

They have five children: JoAnne, Thomas, Janice, Shakay, and Richard; four grandchildren: Rebecca, Thomas, Joseph, and Janice; and three step-grandsons: Dylan, Collin, and Matthew.

Harry has served his country in every capacity: in the military, as a civil servant, as a devoted husband and father, and as a loyal American. Harry Kizirian is a source of inspiration for the young and old, and he is a particularly cherished member of Rhode Island's proud and vibrant Armenian community.

This bill would commemorate his generosity and valor for future generations, and it would pay tribute to a remarkable gentleman who has given so much to his Nation, his community, and his family. I urge my colleagues to join me in honoring Harry Kizirian by supporting this bill.

□ 1445

Miss COLLINS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I yield as much time as he may consume to the gentleman from Rhode Island [Mr. KENNEDY].

Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of H.R. 1606, a bill that will designate the main post office in Providence, RI, as the "Harry Kizirian Post Office."

Harry Kizirian is a shining example of someone who has fully realized the American Dream. A dedicated individual, Harry grew up in my own neighborhood of Mount Pleasant in Providence and worked hard to support his widowed mother.

As a high school graduate, Harry enlisted in the Marine Corps and served in the South Pacific, where he would lead a Marine fire team to victory despite sustaining multiple gunshot wounds. For this selfless heroism, Harry was awarded the Navy Cross, the Bronze Star with a device for Valor, the Purple Heart with a Gold Star, and the Rhode Island Cross.

Harry's service to our country did not end with the Allied Victory in World War II. For the next 35 years, Harry would demonstrate the same commitment to duty and service at the Post Office in Providence as he did during his days in Okinawa. In 1961, the honor and respect that Harry had earned from, not only his colleagues, but also the people of Rhode Island, reached a pinnacle as Harry was confirmed by the Senate as Postmaster.

During his tenure as Postmaster, Harry went well beyond his required duties and served many important social causes. As a leading member of the Big Brothers of Rhode Island, the Providence Human Relations Commission and the Providence Heritage Commission, Harry demonstrated his high regard for his friends and citizens of his community.

Perhaps Harry's greatest achievement is shared with his wife Hazel as they have successfully raised five children, who now have several children of their own, Shakay and Richard. When asked about all his achievements,

Harry humbly responded: "I'm just an ordinary American boy who loves dealing with people from all walks of life."

In my opinion, Harry Kizirian is anything but ordinary. Raised with a strong Armenian heritage, Harry is a living tribute to his family, his friends, and his country.

Mr. Speaker, I am honored to stand with my colleague, JACK REED, to offer this bill which will honor Harry Kizirian's commitment and generosity for generations to come.

Miss COLLINS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RIGGS). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York [Mr. MCHUGH], that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1606.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 1606, the bill just considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

WINFIELD SCOTT STRATTON POST OFFICE

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 1026) to designate the U.S. Post Office building located at 201 East Pikes Peak Avenue in Colorado Springs, CO, as the "Winfield Scott Stratton Post Office."

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 1026

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. DESIGNATION.

The United States Post Office building located at 201 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Colorado Springs, Colorado, shall be known and designated as the "Winfield Scott Stratton Post Office."

SEC. 2 REFERENCES.

Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the building referred to in section 1 shall be deemed to be a reference to the "Winfield Scott Stratton Post Office".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from New York [Mr. MCHUGH] will be recognized for 20 minutes, and the gentleman from Michigan [Miss COLLINS] will be recognized for 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from New York [Mr. MCHUGH].

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to report that the legislation before us, H.R. 1026, was approved unanimously by the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight. This legislation, designating the U.S. Post Office located at 201 East Pikes Peak Avenue, Colorado Springs, CO, be named the "Winfield Scott Stratton Post Office," was introduced by the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. HEFLEY], and was cosponsored by his full State Delegation, as required by committee policy.

H.R. 1026 honors the late Mr. Stratton, a Colorado Springs philanthropist and benefactor. Mr. Stratton was one of many adventurers who came to Colorado seeking their fortune. He literally struck gold in discovering a rich deposit in the mines of Cripple Creek, CO.

Mr. Stratton believed it was the duty of the fortunate to use their wealth in the development of their community. In keeping with this personal philosophy, he dedicated the rest of his life to helping others less fortunate and to advancing the development of Colorado Springs and Colorado.

Mr. Speaker, I support the passage of H.R. 1026 and urge our colleagues to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. HEFLEY].

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to first thank the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLINGER] the chairman of the full committee, and the gentleman from New York [Mr. MCHUGH], chairman of the Subcommittee on the Postal Service, for allowing H.R. 1026 to be brought up on the Suspension Calendar today. I think it is a fitting tribute to a man who gave so much to Colorado, and particularly to the area of Colorado that I am fortunate enough to represent.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 1026, which as has been indicated, will designate the U.S. Post Office building located at 201 Pikes Peak Avenue in Colorado Springs, CO, as the "Winfield Scott Stratton Post Office."

Working as a carpenter and as a prospector, Mr. Stratton became wealth after finding gold in Cripple Creek, CO. His sudden wealth allowed him to pursue life's pleasures any way that he would like to do it, but instead he spent much of his life and much of his fortune helping those that were less fortunate. In addition to helping the needy, he also played an integral part in the development of Colorado Springs as a community by providing money for a city hall, a new courthouse, the streetcar system, and perhaps his most generous, important contribution that he made was the Myron Stratton Home, which was a foster home for children and for impoverished elderly.

Mr. Speaker, it still exists today. It is an interesting concept in that they had children who did not have parents.

