The House met at noon and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. WOMACK).

DESIGNATION OF SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
November 29, 2016.

I hereby appoint the Honorable Steve WOMACK to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

PAUL D. RYAN, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

MORNING-HOUR DEBATE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the order of the House of January 5, 2016, the Chair will now recognize Members from lists submitted by the majority and minority leaders for morning-hour debate.

The Chair will alternate recognition between the parties, with each party limited to 1 hour and each Member other than the majority and minority leaders and the minority whip limited to 5 minutes, but in no event shall debate continue beyond 1:50 p.m.

RECOGNIZING BILL AND BETTY BURNS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DOLD) for 5 minutes.

Mr. DOLD. Mr. Speaker, I want to take this opportunity to recognize two very special individuals from Lake Villa for their continued dedication to our community. Those individuals are Bill and Betty Burns. They both have consistently been at the forefront of the planning and execution of a number of wonderful community events that really serve as an opportunity to bring everyone together. Each and every year, Bill and Betty have helped plan the Lake Villa Memorial Day, St. Patrick’s Day, and Christmas parades. With their consistent hard work and dedication, these events have been great successes that have been really the glue that has brought our community together, not just on these special days but really a sense of community throughout the entire year.

Last year, Mr. Speaker, the Tenth District recognized them for their service to Lake Villa and to Grayslake with the public servant award for their dedication to our community.

Mr. Speaker, it is really individuals like Bill and Betty Burns that make our community stronger; and there are people like Bill and Betty all over our great Nation that are doing the things necessary to make sure that the little details are not left undone. They do this work tirelessly and thanklessly, in order to make sure our communities are a little bit stronger and a little bit better.

So I want to take this opportunity, Mr. Speaker, to thank Bill and Betty Burns for their tireless service and dedication to our community to make it a much stronger and better place.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until 2 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 12 o’clock and 2 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

□ 1400

AFTER RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. DENHAM) at 2 p.m.

PRAYER

The Chaplain, the Reverend Patrick J. Conroy, offered the following prayer:

Gracious God, we give You thanks for giving us another day.

You have blessed us with all good gifts, and this past week, with thankful hearts, we gathered with family and loved ones throughout this great land to celebrate our blessings together.

Bless the newly elected Members of the 115th Congress who resume their orientation on Capitol Hill. Give them calm and confidence as they prepare for a new role as servants of our Nation’s citizens.

Bless the Members of the people’s House who have been entrusted with the privilege to serve our Nation and all Americans in their need. Grant them to work together in respect and affection, faithful in the responsibilities they have been given.

As the end of the 114th Congress approaches, bestow upon them the gifts of wisdom and discernment, that in their actions they will do justice, love with mercy, and walk humbly with You.

May all that is done this day be for Your greater honor and glory.

Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day’s proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JODY B. HICE) come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance?

Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

□ This symbol represents the time of day during the House proceedings, e.g., □ 1407 is 2:07 p.m.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.
I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

RECOGNIZING THE FOOD BANK OF NORTHEAST GEORGIA

(Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Food Bank of Northeast Georgia for more than 20 years of dedication and service to the people of Georgia. Since 1992, the food bank has worked to combat hunger and alleviate poverty by feeding children, the elderly, the ill, and those in need throughout the northeastern part of Georgia.

Just this past October, my staff and I had the distinct pleasure of lending a hand to the hardworking staff of the food bank and saw their actions, which are indeed remarkable. Just this year alone, the food bank has distributed nearly 12 million pounds of food, which equals about 10½ million meals.

This is truly an outstanding organization that continues to expand its reach and scope through its charitable contributions.

Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to ask my colleagues to join me in recognizing the Food Bank of Northeast Georgia for their outstanding service. I am honored deeply to have them in the 10th District of Georgia. I give my best wishes to the food bank and their staff as they continue to serve those in need.

FLINT, MICHIGAN

(Mr. KILDEE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. KILDEE. Mr. Speaker, my hometown of Flint—I am sure you have heard me talk about this before—continues to suffer in this crisis. One hundred thousand people, citizens of that city, still can’t drink their water, which has been exposed to high levels of lead.

That crisis is far from over. Flint families don’t have access to clean drinking water. They demand—and we should provide—a response from every level of government, including the Federal Government.

That is why I am pleased and appreciate the fact that Democrats and Republicans in the House and the Senate have come together to make a commitment to help the people of Flint. Legislation passed in both bodies provides help for Flint. Now we have to finish that work before we leave this session.

Before we are Democrats or Republicans, we are Americans. We have a tradition in this country of always coming together for those who are facing a crisis or those who are in need. It is incumbent now upon Congress to do the same, to come together to help the people of Flint. I look forward to Democrats and Republicans coming together to do that.

MEDIA IGNORES ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION

(Mr. SMITH of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, a new report by the Wilson Center has found that illegal immigration across the southern border is on pace to break the previous record set in 2014.

This record should not come as a surprise. The administration’s policies encourage illegal immigration.

The number of apprehensions at the southern border in August reached its highest point for that month in the last 5 years. This record-setting pace of illegal immigration was largely ignored by the media. Neither the Big Three networks nor the national daily newspapers covered the report.

The administration’s failure to enforce immigration laws has caused the new record surge. Americans are understandably concerned about illegal immigration. It is unfortunate that the media does not consider it newsworthy.

RECESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess until approximately 3 p.m. today.

Accordingly (at 2 o’clock and 8 minutes p.m.), the House stood in recess.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Brian Pate, one of his secretaries.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, the Chair will postpone further proceedings today on motions to suspend the rules on which a recorded vote or the yeas and nays are ordered, or on which the
VETERANS TRICARE CHOICE ACT OF 2016

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5458) to provide for coordination between the TRICARE program and eligibility for making contributions to a health savings account, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5458

SEC. 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Veterans TRICARE Choice Act of 2016".

SEC. 2. COORDINATION BETWEEN TRICARE PROGRAM AND ELIGIBILITY TO MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS TO HEALTH SAVINGS ACCOUNTS.

(a) In General.—Section 223(c)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking "and" at the end of clause (ii), by striking the period at the end of clause (iii) and inserting ", and" and, by adding at the end the following new clause:

"(iv) coverage under the TRICARE program under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, for any period with respect to which an election is in effect under section 1097e of such title providing that the individual is ineligible to be enrolled in (and receive any benefits under) the TRICARE program.

(b) Provisions Relating to Election of Eligibility Under TRICARE.—

(1) In General.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1097d the following new section:

"§ 1097e. TRICARE program: election of eligibility

"(a) Election.—Beginning January 1, 2017, a TRICARE-eligible individual may elect at any time to be enrolled in (and receive any benefits under) the TRICARE program.

"(b) Change of Election.—(1) If a TRICARE-eligible individual makes an election under section (a), the TRICARE-eligible individual may later elect to be eligible to enroll in the TRICARE program. An election made under this subsection may be made only during a special enrollment period.

"(2) The Secretary shall ensure that a TRICARE-eligible individual who makes an election under subsection (a) may efficiently enroll in the TRICARE program pursuant to an election under paragraph (1), including by maintaining the individual, as appropriate, in the health care enrollment system under section 1099 of this title in an inactive manner.

"(c) Period of Election.—If a TRICARE-eligible individual makes an election under subsection (a), such election shall be in effect beginning on the date of such election and ending on the date that such individual makes an election under subsection (b)(1) to enroll in the TRICARE program.

"(d) Health Savings Account Participation.—(1) For provisions allowing participation in a health savings account in connection with coverage under a high deductible health plan during the period that the election under subsection (a) is in effect, see section 223(c)(1)(B)(iv) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

"(2) The Secretary shall submit to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue the name of, and any other information that the Commissioner may require with respect to each TRICARE-eligible individual who makes an election under subsection (a) or (b), not later than 90 days after such election, to determine the eligibility of such TRICARE-eligible individual for a health savings account described in paragraph (1).

"(e) Records.—The Secretary shall ensure that a TRICARE-eligible individual who makes an election under subsection (a) is maintained on the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System, regardless of whether the individual is eligible for the TRICARE program during the period of such election.

"(f) Provision of Information.—The Secretary shall provide to each TRICARE-eligible individual who makes an election under subsection (a) information regarding—

"(1) health savings accounts in connection with coverage under a high deductible health plan described in subsection (d)(1), including a comparison of such health savings accounts and the health care benefits the individual is eligible to receive under the TRICARE program; and

"(2) changing such an election under subsection (a).

"(g) Annual Report.—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on TRICARE-eligible individuals under this section that includes the following:

"(1) The number of TRICARE-eligible individuals, as of the date of the submittal of the report, who are ineligible to enroll in (and receive any benefits under) the TRICARE program pursuant to an election under subsection (a).

"(2) The number of TRICARE-eligible individuals who made an election described under subsection (a) but, as of the date of the submittal of the report, are enrolled in the TRICARE program pursuant to a change of election under subsection (b).

"(h) Definitions.—In this section:

"(1) The term ‘TRICARE-eligible individual’ means an individual who is—

"(A) eligible to be a covered beneficiary entitled to health care benefits under the TRICARE program (determined without regard to this section); and

"(B) not serving on active duty in the uniformed services.

"(2) The term ‘special enrollment period’ means the period in which a beneficiary under the Federal Employees Health Benefits program under chapter 89 of title 5 may enroll in or change a plan under such program by reason of a qualifying event or during an open enrollment season. For purposes of this section, such qualifying events shall also include events determined appropriate by the Secretary of Defense, including events relating to a member of the armed forces being ordered to active duty.

"(3) The term ‘health savings account’ means an account described in section 1097c of this title.

"(i) Effective Date.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to months beginning after December 31, 2016.

"The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Nebraska (Mr. SMITH) and the gentleman from Washington (Mr. McDermott) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Nebraska.

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 5458, currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Nebraska?

There was no objection.

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 5458, the Veterans TRICARE Choice Act of 2016. This legislation, introduced by the gentleman from Utah (Mr. Stewart), addresses a gap in current law which prevents veterans and their families with TRICARE coverage who also choose to participate in a high-deductible health plan from utilizing a health savings account, or HSA.

While veterans or their family members who participate in TRICARE may also have private health insurance coverage, including high-deductible plans, they are prohibited from contributing to an HSA affiliated with a high-deductible plan. In order to contribute to an HSA under current law, an individual must permanently renounce their TRICARE eligibility because no mechanism to allow reenrollment currently exists.

H.R. 5458 addresses this issue by allowing certain TRICARE-eligible individuals to voluntarily pause their TRICARE coverage for a period of time in order to choose to contribute to an HSA. The bill also creates special enrollment periods should these individuals choose to reenroll in TRICARE at a later date.

Our veterans devoted their lives to defending our freedoms. We should not allow arbitrary, bureaucratic obstacles to stop them from making the best healthcare choices for themselves and their families. This bill creates a mechanism to improve veterans’ health coverage options and provides them greater opportunities to save toward their own healthcare needs. It also ensures patients can be more engaged in their own care while eliminating the inconsistency in our Tax Code.

I applaud the gentleman from Utah (Mr. Stewart) for bringing us this good idea today. I urge support.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES, House of Representatives, Washington, DC, November 17, 2016.

Hon. Kevin Brady, Chairman, Committee on Ways and Means, House of Representatives, Washington, DC. I rise today in support of H.R. 5458, the Veterans TRICARE Choice Act of 2016, which was referred to the Committee on Armed Services. There are certain provisions in the bill that fall within the Rule X jurisdiction of the Committee on Armed Services.

In order to expedite this legislation for floor consideration, the Committee on Armed Services will forgo action on this bill. This decision is conditional on our mutual care while eliminating the inconsistency in our Tax Code.
Services in this bill, any subsequent amendments, or similar legislation. I request you urge the Speaker to appoint members of the Committee on Armed Services to any conference committee convened to consider such provisions.

Please place a copy of this letter and your response acknowledging our jurisdictional interest into the Congressional Record during consideration of the measure on the House floor.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM M. “MAC” THORNBERRY, Chairman.

COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS,
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,

Hon. William M. “Mac” Thornberry,
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, House of Representatives, Washington, DC:

Dear Chairman Thornberry,

Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 5458, the “Veterans TRICARE Choice Act.” As you noted, the Committee on Armed Services was granted an additional referral on the bill. I am most appreciative of your decision to waive formal consideration of H.R. 5458 so that it may proceed expeditiously to the House floor. I acknowledge that although you waived formal consideration of the bill, the Committee on Armed Services is in no way waiving its jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in those provisions of the bill that fall within your Rule X jurisdiction.

I would appreciate your effort to seek appointment of an appropriate number of conferees on any House-Senate conference involving this legislation. I will include a copy of our letters in the Congressional Record during consideration of this legislation on the House floor.

Sincerely,

KEVIN BRADY, Chairman.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

While we are here today to debate H.R. 5458, which focuses on one small part of the transition for veterans completing their service and entering the civilian workforce, I wish to take a moment to reflect on a broader issue.

When veterans enter the workforce, and some may even be offered a health savings account as part of their insurance coverage, many millions depend on Medicare and Medicaid. Now, in the Congress we can’t forget the role these programs play in caring for our veterans and their loved ones as they return to the workforce, as they age, or as they live with disabilities.

For more than four decades, Medicare and Medicaid have helped Americans from all walks of life by providing their financial and health security; but if you have been paying attention to the news lately, you know these programs are under grave risk next year with a new Congress and a new President.

As we speak today to honor veterans’ service to our country, we must also think about the safety net that has been in place for many years to offer security. For example, today, nearly 1 in 10 veterans lack health insurance at all. More than 340,000 uninsured veterans and their spouses live in States that have chosen not to expand Medicaid to cover more residents. If those States offered coverage, these veterans would have insurance if we really cared about them—but their Governors apparently don’t.

In Florida, more than 55,000 veterans and their spouses would be Medicaid eligible had the State chosen to cover individuals earning less than $21,000 a year. In North Carolina, 32,000 veterans and their spouses, and in Texas 67,000 veterans and their spouses would be eligible. But their Governors saw fit not to care.

Slashing Medicare funding by more than $1 trillion, as Speaker Ryan has proposed, is not a way to help veterans.

Yet that is what will be in store next year. That is what people are talking about as what we are going to do in the new year. Turning Medicare into a capped voucher, privatizing the program, shifting more costs on beneficiaries, won’t help either.

Now back to the bill at hand. For veterans who are receiving coverage through their employer-sponsored coverage that offers health savings accounts coupled with high-deductible health plans can cause a problem. Under present law, eligibility for TRICARE coverage disqualifies a retiree for health savings accounts.

While there is a difference of opinion in the committee on tax-preferred health accounts, the legislation recognizes that some veterans may have that coverage and could run afloral of current law because of enrollment in TRICARE. H.R. 5458 would provide that military retirees may disclaim their eligibility for the TRICARE program.

This would allow a retiree who enrolled in a high-deductible health plan to receive or maintain HSAs.

When we considered this bill in the Committee on Ways and Means, the Department of Defense as well as the House Committee on Armed Services had some concerns with the approach in this bill, in particular, that TRICARE eligibility is a statutory entitlement that cannot be waived. If the NDAA conference language is passed later this week, this legislation will no longer be needed as TRICARE enrollment will be voluntary and retirees can move between employer sponsored insurance and TRICARE, depending on which coverage is best for their current needs.

In other words, this bill is going to last about 3 days, until we pass the NDAA on Friday and it is signed into law.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Utah (Mr. Stewart), the sponsor of this bill.

Mr. STEWART. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Nebraska for the opportunity to speak on behalf of my bill, the Veterans TRICARE Choice Act.

Mr. Speaker, it was my honor to serve for 14 years as a pilot in the Air Force, and for my family—my wife, my children, and me—those were some of the best years of my life. I continue to be grateful for the privilege of those who serve in our military. It shouldn’t become cliche to say this: These are some of the finest young men and women that our country has ever produced. They are strong, intelligent, dedicated, courageous individuals who choose to use their talents to serve the rest of us.

It makes me uncomfortable sometimes when I hear those of us who serve in Congress being called public servants when we know that the true public servants are the airmen, the seamen, the soldiers, the marines—and their families; let’s not forget their families and their sacrifice as well—who spend their careers either overseas or abroad or the resources that they need to win and then to ensure that veterans receive the benefits that we have promised them. In fact, that third responsibility is the genesis for this bill, fixing a glitch that was brought to my attention.

As the gentleman has said already, it is just a glitch, just a loophole in the current law that was brought to my attention by a group of airline pilots. These pilots, many of whom are veterans, realized that, as veterans, they were unable to take advantage of all the healthcare benefits offered by their civilian employers. Many of them wanted to use HSAs but, because of the TRICARE eligibility, were legally unable to do that.

Mr. Speaker, HSAs are an innovative healthcare option that House Republicans have advanced as an important part of a market-driven, affordable healthcare system. In fact, HSAs are a critical component to the Speaker’s Better Way agenda, which I think many of us are excited to see signed into law in the coming months. With that in mind, it makes no sense to lock veterans out of this benefit based on eligibility for TRICARE.

These pilots came to my office and had a simple request: Give us an on-off switch for TRICARE so the veterans who wish to use an HSA while retaining their right to return to TRICARE in the future can do that if they choose. It made sense, so that is what we did with this bill. The Veterans TRICARE Choice Act allows a veteran to suspend his or her TRICARE benefits for the purpose of enrolling in a health savings plan. If, for whatever reason the veteran wishes to return to TRICARE, he or she can do so. It is a simple, commonsense fix with broad, bipartisan support.
Mr. SMITH of Nebraska. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I would like to thank Representative GABBARD and I have been fortunate to support this bill for almost 3 years and I am grateful for her work to bring this bill to this point.

I would also like to thank Chairman BRADY, Chairman THORNBERRY, Chairman HECK, and each of their staffs for their great work and their support in refining the bill and bringing it to the floor today. I am grateful for a similar measure that will be included in the National Defense Authorization Act we will be voting on later this week.

Finally, I would like to thank Nathaniel Johnson, a former member of my staff, a member of the Utah National Guard, a former combat medic who served in Afghanistan, and of course we called him Doc then. I would like to thank Doc, who felt compelled to see this bill through to its conclusion.

Mr. Speaker, our veterans deserve our most profound gratitude. Nothing about their military service should prevent them from accessing the same benefits as their nonveteran coworkers. The very least we can do for them is ensure they receive the benefits we promised them and that the process goes forward as smoothly as possible. I recognize we have lots to do on that front, but I am hopeful the passage of this bill will be one small step forward in that direction.

Mr. Speaker, I urge a ‘yes’ vote.

Mr. McDERMOTT. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as she may consume to the gentlewoman from Hawaii (Ms. GABBARD).

Ms. GABBARD. Mr. Speaker, the problem that this bill seeks to solve for our veterans is, unfortunately, not uncommon. I have heard from many of my fellow veterans, as has previously been mentioned, who have similarly not been able to access options widely available to their civilian coworkers because of the current limitations in the law; and that is what this bill seeks to do: correct it.

The Veterans TRICARE Choice Act simply gives veterans and their dependents a choice: They can opt out of TRICARE and contribute to a health savings account with more flexibility and coverage options without fear of permanently losing their TRICARE coverage; and if their situation later changes, they will have the option to reenroll in TRICARE coverage, plain and simple.

Our veterans and their families make tremendous sacrifices in service to our country, and that service should never limit their access to quality health care and their ability to make their own decisions about their own health and the health care for their families in the future.

I would like to thank and congratulate my friend and colleague, Chris STEWART, for his leadership on pushing this issue forward, and I encourage my colleagues to join us in supporting H.R. 5458 today.
“(5) CONSULTATION WITH NONMEMBERS.—The RESPONSE Subcommittee and the program offices for emergency responder training and resources shall consult with other relevant agencies and emergency entities engaged in federally funded research and academic institutions engaged in relevant work and research, which are not represented on the RESPONSE Subcommittee, and developing technologies and methods that may be beneficial to preparedness and response to rail hazardous materials incidents.

