

didn't know what to do." At 5 a.m. California time, she called Addison-Wesley in Massachusetts, and thus began her ongoing battle with the publisher—and with David Goehring personally.

"I think it is immoral to reward a convicted cop killer financially," she says. Even after 13 years away, her Philadelphia accent is strong. "And I think David Goehring is going to look at himself in the mirror one day and realize he made a mistake."

But the two are arguing from such differing perspectives that they will probably never agree. Faulkner operates from an unshakable belief in Abu-Jamal's guilt, while Goehring says the question of guilt or innocence is not relevant to what he sees as the power of Abu-Jamal's description of what it's like to be on death row. He does not see the book as part of Abu-Jamal's quest for vindication, or as part of a campaign against the death penalty. "We are making his voice available," he said. "Our role is not to take sides." Indeed, he said, the company has published a book arguing for victims' rights, "With Justice for Some," by law professor George Fletcher. Goehring declined to say how many copies were printed.

But for Faulkner, guilt is everything. Freedom of speech? Does every prisoner have the right to a book contract? "What does eloquence have to do with a convicted murderer?" she asks.

EAGER FOR JUSTICE

Daniel Faulkner was killed early one cold December morning, two weeks before Christmas, in 1981. His widow believes the evidence of Abu-Jamal's guilt can be pinned to two things: Five bullets were emptied into her husband, and five bullets of the same type were missing from Abu-Jamal's gun. They were high-velocity, +P-type bullets that fragmented so completely police could not match them to Abu-Jamal's gun, which was found on the sidewalk, next to Abu-Jamal. He too was wounded, shot in the stomach by Faulkner. Abu-Jamal had a license for the gun, and a store owner testified to selling him the bullets. Two people testified that he shouted in the emergency room, "I shot the [expletive]."

"From an evidentiary standpoint, the case against Mumia Abu-Jamal was . . . one of the strongest I have seen in 24 years as a prosecutor," wrote Assistant District Attorney Arnold H. Gordon to NPR chief Delano E. Lewis a year ago. "Abu-Jamal was identified . . . by three eyewitnesses who had never lost sight of him during the entire incident," he wrote.

But Weinglass, in his afterword to "Live From Death Row," claims there were witnesses who saw another man fleeing the scene, and that Abu-Jamal was denied the right to represent himself and given an unprepared court-assigned lawyer. His sentencing was tainted by prosecutorial misuse of information about Abu-Jamal's teenage involvement with the Black Panthers as well, Weinglass asserts.

Faulkner hopes her campaign will tap into public frustration with the criminal justice system. Daniel Faulkner, she says, would have fought just as hard in her memory. She supports the death penalty, and is eager for Abu-Jamal's death sentence to be imposed.

"I'd like to be there," she says.

COMMENDING CAPTAIN O'GRADY AND HIS RESCUERS

Mrs. KASSEBAUM. Mr. President, I was not present on the floor earlier today when the Senate adopted the resolution introduced by Senator DOLE to commend the heroic efforts of Capt.

Scott O'Grady and the United States Armed Forces who were involved in his rescue in Bosnia. I strongly support this very appropriate resolution, and I understand that the resolution has been left open for cosponsors until 5 p.m. today. Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that I be added as a cosponsor to the Dole resolution commending Captain O'Grady and his rescuers.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO CHICK REYNOLDS

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I want to take a moment to pay tribute to Chick Reynolds, former Chief Reporter of the Office of the Official Reporters of debate, who I understand passed away early this morning. For over 45 years, Chick brought a keen eye and a quick mind to the world of stenographic reporting. From his first job at the Department of Defense to his official post in the Senate, Chick often found himself in the center of newsmaking headlines. Whether it was the Joseph McCarthy or Jimmy Hoffa hearings or the tragic day of President John Kennedy's assassination, Chick preserved many moments of history with speed and accuracy second to none.

I know I speak for my colleagues when I recognize Chick Reynolds who served the Senate with distinction and loyalty for the past 21 years. Our thoughts and prayers are with his wife, Lucille.

TRIBUTE TO CHICK REYNOLDS

Mr. DASCHLE. Mr. President, today the Senate lost a very valuable member of the family. Chick Reynolds, the Chief Reporter of Debates, passed away early this morning.

Mr. President, Chick's career in stenotype reporting began in 1949 at the Department of Defense. He was appointed an official reporter with the Senate Official Reporters in 1974 and became its chief reporter in 1988, where he served with distinction and loyalty.

As many Members are aware, Chick's career as a stenotype reporter put him in the center of the headlines of the day. He reported the McCarthy and Hoffa hearings on Capitol Hill, as well as covering the administrations of Presidents Kennedy, Johnson, and Nixon. In fact, Chick was in Berlin to cover the famous speech by President Kennedy.

Chick was slated to retire, after 21 distinguished years of service in the Senate, on July 7. I know all Members of the Senate join with me in extending our sympathies to his wife, Lucille, on her loss. Chick will be missed by all who knew him, admired his abilities and knew the quality of his work.

WAS CONGRESS IRRESPONSIBLE? THE VOTERS HAVE SAID YES

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, as of the close of business yesterday, Thursday,

June 8, the Federal debt stood at \$4,898,195,057,095.85. On a per capita basis, every man, woman, and child in America owes \$18,593.63 as his or her share of that debt.

TARGETING ESTATE TAX RELIEF TO FAMILY-OWNED BUSINESSES

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I am pleased to note that a Treasury official appearing before the Finance Committee this week testified in support of targeting estate tax relief to family-owned businesses.

Time and time again, family business owners across the country have told me about the unfairness of the current estate tax and its 55 percent rate. Too often heirs are forced to sell the family business or farm just to pay the estate tax. And too often the buyer of the family business is a large corporation that does not necessarily have the best interests of the community or the business employees at heart.

I will be introducing legislation in the coming weeks that will allow family-owned and other closely held businesses to remain in the family after the death of an owner. I intend to drastically reduce the estate tax rates for the value of a closely held business. For the smallest of businesses, the estate tax should be virtually eliminated.

Without the estate tax burden on the backs of American families, they can continue to prosper. And when families continue to operate their businesses we all benefit—the business employees keep their jobs, the Government receives income taxes on business profits, and the families retain their livelihood.

The estate tax is not a Democratic or a Republican problem, or one that affects only rural or urban families. That is why I am working with Members of both sides of the aisle to develop broad, bipartisan support for the legislation. There are farmers, ranchers, or family businesses in each State that would benefit from the legislation.

I welcome all Senators to join this effort. I am already working with Senators ROTH, BAUCUS, GRASSLEY, PRYOR, SIMPSON, BREAU, PRESSLER, D'AMATO, NICKLES, BURNS, and others to design targeted estate tax relief for family-owned businesses.

The legislation will provide relief to those that need it most—families whose estates are made up primarily of a family business. It is these families who would otherwise be forced to sell their business to pay the estate tax. And in determining whether a family business is comprised primarily of an estate, I would like to exclude the family's principal residence. This would ensure that heirs won't have to sell their residence to keep their business.

Because this legislation is designed to help families that hold on to their businesses, if a family chooses to sell a substantial portion of the business