

pursue careers in science, mathematics, and engineering.

Westinghouse Talent Search alumni have won more than 100 of the world's most coveted science and math awards and honors. Five have gone on to win the Nobel prize, three have been awarded the National Medal of Science, and thirty have been elected to the National Academy of Sciences.

Mr. Speaker, Ting Lou finished second among the 1,869 nationwide entries. She investigated gene expression, a fundamental cellular process, and proposed a mechanism for turning gene expression on and off.

Ting Lou who resides in Woodside, NY attends Stuyvesant High School, a magnet school located in Manhattan which contributed four overall finalists, only one of two schools nationwide to contribute multiple finalists.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud to recognize the achievements of Ting Lou and I know my colleagues join me in congratulating her and all the other finalists in the Westinghouse Talent Search.

FUTURE OF U.S. DIPLOMACY

HON. LEE H. HAMILTON

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 30, 1996

Mr. HAMILTON. Mr. Speaker, several weeks ago, Richard Gardner, our distinguished ambassador to Spain, gave a thoughtful speech entitled, "Who Needs Ambassadors? Challenges to American Diplomacy Today." I believe these remarks are very relevant to our ongoing deliberations on H.R. 1561, which would authorize spending levels for the State Department and other foreign policy agencies. Ambassador Gardner points out what happens to American foreign policy when our Ambassadors do not have the resources to conduct our business overseas. He rightly points out that "what our ambassadors and embassies do is one of our country's best kept secrets." I commend his remarks to my colleagues.

WHO NEEDS AMBASSADORS? CHALLENGES TO AMERICAN DIPLOMACY TODAY

EXCERPTS FROM AN ADDRESS BY RICHARD N. GARDNER, U.S. AMBASSADOR TO SPAIN, TO THE ANNUAL BANQUET OF THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, MARCH 29, 1996

I * * * come to you as a deeply troubled Ambassador. I am troubled by the lack of understanding in our country today about our foreign policy priorities and the vital role of our embassies in implementing them. I sometimes think that what our ambassadors and embassies do is one of our country's best kept secrets.

[A]t the height of the Cold War, it did not take a genius to understand the need for strong U.S. leadership in the world and for effective ambassadors and embassies in support of that leadership.

Today, however, there is no single unifying threat to help justify and define a world role for the United States. As a result, we are witnessing devastating reductions in the State Department budget which covers the cost of our Embassies overseas.

The constructive international engagement we all believe in will continue to be at risk until we all do a better job of explaining

its financial requirements to the American people and the Congress.

[I]t is difficult to encapsulate in one sentence or one paragraph a definition of American foreign policy that has global application.

In his address to Freedom House last October, President Clinton spelled out for Americans why a strong U.S. leadership role in the world is intimately related to the quality of their daily lives:

"The once bright line between domestic and foreign policy is blurring. If I could do anything to change the speech patterns of those of us in public life, I would almost like to stop hearing people talk about foreign policy and domestic policy, and instead start discussing economic policy, security policy, environmental policy—you name it."

Ambassadors today need to perform multiple roles. They should be the "eyes and ears" of the President and Secretary of State; advocates of our country's foreign policy in the upper reaches of the host government; resourceful negotiators in bilateral and multilateral diplomacy. They need to build personal relationships of mutual trust with key overseas decision-makers in government and the private sector. They should also radiate American values as intellectual, educational and cultural emissaries, communicating what our country stands for to interest groups and intellectual leaders as well as to the public at large.

The question that remains to be answered is whether the American people and the Congress are willing to provide the financial resources to make all this activity possible.

Congressional spending cuts have now brought the international affairs account down to about \$17 billion annually—about 1 percent of our total budget. Taking inflation into account, this \$17 billion is nearly a 50 percent reduction in real terms from the level of a decade ago. For Fiscal Year 1997, the Congressional leadership proposes a cut to \$15.7 billion. Its 7-year plan to balance the budget would bring international affairs spending down to \$12.5 billion a year by 2002.

Keep in mind that about \$5 billion of the 150 account goes to Israel and Egypt * * * So under the Congressional balance budget scenario only \$7.5 billion would be left four years from now for all of our other international spending.

These actual and prospective cuts in our international affairs account are devastating. Among other things, they mean:

That we cannot pay our legally owing dues to the United Nations system, thus severely undermining the world organization's work for peace and compromising our efforts for UN reform.

That we cannot pay our fair share of voluntary contributions to UN agencies and international financial institutions to assist the world's poor and promote free markets, economic growth, environmental protection and population stabilization;

That we must drastically cut back the reach of the Voice of America and the size of our Fulbright and International Visitor programs, all of them important vehicles for influencing foreign opinion about the United States;

That we will have insufficient funds to respond to aid requirements in Bosnia, Haiti, the Middle East, the former Communist countries and in any new crises where our national interests are at stake;

That we will have fewer and smaller offices to respond to the 2 million requests we receive each year for assistance to Americans overseas and to safeguard our borders through the visa process.

And that we will be unable to maintain a world class diplomatic establishment as the delivery vehicle for our foreign policy.

The money that congress makes available to maintain the State Department and our overseas embassies and consulates is now down to about \$2.5 billion a year. As the international affairs account continues to go down, we face the prospect of further cuts. The budget crunch has been exacerbated by the need to find money to pay for our new embassies in the newly independent countries of the former Soviet Union.

In our major European embassies, we have already reduced State Department positions by 25 percent since Fiscal Year 1995. We have been told to prepare for cuts of 40 percent or more from the 1995 base over the next two or three years.

I have to tell you that cuts of this magnitude will gravely undermine our ability to influence foreign governments and will severely diminish our leadership role in world affairs. They will also have detrimental consequences for our intelligence capabilities since embassy reporting in the critical overt component of U.S. intelligence collection. In expressing these concerns I believe I am representing the views of the overwhelming majority of our career and non-career ambassadors.

Having no effective constituency, spending on international affairs is taking a particularly severe hit within the civilian discretionary account and with it the money needed for our diplomatic establishment.

The failure to build solid international relationships and treat the causes of conflict today will surely mean costly military interventions tomorrow.

REFLECTIONS OF HOLOCAUST

HON. CHARLES E. SCHUMER

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, April 30, 1996

Mr. SCHUMER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to acknowledge a fifth grade student, Samantha Peay, from my district who has written the most beautiful and profound poem on the Holocaust. Her astute analysis of this chilling event reminds us of the horror and pain that so many endured. I congratulate Samantha for her eloquent poem and hope that students in classrooms throughout the world will also explore the history of the Holocaust.

REFLECTIONS OF HOLOCAUST
(By Samantha Peay)

Eyes ablaze in frightened faces
Staring into empty spaces
Arms and hands that bear a stamp
Lonely and scared in a crowded camp
Tortured, beaten, waiting for the kill
Death houses waiting cold and still
Its frightening to look back and think
Trying to make a people extinct
It may have happened long ago
In a place I do not know
I read and talk about this sorrow
But can it happen again tomorrow?
Can some madman filled with hate
Cause a future holocaust date?
Never again must we torture, kill or burn
From the pages of history we must learn
People of the world take a stand