(6) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The RESPONSE Subcommittee shall develop recommendations, as appropriate, for improving emergency responder training and resource allocation for hazardous materials incidents involving railroads after evaluating the following topics:

(A) The quality and application of training for State and local emergency responders related to rail hazardous materials incidents, including training for emergency responders serving small communities near railroads, including the following:

(i) Ease of access to relevant training for State and local emergency responders, including an analysis of the number of individuals being trained; (ii) the number of individuals who are applying; (iii) whether current demand is being met; (iv) current challenges; and (v) projected needs.

(B) Modernization of training course content related to rail hazardous materials incidents, with a particular focus on fluctuations in oil shipments by rail, including regular and ongoing evaluation of course opportunities, adaptation to emerging trends, agency and private sector outreach, effectiveness and ease of access for State and local emergency responders.

(C) Identification of overlap in training content and identification of opportunities to develop complementary courses and materials among governmental and nongovernmental entities.

(D) Online training platforms, train-the-trainer, and mobile training options.

(7) REQUIREMENTS.—(A) The availability and effectiveness of Federal, State, local, and nongovernmental funding levels related to training emergency responders for rail hazardous materials incidents, including emergency responders serving small communities near railroads, including:

(i) identifying overlap in resource allocations;
(ii) identifying cost savings measures that can be implemented to increase training opportunities;
(iii) leveraging government funding with nongovernment funding to enhance training opportunities and fill existing training gaps;
(iv) adaptation of priority settings for agency funding allocations in response to emerging trends;
(v) historic levels of funding across Federal agencies for rail hazardous materials incident response and training, including funding provided by the private sector to public entities or in conjunction with Federal programs; and (v) current funding resources across agencies.

(8) THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.—(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of the RESPONSE Act of 2016, the RESPONSE Subcommittee shall submit a report to the National Advisory Council that—

(i) includes the recommendations developed under paragraph (6); (ii) specifies the timeframes for implementing any such recommendations that do not require congressional action; and

(iii) identifies any such recommendations that do require congressional action.

(B) REVIEW.—Not later than 30 days after receiving the report under subparagraph (A), the National Advisory Council shall submit to the Senate and House Committees on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the House Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the House of Representatives a review of the report. The National Advisory Council may ask for additional clarification, changes, or other information from the RESPONSE Subcommittee to assist in the approval of the recommendations.

(C) RECOMMENDATION.—Once the National Advisory Council approves the recommendations of the RESPONSE Subcommittee, the National Advisory Council shall submit the report to—

(i) the chairpersons of the RESPONSE Subcommittee; (ii) the head of each other agency represented on the RESPONSE Subcommittee; (iii) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; (iv) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate; (v) the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives; and (vi) the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

(9) INTERIM ACTIVITY.—(A) UPDATE AND OVERSIGHT.—After the submission of the report by the National Advisory Council under paragraph (7), the Administrator shall:

(i) provide annual updates to the congressional committees referred to in paragraph (7)(C) regarding the status of the implementation of the recommendations developed under paragraph (6); and

(ii) coordinate the implementation of the recommendations described in paragraph (6)(G)(i), as appropriate.

(B) SUNSET.—The requirements of subparagraph (A) shall terminate on the date that is 2 years after the date of the submission of the report required under paragraph (7)(A).

(10) TERMINATION.—The RESPONSE Subcommittee shall terminate not later than 90 days after the submission of the report required under paragraph (7)(C).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. CAPUANO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on S. 546, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this bill as well. I want to thank Ranking Member DENHAM, Chairman SHUSTER, and Ranking Member DeFazio for taking the lead on this bill. Very simply, this is the simplest bill in the world, to be perfectly honest. It gets all the stakeholders together and simply take a look at the current reality that we face from a disaster relative to rail accidents. It gets them all in one room to take a look at best practices to figure out what they can do better and see if resources are allocated well. It is not just Washington insiders. It includes people from the rail industry, people from the labor community, and people from the public safety community at local and State levels. It gets everybody to do things that Congress is not equipped to do appropriately. We are not the safety experts; they are.

There is a time limit. This is not one of those endless committees that is
going to sit there forever. For 1 year, they get together, work it out amongst themselves, and come back with recommendations to us so that we can do our job well, which is to support the people actually suppressing these fires and maintaining the safety of our communities.

Again, I rise in support of this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, in conclusion, this is a great bill. I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the bill was passed.

Mr. Speaker, S. 546, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The Speaker asked thegentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, S. 546, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FRED D. THOMPSON FEDERAL BUILDING AND UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 6135) to designate the Federal building and United States courthouse located at 719 Church Street in Nashville, Tennessee, as the “Fred D. Thompson Federal Building and United States Courthouse”.

The bill passed without objection.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 6135

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. DESIGNATION.

The Federal building and United States courthouse located at 719 Church Street in Nashville, Tennessee, shall be known and designated as the “Fred D. Thompson Federal Building and United States Courthouse”.

SEC. 2. REFERENCES.

Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Federal building and United States courthouse referred to in section shall be deemed to be a reference to the “Fred D. Thompson Federal Building and United States Courthouse”.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. DENHAM) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. CAPUANO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on H.R. 6135.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mr. COHEN).

Mr. COHEN. Mr. Speaker, it is my honor to rise on this occasion to have the courthouse in Nashville named for a distinguished American, a friend of mine, Senator Fred Thompson, who is the only University of Memphis graduate to serve in the United States Senate.

Fred was an outstanding attorney and Federal employee. He made Tennessee proud when he was counsel to the Watergate Committee. In a phenomenal fashion, he gave people a good feeling about bipartisanship when a Republican such as Fred Thompson stood up and raised the questions that needed to be raised to end the illegal and crime-ridden episodes of Richard Nixon that were exposed in Watergate.

Despite the fact that Richard Nixon was a Republican, Fred Thompson saw to it that when the President acted in an undemocratic way, diminishing the Constitution, diminishing our government, all Americans should stand up and oppose such. Fred did it in an ad- ministrative way, and Richard Nixon resigned eventually, and Gerald Ford helped save our country. Vice Presidents can do that.

Fred served as an Assistant U.S. Attorney. He was a member of the Senate Ways and Means Committee and a great American. He was also a private-practicing attorney who had a case concerning pardons. It was a Democrat who was doing things that were illegal. Ray Blanton from Tennessee was giving pardons that were improper. Marie Ragghianti stepped forward.

Fred Thompson wrote a book about Marie exposing illegal pardons. Somebody who did the script thought Fred could make a good actor. And Fred made a good actor. He did a lot of TV series and movies and had another career besides politician and lawyer: actor.

He came to Memphis one time, I remember specifically, to speak to the Chamber. And he had a droll way about him. He said—and I guess he said it in other places, as well—something like: When I am in Washington, I miss the reality and the sincerity of Hollywood. Well, I laughed when Fred said it. I think about it often here.

When he ran for office, Fred took a little red truck and used it to campaign. He drove that truck around the State. People identified with it. He was ahead of his time. It was kind of like Donald Trump eating McDonald’s. I think. He related to the common man with that truck.

I thought about Fred as I was flying up here. I just did get here in time. I was on one of the last of those regional jets, which was kind of like Fred’s truck, full of people. But we made it.

I want to thank Fred Thompson for all he did as an attorney, as an actor, and as a friend to me. He was bipartisan. He was always friendly to me. He was a courteous gentleman.

I came here when Fred won the National Conference of State Legislatures Award for looking out for States’ rights. He was the only member of the Senate to vote on a bill that the NCSL was in favor of. And he was right.

There was a province that belonged to the States that the Federal Government usurped because it was so wonderful to do and sets good brownie points back home. But Fred didn’t do that. He stayed with his position that States’ rights should be first and those areas of tort liability should have remained with the States. I came to see Fred get that award.

Fred had a wonderful wife and a wonderful family. One of his sons was a good friend of my mine. He still is. I am honored to be a sponsor of this bill. I am sorry that Fred left us, succumbing to cancer last year, but it is appropriate that we name our U.S. courthouse and Federal building in Nashville after this great American.

Mr. DENHAM. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Tennessee (Mrs. BLACKBURN).

Mrs. BLACKBURN. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the gentleman from California for his work in moving this legislation forward and also my colleague from Tennessee for joining me on this bill. It is such an honor to bring it forward and to push for the naming of the Federal courthouse in Nashville as the Fred D. Thompson Federal Building and United States Courthouse.

You know, it is so interesting. Fred learned a lot about life and about the law working in the current Federal building. As that building has been outgrown and the need for a new one is in the works, it is so exciting to know that Fred’s name will be emblazoned on that building. It is exciting for all of the residents of Lawrence County, Tennessee. That is where Fred grew up.
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JUSTICE FOR ALL REAUTHORIZATION ACT OF 2016  
Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (S. 2577) to protect crime victims’ rights, to eliminate the substantial backlog of DNA and other forensic evidence samples to improve and expand the forensic science testing capacity of Federal, State, and local laboratories, to increase research and development of new testing technologies, to develop new training programs regarding the collection and use of forensic evidence, to provide post-conviction testing of DNA evidence to exonerate the innocent, to support accreditation efforts of forensic science laboratories and medical examiner offices, to address training and equipment needs, to improve the performance of counsel in State capital cases, and for other purposes, as amended.  

The Clerk read the title of the bill.  
The text of the bill is as follows:  

S. 2577  
Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,  

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.  
This Act may be cited as the “Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016”.  

SEC. 2. CRIME VICTIMS’ RIGHTS.  
(a) RESTITUTION DURING SUPERVISED RE-  

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION. Section 3583(d) of title 18, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking “during the defendant’s imprisonment” and inserting “during the defendant’s sentence of prison”, “until the end” for “and at the end of the sentence”, and “if the court determines that the defendant has paid the full amount of restitution, or that the defendant is unable to pay the full amount” for “if the defendant is unable to pay the full amount”.  

(b) COLLECTION OF RESTITUTION FROM DEFENDANT’S ESTATE. Section 3613(b) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “in the case of” the following: “the court may order the estate to make restitution if the court determines that payment of the full amount of restitution is not possible”.  

(c) VICTIM INTERPRETERS.—Rule 28 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure is amended in the first sentence by inserting after the period at the end the following: “including an interpreter for the victim”.  

(d) GAO STUDY.—  
(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall—  

(A) conduct a study to determine whether enhancing the restitution provisions under sections 3663 and 3663A of title 18, United States Code, to provide courts broader author- ity to award''to allow the court to order the defendant to pay compensation to the victim for Federal offenses would be beneficial to crime victims and what other factors Congress should consider in weighing such changes; and  

(B) submit to Congress on the study conducted under paragraph (A).  

(2) CONTENTS.—In conducting the study under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall focus on the benefits to crime victims that would result if the restitution provisions under sections 3663 and 3663A of title 18, United States Code, were expanded—  

(A) to apply to victims who suffered harm, injury, or loss that would not have occurred but for the defendant’s related conduct;  

(B) in the case of an offense resulting in bodily injury resulting in the victim’s death, to allow the court to use its discretion to award an appropriate sum to reflect the in- come lost by the victim’s surviving family members or estate as a result of the victim’s death;  

(C) to require that the defendant pay to the victim an amount that would reflect the total losses sustained by the victim; and  

(D) to require that the defendant compensate the victim for any injury, harm, or loss, including emotional distress, that occurred as a result of the offense.  

SEC. 3. REDUCING THE RAPE KIT BACKLOG.  
(a) IN GENERAL.—Of the amounts made available to the Attorney General for a DNA Analysis and capacity enhancement program for another local, State, and Federal forensic activities under the heading “STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT” under the heading “OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS” under the heading “DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE” in fiscal years 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2021—  

(1) not less than 75 percent of such amounts shall be provided for grants for ac- tivities described under paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of section 2(a) of the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 14138(a)); and  

(2) less than 5 percent of such amounts shall be provided for grants for law enforce- ment agencies to conduct audits of their backlogged rape kits under section 2(a)(7) of the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 14138(a)(7)) to create and operate associated tracking systems and to prioritize testing in those cases in which the state of limitation will soon expire.  

(b) REPORTING.—  
(1) REPORT TO GRANT RECIPIENTS.—With respect to amounts made available to the At- torney General for a DNA Analysis and capacity enhancement program and for other local, State, and Federal forensic activities under the heading “STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT” under the heading “OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS” under the heading “DEPART-MENT OF JUSTICE”, the Attorney General shall require recipients of the amounts to report on the effectiveness of the activities carried out using the amounts, including any information the Attorney Gen- eral needs in order to submit the report required under paragraph (2).  

(2) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 1 month after the last day of each even-num- bered fiscal year, the Attorney General shall submit to the Committees of the Senate and the Committee on the Judici- ary of the House of Representatives a report that includes, for each recipient of amounts described in paragraph (1),—  

(A) the amounts distributed to the recipi-
(A) in section 6(d)(2) (42 U.S.C. 1560d(2)), by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

"(A)(i) Include the certification of the chief executive officer of the State indicating that the grant has adopted all national prison rape standards that, as of the date on which the application was submitted, have been promulgated and certified by the Attorney General, in such manner as the Attorney General shall require, that the State receiving such grant shall adopt and achieve full compliance with the national prison rape standards described in clause (i); and

(2) in section 8(e) (42 U.S.C. 1560e)—

(A) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

"(2) ADOPTION OF NATIONAL STANDARDS.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, any amount that a State would otherwise receive for prison purposes for that fiscal year under a grant program covered by this subsection shall be reduced by 5 percent, unless the chief executive officer of the State submits to the Attorney General proof of compliance with this Act through—

(i) a certification that the State has adopted, and is in compliance with, the national standards described in subsection (a); or

(ii) an assurance that the State intends to adopt and achieve full compliance with those national standards so as to ensure that a certification under clause (i) may be submitted in future years, which includes certification with the national standards described in subsection (a); or

(B) RULES FOR CERTIFICATION.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A chief executive officer of a State who submits a certification under this paragraph shall also provide the Attorney General with—

(I) a list of the prisons under the operational control of the executive branch of the State;

(II) a list of the prisoners listed under subparagraph (I) that were audited during the most recently concluded audit year;

(III) final audit reports for prisons listed under subparagraph (I) that were completed during the most recently concluded audit year; and

(IV) a proposed schedule for completing an audit of all prisons under the operational control of the executive branch of the State during the most recently concluded audit year;

(V) a proposed schedule for completing an audit of all prisons under the operational control of the executive branch of the State during the following 3 audit years; and

(VI) an explanation of the State’s current degree of implementation of the national standards.

(ii) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—A chief executive officer of a State who submits an assurance described in subparagraph (A)(ii)(I) shall, in addition to the provisions described in subparagraph (A)(ii)(I), also provide the Attorney General with a proposed plan for the expenditure of the funds during the applicable grant period.

(iii) ACCOUNTING OF FUNDS.—A chief executive officer of a State who submits an assurance under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall, in a manner consistent with the applicable grant reporting requirements, submit to the Attorney General a detailed accounting of how the funds described in subparagraph (A) were used.

(D) SUNSET OF ASSURANCE OPTION.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—On the date that is 3 years after the date of enactment of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016, a chief executive officer of a State who certifies that the State audited not less than 90 percent of prisons under the operational control of the executive branch of the State may request that the Attorney General allow the chief executive officer to submit an emergency assurance in accordance with subparagraph (A)(ii) as in effect on the day before the date on which that subparagraph ceased to have effect under clause (ii) of this subparagraph.

(ii) GRANT OF REQUEST.—The Attorney General shall grant a request submitted under clause (i) within 60 days upon a showing of good cause.

(E) DISPOSITION OF FUNDS HELD IN ABYANCE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—If the chief executive officer of a State who has submitted an assurance under subparagraph (A)(ii) subsequently submits a certification under subparagraph (A)(i) during the 2-year period beginning 6 years after the date of enactment of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016, the Attorney General will release all funds held in abeyance under subparagraph (A)(ii) to be used by the State in accordance with the conditions of the grant program for which the funds were provided.

(ii) RELEASE OF FUNDS.—If the chief executive officer of a State who has submitted an assurance under subparagraph (A)(ii) is unable to submit a certification during the 3-year period beginning on the date of enactment of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016, but does assure the Attorney General that 2/3 of prisons under the operational control of the executive branch of the State have been audited at least once, the Attorney General shall release all of the funds of the State held in abeyance during the period of time in which the State agrees to comply with the applicable requirements in clauses (ii) and (iii) of subparagraph (C).

(3) by inserting at the end the following:

"(C) RULES FOR ASSURANCES.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, any amount that a State would otherwise receive for prison purposes for that fiscal year under a grant program covered by this subsection shall be reduced by 5 percent, unless the chief executive officer of the State submits to the Attorney General proof of compliance with this Act through—

(i) a certification that the State has adopted, and is in compliance with, the national standards described in subsection (a); or

(ii) an assurance that the State intends to adopt and achieve full compliance with those national standards so as to ensure that a certification under clause (i) may be submitted in future years, which includes certification with the national standards described in subsection (a); or

(iii) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—If the chief executive officer of a State who has submitted a certification under this paragraph failed to submit a certification under subparagraph (A) during the most recently concluded audit year, and

(iv) the conditions of the grant program for which the funds were provided are such that the funds may be used by the State in accordance with the conditions of the grant program for which the funds were provided.

(4) by striking subparagraph (C) and inserting—

"(C) RULES FOR ASSURANCES.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—For each fiscal year, any amount that a State would otherwise receive for prison purposes for that fiscal year under a grant program covered by this subsection shall be reduced by 5 percent, unless the chief executive officer of the State submits to the Attorney General proof of compliance with this Act through—

(i) a certification that the State has adopted, and is in compliance with, the national standards described in subsection (a); or

(ii) an assurance that the State intends to adopt and achieve full compliance with those national standards so as to ensure that a certification under clause (i) may be submitted in future years, which includes certification with the national standards described in subsection (a); or

(iii) REDISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS.—If the chief executive officer of a State who has submitted a certification under this paragraph failed to submit a certification under subparagraph (A) during the most recently concluded audit year, and

(iv) the conditions of the grant program for which the funds were provided are such that the funds may be used by the State in accordance with the conditions of the grant program for which the funds were provided.
submitted an assurance under subparagraph (A)(ii)(D) is unable to submit a certification during the 3-year period beginning on the date of enactment of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2004 and does not notify the Attorney General that 2/3 of prisoners under the operational control of the executive branch of the State have been audited at least once, the Attorney General shall distribute the funds of the State held in abeyance to other States to be used in accordance with the conditions of the grant program for which the funds were provided.

"(F) PUBLICATION OF AUDIT RESULTS.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016, the Attorney General shall issue a report to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives on the status of implementation of the national standards and the steps the Department, in conjunction with the States and other key stakeholders, is taking to address any unresolved implementation issues."; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) BACKGROUND CHECKS FOR AUDITORS.—An individual seeking certification by the Department of Justice to serve as an auditor of prison compliance with the national standards described in subsection (a) shall, upon request, submit fingerprints in the manner determined by the Attorney General for criminal history record checks of the applicable State and Federal Bureau of Investigation repositories.".

SEC. 8. ADDITIONAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.

(a) DNA RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—Section 305(c) of the Justice for All Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 3797p(c)) is amended by striking "$15,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2009" and inserting "$5,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2009".

(b) DNA IDENTIFICATION OF MISSING PERSONS.—Section 307(a) of the Justice for All Act of 2004 (Public Law 108–405; 118 Stat. 2275) is amended by striking "$20,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2009" and inserting "$75,000,000 for fiscal years 2018 and 2019".