In the early days it was an orphanage, but it was not the image that you have of the Charles Dickens orphanage. It was an orphanage where the kids that went there had many of the things that money could buy in terms of living a good life under the circumstances of not having a family. And he combined that with elderly people to create an intergenerational type of concept that has worked very well even to this day.

Especially pertinent to H.R. 1026, is that Mr. Stratton sold the property where the post office is located, and which we are asking to be named today, to the Federal Government for half its value on the condition that they would build a post office there.

Mr. Speaker, I did not know Mr. Stratton. He was before my time there. But I have been able to see his work in the Colorado Springs area over the years.

Finally, Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank Mr. John Zorack, a former resident of the Stratton Home, who has worked closely with me to see that this fitting tribute be enacted. I would add that H.R. 1026 has the support of the Colorado Delegation and the Colorado Springs City Council. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from New York [Mr. MCHUGH] for his support of this legislation.

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Miss COLLINS of Michigan. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I join my colleague and chairman of the Subcommittee on the Postal Service in support of H.R. 1026, legislation designating the U.S. Post Office at 201 East Pikes Peak Avenue in Colorado Springs, CO, as the Winfield Scott Stratton Post Office.

The late Mr. Stratton was well known as a great philanthropist and most deserving to have a Post Office named after him.

Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from New York [Mr. MCHUGH] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 1026.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. MCHUGH. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 1026 the bill just considered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

BIOTECHNICAL PROCESS PATENTS

Mr. MOORHEAD. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 587) to amend title 35, United States Code, with respect to patents on biotechnological processes.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 587

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

BIOTECHNOLOGICAL PROCESS PATENTS

SEC. 101. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NONOBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER.

Section 103 of title 35, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by designating the first paragraph as subsection (a);

(2) by designating the second paragraph as subsection (c); and

(3) by inserting after the first paragraph the following:

“(b)(1) Notwithstanding subsection (a), and upon timely election by the applicant for patent to proceed under this subsection, a ‘biotechnological process’ using or resulting in a composition of matter that is novel under section 102 and nonobvious under subsection (a) of this section shall be considered nonobvious if—

“(A) claims to the process and the composition of matter are contained in either the same application for patent or in separate applications having the same effective filing date; and

“(B) the composition of matter, and the process at the time it was invented, were owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

“(2) A patent issued on a process under paragraph (1)—

“(A) shall also contain the claims to the composition of matter used in or made by that process; or

“(B) shall, if such composition of matter is claimed in another patent, be set to expire on the same date as such other patent, notwithstanding section 154.

“(3) For purposes of paragraph (1), the term ‘biotechnological process’ means—

“(A) a process of genetically altering or otherwise inducing a single- or multi-celled organism to—

“(i) express an exogenous nucleotide sequence,

“(ii) inhibit, eliminate, augment, or alter expression of an endogenous nucleotide sequence, or

“(iii) express a specific physiological characteristic not naturally associated with said organism;

“(B) cell fusion procedures yielding a cell line that expresses a specific protein, such as a monoclonal antibody; and

“(C) a method of using a product produced by a process defined by (A) or (B), or a combination of (A) and (B).”.

SEC. 102. PRESUMPTION OF VALIDITY; DEFENSES.

Section 282 of title 35, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the second sentence of the first paragraph the following: “Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, if a claim to a composition of matter is held invalid and that claim was the basis of a determination of nonobviousness under section 103(b)(1), the process shall no longer be considered nonobvious solely on the basis of section 103(b)(1).”.

SEC. 103. EFFECTIVE DATE.

The amendments made by section 101 shall apply to any application for patent filed on

or after the date of enactment of this Act and to any application for patent pending on such date of enactment, including (in either case) an application for the reissuance of a patent.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California [Mr. MOORHEAD] will be recognized for 20 minutes, and the gentleman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER] will be recognized for 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California [Mr. MOORHEAD].

(Mr. MOORHEAD asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MOORHEAD. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 587, the Biotech Process Patent Protection Act of 1995. I would like to commend the gentleman from Virginia [Mr. BOUCHER] and thank him for working so hard with us over the past 5 years to make this legislation possible. I also want to thank the gentlewoman from Colorado [Mrs. SCHROEDER] for her support and cooperation.

From an economic point of view, the U.S. biotech industry has gone from zero revenues and zero jobs 15 years ago to \$8 billion and 103,000 jobs today. The White House Council on Competitiveness projects a \$30 to \$50 billion market for biotech products by the year 2000, and many in the industry believe this estimate to be conservative.

Companies that depend heavily on research and development are especially vulnerable to foreign competitors who copy and sell their products without permission. The reason that high-technology companies are so vulnerable is that for them the cost of innovation, rather than the cost of production, is the key cost incurred in bringing a product to market. The award of patient protection ensures a greater degree of protection for businesses in the United States who make major investment in innovation.

The House Judiciary Committee took the first step in protecting innovation in 1988 when the Congress enacted two bills which I introduced relating to process patents and reform of the International Trade Commission. However, our work will not be complete until we enact this legislation. This bill modifies the test for obtaining a process patent, a problem that was created by *In Re Durden* (1985), a case frequently criticized and cited by the Patent Office as grounds for denial of biotech patents. The legislation impacts only one element of patentability of biotech processes and that is the element of nonobviousness. The process must still satisfy all other requirements of patentability.

Because so many of the biotech inventions are protected by patents, the future of that industry depends greatly on what Congress does to protect U.S. patents from unfair foreign competition. America's foreign competitors, most of whom have invested comparatively little in biotechnology research,