

speaking without notes. I stated that under the Republican budget plan the national debt will increase by \$700 billion in the next 7 years. I would like now to correct that to be the precise number, which is \$669 billion. That is how much more we will borrow.

I surely cannot think of any figure more explicitly to state the irresponsibility of a \$245 billion tax cut. We will borrow every penny of that tax cut. If that were not the last thing to say, it turns out that the tax cut is a tax increase on average for taxpayers with income up to \$30,000—half of all taxpayers—because of the reduction in the earned income tax credit. For the rest the bill reduces taxes. And we will borrow every penny of the tax cut, and persons working, paying taxes, and trying to get along will pay for every cent of the tax increase.

Mr. President, there is no way to get rid of a \$5 trillion debt. I hope we know. Once again, to say, if it were not for that Contract With America, we would not be talking about a \$245 billion tax cut on this floor, in this Chamber. We know it. We all know it. And I need not repeat it again.

I thank the Chair. I appreciate the courtesy.

Mr. ROCKEFELLER addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from West Virginia.

MEDICARE

Mr. ROCKEFELLER. Mr. President, I have a motion here in my hand. It is a motion to recommit with instructions. And I would like to say to every one of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle that the first amendment that we deal with today in the U.S. Senate—that we are dealing with today, the day that we meant for dealing with amendments of absolutely enormous importance to the future of our country—is going to be the Medicare amendment.

I do not care how many meetings are held in the majority leader's office, I do not care how many long speeches are given, I do not care how many morning businesses are taken, and I do not care even to the fact that no Democrat, other than the chairmen of the Budget Committee and the Finance Committee, has been able to say a word on this day, the day we meant to be devoting an hour to a series of extraordinarily important amendments on Medicare, EITC, Medicaid, and other matters, this amendment is going to be the first amendment laid down. It will recommit the Republican \$270 billion cut in Medicare to the Finance Committee for further work.

I just want my colleagues to be fully aware of that fact. That will be the first amendment, the Medicare amendment. And it will come regardless of what tactics are used or whatever dilatory procedures are adopted.

I will say that the reason we on the Democratic side are laying this motion down is that the Republicans are cutting three times as much out of Medi-

care as they need to do in order to make the hospital insurance trust fund solvent to the year 2006. There is no need to do \$270 billion of cuts.

So between the \$89 billion that the trustees of the trust fund say is needed to make the Medicare hospital insurance trust fund solvent, which is what all the rhetoric is around here, to make the thing solvent—they all say, the trustees, that is, \$89 billion—and the majority party taking \$270 billion out of Medicare to do the work for which only \$89 billion is required, therefore, there is a gap of \$181 billion, to which I would think an ordinary inquiring citizen would say: What is this \$181 billion for if all we need is \$89 billion to make the Medicare trust fund solvent? And the answer, of course, is oft proclaimed, oft denied on the other side but a matter of irrefutable fact, and that is that it is going to be used to give in part an enormous tax break to families and institutions of wealth, and that emanates from the fact that this was part of the contract. It had its genesis when NEWT GINGRICH on a sunny day went with a band of very committed, newly elected House Members and in front of all kinds of American flags pronounced the 10 commandments, of which the greatest was a tax cut, the crown jewel was a tax cut.

That having been done, obviously no less could be done in this body and so the \$245 billion, or \$225 billion tax cut became the mantra. So that tax cut has to be achieved. We do not have that kind of money laying around, nor does the average American family, and therefore where do we get it? We go to Medicare and to Medicaid, and we cut them egregiously in order to do that.

I have no idea of how I am going to explain the damage done to the people of West Virginia, to the seniors of West Virginia, to the health institutions of West Virginia, to the veterans of West Virginia by this Medicare cut. And I will be talking at length about that at the time we actually do lay the amendment down. But the amendment is simply to say on our side we will not accept a \$270 billion cut when \$89 billion will do the job, stamped and approved by those whose responsibility it is to do the job, the trustees of the hospital insurance trust fund, in order to not have to do the \$270 billion cut which the majority party is doing for the purpose of raising enough money to give a tax cut to those who do not need it.

I find this extraordinary. I find it certainly worth the meager 1 hour that we are going to be able to have on each side to discuss the Medicare amendment. But the Medicare amendment there will be, and it will be the first one and it will happen.

I thank the Presiding Officer.

Mr. KENNEDY addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Massachusetts.

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, how much time do we have? I see my friends from Minnesota and North Dakota. How much time do we have on this side under the consent agreement?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. There are 9 minutes and 20 seconds.

Mr. KENNEDY. I will yield myself 3 minutes. I think Senator MIKULSKI wanted time.

I yield myself 3 minutes, Mr. President.

IGNORING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE MEDICARE TRUSTEES

Mr. KENNEDY. Mr. President, for 2 hours I have been on the floor of the Senate and the one thing we did not hear, which is at the heart of this whole program, is how our Republican friends possibly justify not taking the recommendation of the trustees, which is the amount to ensure solvency for Medicare is \$89 billion, and for them to justify a \$270 billion cut. For 2 hours we have waited to hear the reasons for that. We have not heard it. There is silence on the other side for the reasons that the Senator from West Virginia will point out and the reasons why we will have an opportunity to vote.

The Republican proposal is to provide not just the \$87 billion, not just solvency for the Medicare Program but lavish tax breaks for the wealthiest individuals. That is what this is about. The Republicans have not made that case. They have not justified why that is necessary and what the impact is going to be on senior citizens. It will be double their deductible, double their copay, a raise in the premium, and raise the age eligibility from 65 to 67.

Have you heard that explained by our Republican colleagues? No, you have not, Mr. President. And squeeze the elderly so they will no longer have a choice of doctors. That is what this legislation is about. We want answers. We want answers from those who are trying to jam this through the Senate of the United States.

Why should we accept it? We will have an opportunity to reject it, and I hope that the Senate will speak for the American people and seniors this afternoon.

Mr. GRASSLEY addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Iowa.

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I yield myself 10 minutes.

CHANGES IN MEDICARE PROVISIONS

Mr. GRASSLEY. Mr. President, I want to touch on portions of this reconciliation bill that deal very effectively with the changes in Medicare provisions that are very good nationally and provisions that are very good for rural America.

The bill will put the financial situation of the Medicare Program, particularly the part A hospital trust fund, but also part B for physician services, on a sounder, more sustainable footing. This will ensure that current and future Medicare beneficiaries in Iowa and