(c) DNA IDENTIFICATION OF MISSING PERSONS.—Section 308(c) of the Justice for All Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 14136b(c)) is amended by striking "fiscal years 2005 through 2009" and inserting "fiscal years 2017 through 2021".

SEC. 9. PAUL COVERDELL FORENSIC SCIENCES IMPROVEMENT GRANTS.

(a) GRANTS.—Part BB of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3797q) is amended—

(1) in section 2802(2) (42 U.S.C. 3797q(2)), by inserting after "bodies" the following: "and, except with regard to any medical examiner’s office, coroner’s office in the State, is accredited by an accrediting body that is a signatory to an internationally recognized arrangement and that offers accreditation to forensic science community assessment bodies using an accreditation standard that is recognized by that internationally recognized arrangement, or attests, in a manner that is legally binding and enforceable, to use a portion of the grant amount to prepare and apply for such accreditation not more than 2 years after the date on which a grant is awarded under section 2803;";

(2) in section 2803(a) (42 U.S.C. 3797r(a))—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(1) by striking "fifty-five percent" and inserting "Eighty-five percent"; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking "Twenty-five percent" and inserting "Fifteen percent"; and

(2) by striking "fifty percent" and inserting "Eighty-five percent"; and

(3) in section 2804(a) (42 U.S.C. 3797x(a))—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking "impression evidence," after "digital evidence,"

(ii) by striking "and," after "toxicology;".

(B) in paragraph (3), by inserting "and medicolegal death investigators after laboratory personnel;" and

(C) by inserting at the end the following:

"(4) To address emerging forensic science issues (such as statistics, contextual bias, and uncertainty of measurement) and emerging forensic science technology (such as high throughput automation, statistical software, and new forensic test methods), the Attorney General shall:

(5) To educate and train forensic pathologists;

(6) To fund medicolegal death investigation systems to facilitate certification of medical examiner and coroner offices and certification of medicolegal death investigators."; and

(4) in section 2806(a) (42 U.S.C. 3797o(a))—

(A) in paragraph (3), by striking "and" at the end;

(B) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5); and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following:

"(4) the progress of any unaccredited forensic science service provider receiving grant funds toward obtaining accreditation; and"

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 1001(a)(24) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3797s(a)(24)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (H), by striking "and"

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking paragraphs (1) and (2);

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) $5,000,000 for fiscal year 2017 and $10,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2018 through 2021.".

SEC. 10. IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF REPRESENTATION IN STATE CAPITAL CASES.

Section 425 of the Justice for All Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 14138e) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking "$75,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2009" and inserting "$55,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2005 through 2009"; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking the period at the end and inserting "; and".

SEC. 11. POST-CONVICTION DNA TESTING.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 3600 of title 18, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking "under a sentence of" and inserting "sentenced to"; and

(2) by inserting paragraph (2) and inserting the following:

"(2) for eligible entities that are a State or unit of local government, provide a certification by the chief legal officer of the State in which the eligible entity operates or the chief legal officer of the jurisdiction in which the funds will be used for the purpose of such grants, that the State or jurisdiction—

(A) provides DNA testing of specified evidence under a State statute or a State or local regulation, which evidence is relevant to imprisonment or death for a State felony offense, in a manner intended to ensure a
SEC. 13. ESTABLISHMENT OF BEST PRACTICES FOR EVIDENCE RETENTION.

(a) In General.—Subtitle A of title IV of the Justice for All Act of 2004 (Public Law 108–405; 118 Stat. 2279) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4) REIMBURSEMENT.—If an entity is awarded a grant under a grant program described in this Act and uses funds under the 2–fiscal-year period in which the entity is barred from receiving grants under paragraph (2), the Attorney General shall—

(A) deposit an amount equal to the grant funds that were improperly awarded to the grantee into the General Fund of the Treasury; and

(B) seek to recoup the costs of the repayment to the fund from the grant recipient that was erroneously awarded grant funds.

(b) DEFINED TERM.—In this section, the term "nonprofit organization" means an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code.

(c) PROHIBITION.—The Attorney General shall not award a grant under any grant program described in this Act to a nonprofit organization that holds money in offshore accounts for the purpose of avoiding paying the tax described in section 51(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(d) DISCLOSURE.—Each nonprofit organization described in this Act, the term "nonprofit organization" means an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code.

(e) PROHIBITION.—The Attorney General shall not award a grant under any grant program described in this Act to a nonprofit organization that holds money in offshore accounts for the purpose of avoiding paying the tax described in section 51(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(f) DISCLOSURE.—Each nonprofit organization described in this Act, the term "nonprofit organization" means an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code.

(g) PROHIBITION.—The Attorney General shall not award a grant under any grant program described in this Act to a nonprofit organization that holds money in offshore accounts for the purpose of avoiding paying the tax described in section 51(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(h) DISCLOSURE.—Each nonprofit organization described in this Act, the term "nonprofit organization" means an organization that is described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of such Code.

(i) PROHIBITION.—The Attorney General shall not award a grant under any grant program described in this Act to a nonprofit organization that holds money in offshore accounts for the purpose of avoiding paying the tax described in section 51(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

SEC. 14. EFFECTIVE ADMINISTRATION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE.

(a) Short Title.—This section may be cited as the "Effective Administration of Criminal Justice Act of 2016."
support any expenditure for conferences that uses more than $20,000 in Department funds, unless the Deputy Attorney General or the appropriate Assistant Attorney General, Director, or special deputy as the Deputy Attorney General may designate, provides prior written authorization that the funds may be expended to host a conference.

(c) REPORT.—Written approval under subparagraph (A) shall include a written estimate of all costs associated with the conference, including the cost of all food and beverages, audiovisual equipment, honoraria for speakers, and any entertainment.

(C) REPORT.—The Deputy Attorney General shall submit a report to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives on all conference expenditures approved by operation of this paragraph.

9) PROHIBITION ON LOBBYING ACTIVITY.—
(A) GENERAL.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated under this Act may not be utilized by any grant recipient to—
(i) lobby any representative of the Department of Justice regarding the award of grant funding; or
(ii) lobby any representative of a Federal, State, local, or tribal government regarding the award of grant funding.

(B) LOBBYING.—If the Attorney General determines that any recipient of a grant under this Act has violated subparagraph (A), the Attorney General shall—
(i) require the grant recipient to repay the grant in full; and
(ii) prohibit the grant recipient from receiving another grant under this Act for not less than 5 years.

10) PREVENTING DUPLICATIVE GRANTS.—
(A) GENERAL.—Before the Attorney General awards a grant to an applicant under this Act, the Attorney General shall compare potential grant awards with other grants awarded under this Act to determine whether duplicate grants are awarded for the same purpose.

(B) REPORT.—If the Attorney General awards duplicate grants to the same applicant for the same purpose, the Attorney General shall submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives a report that includes—
(i) a list of all duplicate grants awarded, including the total dollar amount of any duplicate grants awarded; and
(ii) the reasons the Attorney General awarded the duplicate grants.

SEC. 16. NEEDS ASSESSMENT OF FORENSIC LABORATORIES.
(a) STUDY AND REPORT.—Not later than October 1, 2018, the Attorney General shall conduct a study and submit a report to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives on the status and needs of the forensic science community.

(b) CONTENTS.—The report required under subsection (a) shall—
(1) examine the status of current workload, backlog, personnel, equipment, and equipment needs of public crime laboratories and medical examiner and coroner offices;
(2) include an overview of academic forensic science resources and needs, from a broad forensic science perspective, including non-traditional crime laboratory disciplines such as forensic anthropology, forensic entomology, and others as determined appropriate by the Attorney General;
(3) consider—
(A) the National Institute of Justice study, Forensic Sciences: Review of Status and Needs; and
(C) the National Academy of Sciences report, Strengthening Forensic Science: A Path Forward, published in 2009;
(D) the Bureau of Justice Statistics survey of forensic providers recommended by the National Commission of Forensic Science and submitted to the Attorney General on September 8, 2014;
(4) provide Congress with a comprehensive view of the infrastructure, equipment, and personnel needs of the broad forensic science community; and
(5) be made available to the public.

SEC. 17. IMPROVING THE RESTITUTION PROCESS.
(a) AMENDMENT.—Section 1406(c)(1)(A) of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10606(c)(1)(A)) is amended by inserting “victim services,” before “demonstration projects”.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the proposed rule entitled “VOCA Victim Assistance Program” published by the Office of Victims of Crime of the Department of Justice in the Federal Register on August 27, 2013 (78 Fed. Reg. 50277) is consistent with section 1406(b) of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10606).

SEC. 18. IMPROVING THE RESTITUTION PROCESS.
(a) STUDY AND REPORT.—Not later than 3 years after the date on which the report required under paragraph (1) is submitted, the Comptroller General of the United States shall prepare and submit to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate a report on the implementation by the Attorney General of the best practices recommended under paragraph (1).

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the proposed rule entitled “VOCA Victim Assistance Program” published by the Office of Victims of Crime of the Department of Justice in the Federal Register on August 27, 2013 (78 Fed. Reg. 50277) is consistent with section 1406(b) of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (42 U.S.C. 10606).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) and the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

GENERAL LEAVE
Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous materials on S. 2577, currently under consideration.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) and the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Virginia.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

On October 30, 2004, President George W. Bush signed into law the Justice for All Act of 2004. The law contains four very important sections related to victims of crime and improving the criminal justice system. The first section protects the rights of crime victims and eliminates the substantial backlog of DNA samples collected from both crime scenes and convicted offenders. It also improves and expands the DNA testing capacity of Federal, State, and local crime laboratories.

Finally, it establishes the rights of crime victims in Federal criminal proceedings and provides mechanisms for enforcing these rights.

The bill before us today, S. 2577, the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016, is a bipartisan and bicameral bill that builds on the 2004 Justice for All Act. It further improves the criminal justice system and ensures public confidence in it. It strengthens crime victims’ rights and programs by increasing access to restitution for Federal crime victims.

The act also further reduces the rape kit backlog and provides resources for...
forensic labs while protecting the innocent by improving access to post-conviction DNA testing.

The Justice for All Act works to improve the administration of criminal justice programs by increasing accountability for Federal funds and requiring the Department of Justice to assist State and local governments to improve their indigent defense systems. Additionally, it ensures the implementation of the Prison Rape Elimination Act.

I commend the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Poe) for his hard work on this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE, Mr. Speaker. I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of S. 2577, the Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016, and the complementary legislation authored by my good friend and colleague from Texas (Mr. Poe), and my good friend and colleague from California (Mr. Costa)—this is an important bill—and, of course, my Senator from the State of Texas, Senator CORNYN.

This bill goes to the floor of the House as S. 2577. This bipartisan, bicameral legislation advances this Congress’ efforts to enhance and improve our Nation’s criminal justice system for victims, law enforcement, the courts, and innocent persons, while also fostering public trust and confidence in our criminal justice system.

It also reinforces the important work that the House Judiciary Committee has been doing under Chairman Goodlatte and Ranking Member Conyers. My greatest hope, as the ranking member on the Subcommittee on Crime, Terrorism, Homeland Security, and Investigations, is that we can finish our work with the enormity of bills, sentencing reduction, prison reform, juvenile justice reform. I would like to optimistically think we might get these for the holiday season.

S. 2577 would reauthorize and improve upon various programs that began with the initial passage of the appropriately named Justice for All Act. I was proud to support this groundbreaking legislation in 2004, legislation intended to protect all persons who find themselves involved with the criminal justice system and that was authored by my good friend and colleague from Texas (Mr. Poe), and of course, my Senator from the State of Texas, Senator CORNYN.

The bill would improve the system is diminished.

Additionally, the Government Accountability Office will be required to determine the potential benefits to crime victims by expanding the authority of Federal courts to award restitution. Our crime victims need relief. We need to give them hope and a sense that we care about them.

The Attorney General will be required to evaluate the effectiveness of the Justice Department components and U.S. Attorney Offices in pursuing and obtaining restitution for crime victims. We all know DNA is a crucial element of many criminal cases, helping to identify suspects, charge fewer perpetrators of crimes, and to exclude the innocent.

This bill would ensure that victims of sexual assault receive essential services and are able to see their attackers brought to justice by renewing the DNA Backlog grant program and by expanding grants for forensic nurse examiners, giving priority to hiring full-time forensic nurses, establishing programs in rural and underserved areas, and training forensic nurses.

 Agencies across the country would realize further reductions in their rape kit backlogs because the Justice Department would be required, under this legislation, to use at least 75 percent of the funds made available for forensic testing for direct testing of crime scene evidence, including rape kits.

Under this measure, Debbie Smith grant recipients would have to report on the achievement of activities conducted using grant funds. S. 2577 would require the Attorney General to report annually to Congress on how Debbie Smith grant funds are being used to improve DNA testing and reduce the backlogs.

I know that my good friend CAROLYN MALONEY has been involved in these issues as well. She would reauthorize funding for several other DNA grant programs, including the Paul Coverdell Forensic Science Improvement Grant Program, which helps States and local governments that need it greatly speak to the local, thousands of pieces of evidence in a local law enforcement office.

In that same vein, the Attorney General would be required to conduct a needs assessment for State and local forensic science labs to better utilize Federal funding.

This bill would also enhance protections for the innocent by improving access to postconviction DNA testing, encouraging States to test DNA evidence in criminal cases for which there is untested DNA evidence, expanding State access to postconviction DNA testing funds by narrowing the evidence preservation requirement, and authorizing Federal postconviction DNA testing for individuals who can show exculpatory DNA evidence exists in their case despite having pled guilty.

We have a responsibility to make this criminal justice system fit in the four corners of the Constitution. That includes due process as one of the elements and certainly the response and remedy for those individuals who have been victims. We have a responsibility to ensure the safe and humane treatment of individuals, even if they are convicted of crimes and in prison.

Compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act would be an all-but-certain result of the incentive structure set in S. 2577, which would require State and local governments to focus more resources on implementation of this legislation’s directives, which we really need, while allowing the flexibility necessary to comply. For example, States that receive Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants would be required to
Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of S. 2577, the "Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016," as amended.

This bipartisan, bicameral legislation advances this Congress's efforts to enhance and improve our Nation's criminal justice system for victims, law enforcement, the courts, and innocent persons, while also fostering public trust and confidence in our criminal justice system.

S. 2577 would reauthorize and improve upon various programs that began with the initial passage of the appropriately-named Justice for All Act.

I was proud to support this groundbreaking legislation in 2004—legislation intended to protect all persons who find themselves involved with the criminal justice system and instill accountability throughout that system.

The programs we enacted in 2004 in increased resources to boost the testing capabilities of forensic crime laboratories and eliminate the backlog of DNA samples from sexual assaults, crime scenes, and convicted offenders.

It also enhanced protections for victims of crimes and established measures to prevent and overturn wrongful convictions.

The time has come to build upon the foundation we laid in 2004.

Fairness and equal treatment under the law are two fundamental values of our Nation's system of justice. When the innocent are jailed for decades for crimes they did not commit, when victims watch their attackers go free because the physical evidence was misplaced or never tested, or when overworked forensic labs technically provide reports, the people's trust and belief in the system is diminished.

The bill we are considering today would strengthen crime victims' rights, programs, and services.

In addition, it would—

- further reduce the rape kit backlog;
- provide additional resources to forensic labs;
- improve access to post-conviction DNA testing;
- ensure implementation of the Prison Rape Elimination Act; and
- improve the overall administration of criminal justice systems nationwide by increasing accountability, transparency, effectiveness, and fiscal efficiency.

Being the victim of a crime is a harrowing, disorienting experience. We must do our best to ease the suffering of victims and assist them as they work to rebuild their lives.

Under S. 2577, housing rights for victims of domestic violence would be expanded and Violence Against Women Act funding would be protected from reductions due to federal penalties.

Other victim-centered programs would be reauthorized by this bill, including programs used to notify victims of their right to be heard in court, to offer victims legal assistance, and to provide interpreters for federal crime victims who wish to participate in court proceedings.

Additionally, the Government Accountability Office would be required to determine the potential benefits to crime victims, if any, by broadening the authority of federal courts to award restitution for crimes victimized.

And, the Attorney General would be required to evaluate the effectiveness of Justice Department components and U.S. Attorney Offices in pursuing and obtaining restitution for crime victims.

We all know DNA is a crucial element of many criminal cases, helping to identify suspects and perpetrators of crimes and exclude the innocent.

This bill would ensure that victims of sexual assault receive essential services and are able to see their attackers brought to justice by renewing the DNA Backlog Grant Program and expanding grants for forensic nurse examiners, giving priority to hiring full-time forensic nurses, establishing programs in rural and underserved areas, and training forensic nurses.

We also would realize further reductions in their rape kit backlogs because the Justice Department would be required under this legislation to use at least 75 percent of funds made available for forensic testing for direct testing of crime scene evidence, including DNA.

Under this measure, Debbie Smith Grant recipients would have to report on the achievement of activities conducted using grant funds. S. 2577 would require the Attorney General to report annually to Congress on how Debbie Smith Grant funds are being used to improve DNA testing and reduce the backlogs.

Further, S. 2577 would reauthorize funding for several other DNA grant programs, including the Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program, which helps states and local governments improve the quality of forensic science services provided.

In that same vein, the Attorney General would be required to conduct a needs assessment for state and local forensic science labs to better utilize federal funding.

This bill also would enhance protections for the innocent by—

- improving access to post-conviction DNA testing;
- encouraging states to test DNA evidence in criminal cases for which there is untested DNA evidence;
- expanding state access to post-conviction DNA testing funds by narrowing the evidence preservation requirement; and
- authorizing federal post-conviction DNA testing for individuals who can show exculpatory DNA evidence exists in their case despite having pled guilty.

We have a responsibility to ensure the safe and humane treatment of individuals even if they are convicted of crimes and sentenced to prison.

Compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act would be an all but certain result of the incentive structure set forth in S. 2577, which would require state and local governments to focus more resources on implementation of this legislation's directives, while allowing the flexibility necessary to reach full compliance.

For example, states that receive Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant funds would be required to develop a strategic plan setting out how the grant money will be used.

Finally, this bill includes various provisions to ensure federal funds are used efficiently and effectively.

Accordingly, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this important legislation and reject the bad amendments.

The Justice for All Reauthorization Act is supported by a broad spectrum of organizations involved in, or affected by, our criminal justice system.

These organizations include—

- the National Sheriffs Association and the National District Attorneys Association;
- the Council of State Governments;
- the U.S. Conference of Mayors;
- the National Center for Victims of Crime;
- the Washington Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights;
- the Human Rights Campaign; and
- the Innocence Project.

In closing, I want to commend my colleagues in the House, including Judiciary Committee Chairman BOB GOODLATTE, Crime Subcommittee Chairman Jim SENSIBRRENNER, and Congressman Ted Poe, sponsor of the House companion.

And, I also want to acknowledge Senator PATRICK LEAHY for his authorship of the underlying statute and for his support of the reauthorization of these critical programs.

For the foregoing reasons, I urge my colleagues to join me in voting for this legislation today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I have no additional speakers, and I reserve the balance of my time.

Ms. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. COSTA), who is an original cosponsor of this legislation.

Mr. COSTA. I thank the gentlewoman from Texas for yielding 2 minutes, and I want to thank her and the chairman, the gentleman from Virginia, for their hard work on this very important piece of legislation.

Mr. Speaker, as the lead Democratic cosponsor of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act and the co-chair of the Congressional Victims' Rights Caucus, along with my good friend and colleague Congressman Ted Poe, who I know wanted to be here and who has worked so hard on this legislation, we as the chairs of the bipartisan Congressional Victims' Rights Caucus want those groups out there throughout the country to understand the importance of this legislation is. The broad coalition of groups that are supporting this and the bipartisan group of lawmakers who worked tirelessly to get this legislation on the House floor today is making a difference.

