

all sorts of articles on what does the word "bulk" mean. Were 200 books a bulk sale? Well, that was yesterday's news because today's news in the St. Petersburg Times says the 200 appears to be 400 books. Are 400 books to Capital Formation a bulk sale? How many books does it take to make a bulk, and how many books does it take to really get people's attention? There is also they will say, well, but when you look at ex-Speaker Wright's books, he sold a whole lot more. Yes, but he sold them at 5 bucks, you know. So, does the price count? Does how much comes back to the person count? I mean what is all of this nonsense?

Once again what we really need here is action and not words, action, action, and I have never seen so much inaction with so much to act on. Maybe that is why we are seeing the inaction, and maybe that is why we do not want a real independent counsel who has got to be these huge fights as to how do we call him independent and make him something else?

So I just say, as I get more and more frustrated, I keep remembering what my grandmother always told me: It is in the actions and not in the words, it is in the deeds and not in the words. It is in what people do and not what they say, and it is in the record and not the rhetoric because the rhetoric over here sounds wonderful, warm, fuzzy, family friendly, independent counsel, oh they are not bulk sales that the Speaker was selling, yatta, yatta, yatta. Well, guess what? When you peel away all of those wonderful, warm, fuzzy things, you find out they are selling the day care center, and they cannot even talk to you about it. Hum, makes me suspicious.

The reason we have not had any action on the independent counsel is they do not really want it to be independent except in name. We will call them that, but we will make them something else. We will make them kind of a lap dog, and that when you come to the issues around the Speaker's different charges, of which there are more and more piled up at the door, they want to dismiss them away and argue about them in the press.

That is not what is supposed to happen. We are supposed to have somebody on the outside with subpoenas and proper authority go out and find out what the real issues are rather than day-by-day are going through and finding all sorts of charges flying around in the newspaper, and one newspaper reporter found this, and another newspaper reporter found that, and another newspaper reporter found. Maybe we ought to hire them. I mean, if we are not going to hire anybody, maybe we ought to hire them; I do not know.

But I think that it really brings more cynicism to this body, and it certainly does not do anything for institution-building in this body because people expect us to act as we speak and do as we say we are going to do, so all I do is take the floor today to say, "Please,

please, if you're going to sell the day care center, tell us how our staffs are going to be able to find child care here." Mr. Speaker, Members take their children to their office and let their staffs provide the child care. I am not sure that is quite so fair, but what do the staffs do, where do they go, and how do we make this family friendly?

And please do not gag them, and please let us find out about that, and then when we come to the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, let us get an independent counsel, let us get on with this, and let us decide, let them decide, how much bulk is bulk rather than this continuing day-by-day press thing.

#### RENEWAL OF HEIRS OF CERTAIN HISTORIC CABIN PERMITS IN SEQUOIA NATIONAL PARK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from California [Mr. RADANOVICH] is recognized during morning business for 5 minutes.

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to introduce legislation in defense of the property rights of cabin permittees at the Mineral King Area of Sequoia National Park. Many permittees in Mineral King are apprehensive about evictions from property that their families have used for decades, because the National Park Service no longer believes it has discretion to renew the permits of those permittees who die. This issue has the attributes of a Federal land seizure. What a discouraging sight it would be if these properties are boarded up and the families who have responsibly occupied these historic cabins are evicted. I believe that as a matter of public policy they should be allowed to continue using these cabins. It is in this spirit that I introduce this bill.

H.R. —

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. RENEWAL TO HEIRS OF CERTAIN HISTORIC CABIN PERMITS IN THE MINERAL KING ADDITION OF THE SEQUOIA NATIONAL PARK.

Section 314(d)(2) of the National Parks and Recreation Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 45f(d)(2)) is amended—

- (1) in subparagraph (B)—
  - (A) by striking "be reviewed by the Secretary, and may" in the first sentence; and
  - (B) by inserting before the period at the end of the first sentence the following: "under the same terms and conditions as those contained in such lease or permit";
  - (C) by striking "shall be reviewed" in the second sentence;
  - (D) by striking "and may" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "shall"; and
  - (E) by striking "the date of enactment of this Act" in the third sentence and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "November 10, 1978, or their heirs, and any such lease or permit shall provide that the Secretary may terminate the lease or permit only for a breach of the specific conditions detailed in the lease or permit."; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following:

"(C) In the case of any lease or permit which—

"(i) was continued under subparagraph (A);

"(ii) was held by a person who died after November 10, 1978; and

"(iii) expired on or before the date of the enactment of this subparagraph without being renewed or extended under subparagraph (B),

the Secretary shall grant a renewal or extension of such lease or permit to the heirs of the person in the same manner as leases and permits are renewed or extended under subparagraph (B) and under the same terms and conditions as those applicable to such leases or permits."

#### THE FOOD AND DIETARY SUPPLEMENT CONSUMER INFORMATION ACT OF 1995

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from New Jersey [Mr. PALLONE] is recognized during morning business for 5 minutes.

Mr. PALLONE. Mr. Speaker, in a few weeks this Congress will begin consideration of reform of the Food and Drug Administration, the FDA.

Now the FDA now regulates 25 cents out of every dollar spent on a good or service in this economy, and its impact in our everyday lives runs very deep. It performs several important functions such as protecting public health and safety.

Mr. Speaker, on June 29 of this year I added to the debate over the FDA reform, and I introduced a bill called the Food and Dietary Supplement Consumer Information Act of 1995, and this addresses how the FDA regulates food and dietary supplements. I am aware that the issue of dietary supplement regulation was considered in the last Congress and legislation was enacted, but that legislation fell short in a number of areas and also created an unlevel playing field for foods and dietary supplements. More importantly, a recent U.S. Supreme Court decision has raised the issue whether we ought to clarify the law with respect to claims, advertising and important health information to the public on this issue.

□ 1300

One key issue that must be resolved, Mr. Speaker, is whether the American public has the right to receive and hear truthful, nonmisleading information concerning the potential and proven health benefits of food and dietary supplements.

A recent U.S. Supreme Court decision, Ruben versus the Coors Brewing Company, has provided us with guidance on clarifying the law with respect to claims and health information. The issue of regulation of food and dietary supplements is among the most important to my constituents. We must all eat food daily to stay healthy, that is obvious. Over 100 million Americans are now supplementing their diets on a regular basis.

There are three important issues raised by the American people and my