

FOOTNOTES

¹If, however, the IG determines that the reported information is not properly subject to that office's jurisdiction, but that such information may be reportable pursuant to this MOU, the IG may forward the information to the DOJ in compliance with these procedures. Alternatively, the IG may transmit the information to the Agency's General Counsel for a determination of what response, if any, is required by this MOU.

²This MOU does not affect the crimes reporting obligations of any law enforcement and other non-intelligence components of a department, agency, or organization.

³When a General Counsel or IG has received information concerning alleged violations of federal law by an employee of another intelligence community agency, and those violations are not exempted under section III.E.4. hereof, the General Counsel shall notify in writing the General Counsel of the accused employee's agency. The latter General Counsel must then determine whether this MOU requires the allegations to be reported to the Department of Justice.

⁴A "serious felony offense" includes any offense listed in Section VII, hereof, violent crimes, and other offenses which, if committed in the presence of a reasonably prudent and law-abiding person, would cause that person immediately to report that conduct directly to the police. For purposes of this MOU, crimes against government property that do not exceed \$5,000 and are not part of a pattern of continuing behavior or of a criminal conspiracy shall not be considered serious felony offenses.

⁵"Exigent circumstances" are circumstances requiring prompt action by the Agency in order to protect life or substantial property interests; to apprehend or identify a fleeing offender; or to prevent the compromise, loss, concealment, destruction, or alteration of evidence of a crime.

□ 1530

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from California (Ms. WATERS) has expired.

(On request of Mr. DICKS, and by unanimous consent, Ms. WATERS was allowed to proceed for 2 additional minutes.)

Mr. DICKS. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman would yield to me, I appreciate very much the hard work that the gentleman from California has put into this, an enormous effort on her part.

I regret that, because of a technicality, the amendment will not be accepted. I guarantee the gentleman we will work with her to make certain that we do everything we can to come up with a strategy to be certain that the understanding that is now in place with the Attorney General is strengthened, so that, in cases where there has been illegal activity or problems, that they must be reported to the Attorney General.

I know that is the thrust of your amendment. As you know, our committee is still involved in our investigation. It may well be one of the conclusions of our investigation that we need to strengthen this area.

I pledge to the gentleman from California that I will work with her to get a satisfactory solution. Again, I appreciate the gentleman's endeavors and hard work here.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank the gentleman from Washington (Mr. DICKS).

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman from California yield?

Ms. WATERS. Yes, I yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I echo what the ranking member has said. I think the gentleman from California is right on in an area of critical importance; there is no doubt about that.

We are in the middle of the investigation, as the gentleman knows. We are going to have recommendations. Certainly this is an area of concern. I do not know what those recommendations will be, but I assure the gentleman that her thoughts and her input on this are being accepted, listened to, and we will be considering them as we go forward with the other information we get in our investigation.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Chairman, I would like to thank the chairman and our ranking member and say to our ranking member that I really appreciate the fact that he has at least been able to listen to some of the ideas that I have brought to that committee.

I know that the gentleman is, by far, one of the most knowledgeable in this area and that some of the things that I am raising are things that challenge conventional wisdom. But the gentleman has been very cooperative, and I appreciate it.

Mr. DICKS. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the gentleman's kind remarks.

Ms. WATERS. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw the amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

The CHAIRMAN. The amendment is withdrawn.

Are there further amendments to title IV?

The Clerk will designate title V.

The text of title V is as follows:

TITLE V—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SEC. 501. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO ENGAGE IN COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AS SECURITY FOR INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION ACTIVITIES.

Section 431(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "December 31, 1998" and inserting in lieu thereof "December 31, 2001".

The CHAIRMAN. Are there amendments to title V?

Are there further amendments to the bill?

If not, the question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, as amended.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, as modified, as amended, was agreed to.

The CHAIRMAN. Under the rule, the Committee rises.

Accordingly, the Committee rose; and the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. NEY) having assumed the chair, Mr. THORBERRY, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, reported that that Committee, having had under consideration the bill (H.R. 3694) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1999 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes, pursuant to House Resolution 420, he reported the bill back to the House with an amendment adopted by the Committee of the Whole.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the rule, the previous question is ordered.

Is a separate vote demanded on the amendment to the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute adopted by the Committee of the Whole? If not, the question is on the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute.

The committee amendment in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 3694, the bill just considered and passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

AUTHORIZING THE CLERK TO MAKE CORRECTIONS IN ENGROSSMENT OF H.R. 3694, INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that in the engrossment of the bill, H.R. 3694, the Clerk be authorized to make such technical and conforming changes as may be necessary to correct such things as spelling, punctuation, cross-referencing, and section numbering.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF FILING DEADLINE FOR H.R. 2431, FREEDOM FROM RELIGIOUS PERSECUTION ACT

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Florida is recognized for one minute.

There was no objection.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I take this time for the purpose of making an announcement.

Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules is planning to meet during the week of May 11 to grant a rule which may restrict amendments for consideration of H.R. 2431, the Freedom from Religious Persecution Act.

Any Member contemplating an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and a brief explanation to the Committee on Rules at H-312 of the Capitol no later than 5 p.m. Tuesday, May 12.

Amendments should be drafted to the text of the H.R. 3806, a new bill introduced today, which consists of H.R.

2431 as reported by the Committee on International Relations, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Ways and Means, a copy of which is now available for review at the Committee on International relations.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that their amendments are properly drafted and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain their amendments comply with the Rules of the House.

ANNOUNCEMENT OF FILING DEADLINE FOR H.R. 3616, FISCAL YEAR 1999 DOD AUTHORIZATION BILL

(Mr. GOSS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the gentleman from Florida is recognized for 1 minute.

There was no objection.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I take this time for the purpose of making an additional announcement.

Mr. Speaker, the Committee on Rules is planning to meet early in the week of May 18 to grant a rule which may restrict amendments for consideration of H.R. 3616, the Defense Authorization Bill for Fiscal Year 1999.

Any Member contemplating an amendment should submit 55 copies of the amendment and a brief explanation to the Committee on Rules in H-312 of the Capitol no later than 2 p.m. on Thursday, May 14.

Amendments should be drafted to the text of the reported version of the bill, a copy of which will become available during the day tomorrow at the Committee on National Security. The report will be filed early next week.

Members should use the Office of Legislative Counsel to ensure that the amendments are properly drafted and should check with the Office of the Parliamentarian to be certain that amendments comply with the Rules of the House.

ADJOURNMENT TO MONDAY, MAY 11, 1998

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 2 p.m. on Monday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

HOUR OF MEETING ON TUESDAY, MAY 12, 1998

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns on Monday, May 11, 1998, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. on Tuesday, May 12, 1998 for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

HOUR OF MEETING ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 1998

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns on Tuesday, May 12, 1998, it adjourn to meet at 9 a.m. on Wednesday, May 13, 1998 for the purpose of receiving in this Chamber former Members of Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

AUTHORIZING THE SPEAKER TO DECLARE A RECESS ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 1998, FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECEIVING FORMER MEMBERS OF CONGRESS

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that it may be in order on Wednesday, May 13, 1998 for the Speaker to declare a recess, subject to the call of the Chair, for the purpose of receiving in this Chamber former members of this Congress.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order under the Calendar Wednesday rule be dispensed with on Wednesday next.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. BONIOR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I take this time for the purpose of inquiring about the schedule for the rest of the week and the schedule for the following week.

Let me just pose the question, are we waiting for one of the leaders to come out to the floor?

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, will the minority whip yield for a question?

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield to my friend from Texas.

Mr. STENHOLM. Mr. Speaker, I have been here for the purposes of hoping to hear in the schedule for next week that we were going to have campaign finance reform up, since that was sort of agreed to here when we had a discharge petition that was pulled down, and we had the indication that we were going to have this bill up. I had hoped to be over here to hear that colloquy be-

tween you and the majority. I guess they are not here.

Mr. BONIOR. I am still hoping that they will come. That was one of my main concerns on the schedule for next week.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I am happy to yield to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, I have just been informed, obviously we did not have a rollcall, and the leaders have been off campus, and we will be publishing next week's schedule in the RECORD.

Mr. BONIOR. Does the gentleman from Florida know if campaign finance will be brought up next week?

Mr. FOLEY. That is all I know. That is all the information I have at this time.

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, I really have tried to be very reasonable about these discussions this year. I am a little concerned here. Forgive me for getting into this issue, but we have had so many miscommunications, delays, and, if you will pardon me, broken promises on this that I am disturbed by this.

There was a handshake by the President and the Speaker that we would have campaign finance reform. Nothing happened for a long period of time. Then, in March, we had this procedure that really locked out a lot of the issues that people wanted to talk about on this floor, especially the Meehan-Shays proposal and other very good proposals.

Then we had a discharge petition, and it looked like it was going to get discharged. There were some comments made that we are going to have a vote on this in May, and now we hear reports that we are not going to vote in May. We are going to vote after May when we come back from the May recess.

It is very, very disturbing, and I would like some answers. I would like to hear from the Republican leadership what is going on and why these broken promises continue, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, I yield to my friend, the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. BAESLER) and my other friend from Texas on this issue because it is something we need an answer on.

Mr. BAESLER. Mr. Speaker, as we all know, the leadership, the Speaker, made a commitment that we are going to vote on this issue in May. We are hearing rumors now that we are not going to vote in May and maybe vote after Memorial Day.

We also are hearing rumors that maybe Shays-Meehan may not be proper. That was also a commitment made by the Speaker and the leadership to encourage those Republicans and others to withdraw the names from the discharge petition.

It is our position, those of us who originated the petition, those of us who signed, if we do not have an answer on this within the next day or two, we are