The Justice for All Reauthorization Act will improve our criminal justice system, and it will strengthen programs for victims of crimes. The healing process for the survivors of violent crime, as we all know, can be extremely painful and it can be difficult.

This legislation also helps those survivors by providing resources to reduce, as has been noted already, the...
In closing, I would like to commend my colleagues in the House, including Judiciary Committee Chairman Bob Goodlatte; Crime, Terrorism, Homeland Security, and Investigations Subcommittee Chairman Sensenbrenner; and Congressmen Ted Poe, the sponsor of the House portion of the bill, and the work of colleagues that we have done on the Judiciary Committee, as I started out my remarks, in dealing with the enormity of sentencing, passing legislation that will reduce mandatory minimums, prison reform that we have passed, and certainly looking to reform juvenile justice.

I, too, hope that the legislation that we are speaking of will move and be passed. As my friend Debbie Smith can attest, and knowing that your attacker is still on the streets is far worse.

Debbie was at home doing laundry one afternoon in Williamsburg, Virginia. Suddenly, a masked intruder walked through her back door and dragged her outside into a wooded area where he raped her repeatedly. Her attacker told her that if she called the police, he would return to her house and kill her. She was lucky to escape with her life. It was only after her husband begged her to contact the police that she agreed to take a forensic exam. Even though the police had a DNA sample they did not test. Debbie was left in fear that her rapist would return to her home and kill her for reporting her rape. Finally, after six and a half years, the police tested Debbie’s kit and put her attacker behind bars. Debbie has since become a fierce advocate for the elimination of the rape kit testing backlog that occurs all across the nation, and she has been a loud supporter of the Justice for All Reauthorization Act’s provisions to address this issue. As Debbie has said, I know that DNA testing gave me peace, and I want to make sure that other victims have that same opportunity.

The Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016 is supported by over a thousand victim advocacy groups from around the country. I urge my colleagues to vote to pass this important, bipartisan piece of legislation.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed. A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FUNDING FOR THE NATIONAL HUMAN TRAFFICKING HOTLINE
Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5422) to ensure funding for the National Human Trafficking Hotline, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5422

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. FUNDING FOR THE NATIONAL HUMAN TRAFFICKING HOTLINE; PERFECTING AMENDMENTS

(a) HHS FUNDING FOR TRAFFICKING HOTLINE.—Section 107(b)(1)(B)(ii) of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000 (22
Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 5422, a bill that I have cosponsored in order to ensure funding for the National Human Trafficking Hotline, a crucial component in the fight against human trafficking, and also to pay tribute to my congressional colleague Congressman Ted Poe, and join in wishing him a strong recovery. We look forward to continuing to work against the scourge of human trafficking. We have been told, of course, of Houston being the epicenter of such.

As I have said many times before, trafficking in human beings has no place in a civilized society. Congress decided 150 years ago that no individual deserves to be bought, owned, or sold. Our country is now faced with a modern-day version of slavery that denies victims of their humanity and violates the most basic American ideals of liberty and individual autonomy.

Human trafficking is the second fastest growing criminal enterprise: 4,177 sex trafficking cases and 824 trafficking cases were reported in the first 9 months of this year in the United States and its territories. Traffickers use trickery and, most often, coercion and violence to force victims to provide labor or perform sexual acts.

My home city of Houston has been identified as a hub for human trafficking, as I have said. I am proud to say that Houston and the entire State of Texas are working hard to stave off this growing threat.

In an effort to understand the problem and find real solutions, we held several hearings, including the first-ever field hearing on human trafficking held by the Committee on Homeland Security that I serve on. During that hearing, we heard from victims and survivors of human trafficking. They recounted indignities they suffered as well as the physical and psychological damage done while they were young children but still felt as adults. I am very gratified that Congressman Ted Poe participated in that hearing and found it constructive and instructive as we try to continue working on a solution.

I traveled to a stash house and witnessed the atrocious conditions under which these people are held and forced to engage in sex trafficking.

We now know that a comprehensive, collaborative approach that includes lawmakers, law enforcement, victim advocates, community organizations, and social service providers is necessary to identify victims and lead them to safety, restore them, and bring their captors to justice.

The National Human Trafficking Resource Center plays a critical role in the effort to save, protect, and restore victims of human trafficking. The NHTRC is a national anti-trafficking hotline and resource center created and overseen by the Department of Health and Human Services and funded through grant money appropriated to HHS. It is very important.

In 2015, the NHTRC received more than 24,000 signals regarding human trafficking cases or issues related to human trafficking, which includes phone calls, online tips, and emails. The NHTRC is invaluable to survivors, survivors, and stakeholders involved in the fight against human trafficking—connecting human trafficking victims and survivors to local, victim-centered support services that provide crisis intervention, urgent or nonurgent care, and lead them to safety; providing tools to fight against human trafficking; and reporting potential trafficking tips to law enforcement. This is a very valuable service and lifeline.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I re- solve the rule, the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) and the gentlewoman from Texas (Ms. JACKSON LEE) each will control 20 minutes. That objection to the request of the gentleman from Virginia?

Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include materials added to H.R. 5422, currently under consideration.

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include materials added to H.R. 5422, currently under consideration.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the distinguished gentlewoman from California (Ms. BASS), who has a long history of working with children, of arguing and advocating against the mistreatment of foster care children who find themselves disproportionately involved and subjected to the potential of human trafficking. I thank her for her leadership, for being a cosponsor of this legislation, and a Member of the House Judiciary Committee.

Ms. BASS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the National Human Trafficking Hotline.

I also want to join with my colleagues in wishing well Judge Poe, and wishing him a speedy recovery. He has been a leader on this issue for many, many years, and the hotline is a critical feature of how we can address human trafficking in our country.

I also support the resources being managed under Health and Human Services. I believe it reflects the current awareness and knowledge that this really shouldn’t be managed by law enforcement. We heard the stories of women and children who have been taken from location to location and forced to have sex against their will.

Currently, there are more cases of human trafficking reported in California than in any other State. This hotline has served as a lifeline/vital resource to human trafficking victims and their advocates. In California alone this year, there have been over 3,000 calls received on the hotline, resulting in over 1,000 human trafficking cases being reported, nearly a third of which are minors.

Unfortunately, there is a growing body of evidence that the fail fall through the cracks in the foster care system end up trafficked. As of 2012 in California, 50 to 80 percent of the commercially exploited children had been involved in the child welfare system. Fifty-eight percent of sexually trafficked girls in Los Angeles County STAR Court in 2012 were under age and were connected to the foster care system. In Los Angeles, we are fortunate...
Our country is now faced with a modern-day version of slavery that denies victims of their humanity and violates the most basic American ideals of liberty and individual autonomy. Human trafficking is the second-fastest growing criminal enterprise. In 2016, human traffickers deceived and trafficked 400 women and girls when they were young children, but still felt as if their childhood had expired. This is why I am grateful in determining that the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act, which, unfortunately, was enacted last year, mistakenly directed that funding for the NHTRC be given to the Justice Department instead of HHS, which would still be responsible for administering it. Therefore, we need to change the law to ensure that funding be directed to HHS so that it will continue to fund and oversee the NHTRC in the same manner and efficiently as it has in the past. For that reason, this is an important initiative.

I commend again the actions and efforts of my colleagues. Congressman Ted Poe. I wish him good health and thank him for continuing to work on behalf of human trafficking victims.

This bill is evidence that we have the ability to work together as a unified body to address issues that affect our country and, more importantly, that those victims of this dastardly human trafficking, when they feel so alone and cannot reach out, have a body of Members, House and Senate, who recognize the urgency and importance of this effort to help them restore their lives, but, more importantly, to stand in the way of this terrible and heinous act.

I urge my colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 5422, a bill I have cosponsored in order to ensure funding for the National Human Trafficking Hotline, a crucial component in the fight against human trafficking.

As I have said many times before, trafficking in human beings has no place in a civilized society.

Congress decided 150 years ago that no individual deserves to be bought, owned, or sold.

I ask that my colleagues join me in supporting this bill today. 

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself the balance of my time.

Let’s pass this legislation in honor of Congressman and former Judge Ted Poe of Texas, who has been a champion in the battle against human trafficking. I urge my colleagues to support the bill.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.
the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Goodlatte) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5422.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the yeas and nays have it.

Mr. Goodlatte. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.
The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

UNITED STATES–ISRAEL ADVANCED RESEARCH PARTNERSHIP ACT OF 2016

Mr. Ratcliffe. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5877) to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 and the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 to promote cooperative homeland security research and antiterrorism programs relating to cybersecurity, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016”.

SEC. 2. COOPERATIVE HOMELAND SECURITY RESEARCH AND ANTI-TERRORISM PROGRAMS RELATING TO CYBERSECURITY.

(a) HOMELAND SECURITY ACT OF 2002.—Section 317 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 (6 U.S.C. 195c) is amended—

(1) in the heading, by striking “PILOT”;

(2) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “PILOT”;

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at the end;

(4) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

and

(5) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) cybersecurity.”.

SEC. 3. PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL FUNDING.

No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act or the amendments made by this Act.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Ratcliffe) and the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. Langevin) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. Ratcliffe. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members and their staffs may be considered consulted with the Department of Homeland Security in the course of formulating, executing, or implementing agreements for international cooperative activities, including administrative, legal, or diplomatic challenges or resources required to achieve the Act.

(2) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (h) and (i), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection:

“(g) CYBERSECURITY.—As part of the international cooperative activities authorized in this section, the Under Secretary, in coordination with the Department of State and appropriate Federal officials, may enter into cooperative research activities with Israel to strengthen preparedness against cyber threats and enhance capabilities in cybersecurity.”.

(b) UNITED STATES-ISRael STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP ACT OF 2014.—Subsection (c) of section 317 of the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–296; 22 U.S.C. 6606) is amended—

(1) in the heading, by striking “PILOT”;

(2) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “PILOT”;

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking “and” at the end;

(4) in paragraph (3), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

and

(5) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) cybersecurity.”.

The text of the bill is as follows: The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Ratcliffe) and the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. Langevin) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. Ratcliffe. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I am very pleased that today the House is considering H.R. 5877, the United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016.

Israel is our strongest and most trusted ally in the Middle East, and I am grateful to join with the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. Langevin), my friend, in working to expand and strengthen this bond through long-term collaboration on cybersecurity efforts between our countries. H.R. 5877 builds on decades of partnership with the State of Israel by amending current law to authorize the Under Secretary of the Technology Directorate at the Department of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to enter into cooperative research activities with Israel.

H.R. 5877 also amends the U.S.-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 by further formalizing the program and by adding cybersecurity to the list of research areas authorized under the act. The U.S.-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 currently authorizes the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct cooperative research programs to enhance Israel’s capabilities in border security, explosives detection, and emergency services. My bill now adds cybersecurity to that important list.

Mr. Speaker, violence and instability in the Middle East present significant challenges for Israel as our major strategic partner in that region of the world, and enhancing collaboration between our countries is, therefore, essential to ensuring Israel’s continued ability to defend herself.

Mr. Speaker, I introduced this legislation following an in-depth congres-

sional delegation that I led to Israel earlier this year, along with my colleague, Mr. Langevin. While there, we were able to meet with Israel’s top national security figures, including Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, to discuss homeland security and cybersecurity threats between the United States and Israel, and to develop strategies for better cooperation in defending against these threats.

Mr. Langevin and I also met with Israel’s cybersecurity firms to learn about their efforts and to discuss the potential application of these innovative technologies to U.S. homeland security. In recent years, Israel’s tech sector has been booming with cybersecurity and technology startups, and many United States tech companies have now have a presence in Israel. Much of Israel’s success in the tech sector results from its development of a very robust cyber workforce, and we discussed ways to apply these lessons here in the United States.

The United States and Israel share a joint recognition that cybersecurity is national security, and that our two nations must closely partner to combat these growing threats. This is exactly why I was so pleased to be able to introduce H.R. 5877, the United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016, and why I also express my strong support for Mr. Langevin’s bill, H.R. 5843, the United States-Israel Cybersecurity Cooperation Enhancement Act of 2016.

I thank my friend and colleague, Mr. Langevin, for his bipartisan partnership on these very important bills. As the co-founder and co-chairman of the bipartisan Cybersecurity Caucus, he has long been a leader on cybersecurity issues here in Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I also thank Chairman McCaul, Ranking Member Thompson, and subcommittee Ranking Member Richmond for their help in getting this legislation across the finish line today. I also thank Chairman Royce and the staff of the Foreign Affairs Committee for their assistance in moving the legislation to the floor today.

I urge all Members to join me in supporting this bill.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

H. R. 5877, the United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016, was introduced by Mr. Ratcliffe and Mr. Langevin and was referred to the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Homeland Security, and the Committee on the Judiciary. I would like to thank all my colleagues who have been a very strong voice in helping to move this legislation across the finish line today.

I am writing to confirm our mutual understanding that forgoing further action on this measure does not in any way diminish or prejudice its jurisdictional prerogatives on this bill or similar
legislation in the future. I also request your support for the appointment of Foreign Affairs conferees to any House-Senate conference on this legislation.

I also request copies of our exchange of letters on this matter be included in your committee report, and also in the Congressional Record during floor consideration of the bill. Sincerely, EDWARD R. ROYCE, Chairman.

Hon. ED ROYCE,
Chairman, Committee on Foreign Affairs.

DEAR MR. ROYCE: Thank you for your letter regarding H.R. 5877, the “United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016.” I appreciate your support in bringing this legislation before the House of Representatives, and accordingly, understand that the Committee on Foreign Affairs does not waive any jurisdiction over the subject matter contained in this bill or similar legislation in the future. In addition, should a conference on this bill be necessary, I would support your request to have the Committee on Foreign Affairs represented on the conference committee.

I will insert copies of this exchange in the report on the bill and in the Congressional Record during consideration of this bill on the House floor. I thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely, MICHAEL T. MCCAUL, Chairman, Committee on Homeland Security.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise in strong support of H.R. 5877, the United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016.

Mr. Speaker, both this bill and the subsequent measure that we will consider today are connected, as the chairman mentioned, to a congressional delegation trip that Chairman RATCLIFFE and I took to Israel earlier this year. I thank Chairman RATCLIFFE for his leadership on cybersecurity and other homeland security-related issues.

The focus of our trip was cybersecurity, and we learned a great deal about the innovative work the Israelis are doing in this space, both within government and in the private sector.

Israel was one of the first countries to recognize the potential threat posed by interconnected computer systems, and they have been leaders in cybersecurity now for decades. For instance, the first stateful firewall technology was developed by an Israeli firm. Today, these firewalls are ubiquitous across the information security landscape.

In fact, despite its size, Israel is the second largest exporter of cybersecurity goods and services behind only the United States.

In addition to being a fertile source of public and private sector innovation in the domain, Israel is also the United States’ critical strategic partner in the Middle East. In recognizing this confluence of strategic and research interests, the Department of Homeland Security established a memorandum of agreement with the Israeli Ministry of Public Security that was focused on joint homeland security research and development, including cybersecurity. As a founding member of the Homeland Security Committee, I remember when this MOA was first reached, and I think it is a very positive thing that we are working together on these types of issues with Israel.

This MOA provides an excellent foundation for cooperation between our two nations; but one of the common themes we heard during our trip was: Can we be doing even more? After all, it is my firm belief that cybersecurity is the most significant national security challenge of the information age in which we live.

It has certainly been a pleasure working with Mr. RATCLIFFE, who, very quickly during his time here in Congress, has recognized the significance of the challenge that is in front of us. This national security challenge, of course, is not confined to any nation. In the converging cyberspace—most notably Iran—are infiltrating the networks in both of our countries. What is more, the interconnected nature of our information systems leads to a blurring of geography. A cyber threat against Israel could easily migrate to the United States or vice versa, and there is no Internet border patrol, if you will, that will preemptively stop it from spreading.

Some of these challenges can be addressed through collective cyber defense, particularly information sharing, which is why I am grateful that then-Deputy Secretary of Homeland Security Alejandro Mayorkas negotiated and signed a cooperative agreement with Israel earlier this year that will promote engagement and collaboration by our respective computer emergency readiness teams, or CERTs.

One of the things that I have learned in my near decade as co-chair of the Congressional Cybersecurity Caucus is that the landscape evolves at a dizzying pace. While we must work with our allies to jointly use existing capabilities, we also have to invest in the development of innovative new techniques and technologies that we have any hope of stemming the tide of the cyber attacks that we face.

With that background in mind, Mr. Speaker, I offer my full-throated support for the bill under consideration. H.R. 5877 expands an existing pilot program at the Homeland Security Advanced Research Projects Agency, or HSARPA, to further collaboration on cybersecurity capability development. This is particularly important because it addresses specific needs from the homeland security community which may not be present in other sectors and which may not be addressed by existing commercial, off-the-shelf products.

Cybersecurity is subject to the same valley of death, if you will, between early applied research and viable commercial products. As other cutting-edge fields, and this bill helps ensure that innovative technologies will make it to market that are responsive to the needs of our DHS cybersecurity professionals. This last point, of course, is worth emphasizing. While we face similar challenges on government networks as other entities, small businesses and government agencies all run Windows on their PCs. We also face problems that, of course, are unique to nation-states. It is incumbent upon nations that believe in a free and open Internet to work together to preserve its immense benefit and to facilitate collaboration between our countries’ innovators. It is natural for us to expand the area of our homeland security interests—explores detection, border security, and emergency services—to include cybersecurity.

I am grateful for Mr. RATCLIFFE’s leadership in bringing forth a bill that both cements existing relationships and expands them to the leading threat facing our Nation. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 5877.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I again thank Congressman LANGEVIN for his kind words and for his leadership in connection with this bill. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, may I inquire as to how much time I have remaining on my side? The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from Rhode Island has 14 minutes remaining.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentlewoman from Texas. Mrs. JACKSON LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise to commend Mr. RATCLIFFE and Mr. LANGEVIN for their leadership on this issue, and I rise in support of H.R. 5877, which speaks to the crucialness of cybersecurity as does the following bill by Mr. LANGEVIN.

It is interesting that, some years ago, as the chairperson of the Transportation Security Subcommittee, infrastructure was included, and cybersecurity was a part of that. During that tenure, we looked at the vast impact that cyber and security would have on the lives of Americans and on the people around the world. From water systems to sewer systems, an attack on the cyber system could clearlyundermine the quality of life of people around the world. Obviously, Israel fully comprehended this in its enhanced level of innovative work when dealing with cybersecurity and particularly, as Mr. LANGEVIN said, in the importancethevalleyofdeath,ifyouwill,ofcybersecurity capabilities, which we have been able to see.

I congratulate the sponsors of this legislation and will say that we need to
have cybersecurity issues clearly in our eyes’ view. I acknowledge the bipartisan work of the Committee on Homeland Security under the leadership of Chairman McCaul and Ranking Member Thompson, and I acknowledge the Cybersecurity, Infrastructure Protection, and Technology Subcommittee that has Mr. Richmond as the ranking member.

I also add my support for H.R. 5843, sponsored by Mr. Langevin, which provides a pilot cybersecurity research program that will require the Department of Homeland Security to establish a grant program to support cybersecurity research and development and the demonstration and commercialization of cybersecurity technology in accordance with the agreement between the Government of the United States and the Government of Israel.

I cannot think of two more important steps that are being made. I hope this legislation will pass before this Congress ends because, if there is any threat that is great to this Nation, it is the unintended impact of cybersecurity.

The Speaker pro tempore. The time of the gentleman has expired.

Mr. Langevin. I yield the gentleman an additional 15 seconds.

Ms. Jackson Lee. I thank the gentleman.

