taken in the Senate, and they came up with a scorecard. And I went ahead and summarized some of that so we would at least have a sense of where we are this year at the end of the year.

Let me, if I may then, refer to this chart. If you break it down, you will see that votes taken by the House and the Senate, there were more votes taken in the House than in the Senate

and, therefore, more votes that the NHLA was able to use to try to rate the Members of Congress, grade the Members of Congress. But what we find is overall scores of the various votes taken in the House of Representatives, for example, of the 24 votes that were scored, 19 percent of the time the Republicans in the House of Representatives supported Hispanic American issues and concerns. Democrats supported, on those same 24 votes, supported Hispanic American concerns 85 percent of the time.

In the Senate, the support by Members of the Senate who were Republican was 20 percent, 20 percent of the time Republican Senators voted in support of measures that the NHLA identified as extremely important for Hispanics throughout this country. In the Senate, Democrats voted 89 percent of the time in support of issues that were important to Hispanic Americans.

I further broke this down to give a better sense, since it would be difficult to list the votes of the 435 Members of Congress along with the 100 Members of the Senate the way the NHLA did, but if we took the leadership, and I decided to take the leadership votes out. We find that in the Senate, the Republican leadership under Mr. LOTT, TRENT LOTT, Mr. LOTT's score, of the 11 votes, was zero percent, unfortunately, which means that on no occasion did he support Hispanic American issues. Ninety-one percent for Senator DASCHLE. On the House side it was zero for Speaker GINGRICH, and it was 7 percent for the gentleman from Missouri (Mr. GEPHARDT).

That will give us a sense and hopefully we can go from there to see how Congress supports issues important to Hispanic Americans.

CHALLENGES THAT AWAIT THE CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. HAYWORTH) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening not to attempt to drive a wedge among Americans of different backgrounds. Indeed, I believe what President Theodore Roosevelt said is true, that though we come from many different backgrounds, we celebrate 100 percent Americanism, even when people have different views about a variety of issues.

Mr. Speaker, I come to the well tonight further to discuss the challenge that still awaits this Congress, the challenging decisions we confront.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to report to the citizens of the sixth congressional district of Arizona, who I am pleased to represent, indeed all Americans from coast to coast, Mr. Speaker, that this Congress is making progress on a variety of fronts, but most especially on that topic that seemed to be on the tips of just about everyone's tongue, especially on the other side of

the aisle earlier this week, and that of course is education.

Mr. Speaker, I think common sense dictates that education is too important to be left up to Washington bureaucrats. That is why in the midst of this historic attempt to reach a budget agreement, I am personally pleased to see that after a time of discussion and negotiation, those who represented the administration found that also this should be true.

For while there will be increased spending on education, it will be done at the local level. Indeed, perhaps even exceeding the hard work done by our colleague the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. PITTS) in his Dollars to the Classroom Act, which said that for every education dollar at the Federal level, 95 cents of that dollar should end up in local classrooms, helping teachers teach and helping children learn. And indeed, in the wake of these negotiations, now 100 percent of the money will end up at the local level for States and, more importantly, for local school districts to determine how best to utilize for teacher training and for challenges they confront. Because after all, the key to solving many difficulties and challenges in education are confronted by school board members who are elected in their respective communities, by the teachers who know the names of the children in their classrooms, by the parents who have a concern because they have been entrusted with our most precious resource, a resource for which no price tag can be attached.

We have been dealing with many challenges when it comes to these budget talks and, Mr. Speaker, I can remember on a few occasions even the President of the United States has come into this Chamber with his State of the Union messages and he has offered some interesting comments. Indeed, in the last State of the Union message, Mr. Speaker, when you totaled up everything the President had proposed in his budget plan, you were looking at more than \$150 billion of new spending.

The common sense conservative majority has put the brakes on that. And more importantly, to pay for those programs, not with a great deal of illumination or elucidation, but the President of the United States had a price tag attached, over \$130 billion of tax and fee increases. Mr. Speaker, we can report this evening that there will be no tax increases in our agreement.

And more importantly, Mr. Speaker, because we do face pressing needs, not only the Y2K crisis that confronts us in the field of high technology, but more basically, as we take a look at the preamble to the Constitution and our charge to provide for the common defense, every dollar of new social spending will be matched with a dollar for our defense spending, for, Mr. Speaker, reports have come to us that are indeed disturbing, reports of a hollow force that we have not seen since the late

1970s, reports of a spare parts and personnel crisis.

I know that other colleagues will join us to discuss these pressing issues, but we are making the choices right for America.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE 105TH CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. WELLER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. WELLER. Mr. Speaker, it is hard to believe after two long, hard-working years that the 105th Congress is now coming to a close. It is expected we should be rapping up our business probably in the next 24 to 48 hours. I thought I would just take a few minutes and look back over the 105th Congress and talk about, frankly, some of the accomplishments that we have achieved.

I represent a very, very diverse district. I represent the south side of Chicago and the south suburbs in Cook and Will Counties, bedroom communities as well as rural and farm towns.

I find there is a pretty common message that comes out of these communities. That is, they are tired of partisan politics. They are looking for solutions. They want us to meet the challenges that we are sent to Washington to work on but to come up with solutions and then get those solutions achieved.

I was thinking when I was first elected back in 1994, the gentleman who just preceded me in 1994, and we had an agenda. We were told time and time again that we could not achieve it. We were told we could not balance the budget. We were told that we could not cut taxes for the middle class. We were told that we could not reform the failed welfare system. We were told that the IRS was good the way it is, that we could not make changes.

□ 1815

But I am proud to say that in the 105th Congress that we have made some real accomplishments, coming up with solutions that work. I am proud to report, at the end of the 105th Congress and the last 2 years, we have balanced the budget for the first time in 28 years. We cut taxes for the middle class for the first time in 16 years. We reformed our welfare system for the first time in over a generation. Yes, we tamed the tax collector, reforming and restructuring the IRS for the first time ever.

Now that we are in the final hours, I thought I would take talk about an additional accomplishment, some important accomplishments that affect folks back home, and that is in the area of education, priorities for our local schools.

I am proud that, after 2 years in the 105th Congress, we have some pretty good accomplishments to take home. Thanks to this Congress, we now have