House manual book, our rules manual. It is in every office. On page 360, you will read that an attack upon a Member about his representative duties is a bona fide point of personal privilege. I would recommend that you do what I said I would not do myself to correct some attacks on my honor. I will not waste the committee's time, because they were more personal. But that is an attack on the whole freshman class, on me, on all of us, on what we are trying to do. I would recommend you do it in the middle of the day tomorrow, or as soon as you can next week, check it with the Speaker, but not——

Mr. GEKAS. And not tonight.

Mr. DORNAN. And not tonight.

Mr. GEKAS. Thank you for yielding back my time.

Mr. Speaker, I am engaged in a small war of ''Dear Colleagues.'' My office sent out a ''Dear Colleague'' letter on the impending conference report and the vote we are going to take on the VA-HUD-Independent Agencies appropriations. That ''Dear Colleague'' was answered by another one, and now we have submitted a surrebuttal ''Dear Colleague.''

I would like to explain this to the House, because this information flowing back and forth is going to be very important in the decision that each Member of the House has to make on the appropriations for EPA under the Independent Agencies portion of the VA-HUD conference report that we are going to be debating.

First of all, Mr. Speaker, let us start from the beginning. This is important. When we passed the Clean Air Act, and all of us want clean air, for gosh sakes. Who can accuse anybody in the Congress or outside the Congress of not wanting to have clean air? Well, anyway, because of the language in the Clean Air Act and the authorization granted in there, the EPA had certain powers. One of them was to set auto emission standards for the 50 States.

What has happened is that the mandates issued out of the EPA for centralized emissions mechanisms in the various States were so draconian and so devoid of proper standards for clean air, and really devoid of the necessary information upon which proper testing could be accomplished, that 16 States had to throw up their hands and determine that it was impossible for them to comply with that kind of centralized emission mechanism called for by the EPA.

So what has happened is that, with a lot of intermediate history which I will not reiterate here, we came to the point where a rider, one of the 16 or 17 riders, is being inserted into these Independent Agency appropriations for the EPA which would say, very innocuously and reasonably, that we would like to see the EPA conduct a 2-year study of air sampling, shall we say, to determine what is an alternative to the centralized mechanism that they are mandating, because we do not think that 16 States, and perhaps others, will be able to safely and cost-effectively comply. That is all we wanted to do with this rider that is 1 of the 16 or 17 riders.

Now, when I sent out my letter, my ''Dear Colleague'' letter, I alerted everyone that we ought to vote no on the Stokes-Boehlert motion to instruct conferees, because we could be cutting out highway funds unless we supported this rider. If we supported Stokes-Boehlert, we could be cutting out highway funds for the 16 States. That is the essence of my ''Dear Colleague.''

What that was followed by was a ''Dear Colleague'' by the gentleman from New York, SHERWOOD BOEHLERT, and I guess the former chairman, the gentleman from Ohio, Mr. STOKES, that that was not true, that no State would be facing losing highway funds if they got rid of this rider and let the EPA do what it wanted to do.

So what did I do? I researched as fast as I could, and my staff did an excellent job to try to bring this into focus. We have learned that indeed the EPA sends out letter after letter to California, to Pennsylvania, to Virginia, threatening the loss of highway project funds and highway funds unless those States and others comply with this centralized version.

Then they say, ''We do not mandate centralized monitoring of auto emissions,'' but then if you do not, then if you implement something else, you could lose 50 percent of the credits that in themselves wind up costing highway funds to the States.

Mr. Speaker, I am trying to straighten this out. Let me repeat, the rider which is in the bill now, which I want to protect, is one that would put the EPA on hold on these mandates for this centralized system, put them on hold until we can test the air, get some samples, determine the best way to determine this auto emissions program, not to force this down our throats in an ineffective, cost-ineffective manner.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ALLARD). Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from Florida [Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida [Mr. SCARBOROUGH] is recognized for 5 minutes.

[Mr. SCARBOROUGH addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.]

DEMANDING INFORMATION ON THE WELFARE, WELL-BEING, AND WHEREABOUTS OF JOURNALIST DAVID ROHDE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Maine [Mr. LONGLEY] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. LONGLEY. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to express my serious concern over the welfare of an American journalist who has just been reported missing in Bosnia. I received a phone call from the father of David Rohde this morning indicating that—he was aged 28 and currently serving in the Balkans as a reporter, Eastern European correspondent for the Christian Science Monitor—I am advised that he has been reported missing as of last Saturday.

American embassies in Belgrade, Zagreb, and Sarajevo are all assisting in attempts to locate Mr. Rohde, along with the United Nations. It is believed that David is being held at Pale, and the Christian Science Monitor quoted a U.S. State Department spokesman as saying that ''All indications are that Mr. Rhode was traveling in an area under the control of the Bosnian Serbs, and we hold them responsible for his safety.''

I have to confess, Mr. Speaker, that I have a personal interest in this. Not only is Mr. Rohde's father a constituent, but barely 4 years ago I served in uniform as a member of the U.S. Marine Corps. My responsibility in the early days of the American incursion into northern Iraq was to work with the international press corps who are in that part of the world, in that godforsaken part of the world, attempting to cover the story.

I have nothing but profound admiration and respect for the courage and the integrity of the international press corps, particularly many of the brave American journalists who risk their lives on a daily basis to bring back to the American public information on critical crises around the world. Mr. Rohde is no exception to my observations.

I might also note for the record that on the issues of Bosnia and the difficult conflict in the Balkans, I have tried to be scrupulously neutral. At no time have I favored any one side over the other. I feel, and have felt for a long time, that our interest in the Balkans is to ensure that all three warring countries resolve their differences and they they live together in peace. But there is a certain irony that on the very day that the peace process is beginning, in Dayton, OH, and that the Presidents of Bosnia, Croatia, and Serbia have arrived in our country, it is ironic that Mr. Rohde has been reported missing in one of those areas, possibly in the Bosnian-Serb area.

I would say to the Presidents of those three countries and to the people of those three countries that your credibility is on the line. Whoever took David captive owes it to report immediately on his welfare and his well-being. We want an accounting of Mr. Rohde. We want his whereabouts disclosed, and we will hold you, whoever took this individual captive or is holding him against his will, we will hold you responsible for his safety.