Mr. Speaker, in the backdrop of seeing technology impact the recent election, I think that we clearly know that we have to be studious, that we have to be thorough, and that we have to make sure that systems work and that systems are protected.

I ask my colleagues to support the underlying bill and also H.R. 5843.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 5843, United States-Israel Cybersecurity Cooperation Enhancement Act, because it will establish a pilot cybersecurity research program between our nation and our strongest friends in the region for the purpose of strengthening cybersecurity.

I support this bill because the bill requires the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to establish a grant program to support cybersecurity research and development, and the demonstration and commercialization of cybersecurity technology, in accordance with the Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the State of Israel on Cooperation in Science and Technology for Homeland Security.

This bill will codify and makes available funding for an existing mutual cooperation agreement between the United States and Israel on matters related to cybersecurity.

Grants provided under this bill may be awarded for social science research and technology. DHS is generally, protect against, respond to, and recover from cybersecurity threats.

To be eligible for a grant, a project must be a joint venture between:

1. for-profit, nonprofit, or academic entities, including national laboratories in the United States and Israel; or
2. the governments of the United States and Israel.

Grants shall be awarded only for projects considered unclassified by both the United States and Israel.

Under the terms of this bill DHS must require cost sharing of at least 50 percent from nonfederal sources for grant activities, but it may reduce the nonfederal percentage if necessary on a case-by-case basis.

The grant program terminates seven years after this bill’s enactment. The Science and Technology Homeland Security International Cooperative Programs Office will produce a report every five years by the Science and Technology must contain:

1. a status update on the progress of such international cooperative activities identified in the previous reporting period; and
2. a discussion of obstacles encountered in forming, executing, or implementing agreements for such activities.

I also add my support for H.R. 5843, sponsored by Mr. Langevin, which provides a pilot cybersecurity research program to provide strategic guidance to federal civilian agencies for the identification, prioritization, assessment, remediation, and security of their internal critical infrastructure to assist in the prevention, mediation, and recovery from terrorism events.

H.R. 85, also directs the Secretary of Homeland Security to:

1. work with critical infrastructure owners and operators and state, local, tribal, and territorial entities to take proactive steps to manage risk and strengthen the security and resilience of the nation’s critical infrastructure against terrorist attacks;
2. establish a terrorism prevention policy to engage with international partners to strengthen the security and resilience of domestic critical infrastructure and critical infrastructure located outside of the United States;
3. establish the Strategic Research Imperatives Program of the Department of Homeland Security to strengthen critical infrastructure security and resilience; and
4. make available research findings and guidance to federal civilian agencies for the identification, prioritization, assessment, remediation, and security of their internal critical infrastructure to assist in the prevention, mediation, and recovery from terrorism events.

H.R. 85, also directs the Secretary of Homeland Security to:

1. appoint a research working group that shall study how best to achieve national unity of effort to protect against terrorism threats and investigate the security and resilience of the nation’s information assurance components that provide such protection; and
2. establish a research program to provide strategic guidance, promote a national unity of effort, and coordinate the overall federal effort to promote the security and resilience of the nation’s critical infrastructure from terrorist threats.

As we have worked to define and support the mission of the Department of Homeland Security we have worked to keep the efforts of the agency focused not only on the threats we have faced, but also the new ones that may come.

Collaborative agreements that can bolster the ability of DHS to be able to effectively respond to cyber threats is in the best interest of the United States.

It is the responsibility of Congress not only to provide DHS with new guidelines, but also to provide the agency with the funding it needs to do the work of protecting this great nation.

For several Congresses DHS has faced a government shutdown and sequestration that has depleted its resources and stranded its efforts to do all of the work of the members of this body demands.

As I urge my colleagues to support this bill, I also remind them that the passage of new laws that require more of the agency also mean that we should require more of ourselves as members of Congress.

We should support the work of the men and women of DHS as they stand to defend this nation from all threats including those that come from cyberspace.

I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 5843.

Mr. Ratcliffe. Mr. Speaker, I re...
UNITED STATES-ISRAEL CYBERSECURITY COOPERATION ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2016

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5843) to establish a grant program at the Department of Homeland Security to promote cooperative research, development, demonstration, or other provision of law, in carrying out a reduction or elimination is necessary and appropriate, on a case-by-case basis, the grant program at the Department to support—

(A) cybersecurity research and development; and
(B) demonstration and commercialization of cybersecurity technology.

(2) REQUIREMENTS.—(A) APPLICABILITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in carrying out a research, development, demonstration, or commercial application program or activity that is authorized under this section, the Secretary shall require cost sharing in accordance with this paragraph.

(B) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—(i) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, National Laboratory (as defined in section 2 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15801)), or nonprofit entity in the United States; and
(ii) a for-profit business entity, academic institution, or nonprofit entity in Israel; or
(iii) (I) the Federal Government; and
(ii) the Government of Israel.

(4) APPLICATIONS.—To be eligible to receive a grant under this subsection, an applicant must submit an application to the Secretary for such grant in accordance with procedures established by the Secretary, in consultation with the advisory board established under paragraph (5).

(5) ADVISORY BOARD.—(A) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish an advisory board to—

(i) monitor the award of grants, which are awarded under this subsection; and
(ii) provide to the Secretary periodic performance reviews of actions taken to carry out this subsection.

(B) COMPOSITION.—The advisory board established under subparagraph (A) shall be composed of three members, to be appointed by the Secretary, of whom—

(i) one shall be a representative of the Federal Government;
(ii) one shall be selected from a list of nominees provided by the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation; and
(iii) one shall be selected from a list of nominees provided by the United States-Israel Binational Industrial Research and Development Foundation.

(6) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may accept or retain funds contributed by any person, government entity, or organization for purposes of carrying out this subsection. Such funds shall be available, subject to appropriation, without fiscal year limitation.

(7) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of completion of a project for which a grant is provided under this subsection, the grant recipient shall submit to the Secretary a report that contains—

(A) a description of how the grant funds were used by the recipient; and
(B) an evaluation of the level of success of each project funded by the grant.

(8) CLASSIFICATION.—Grants shall be awarded under this subsection only for projects that are considered to be unclassified by both the United States and Israel.

(b) TERMINATION.—The grant program and the advisory board established under this section terminate on the date that is seven years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL FUNDING.—No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this Act.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section—

(1) the term “cybersecurity research” means research, including social science research, into how to identify, protect against, detect, respond to, and recover from cybersecurity threats;

(2) the term “cybersecurity technology” means technologies that identify, protect against, detect, respond to, and recover from cybersecurity threats;

(3) the term “cybersecurity threat” has the meaning given the term in section 102 of the Cybersecurity Information Sharing Act of 2015 (enacted as title I of the Cybersecurity Act of 2015 (division N of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Public Law 114-133));

(4) the term “Department” means the Department of Homeland Security; and

(5) the term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Homeland Security.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. RATCLIFFE) and the gentleman from Rhode Island (Mr. LANGEVIN) each will control 20 minutes. The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Texas.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have received a letter from the Binational Industrial Research and Development, Ellazar Yellin Foundation and the Binational Science Foundation (BSF), and agree to refer to the Clerk a copy of the letter for the record.
BIRD, for instance, has financed R&D and commercialization projects that have led to a cumulative $8 billion in commercial sales since its founding while BSF regularly funds collaborations between the top scientists in our respective countries, as 45 Nobel laureates have found. The foundation supports the work of many leading research institutions, including the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Stanford University, and the University of California, Berkeley. These partnerships help to advance cybersecurity research and development, and to foster international collaboration in the field.

All of these factors are particularly critical in the fast-moving cybersecurity domain where offensive and defensive tactics and techniques change on a monthly or even on a weekly basis.

Chairman McCaul, Ranking Member THOMPSON, and Subcommittee Ranking Member RATCLIFFE and me on the congressional delegation trip that we took to Israel, as well as Emily Leviner on Mr. RATCLIFFE's personal staff and Nick Leiserson on my own staff.

As such, advances in the discipline require a near constant reexamination of assumptions, and having people from different backgrounds and security cultures working together engenders an environment where such reexamination is encouraged.

While both the U.S. and Israel have robust cybersecurity communities, further collaboration is needed to spur more advances to combat the threats that we face. Although some of these advances are technological in nature, basic cybersecurity research, such as investigations into the psychology of secure interface design and social engineering, is also supported by the bill.

All told, the programs authorized in H.R. 5843 and H.R. 5877 will both address urgent homeland security needs and build capacity for further transnational collaboration on cybersecurity, all while matching Federal investment with private dollars and funds from the Israeli Government.

As I set to make it to the floor, both H.R. 5843 and H.R. 5877 owe much to the dedicated staff who spent hours behind the scenes reviewing the legislation. In particular, I would like to thank Brett DeWitt, Christopher Schepis, and Erik Peterson from the Committee on Homeland Security's Subcommittee on Cybersecurity, Infrastructure Protection, and Security Technologies, who joined Representative RATCLIFFE and me on the congressional delegation trip that we took to Israel, as well as Emily Leviner on Mr. RATCLIFFE's personal staff and Nick Leiserson on my own staff.

I am also very grateful, of course, to Chairman McCAUL, Ranking Member THOMPSON, and Subcommittee Ranking Member RICHMOND for their continued leadership on the issue of cybersecurity and for their assistance in quickly actualizing the lessons we learned on our trip to Israel.

Finally, once again, I owe a debt of gratitude to the gentleman across the aisle, Mr. RATCLIFFE, who, in just in his first term, has immediately had a substantial impact on our Nation's cybersecurity, as I said previously, and with whom it has been a great pleasure to work.

Mr. Speaker, taken together, H.R. 5843 and H.R. 5877 do three things: they encourage innovative approaches to address top priorities in homeland security R&D; they strengthen ties with Israel, one of our closest allies; and they do so in a public-private partnership that matches Federal investment.

I urge Members to support H.R. 5843. I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I thank Congressman LANGEVIN for his kind words. I would also like to congratulate him on his hard work and leadership in bringing this bill to the floor today.

I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. LANGEVIN. Mr. Speaker, I yield my time as I may consume.

This bill is about innovation. It is a bill about bringing together the best minds in the U.S. and in Israel to help manage what has become an intractable problem. It is a bill that is sorely needed.

In the past year, just by way of example, we have seen the first cyber attack on a power grid in Ukraine. Many devices that are part of the Internet of Things have been compromised and used to launch denial of service and services.

Most disturbingly, the very foundation of our democracy, our voting system, has been targeted in a Russian information warfare campaign that is evolving daily. These are the national and Homeland Security threats that keep me up at night, and they are also the same types of threats that motivate the Israel National Cyber Bureau.

Working together, I believe that we can make meaningful progress to reduce the nation-state specific risk both countries face and better secure the entire Internet ecosystem.

I hope my colleagues in the Senate will move quickly to take up this issue. I would like to particularly thank my dear friend and home State colleague, Senator SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, for his efforts in this regard. He has been the leading Democrat on the Senate side on cybersecurity, among other things, and has been an invaluable partner to me in this effort.

Again, let me thank Representative RATCLIFFE for his work on this bill and his leadership on the committee. I urge my colleagues to support this bill.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. RATCLIFFE. Mr. Speaker, I thank Congressman LANGEVIN, and I urge my colleagues to support his bill, H.R. 5843.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by Senator SHELDON WHITEHOUSE, for his amendment to H.R. 5843.

SEC. 2. EXPANSION OF PRESIDENTIAL MEMORIAL CERTIFICATE PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 112(a) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:
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I rise to offer my unqualified support for H.R. 4757, Chairman MILLER’s bill that updates current law to ensure our Nation’s heroes are accorded the recognition they deserve, particularly those afforded the Nation’s highest honor, for valor, the Medal of Honor.

First, H.R. 4757 directs the VA to provide, upon request, a distinctive headstone, marker, or medallion to Medal of Honor recipients who are buried in private cemeteries. This bill is necessary because current law actually prohibits the Secretary from furnishing these honors to recipients not buried in national cemeteries.

Second, while the VA sends a Presidential Memorial Certificate that expresses the Nation’s recognition and gratitude of military service to family members of a deceased veteran, current law limits Presidential Memorial Certificates to the families of those who served in regular armed services or National Guard and Reserve members who were called to Active Duty. H.R. 4757 very rightly expands eligibility for a Presidential Memorial Certificate to members of the Reserve component of the Armed Forces and the Army National Guard or the Air National Guard eligible for interment or inurnment in national cemeteries.

Finally, current law only allows VA to pay for the cost of transporting the remains of a deceased veteran to the nearest open national cemetery. If it is the family’s choice instead to be buried in a State or tribal veteran’s cemetery, H.R. 4757 authorizes VA to pay the costs associated with transporting the remains of an eligible deceased veteran to that cemetery nearest to the deceased veteran’s last residence.

Mr. Speaker, honoring the memory of deceased veterans is our greatest responsibility at the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs and I am pleased to support Chairman MILLER’s legislation which refines and improves on the current law.

Mr. Speaker, the veterans who were awarded the Medal of Honor, the highest award for valor, deserve to have their service recognized both in life and after they pass. This bill would make it easier for visitors at any cemetery to pay their respects to Medal of Honor recipients by allowing them to quickly identify our national heroes.

Moreover, these headstones, markers, or medallions will also continue to inspire the next generation of Americans who love this country. We all know that veterans who were awarded the Medal of Honor, the highest award for valor, deserve to have their service recognized both in life and after they pass. This bill would make it easier for visitors at any cemetery to pay their respects to Medal of Honor recipients by allowing them to quickly identify our national heroes.

I urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 4757, as amended.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I, too, urge all my colleagues to support H.R. 4757, as amended.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 4757, the Protecting Veterans’ Educational Choice Act of 2016, would further protect student veterans by requiring that, when the Department of Veterans Affairs...
provides educational counseling or a certificate of eligibility to veterans or servicemembers who are eligible for VA education benefits, the Department also provide information on articulation agreements at institutions of higher learning.

The Post-9/11 GI Bill has benefitted more than 1.5 million servicemembers, veterans, and their dependents since its inception in 2009. While many of these beneficiaries complete their entire program of education at one school, we often see individuals who transfer to another school in the middle of their program due to a plethora of circumstances. If they do transfer schools, their previously earned credits can play a large role in determining the length of time it may take for students to complete their program at the new school that they have chosen to go to, and in some cases not all earned credits will transfer. Often, the transferability of certain credits between different institutions of higher learning is not always on an individual’s radar when they apply for a certain school or a certain program. And veteran may or may not have understood how credits transfer when they first initiated their education career.

H.R. 5047 would simply provide our student veterans with additional information to help them make informed decisions when choosing schools by requiring VA to provide information on articulation agreements at a particular school and that school’s agreements with another institution. Our veterans and their dependents deserve full transparency as they set out to use their hard-earned benefits. I thank my colleague, the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JODY B. HICE) for introducing this bipartisan legislation which has my complete support.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I arise in support of H.R. 5047, the Protecting Veterans’ Educational Choice Act of 2016. I thank the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. JODY B. HICE) for introducing this commendable legislation.

This bill would require the VA to include information about the educational services available to all veterans in their Post-9/11 GI Bill benefits, and it would require VA counselors who provide educational or vocational counseling to inform the veterans about the articulation agreements that exist between schools that govern as transferable credits. Articulation agreements refer to formal agreements between two or more institutions of higher learning, documenting the credit transfer policies for a specific academic program.

Student veterans have an important decision to make when they choose a college or university to attend with their Post-9/11 GI Bill benefits. It is essential that they understand at the outset whether they could transfer their credits to another college or university down the line.

We have seen too many examples of student veterans depreciating their limited GI Bill benefits to attend for-profit colleges only to find out later that their opportunity to transfer to schools without losing time, money, and credit hours are severely limited.

Ensuring that student veterans know in advance whether a school will give them credit for completed courses if they choose to transfer will help veterans avoid choosing schools where their credits will not transfer, thus saving them both time and their hard-earned Post-9/11 GI Bill benefits.

I thank Representative HICE for introducing this important piece of legislation, which I am proud to cosponsor and support.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the 10th District of Georgia (Mr. JODY B. HICE), the sponsor of this particular piece of legislation, the gentleman from the great community of Monroe.

Mr. JODY B. HICE of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, obviously I rise in strong support of this bill, H.R. 5047, the Protecting Veterans’ Educational Choice Act of 2016.

Let me just extend a very sincere and heartfelt thank you to Chairman MILLER and Ranking Member TAKANO—who, by the way, is an original cosponsor of this bill—for their support of this bill and overall wide support for this bill. I appreciate the comments that both of my colleagues have made pertaining to this bill.

The Post-9/11 GI Bill, I believe without question, is the most generous educational benefit that our Nation has ever passed. As has already been mentioned, over a million student veterans have benefitted tremendously from that particular piece of legislation. Some of the benefits include help to cover cost of tuition, books, supplies, even housing. Yet, in spite of all this, we still find that many of our veterans find themselves still having to take out student loans. Part of the reason for that is, as has been discussed by my colleagues, many of these veterans, as they are going to various schools sometimes in the midst of the process, discover that the credits that they have received from this school won’t transfer over here; and somewhere in the middle of that timeframe, much of their GI Bill has already been spent, and so they find themselves in an extremely difficult and awkward position.

I won’t reiterate the details of this bill because it has already been done, but the basics of this addresses that problem, Mr. Speaker. It does not have to say regarding what school a veteran chooses. They are free to go to whatever school they want to, but what this bill says is up front they need to be aware of whether or not their credits will transfer to another school. They don’t need to find that out on the back end. They need to be fully informed on the front end as they are making these career and educational choices.

I think it is a shame for many of our veterans to feel that they have misused their GI benefits because they weren’t informed enough from the beginning of this process. It is incumbent upon Congress, I believe, to ensure that our veterans have as much information as they need at the front end of their educational choices that will best benefit them and their families.

Again, I strongly thank the chairman and the ranking member for their support. I believe this bill is going to go a long way in addressing this problem. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 5047.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I strongly support this legislation. I have no other speakers. I urge my colleagues to vote “yes” on H.R. 5047.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I, too, would encourage all Members to support H.R. 5047.

I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5047.

The question was taken.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. In the opinion of the Chair, two-thirds being in the affirmative, the ayes have it.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

WORKING TO INTEGRATE NETWORKS GUARANTEEING MEMBER ACCESS NOW ACT

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5166) to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide certain employees of Members of Congress and certain employees of local governmental agencies with access to case-tracking information of the Department of Veterans Affairs, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5166

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Working to Integrate Networks Guaranteeing Member Access Now Act” or the “WINGMAN Act”.

SEC. 2. PROVISION OF ACCESS TO CASE-TRACKING INFORMATION.

(a) In General.—Chapter 59 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
H.R. 5166, as amended, would require VA to give designated permanent, full-time congressional employees access to VA databases so that our staff can tell a veteran the current status of their application for benefits. Moreover, to protect veterans privacy, the WINGMAN Act mandates that congressional employees first obtain permission before viewing a veteran’s information. At the same time, the congressional employee would not be able to alter the electronic file in any way.

Passing this bill will help veterans who simply want to understand where their claim is in the process. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 5166, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the Third District of the State of Florida, Mr. Yoho, a primary sponsor of the legislation.

Mr. YOHO. Mr. Speaker, I thank Chairman MILLER, a fellow Floridian, for his support of this measure. Without his help and the help of his team—Maria and Cecilia in particular—we would not be here today.

This is a monumental bill for our veterans. This comes down to customer service for our veterans. I feel we are in the customer service business. They are not constituents. These are people who simply want to understand where their claim is. If they have the expectation that they have a claim to information about a claim, it can take often weeks or months for the Department of Veterans Affairs to respond.

