

The Daschle amendment is an effort to change the subject. Rather than debate the value of making a balanced Federal budget a national priority, most opponents of the balanced budget amendment would prefer talk about potential cuts that might affect their pet programs.

This bait-and-switch effort will not work.

This Congress will put forward a plan to control Federal spending and move us toward a balanced budget without touching Social Security and without raising taxes. Everything else, every Federal program from Amtrak to zebra mussel research will be on the table. For those who want an idea of how we would try to achieve this goal, look at the Republican alternative budgets that have been introduced in each of the past 2 years.

Mr. President, it is ironic that on April 1, 1993, the vast majority of those who now support the Daschle right-to-know amendment voted to adopt a budget blueprint paving the way for President Clinton's massive tax increase before President Clinton submitted the legally required details of his plan to Congress. They voted to adopt a budget blueprint that called for a massive tax increase without knowing the specifics.

This debate is different. It is a lot simpler. The central issue is whether or not we should vote to make balancing the budget a national priority. We are debating whether or not future generations of Americans—our children and our grandchildren—deserve constitutional protection. That is what this amendment is all about.

This year, we have a real chance to approve a balanced budget amendment and send it to the States for ratification. It is the best chance we have had in years. Every single vote matters.

Several Senators who voted for a balanced budget amendment in the past are now under tremendous pressure from the special interests and others who are addicted to Federal spending. The special interests are trying to convince past supporters of the balanced budget amendment to switch their votes. I hope that every Senator who supports the balanced budget amendment will continue to stand firm, do what is right for our children and our grandchildren, and vote for the balanced budget amendment.

Let us get on with the real debate.

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, I would like to just read a few of the distinguished majority leader's remarks because I think they are very appropriate.

I will read these for and on behalf of the majority leader:

* * * Mr. President, it is ironic that on April 1, 1993 the vast majority of those who now support the Daschle right-to-know amendment voted to adopt a budget blueprint paving the way for President Clinton's massive tax increase before President Clinton submitted the legally required details of his plan to Congress. They voted to adopt a budget blueprint that called for a massive tax increase without knowing the specifics.

This debate is different. It is a lot simpler. The central issue is whether or not we should vote to make balancing the budget a national priority. We are debating whether or not future generations of Americans—our children and our grandchildren—deserve constitutional protection. That is what this amendment is all about.

This year, we have a real chance to approve a balanced budget amendment and send it to the States for ratification. It is the best chance we have had in years. Every single vote matters.

Several Senators who voted for a balanced budget amendment in the past are now under tremendous pressure from the special interests and others who are addicted to Federal spending. The special interests are trying to convince past supporters of the balanced budget amendment to switch their votes. I hope that every Senator who supports the balanced budget amendment will continue to stand firm, do what is right for our children and our grandchildren, and vote for the balanced budget amendment.

Let us get on with the real debate.

On behalf of the majority leader, I move to table the Daschle motion, and I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The question is on agreeing to the motion to lay on the table the Daschle motion to commit House Joint Resolution 1. The yeas and nays have been ordered. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. THOMAS). Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 56, nays 44, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 62 Leg.]

YEAS—56

Abraham	Gorton	McConnell
Ashcroft	Gramm	Murkowski
Bennett	Grams	Nickles
Bond	Grassley	Packwood
Brown	Gregg	Pressler
Burns	Hatch	Roth
Campbell	Hatfield	Santorum
Chafee	Heflin	Shelby
Coats	Helms	Simon
Cochran	Hutchison	Simpson
Cohen	Inhofe	Smith
Coverdell	Jeffords	Snowe
Craig	Kassebaum	Specter
D'Amato	Kempthorne	Stevens
DeWine	Kyl	Thomas
Dole	Lott	Thompson
Domenici	Lugar	Thurmond
Faircloth	Mack	Warner
Frist	McCain	

NAYS—44

Akaka	Feingold	Levin
Baucus	Feinstein	Lieberman
Biden	Ford	Mikulski
Bingaman	Glenn	Moseley-Braun
Boxer	Graham	Moynihan
Bradley	Harkin	Murray
Breaux	Hollings	Nunn
Bryan	Inouye	Pell
Bumpers	Johnston	Pryor
Byrd	Kennedy	Reid
Conrad	Kerrey	Robb
Daschle	Kerry	Rockefeller
Dodd	Kohl	Sarbanes
Dorgan	Lautenberg	Wellstone
Exon	Leahy	

So the motion to lay on the table the motion to commit House Joint Resolution 1 was agreed to.

Mr. DOLE. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I be allowed to speak as if in morning business, and that at the conclusion of my remarks the Senate proceed to a quorum call.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

BUTTE, MT

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, my statement today is the second in a series on Butte, MT, and the attractions it offers the Micron semiconductor company. I would like to focus today on Butte's top-notch higher education facilities, particularly in technical fields.

Foremost among these is Montana Tech. Under the dynamic leadership of Montana Tech president, Lindsay Norman, Montana Tech has grown and developed into one of the best small engineering and science schools in the country.

A former vice president of Chase Manhattan Bank in New York, Mr. Norman really understands business, and has made it his mission to ensure that Montana Tech's programs reflect the needs of the private sector.

As I pointed out yesterday, a recent survey of college presidents voted Montana Tech the best small college science program in the United States—the best, No. 1. Other surveys show that this is no fluke. Money Guide magazine rated Montana Tech one of the top 15 best buys in college education in the southwest and mountain States. And last year, U.S. News & World Report ranked Montana Tech the No. 1 educational value among western regional universities.

Let me repeat. The U.S. News & World Report ranked Montana Tech the No. 1 educational value among western regional universities.

Established in 1895 as the Montana School of Mines, Montana Tech historically focused on mineral and energy-related engineering programs. It now offers undergraduate and graduate programs in a multitude of science and engineering disciplines, including computer science, environmental engineering, hydrogeological engineering, and mathematics.

Montana Tech also offers a broad range of courses in the humanities and social sciences. In addition, the college has an active continuing education program which offers night courses for adults.