VA’s delay in answering congressional inquiries only adds to a veteran’s frustration. The veteran simply wants to know the status of his or her claim. H.R. 5166, as amended, would require VA to give designated permanent, full-time congressional employees access to VA databases so that our staff can tell a veteran the current status of their application for benefits. Moreover, to protect veterans privacy, the WINGMAN Act mandates that congressional employees first obtain permission before viewing a veteran’s information. At the same time, the congressional employee would not be able to alter the electronic file in any way.

Passing this bill will help veterans who simply want to understand where their claim is in the process. I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 5166, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself 1 minute to the gentleman from California.

I rise in support of H.R. 5166, sponsored by Representative Yoho, which would give certified congressional office caseworkers access to veterans’ electronic disability claims records at the Veterans Benefits Administration.

The purpose of the bill is to provide faster answers to our veteran constituents who call our offices to help with their VA claims. By the time veterans contact us, many have already faced delays or frustrating experiences trying to get answers. This bill will allow our congressional caseworkers read-only access to disability claims records. This means they will not be able to add or remove anything from a veteran’s record.

The bill also includes privacy safeguards, which reinforce the necessity for getting prior consent from a veteran before a caseworker can access a veteran’s files. Additionally, the bill requires that caseworkers employed in this capacity must first obtain prior consent from a veteran.

I am hopeful that as this program is developed, VA will put in place a tracking system to ensure that employees are only assisting constituents from their congressional districts and that congressional staff are held accountable if found to have abused any aspect of this new and unprecedented authority.

In short, Mr. Speaker, there is broad, bipartisan support among our colleagues for helping veterans get timely answers to their claims questions. Allowing full-time congressional staff to access to disability claims records on a read-only basis is a step in the direction of putting the veteran’s interest first and foremost.

I support H.R. 5166, as amended, and urge my colleagues to do the same.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.
passage of the WINGMAN bill. The WINGMAN removes the middleman and allows the staff to access these records directly without waiting on the VA.

Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues in the House to support this measure and be a good wingman and let our Nation’s veterans know that we have their six. Again, I thank the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. ROYNEY DAVIS) for his help on this strong bipartisan bill.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 1 minute to the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. COLLINS).

Mr. COLLINS of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I come to the floor as a veteran who is currently still serving in the United States Air Force Reserve. I served in Iraq. What Mr. YOHIO and Mr. DAVIS have done here is come together to bring common sense to something that really is amazing: we have veterans today who have to call their Congressmen to get help, and we are actually hamstrung in trying to help them.

That is not the way it should be. Our veterans deserve the best service that they can get. They deserve it in a prompt fashion, and they should not have to call their Congressmen. But when they do, we need to give our congressional offices all the tools that they need to help them.

I just want to compliment these Congressmen for bringing this bill forward and encourage the House to support this. This is a great bill, and it is really the reason why we are here.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. ROYNEY DAVIS), another prime sponsor of this bill, who is from the 13th Congressional District.

Mr. ROYNEY DAVIS of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I want to talk about Carl, an Army veteran from Springfield, Illinois, who couldn’t get a response from the VA to receive cancer treatment through the VA Choice program. After multiple communications, my office was finally able to get the authorization from the VA.

Bette, from Staunton, Illinois, the wife of a decorated Vietnam vet who served his country for more than a decade, waited over a year for an answer from the VA about benefits owed to her late husband; my office was successful in getting Bette, who was experiencing financial difficulty at the time, the accrued benefits owed to her husband.

Kenneth, of Urbana, Illinois, a Bronze Star recipient while serving in Kuwait, Iraq, and Afghanistan, was denied benefits due to a missing doctor’s examination because he was deployed at the time and the VA never rescheduled the appointment. He contacted my office, and we worked with the VA to ensure that the benefits were received.

Lawrence, of Palmer, Illinois, another Bronze Star and Purple Heart recipient, simply wanted a copy of his medical records but never heard back from the VA. After several months, he reached out to our office and we were able to get them from the VA.

Another constituent of mine recently asked my office for help after her husband was deployed away. She has been waiting for 6 months for an answer from the VA, and now my office continues to wait for a response from the VA.

These examples not only show the sometimes incompetence and unresponsiveness of certain personnel at the VA, but they also show how important congressional offices are to getting the answers our veterans need and deserve.

Many times when a veteran contacts their Member of Congress for help, it is their last resort. It is not their first call. They don’t know where else to turn. Our caseworkers become the middleman between the veteran and the VA.

VA casework in my office remains highest in volume. We currently have over 96 open cases, and we have closed nearly 4,000 in the 4 years that I have been in office. Ask them about any caseworker, and they will tell you the VA is one of the most difficult agencies to get a response from.

It is unacceptable that it takes this long. That is why the WINGMAN Act, H.R. 5166, needs to be passed. It simply allows our certified constituent caseworkers, our advocates, to access certain VA files in order to check the status and progress of claims. This technology will be used to help our veterans get the answers they deserve. It is not going to solve the systemic problems we see at the VA, but it is going to help us hold the VA accountable and get answers for veterans whom we are honored to represent.

I want to thank my colleague, Representative Yoho, for working with me and many others on this important piece of legislation; and, Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the Chairman MILLER not only for his help, but for his service to this great institution. He is somebody who has put our veterans first as chairman of the Veterans’ Affairs Committee and somebody who has spent his career making sure that commonsense proposals like this get enacted so that our veterans, those whom he cares about the most and we care about the most, get the answers and the responses they deserve.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I ask my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 5166, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I urge all of my colleagues to support H.R. 5166, as amended.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 5166, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

The title of the bill was amended so as to read: “A bill amend title 38, United States Code, to permit veterans to grant access to their records in the databases of the Veterans Benefits Administration to certain designated congressional employees, and for other purposes.”

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

HONORING INVESTMENTS IN RECRUITING AND EMPLOYING AMERICAN MILITARY VETERANS ACT OF 2016

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3286) to encourage effective, voluntary private sector investment to recruit, employ, and retain men and women who have served in the United States military with annual presidential awards to private sector employers recognizing such efforts, and for other purposes, as amended.

This bill is as follows:

The text of the bill is as follows: H.R. 3286

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Hiring Incentives to Readvertise Veterans Act of 2016” or the “HIRE Vets Act.”

SECTION 2. HIRE VETS MEDALLION PROGRAM.

(a) PROGRAM ESTABLISHED.—Not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Labor shall establish, by rule, a HIRE Vets Medallion Program to solicit voluntary information from employers for purposes of recognizing, by means of a HIRE Vets Medallion, verified efforts by such employers—

(1) to recruit, employ, and retain veterans; and

(2) to provide community and charitable services supporting the veteran community.

(b) APPLICATION PROCESS.—Beginning in the calendar year following the calendar year in which the Secretary establishes the program—

(1) the Secretary shall annually—

(A) solicit and accept voluntary applications from employers recognizing such efforts, and, by rule, a HIRE Vets Medallion; (B) review applications received in each calendar year; and

(C) provide to the President a list of recipients; and

(2) the President shall annually—

(A) notify such recipients of their awards; and

(B) at a time to coincide with the annual commemoration of Veterans Day—

(i) announce the names of such recipients; (ii) recognize such recipients through publication in the Federal Register; and (iii) issue to each such recipient—

(I) a HIRE Vets Medalion of the level determined under section 3; and

(II) a certificate stating that such employer is entitled to display such HIRE Vets Medalion during the following calendar year, to be designated a “HIRE Vets Medallion Certificate”.
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(iii) such employer employs dedicated human resources professionals to support hiring and retention of veteran employees, including efforts focused on veteran hiring and training; and
(iv) such employer provides each of its employees serving on active duty in the United States National Guard or Reserve with compensation that is combined with the employee’s active duty pay, to achieve a combined level of income commensurate with the employee’s salary prior to undertaking active duty, and
(v) such employer has established a tuition assistance program to support veteran employees’ attendance in postsecondary education during or after employment.

(4) EXEMPTION FOR SMALLER EMPLOYERS.—

An employer shall be deemed to meet the requirements of subparagraph (C)(iv) if such employer—

(1) employs 5,000 or fewer employees; and
(2) employs at least one human resources professional whose regular work duties include those described under subparagraph (C)(iii).

(E) ADDITIONAL CRITERIA.—

The Secretary may provide, by rule, additional criteria to establish two levels of HIRE Vets Medallions

The Secretary shall provide to the President a list of employers to receive HIRE Vets Medallions not later than September 30th of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(2) END OF ACCEPTANCE PERIOD.—

The Secretary shall establish two levels of HIRE Vets Medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(3) REVIEW PERIOD.—

The Secretary shall finish reviewing applications not later than August 31st of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(4) DOCUMENTS TO PRESIDENT.—

The Secretary shall provide to the President a list of employers to receive HIRE Vets Medallions not later than September 30th of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(5) NOTICE TO RECIPIENTS.—

The Secretary shall notify employers who will receive HIRE Vets Medallions not later than October 11th of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

SEC. 3. SELECTION OF RECIPIENTS.

(a) APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall review all applications received in a calendar year to determine whether an employer should receive a HIRE Vets Medallion, and, if so, of what level.

(2) APPLICATION CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall require that all applications provide information on the programs and other efforts of applicant employers during the calendar year prior to that in which the medallion is to be awarded, including the categories and activities governing the level of award for which the applicant is eligible under subsection (b).

(b) VERIFICATION.—In reviewing applications, the Secretary shall verify all information provided in the applications, to the extent that such information is relevant in determining whether or not an applicant should receive a HIRE Vets Medallion or in determining the appropriate level of HIRE Vets Medallion for that employer to receive.

(c) SELECTION CRITERIA.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall establish two levels of HIRE Vets Medallions to be awarded to employers employing 500 or more employees, to be designated the ‘‘Gold HIRE Vets Medallion’’ and the ‘‘Platinum HIRE Vets Medallion’’.

(2) GOVERNMENT-WIDE.—No employer shall be eligible to receive a Gold HIRE Vets Medallion in a given calendar year unless—

(i) veterans constitute not less than 7 percent of all employees hired by such employer during the prior calendar year;

(ii) such employer has established an employee organization or resource group to assist new veteran employees with integration, including coaching and mentoring; and

(iii) such employer has established programs to enhance the leadership skills of veteran employees during their employment.

(C) PLATINUM HIRE VETS MEDALLION.—No employer shall be eligible to receive a Platinum HIRE Vets Medallion in a given calendar year unless—

(i) veterans constitute not less than 10 percent of all employees hired by such employer during the prior calendar year;

(ii) such employer retains through the end of the prior calendar year not less than 85 percent of all employees hired during the calendar year before the prior calendar year;

(iii) such employer employs dedicated human resources professionals to support hiring and retention of veteran employees, including efforts focused on veteran hiring and training;

(iv) such employer provides each of its employees serving on active duty in the United States National Guard or Reserve with compensation that is combined with the employee’s active duty pay, to achieve a combined level of income commensurate with the employee’s salary prior to undertaking active duty, and

(v) such employer has established a tuition assistance program to support veteran employees’ attendance in postsecondary education during or after employment.

(D) EXEMPTION FOR SMALLER EMPLOYERS.—

An employer shall be deemed to meet the requirements of subparagraph (C)(iv) if such employer—

(1) employs 5,000 or fewer employees; and

(2) employs at least one human resources professional whose regular work duties include those described under subparagraph (C)(iii).

(E) ADDITIONAL CRITERIA.—

The Secretary may provide, by rule, additional criteria to establish two levels of HIRE Vets Medallions

The Secretary shall provide to the President a list of employers to receive HIRE Vets Medallions not later than September 30th of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(2) END OF ACCEPTANCE PERIOD.—

The Secretary shall establish two levels of HIRE Vets Medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(3) REVIEW PERIOD.—

The Secretary shall finish reviewing applications not later than August 31st of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(4) DOCUMENTS TO PRESIDENT.—

The Secretary shall provide to the President a list of employers to receive HIRE Vets Medallions not later than September 30th of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

(5) NOTICE TO RECIPIENTS.—The President shall notify employers who will receive HIRE Vets Medallions not later than October 11th of each calendar year for the medallions to be awarded in November of that calendar year.

SEC. 4. DISPLAY OF AWARD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The recipient of a HIRE Vets Medallion Program during the calendar year in which such medallion was awarded may—

(1) publicly display such medallion through the end of the calendar year following receipt of such medallion; and

(2) publicly display the HIRE Vets Medallion Certificate issued in conjunction with such medallion.

(b) UNLAWFUL DISPLAY PROHIBITED.—It is unlawful for any employer to publicly display a HIRE Vets Medallion, in connection with, or as a part of, any advertisement, solicitation, business activity, or product—

(1) for the purpose of conveying, or in a manner reasonably calculated to convey, a false impression that the employer received the medallion through the HIRE Vets Medallion Program; or

(2) for the purpose of conveying, or in a manner reasonably calculated to convey, a false impression that the employer received the medallion through the HIRE Vets Medallion Program during the preceding calendar year if it was at a low of 4.3 percent.

(c) USE OF FUNDS.—Amounts in the HIRE Vets Medallion Fund shall be available, subject to appropriation, to the Secretary to carry out the HIRE Vets Medallion Program.

SEC. 5. REPORT TO CONGRESS.

(a) REPORTS.—Beginning not later than two years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress annual reports on—

(1) the number of applications for HIRE Vets Medallions in the prior year and any changes in fees to be proposed in the present year;

(2) the costs of administering the HIRE Vets Medallion Program in the prior year;

(3) the number of applications for HIRE Vets Medallions received in the prior year; and

(4) the HIRE Vets Medallions awarded in the prior year, including the name of each employer to whom a HIRE Vets Medallion was awarded and the level of medallion awarded to each such employer.

(b) COMMITTEES.—The Secretary shall provide the reports required under subsection (a) to the Chairman and Ranking Member of—

(1) the Committees on Education and the Workforce and Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives; and

(2) the Committees on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions and Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate.

SEC. 7. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act—

(a) EMPLOYER.—The term ‘‘employer’’ has the meaning given such term under section 403 of title 38, United States Code, except that such term does not include—

(1) the Federal Government; and

(2) any State, as defined in such section; or

(3) any foreign state.

(b) SECRETARY.—The term ‘‘Secretary’’ means the Secretary of Labor.

(c) VETERAN.—The term ‘‘veteran’’ has the meaning given such term under section 101 of title 38, United States Code.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 3296, as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 3286, as amended, would require the Department of Labor to establish a HIRE Vets Medallion Program to recognize and to award employers with a HIRE Vets Medallion for their efforts to recruit, employ, and retain veterans, as well as their work to provide community and charitable services to veterans in their local communities.

While we still have work to do, it is important to note that the veteran unemployment rate has continued to decrease over recent years and, as of last month, it was at a low of 4.3 percent.
While many factors have led to the continued reduction of the unemployment rate for the men and women who have served, our Nation’s employers in both the public and the private sectors deserve a lot of the credit, and it is important that we highlight this work that they have done and publicly recognize their commitment for hiring veterans.

With this idea in mind, H.R. 3286, as amended, would authorize the Secretary of Labor to create the HIRE Vets Medallion Program, which would recognize employers who hire and retain veterans, as well as companies who provide support services to the veterans in their communities.

Employers would earn either platinum or gold status based on requirements related to the number of veterans hired each year, providing pay equity for guardsmen and Reserve employees who were called up to active military service, and other requirements. Once these employers have earned a HIRE Vets Medallion, they would be able to publicly display their award to illustrate the work they have done on behalf of veterans and the priority that they place on hiring veterans within their workforce.

As we work to continue to decrease the national unemployment rate among our men and women who have served, it is vital that we highlight and step up and thank the employers who have gone above and beyond, and recognize the benefits of hiring a veteran.

I want to thank Colonel Paul Cook of California for introducing and advocating for this bill. It has my full and complete support.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H.R. 3286, the Honoring Investments in Recruiting and Employing American Military Veterans Act of 2016, or the HIRE Vets Act. I thank my colleague and fellow Inland Empire and California Representative Colonel Paul Cook for introducing this innovative bill.

The HIRE Vets Act directs the Department of Labor’s Veterans’ Employment and Training Services, otherwise known as DOL VETS, to establish a HIRE Vets Medallion Program. This program will solicit voluntary information from private sector employers who successfully recruit, employ, and retain veterans, and allow these employers to display on their marketing materials a recognized medallion as a symbol of their commendable hiring practices. Employers who provide community and charitable services supporting veterans will also be eligible to display a HIRE Vets Medallion.

Hiring veterans isn’t just the right thing to do from a moral perspective; it also makes good business sense. The men and women who served in our military received invaluable training and experience that has been proven to help them thrive in postmilitary employment, whether in the public or private sectors.

Fortunately, we have been seeing encouraging trends in veterans’ employment. Thanks to the hard work of DOL VETS, combined with efforts within the private sector and Federal and State governments, the veterans’ unemployment rate in October was 4.3 percent. That is lower than the national unemployment rate, which was 4.9 percent. This continues a 24-month trend, with only a single exception.

We can all be very proud of the progress we have made in making sure more veterans are able to find quality, good-paying jobs upon transitioning into civilian life. That said, we want to remain vigilant to make sure that the men and women who signed up to defend our Nation enjoy opportunities for growth and prosperity when they return home.

Again, I want to thank my colleague, Colonel Cook, for offering this legislation to provide a uniform, recognizable medallion to show our appreciation to employers who hire and retain veteran employees. I am proud to be a cosponsor of this bill and to stand in support of its passage today.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. COOK), the sponsor of this legislation, from the Eighth District of California.

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, as a combat veteran, I am deeply concerned that the men and women of our Armed Forces continue to struggle to find jobs upon their return to civilian life. These individuals have not only displayed great courage serving our country, but have acquired distinctive skills that make them ideal candidates for employment.

Veterans who serve this country honorably should not face a struggle to find employment, which is why I have introduced H.R. 3286, the Honoring Investments in Recruiting and Employing American Military Veterans Act, the HIRE Vets Act.

As already mentioned, this bill creates an innovative system to encourage and recognize employers who make veterans a priority in their hiring practices, incentivizing the creation of thousands of jobs for veterans.

This bill goes beyond simply recognizing that a business hires veterans. It is critical that we establish a nationwide gold standard program that creates a strong and consistent brand.

This bill is an opportunity for American companies to show that they live up to the employment promises they made to veterans.

It is our duty to ensure veterans receive the benefits and resources they have earned through their services to this country, and that includes encouraging meaningful job opportunities.

I have been around a long while and, of course, have my own experiences from Vietnam, where a lot of veterans returned to their hometown and were shunned; they were ostracized, creating problems in terms of alcohol, drugs, you name it. A lot of it was related to the fact that they couldn’t find a job or people didn’t want to talk to them. They felt, I think, the businesses, goes a long way to correct a problem we have had for many, many years.

This bill passed out of the House Veterans’ Affairs Committee unanimously, and I want to thank Chairman MILLER and Ranking Member TAKANO for their support. I would also like to thank Representative TULSI GABBARD for being the original cosponsor of this important legislation.

I urge my colleagues to vote in favor of this bill.
The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5600

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "No Hero Left Untreated Act".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) Magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy has successfully treated more than 400 veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, military sexual trauma, chronic pain, and opiate addiction.

(2) Recent clinical trials and randomized, placebo-controlled studies have produced promising measurable outcomes in the evolution of magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy.

(3) These outcomes have resulted in escalating demand from returning warriors and veterans who are seeking access to this treatment.

(4) Congress recognizes the importance of initiating innovative pilot programs that demonstrate the use and effectiveness of new treatment options for post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, military sexual trauma, chronic pain, and opiate addiction.

SEC. 3. MAGNETIC EEG/EKG-GUIDED RESONANCE THERAPY PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall carry out a pilot program to provide access to magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy to treat larger populations of veterans suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, military sexual trauma, chronic pain, or opiate addiction.

(b) LOCATIONS.—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a) at not more than two facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(c) PARTICIPANTS.—In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary may not provide access to magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy to more than 50 veterans.

(d) DURATION.—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a) for a one-year period.

(e) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the termination of the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the pilot program.

(f) NO AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out the requirements of this section. Such requirements shall be carried out using amounts otherwise authorized.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 legislative days within which to receive their remarks and add extraneous material.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) and the gentleman from California (Mr. TAKANO) each will control 20 minutes.

Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

NO HERO LEFT UNTREATED ACT

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5600) to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a pilot program to provide access to magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy to veterans, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

I do rise today in support of H.R. 5600, as amended, the No Hero Left Untreated Act.

There is no greater priority we have as a grateful nation than to care for those who have been wounded in the service of our country. I am proud to assure that they are provided with the most successful treatments, including those that are new and are promising to assist them on their path to recovery.

H.R. 5600, as amended, would require the Department of Veterans Affairs to carry out a 1-year pilot program to provide access to magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy to veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, chronic pain, opiate addiction, or who have experienced military sexual trauma.

Magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy has proven effective in addressing symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder and traumatic brain injury among veteran patients. For example, in a 2015 study, veteran patients experienced an almost 50 percent reduction in symptom severity after just 2 weeks of using this therapy.

Though the pilot this bill would create is limited, I am hopeful that it will provide the needed data to support the provision of this promising new treatment for many more servicemembers and veterans in the future.

This bill is sponsored by our good friend, Congressman STEVE KNIGHT from California, and I am grateful to him for sponsoring legislation to increase access to innovative treatment for America’s heroes.

I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting H.R. 5600, as amended. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I rise today in support of H.R. 5600, as amended, the No Hero Left Untreated Act. This bill is designed to create a pilot program in the VA to determine if magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy technology is appropriate for larger populations of veterans suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder, traumatic brain injury, military sexual trauma, chronic pain, or opiate addiction.

Under this treatment, a veteran’s EEG and EKG are analyzed to ascertain the brain’s patterns of function and detect any possible abnormalities. This information is used to develop a personalized treatment for each patient aimed at restoring the brain to its optimal state.

It is essential that the VA continue to explore new and innovative treatments, like resonance therapy, that can offer breakthroughs for veterans and servicemembers suffering from PTSD and other traumas. For more than 90 years, the Veterans Affairs Research and Development program has been improving the lives of veterans and all Americans through healthcare discovery and innovation.

VA research is unique because of its focus on health issues that affect veterans. It is part of an integrated
Mr. KNIGHT, the prime sponsor of this bill, from the 25th District of California, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman to explain why we are doing this.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from the 25th District of California (Mr. KNIGHT), the prime sponsor of this important piece of legislation.

Mr. KNIGHT. Mr. Speaker, I want to thank the chair and ranking member for their support of this piece of legislation.

The No Hero Left Untreated Act is just that. We expect our young warriors to protect our values and our ideals, and we, as Americans, should do nothing less than to take care of them when we bring them home. The No Hero Left Untreated Act is a new and innovative way of looking at how we can treat our veterans, and I think that that is what people in America are looking for. They are looking for how we can care for our veterans in new and innovative ways. Well, this is one of those.

This is a way that we have taken 500 veterans, we have given them this treatment, and about 95 percent of them have said that they have had some difference in their life because of the treatment. Sixty-one percent have said that it is a dramatic change because of this treatment. If we took those numbers and we took them to any kind of treatment or any kind of medical help across this country, I think that is what people in America are looking for. They are looking for how we can help our veterans in new and innovative ways. Well, this is one of those.

So what we are trying to do here is we are going to put it into two of our medical facilities; put it into two of our VA centers, and we are going to collect some data on the enormous successes that we have seen in the past and hopefully in the future. Then, I hope to come back at a certain time in the future and say: this has been great; the data that we have collected has helped our veterans, has helped our warriors when they have come home. Let's put this across the country. I expect that everyone in every district across this country, when they see this, these types of successes, would want to put it into their VA facilities. So that is kind of our goal in what we are trying to do here.

Mental and physical injuries are part of battle treatment that works should be pushed by our legislative bodies. It shouldn't be stigmatized. And that is exactly what this body is doing. We are looking at this, and we are saying: this is working. Why wouldn't we push it? I thank everyone for looking at this in a bipartisan manner and saying this will help our veterans. Let's move this forward.

This therapy has shown enormous successes, and I think that when the American people look at this and they say, we have got these successes, let's make sure that we push this forward, I think that we should also look at other treatments that might not be having these types of successes and saying, you know what, we can do different changes, and the medical industry, I am sure, would support that.

So that is what we are trying to do with the No Hero Left Untreated Act. That is why we have named it because that is exactly what we want. We don't want to leave any hero untreated.

I appreciate the support from both sides of the aisle, and I ask for support of this important piece of legislation.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers. I encourage my colleagues to support this legislation and join me in passing H.R. 5600, as amended.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I too encourage my colleagues to support this piece of legislation.

I yield back the balance of time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I do rise today in support of H.R. 6232, a bill to name the Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare system in Long Beach, California, the Tibor Rubin VA Medical Center.

Mr. Speaker, as a young man, Corporal Tibor Rubin survived 14 months in a German concentration camp in Austria during World War II before it was liberated by the United States Army.

Corporal Rubin was so inspired by the American soldiers who rescued him that he eventually moved to the United States, enlisted in the Army, and became a United States citizen. He was deployed as a member of the 1st Cavalry Division during the Korean war, and was eventually captured by the North Korean military.

During his captivity, he provided crucial moral support and improvised medical support to his fellow prisoners of war. For his service, Corporal Rubin was awarded two Purple Hearts and the Congressional Medal of Honor.

Sadly, he passed away just last year. After such an outstanding life of service and survival, it is only appropriate that we honor Corporal Rubin by naming the Long Beach VA Medical Center after him. H.R. 6232 satisfies the Committee's naming criteria and is supported by the entire California congressional delegation, as well as many local veterans service organizations.

I am grateful to Congressman Lowenthal for sponsoring this legislation, and I urge all of my colleagues to join me in supporting it.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today in support of H.R. 6232, to name the Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare system in Long Beach, California, the Tibor Rubin VA Medical Center.

What a remarkable story about Tibor Rubin. Tibor Rubin survived the Mauthausen concentration camp for 14 months before being liberated by American soldiers in May of 1945. After immigrating to the United States in 1948, he enlisted in the United States Army and volunteered to serve in...
Korea despite not being required to serve overseas as a non-U.S. citizen.

While in Korea, Corporal Rubin was ordered to defend a road while his division was in retreat. He held that position for 24 hours until the 8th Cavalry could relieve him.

Corporal Rubin spent 30 months as a prisoner of war in North Korea, where testimony from his fellow prisoners detailed his willingness to sacrifice for the others. He helped his fellow POWs by stealing food from the camp at night and foraging for food, stealing from enemy supplies, and bringing back what he could to help the soldiers imprisoned with him. He declined the offer of his Communist captors to return him to Soviet Hungary, his country of origin, to help protect those from his adopted country.

“He shared the food evenly among the GIs,” a fellow prisoner wrote. “He also took care of us, nursed us, carried us to the latrine. This GI also added, “Helping soldiers was the most important thing to him.”

For these actions and more, Mr. Rubin was awarded the Medal of Honor in 2005. For all that this brave immigrant did to protect the freedoms of our great country, we are honored to be able to name this VA Medical Center after him.

Mr. Speaker, I urge support for this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield 8 minutes to the gentleman from California (Mr. LOWENTHAL).

Mr. LOWENTHAL. Mr. Speaker, I thank my good friend from California, who has been such a great leader on veterans' issues.

Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the life of Holocaust survivor and Medal of Honor recipient and a person that I knew personally before he passed away, Mr. Tibor “Ted” Rubin.

With the support of all 53 members of the delegation, both California Senators, and many of my State’s leading veterans’ groups, I recently introduced H.R. 6323, legislation to name the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center in Long Beach as the Tibor Rubin VA Medical Center.

As was already noted, Tibor Rubin was born in Hungary on June 18, 1929. During World War II, he survived 14 months in a Nazi concentration camp in Austria, where both his parents and both of his sisters would eventually die.

Liberated by the United States Army, he was inspired by the American soldiers who rescued him, immigrating to the United States and enlisting in the United States Army. He was deployed to Korea as a member of the United States Army’s 8th Cavalry Regiment, 1st Cavalry Division during the Korean War.

Despite facing religious discrimination from his sergeant, who sent him on the most dangerous patrols and missions and withheld his Medal of Honor commendation, Tibor fought valiantly in several notable engagements. In one such engagement, Tibor enabled the complete withdrawal of his compatriots to the Pusan Perimeter by solely defending a hill under an overwhelming assault by North Korean troops. During this engagement, he inflicted a staggering number of casualties on the enemy while carrying 50 pounds of ammunition and fighting for nearly 24 hours straight. His valor was personally responsible for the capture of several hundred North Korean soldiers.

In an additional engagement near Ussan, Chinese forces attacked his unit during a massive nighttime assault. For nearly 24 hours, he remained at his post with a .30-caliber machine gun at the south end of the unit’s line until his ammunition was exhausted. His determination to prevent the enemy advance into his sector, permitting the remnants of his unit to retreat southward. However, as the battle raged, Tibor was severely wounded and captured by the Chinese. While in Chinese custody, he refused to be repatriated to Hungary, instead choosing to remain in the prison camp. He would refuse the offer on numerous occasions.

Tibor disregarded his own personal safety and immediately began sneaking out of the camp at night in search of food for his fellow prisoners. Breaking into enemy food storehouses and gardens, he risked certain torture or death if caught.

Tibor provided not only food for the starving soldiers, but also desperately needed medical care and moral support for the sick and wounded of the POW camp. As one of his fellow prisoners recounted about the camp: “Tibor did many good deeds, which he told us were multivahs in the Jewish tradition. He was a very religious Jew, and helping his fellow men was the most important thing to him.”

Tibor’s brave, selfless efforts were directly attributed to saving the lives of as many as 40 of his fellow prisoners. As his Medal of Honor citation reads: “Corporal Rubin’s gallant actions in close contact with the enemy and unyielding courage and bravery while a prisoner of war are in the highest traditions of military service and reflect great credit upon himself and the United States Army.”

It is worth noting that Tibor was nominated in the field on four occasions for the Medal of Honor. When he was finally presented his Medal of Honor in 2005, it was not presented by President George W. Bush for a single act of heroism. It was instead presented for nearly his entire 3 years of service in the Korean War.

Tibor was fiercely proud of the country he adopted. When he was later asked about his decision to immigrate to the United States, he said: “I always wanted to become a citizen of the United States, and when I became a citizen, it was one of the happiest days in my life.”

“I think about the United States, and I am a lucky person to live here.”

“When I came to America, it was the first time I was free. It was one of the reasons I joined the U.S. Army, because I wanted to show my appreciation.

“It is the best country in the world, and I am part of it now. I do not have to worry about the Gestapo knocking on my door.”

I am proud to say that after his service, Tibor became a longtime resident of Garden Grove, California, in my district. It was still his home when he passed away on December 3, 2015, and it was the Long Beach VA Hospital where he received his medical services for over 50 years.

It was my great honor to meet Tibor and to represent him in Congress. He was a survivor, a soldier, a nurse, a compatriot, and a wonderful citizen.

Mr. TAKANO. Mr. Speaker, I have no further speakers. What an amazing and inspiring story behind Corporal Rubin.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to join me in supporting this legislation, H.R. 6323.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I, too, encourage all of our colleagues to support this legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H.R. 6323.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REAFFIRMING LONGSTANDING UNITED STATES POLICY IN SUPPORT OF A DIRECT BILATERALLY NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENT OF THE ISRAELI-PALESTINIAN CONFLICT

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 165) expressing the sense of Congress and reaffirming longstanding United States policy in support of a direct bilaterally negotiated settlement of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and opposition to United Nations Security Council resolutions imposing a solution to the conflict.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. CON. RES. 165

Whereas the United States has long supported a negotiated settlement leading to a sustainable two-state solution with the democratic, Jewish state of Israel and a democratic Palestinian state living side-by-side in peace and security;
Whereas it is the long-standing policy of the United States Government that a peace-
ful resolution to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will only come through direct, bilateral nego-
tiations between the two parties;

Whereas President Barack Obama reiterated this policy at the United Nations General
Assembly in 2011, stating, ‘Peace is hard work. Peace will not come through
statements and resolutions at the United Na-
tions—if it were that easy, it would have been accomplished by now. Ultimately, it is the
Israelis and Palestinians who must live side by side. Ultimately, it is the Israelis
and the Palestinians—not us—who must reach agreement on the issues that divide them . . . ’;

Whereas the Palestinian Authority has failed to end incitement to hatred and vio-
rance against the Israeli people and authorities, directed institutions against Israel and
Israelis, and end payments to prisoners and the families of those who have engaged in
terrorism or acts of violence against Israel or the State of Israel;

Whereas the Palestinian Authority has continued to provide payments to prisoners and
the families of those who have engaged in terrorism or acts of violence against
Israelis or the State of Israel, including reports of approximately $300 million in 2016;

Whereas efforts to impose a solution on parameters for a solution can make negotia-
tions more difficult and can set back the causes for peace;

Whereas it is the long-standing practice of the United States Government to oppose and, if
necessary, veto United Nations Security Council resolutions dictating additional
binding parameters on the peace process;

Whereas it is also the historic position of the United States Government to oppose and veto,
unilaterally proposals for direct negotiations at the United Nations Security Council;

Whereas and for this reason, the United States has vetoed 42 Israel-related resolu-
tions in the United Nations Security Council since 1972;

Whereas the Palestinian Authority must engage in broad, meaningful, and systemic reforms in order to ultimately prepare its in-
stitutions and people for statehood and peaceful coexistence with Israel; and

Whereas recognition of a Palestin-
ian state would bypass negotiations and undermine incentives for the Palestinian Au-
thority to make the changes necessary that are prerequisites for peace: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), that it is the sense of Congress that—

(1) a durable and sustainable peace agree-
ment between Israel and the Palestinians will only come through direct bilateral nego-
tiations between the parties;

(2) any widespread international recogni-
tion of a unilateral declaration of Palesti-
nan statehood outside of the context of a
peace agreement with Israel would cause se-
vere harm to the peace process, and would
likely trigger the implementation of pen-
alties under sections 703(e) and 704(b)(1) of the
Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub-
lic Law 114–113);

(3) efforts by outside bodies, including the United Nations Security Council, to impose
an agreement or parameters for an agree-
ment are likely to set back the cause of peace;

(4) the United States Government should
continue to oppose and veto United Nations Security Council resolutions that seek to
impose solutions to final status issues, or are one-sided, anti-Israel; and

(5) the United States Government should
continue to support and facilitate the re-
sumption of negotiations without pre-
conditions between Israelis and Palestinians toward a sustainable peace agreement.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursu-
ant to the rule, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gen-
tleman from New York (Mr. SHERMAN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE).

GENRAL LEAVE

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unan-
imous consent that all Members may have 5 minutes to review and ex-
tend their remarks and to include ex-
traneous materials in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gen-
tleman from California?

There was no objection.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I thank the ranking member, the gentleman from New York (Mr. SHERMAN) and the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) for bringing to the House an important resolution that is going to move us forward on peace. This resolution does not impose any parameters for a solution or set back the peace process. It makes it clear that unilateral action by one side will trigger implementation of penalties in the Consolidated Appropriations Act. We have a responsibility to ensure that we do not undermine the negotiations process.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The gentleman from California is recognized for 2 minutes.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield the balance of my time.

Mr. Speaker, it is the long-standing policy of the United States Government that a peace-
ful settlement to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict will come only through direct bilateral nego-
tiations between the Israelis and the Palestinians—not us—who must resolve these issues for peace. A peace agreement must be negotiated by the parties themselves. A peace agreement will be one that is acceptable to both the Israelis and the Palestinians. Peace must be based on a two-state solution, with a host of other bipartisan cospon-
sors.

This resolution comes at a precarious time for the two-state solution, with a new administration preparing to enter office and as turmoil continues in the Middle East. I, myself, have always been a supporter of a negotiated solu-
tion between the Israeli and Palesti-
nian sides of this conflict which would result in a secure, democratic Jewish State of Israel alongside a sta-
ble and democratic state for the Palesti-
nian people.

This resolution reaffirms this commit-
tment, which has been longstanding American policy. The United States has provided important leadership as the two parties have negotiated. We would hope to see bilateral negotia-
tions in the future. Peace must be
made by the parties themselves. A peace settlement will only come through direct bilateral negotiations. These negotiations are delicate and they are complicated.

As President Barack Obama said in 2011: “Peace is hard work. Peace will not come through statements and resolu-
tions at the United Nations. If it were that easy, it would have been accomplished by now.” The President contin-
ed: “Ultimately, it is the Israelis and the Palestinians who must live side by side. Ultimately, it is the Israeli-Palestinian partnership that we seek.”

This resolution is consistent with ad-
ministration policy and consistent with the policy of several prior admin-
istrations.
We must heed this advice. Imposing a solution on the parties will not work. In fact, it will be counterproductive to peace. It would undermine incentives for the Palestinian authority to make the necessary changes that are prerequisites for peace. Statehood can be accomplished only through ensuring security, eliminating incitement, and demonstrating that the Palestinian side can live peacefully with Israel.

This resolution expresses a sense of Congress as follows:

The United States should veto any one-sided United Nations Security Council resolutions that would seek to impose solutions on final status issues—again, consistent with the administration policies; and finally, of course, that America will continue to support negotiations without preconditions between the Israelis and the Palestinians.

The Palestinian people deserve a state of their own. The Israeli people deserve to live in peace as Jews in the State of Israel. Both parties to the conflict should pursue a durable and lasting peace agreement without preconditions. The United States ought to be judicially ensuring a consensus approach to the peace process.

On the contrary, this is just about the worst idea. It would have the effect of dangerously undercutting the peace process. Israel’s security, the future of Jerusalem, the fate of Palestinian refugees, and borders—all that with this issue knows—are the four most sensitive matters at stake in this conflict and should not be imposed from without. The United States ought to be very clear when faced with such proposals. Any attempt to determine the fate of these issues outside of direct, bilateral talks undermines the sovereignty of our strong ally Israel, destroys goodwill, and threatens to prolong the conflict further.

The Speaker pro tempore. The time the gentleman has expired.

Mr. ROYCE. I yield the gentleman an additional 1 minute.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

I rise in strong support of H. Con. Res. 165, in support of direct bilateral negotiations to resolve the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, introduced by Chairman ROYCE and Ranking Member ENGEL. This resolution is much more than a restatement of longstanding U.S. policy. It is an urgent defense of our commitments to the State of Israel in the face of innumerable threats. The United States has long insisted that the only path to peace for the Israelis and Palestinians is through direct, bilateral negotiations. Any so-called resolution imposed from the outside is doomed to failure because it inherently lacks the political support of both parties to the conflict. Peace-making is hard work, but that reality has not stopped others from looking for a shortcut.

The U.N. Security Council is one such forum that has served as a platform for anti-Israel schemes for many years. Thankfully, the United States has always resolutely imposed such unilateralism and, when necessary, through both Democratic and Republican White Houses, has always resolutely used the veto. Since 1972, the United States has used its veto power 42 times to block anti-Israel measures in the Security Council. However, in the closing days of this administration, the longstanding policy of blocking such measures is being called into question.

Mr. Speaker, there are many reports that President Obama is considering using his power of the purse to support a one-sided United Nations Security Council resolution shirking certain conditions for peace. Just last month, The New York Times editorial board came out forcefully in favor of this scheme. The editorial board wrote: “The best idea under discussion now would be to have the United Nations Security Council, in an official resolution, lay down guidelines for a peace agreement covering such issues as Israel’s security, the future of Jerusalem, the fate of Palestinian refugees, and borders.”

On the contrary, this is just about the worst idea. It would have the effect of dangerously undercutting the peace process. Israel’s security, the future of Jerusalem, Palestinian refugees, and borders—all that with this issue knows—are the four most sensitive matters at stake in this conflict and should not be imposed from without. The United States ought to be very clear when faced with such proposals. Any attempt to determine the fate of these issues outside of direct, bilateral talks undermines the sovereignty of our strong ally Israel, destroys goodwill, and threatens to prolong the conflict further.

Mr. ROYCE. I yield the gentleman an additional 1 minute.

Mr. SMITH of New Jersey. Sadly, the drumbeat for unilateral United Nations Security Council resolutions is on. On October 14, the U.N. Security Council held a special debate, titled, “Illegal Israeli Settlements: Obstacles to Peace and the Two-State Solution.” The session was held at the request of Security Council members Egypt, Venezuela, Malaysia, Senegal, and Angola, with the backing of the Palestinians. Such one-sided initiatives only damage prospects for peace.

Last April, 390 Members of the House on both sides of the aisle signed a letter to the President urging him to support anti-Israel measures in the Security Council. As the letter, signed by so many of us, including some in this room, including NITA LOWEY, KAY GRANGER, KAREN BASS, TED DEUTCH, ILEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, ED ROYCE, ELIOT ENGEL, KEVIN MCCARTHY, STENY HOYER, NANCY Pelosi, and myself—390 in all—that laid out the simple principles that have guided our policy. These principles include:

- A refusal to support counterproductive efforts aimed at imposing a solution on both parties to the conflict.
- Opposition to Palestinian efforts to seek recognition of statehood status in international bodies; and
- A willingness to oppose, if need be, a one-sided U.N. resolution by way of a veto.

I urge my colleagues to vote for this resolution.

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY).

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN), my friend, for yielding. Today in support of H. Con. Res. 165, reaffirming longstanding U.S. policy in support of a direct, bilaterally negotiated settlement of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, several decades the United States has maintained a consistent, bipartisan policy toward the conflict that supports a two-state solution and opposes settlement expansion. Explicit congressional support for the two-state solution is critically important, especially in light of President-elect Donald Trump’s previous statements on this very subject.

My friends on the other side have indicated an abiding fear that something bad might happen at the U.N. in the waning 52 days of the Obama administration. I don’t share that concern. What I am concerned about is the next 4 years and what Donald Trump will do to the longstanding, bipartisan support for a two-state solution that has been the cornerstone of American policy. If he pulls out of that commitment, then you are right, Middle East peace is at risk. But it is not what Obama is going to do over the next 52 days.

I urge my colleagues to support this resolution, which reiterates that long-standing, bipartisan support for a two-state solution, and help combat the unpredictability of U.S. foreign policy in these difficult days of transition.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 4 minutes to the gentleman from Florida (Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN), who chairs the Foreign Affairs Subcommittee on the Middle East and North Africa.

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, as always, I want to thank our esteemed chairman, the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE), as well as our ranking member, the gentleman from New York (Mr. ENGEL), who is so wonderfully represented by the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN). I thank Mr. ROYCE and Mr. ENGEL for authoring this very important resolution, which I am proud to cosponsor. And while I fully support this measure and I urge all of my colleagues to back it as well, I wish that this resolution was not needed; but, sadly, we know better.

The fact that we need to bring this up for debate and pass a resolution urging a United States administration to uphold longstanding U.S. policy as it relates to the peace process is telling and also disappointing, Mr. Speaker.

These next 2 months are going to be crucial for our friend and ally, the democratic Jewish State of Israel, and the U.S.-Israel alliance, which must remain ever strong. Israel is facing a constant barrage by the Palestinians and
their supporters at the United Nations, and there are indications that Abu Mazen will once again attempt to fur-
ther his plan for unilateral statehood through the Security Council.

Ordinarily, any attempt to dictate a two-state solution or impose para-
maters on negotiations between the Israelis and the Palestinians would be
summarily dismissed by the United States. However, sadly, it has become
clear over the past year that this ad-
ministration may be looking to take
an unprecedented action; and, in fact, we
have heard that the administration has
been actively seeking ways in which it
would force the Israelis into making
dangerous concessions.

I have asked Secretary Kerry, I have
asked Ambassador Power, our Ambas-
sador to the U.N., I have asked Ambas-
sador Patterson and nearly every ad-
mnistration official who has come be-
fore our Foreign Affairs Committee
headed by Mr. Royce and Mr. Engel, if
President Obama will uphold long-
standing U.S. policy and will veto any
Security Council resolution related to
Israel. Each one has evaded the ques-
tion, refusing to reaffirm this long-
standing, unambiguous, noncontrover-
sial policy.

We hear speak of one-sided resolu-
tions, but that is slick administration
talk. Who defines the one-sidedness? It
should have been a resounding blanket
statement—it is easy—that the Presi-
dent believes that the only way to a
real and lasting peace between Israelis
and Palestinians must come through
direct bilateral negotiations between
the two, and lacking that, yes, we will
urge the President to veto it. It is not
hard.

Peace cannot be forced. Any short-
term achievement an imposed solution
will bring will be far outweighed by the
long-term, durable peace.

Mr. Speaker, this is a lameduck ad-
mnistration; and it should go without
saying that any action, whether it be
at the U.N. or undertaken unilaterally,
aimed at forcing solutions to final sta-
tus questions—this administration may
be looking to take steps that will weaken
Israel's hand in going forward. I hope,
in going forward, in administration after
administration, that this body will stand as we do
today—in bipartisan support.

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, this
resolution reaffirms longstanding
American policy that can be summa-
rized in five points: talks must be
direct and bilateral; a solution cannot
be imposed on the parties; both states
must be willing to make important com-
promises; disagreements should be re-
solved privately; and the United States
should work closely with the State of
Israel. This resolution deserves the
support of those on both sides of the
aisle.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance
of my time.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker. I yield 2
minutes to the gentleman from Illinois
(Mr. DOLD), a member of the Comm-
ittee on Financial Services.

Mr. DOLD. I thank my good friend,
the gentleman from California (Mr.
ROYCE), for yielding the time.
As a longstanding supporter of the special relationship between the United States and Israel, I believe the United States must remain steadfast in its commitment to help Israel defend itself, to ensure that Israelis and Palestinians feel that a viable political horizon to ending the conflict exists despite the current absence of ongoing, productive negotiations, and to stand ready to help create better conditions for peace—so that real and achievable progress may prove viable in the months and years ahead.

The Speaker pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 165.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

ENCOURAGING REUNIONS OF DIVIDED KOREAN AMERICAN FAMILIES

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution (H. Con. Res. 40) encouraging reunions of divided Korean American families.

The Clerk read the title of the concurrent resolution.

The text of the concurrent resolution is as follows:

H. Con. Res. 40

Whereas the Republic of Korea (hereinafter in this resolution referred to as "South Korea") and the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (hereinafter in this resolution referred to as "North Korea") remain divided since the armistice agreement was signed on July 27, 1953;

Whereas the United States, which as a signatory to the armistice agreement as representative of the United Nations Forces Command, and with 28,500 of its troops currently stationed in South Korea, has a stake in peace on the Korean Peninsula and is home to more than 1,700,000 Americans of Korean descent;

Whereas the division on the Korean Peninsula separated more than 10,000,000 Korean families, including some who are now citizens of the United States;

Whereas there have been 19 rounds of family reunions between South Koreans and North Koreans along the border since 2000;

Whereas Congress signaled its interest in family reunions between United States Citizens and their relatives in North Korea in section 1265 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), signed into law by President George W. Bush on January 23, 2008;

Whereas the number of more than 100,000 estimated divided family members in the United States last identified in 2001 has been significantly dwindling as many of them have passed away;

Whereas many Korean Americans are waiting for a chance to meet their relatives in North Korea for the first time in more than 60 years; and

Whereas peace on the Korean Peninsula remains a long-term goal for the Governments of South Korea and the United States, and would enhance security and prosperity for the region and the world: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That Congress—

(1) encourages North Korea to allow Korean Americans to meet with their family members from the United States during the month of December;

(2) calls on North Korea to take concrete steps to build goodwill that is conducive to peace on the Korean Peninsula.

The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) and the gentleman from California (Mr. SHERMAN) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE).

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members have 5 minutes legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and to include any extraneous material for the RECORD.

The Speaker. The request is granted.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

As the Republican coauthor of this measure, I rise in strong support of H. Con. Res. 40—a resolution I was proud to introduce alongside my good friend, Mr. CHARLIE RANGEL. As always, I appreciate the help from the gentleman from New York, the ranking member, for his assistance in bringing it to the House floor for consideration. It has been a privilege to have worked alongside one of the true champions of peace and stability on the Korean Peninsula, Mr. CHARLIE RANGEL. He is, indeed, a true patriot.

We all know about his bravery and heroism as a young Army officer in the Korean war—spending his days literally fighting behind enemy lines. While wounded, CHARLIE courageously led 40 men from his unit out of a Chinese encirclement, undoubtedly saving many, many lives. For his bravery, CHARLIE earned the Purple Heart and the Bronze Star. Yes, CHARLIE suffered for his country, but his focus has continued to also be on the suffering of the Korean people. A nation was destroyed; millions were killed; families were brutally ripped apart. CHARLIE has never forgotten that. He didn't leave Korea behind, which is why I was happy to work with him on the cause of bringing together the many, many Korean families that have been ripped apart by war.

Sadly, Mr. Speaker, Korea remains a divided peninsula. There is a prosperous and free South Korea and a brutal, totalitarian, impoverished North Korea. This division is a calamity that is acutely felt by South Korean families that have been separated by the DMZ, but it is equally felt here by many Korean American families in the United States. In the decades since the momentous liberation of Korea, millions of Korean families have been separated from their loved ones. Today, an estimated 100,000 of them have relatives who are north of the DMZ, and I am pleased to say that over half of those Korean Americans reside in the State of California.

The Korean Americans who have been divided from their families in North Korea are now in their senior years. Time is running out for these separated families to reunite—perhaps for just one last time—with parents, siblings, children. For many, reunification will be the only contact they will have with so many. As of yet, Korean Americans have not been permitted to participate in family reunions. North Korea should encourage...
Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Illinois (Mr. DOLD).

Mr. DOLD. I thank the gentleman for yielding the time.

Mr. Speaker, this is a critically important humanitarian issue as we talk about families. Each and every one of us just got back from Thanksgiving—an opportunity for us to gather around the table with our families. I think that is something that, often, too many of us take for granted—the opportunity and the ability that we have just got back from Thanksgiving—to jump on a plane or to get on a train and go visit our families. Yet, for so many Korean families, that is something that is beyond the realm of possibility.

It is beyond the realm of possibility because, at the outbreak of the Korean war, many of the Koreans thought that this was just going to be a conflict that was not going to last very long; so families were literally separated at that time and were hoping to be reunited 46 years ago or even more. But the fact is that families have not been able to be reunited.

I want to make sure that we stand together in a bipartisan way to encourage the opportunity for families to be able to be reunited.

I thank the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) for his leadership on this issue. Again, nobody who has observed my time here has ever known his love for the Korean people and his record in the Korean war, his heroism in that regard.

I do hope that we, today, will vote to make sure we send a strong signal that the reuniting of families is something we should all stand and be united behind.

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 5 minutes to the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL), the author of this resolution and a champion for the Korean American community.

Mr. RANGEL asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.

So I would like to include tonight as one of those proudest days that I have served in this august body and, also, to include Representative Ed Royce as one of the most decent human beings I have also met while serving in this body.

Mr. ROYCE, Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I yield 2 minutes to the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. CONNOLLY).

Mr. CONNOLLY. Mr. Speaker, I too join the chairman and the ranking member in saluting the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL).

I remember him telling the story that he was a teenager at the outbreak of the war in Korea, living in Harlem, and didn’t know where Korea was. He sure knows today. He is an iconic figure in the Korean community.

Representative RANGEL, we salute you for your incredible heroism.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of H. Con. Res. 40 to encourage the reunification of Korean American families. The division of north and south along the 38th parallel offers one of the world’s most striking dichotomies. Yet, on both sides of the demilitarized zone resides a shared pain. The pain is that families ripped apart by war and an enduring division of one people into two countries. Reunions are a welcome respite from that separation, but, in the end, they provide yet another reminder that family reunification on the Korean Peninsula is all too fleeting.

Many of these Americans—more than 100,000 according to the last estimate—have been waiting to reunite with their family members in North Korea. Too many have already passed away without ever realizing that hope.

This resolution encourages Pyongyang to allow those Korean Americans to meet with their families. It also calls on the North Korean regime to take steps to build goodwill that is conducive to peace in the peninsula.

Earlier this year, we passed the North Korean Sanctions and Policy Enhancement Act, which included my
amendment conditioning sanctions relief on the promotion of family reunifications for Koreans and Korean Americans.

It is vital our North Korea policy be informed with an understanding that there are human victims of this ongoing conflict in the North Korean Peninsula.

I ask my colleagues to support the resolution, which demonstrates our commitment to efforts to seek to relieve the pain of separation felt by Korean families.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I salute the author of this resolution, Representative RANGEL, and urge its adoption.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ROYCE. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I also want to recognize the staff who have been so instrumental, not only on this resolution but also in maintaining our constructive policy toward Korea, Hannah Kim on Mr. RANGEL’s staff and our committee staffers, Hunter Strupp and Jennifer Hendrixson-White.

Earlier, I noted how happy I was to have worked alongside my good friend and colleague, CHARLIE RANGEL, on this measure. As he is retiring at the end of this Congress, I want to once again recognize him as a true champion of U.S.-Korea relations. He truly is. No one, whether it was fighting for his country or advocating on behalf of so many Korean Americans, has done more for this partnership.

As Charlie has often said, since he survived the battle of Kunu-ri and led those fearless soldiers out of that encirclement, he has never, not since that day, had a bad day since. Mr. Speaker, let’s hope this streak continues well into the future.

I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. PASCRELL. Mr. Speaker, as a cosponsor of H. Con. Res. 40, I rise today in strong support of this measure.

Tragically, the division on the Korean Peninsula separated more than 10,000,000 Korean family members, including some who are now citizens of the United States. As a result, many Korean Americans have waited for over 60 years for a chance to meet their relatives in North Korea for the first time.

Although there have been 19 rounds of family reunions between South Koreans and North Koreans, instability has continued to impede the reuniting of these divided families. As some family members reach the later years of their lives, time becomes an important factor in giving these families the opportunity to connect.

Congress first signaled its interest in family reunions between United States citizens and their relatives in North Korea in section 1295 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), which became law on January 28, 2008. We furthered our commitment to reunification when President Barack Obama signed into law the Continuing Appropriations Act 2011 (Public Law 111–243), which urged the United States Representative on North Korea Policy to prioritize the issues involving Korean divided families.

Enabling Korean Americans to meet their family members from North Korea will help establish the goodwill to lay the foundation for peace on the Korean Peninsula. While peace on the Korean Peninsula remains a long-term goal for the United States and all stakeholders in the region, a first step towards achieving it would be allowing families to be reunified. This would be a significant step forward for greater security and stability for the region.

I urge my colleagues in the House to swiftly pass H. Con. Res. 40. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from California (Mr. ROYCE) that the House suspend the rules and agree to the concurrent resolution, H. Con. Res. 40.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the concurrent resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

TRANSMITTING AN ALTERNATIVE PLAN FOR PAY INCREASES FOR CIVILIAN FEDERAL EMPLOYEES COVERED BY THE GENERAL SCHEDULE AND CERTAIN OTHER PAY SYSTEMS IN JANUARY 2017—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 114–185)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States, which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, referred to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and ordered to be printed:

To the Congress of the United States:

I am transmitting an alternative plan for pay increases for civilian Federal employees covered by the General Schedule and certain other pay systems in January 2017. Title 5, United States Code, locality-based comparability payments for the locality pay areas established by the President’s Pay Agent, in the amounts set forth in the attached table, shall become effective on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2017.

The locality-based comparability payments for the locality pay rates in the attached table are based on an allocation of 0.6 percent of payroll as indicated in my August 31, 2016, alternative pay plan for adjustments to the base General Schedule. These decisions will not materially affect our ability to attract and retain a well-qualified Federal workforce.

Barack Obama.


RECESS

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore (Mr. HOLDING) at 6 o’clock and 30 minutes p.m., the House stood in recess.

AFTER RECESS

The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 12(a) of rule I, the Chair declares the House in recess for a period of less than 15 minutes.

Accordingly (at 6 o’clock and 27 minutes p.m.), the House resumed its session.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The Speaker pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX, proceedings will resume on motions to suspend the rules previously postponed.

Votes will be taken in the following order:

H.R. 5422, by the yeas and nays; H.R. 4757, by the yeas and nays; H.R. 5843, de novo.

The first electronic vote will be conducted as a 15-minute vote. Remaining electronic votes will be conducted as 5-minute votes.

FUNDING FOR THE NATIONAL HUMAN TRAFFICKING HOTLINE

The Speaker pro tempore. The unfinished business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5422) to ensure funding for the National Human Trafficking Hotline, and for other purposes, on which the yeas and nays were ordered.
The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Virginia (Mr. GOODLATTE) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill. The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yes 399, nays 0, not voting 33, as follows:  

(Roll No. 588) YEAS—399  
Abraham,  
Adams,  
Aderholt,  
Aguiar,  
Allen,  
Amash,  
Amodei,  
Ashford,  
Babin,  
Barr,  
Barton,  
Bass,  
Beatrice,  
Becerra,  
Benishek,  
Bera,  
Berkley,  
Bilirakis,  
Bishop (GA),  
Black,  
Blake (NC),  
Blumenauer,  
Bosco,  
Bost,  
Boyce,  
Brady (PA),  
Brady (TX),  
Brat,  
Brenden,  
Brewer (AL),  
Brownley (CA),  
Buchanan,  
Buck,  
Burgess,  
Bush,  
Burleson,  
Byrne,  
Calvert,  
Capito,  
Carper,  
Carson (IN),  
Carson (GA),  
Cartwright,  
Cartter (FL),  
Caulfield,  
Chairy,  
Chen,  
Chu,  
Clark (CA),  
Clay (NY),  
Clay,  
Cleaver,  
Collins (NY),  
Collins (GA),  
Comer,  
Comstock,  
Connolly,  
Cook,  
Cooper,  
Costa,  
Courtesty,  
Crawford,  
Crawford,  
Crescenz,  
Crescenz,  
Culley,  
Culhenson,  
Cummings,  
Curbelo (FL),  
Irrespective of the result of the vote by the gentleman from Florida (Mr. MILLER) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended, this is a 5-minute vote. The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yes 401, nays 0, not voting 33, as follows:  

(Roll No. 589) YEAS—401  
Abraham,  
Adams,  
Aderholt,  
Aguiar,  
Allen,  
Amash,  
Amodei,  
Ashford,  
Babin,  
Barr,  
Barton,  
Bass,  
Beatrice,  
Becerra,  
Benishek,  
Bera,  
Berkley,  
Bilirakis,  
Bishop (GA),  
Black,  
Blake (NC),  
Blumenauer,  
Bosco,  
Bost,  
Boyce,  
Brady (PA),  
Brady (TX),  
Brat,  
Brenden,  
Brewer (AL),  
Brownley (CA),  
Buchanan,  
Buck,  
Burgess,  
Bush,  
Burleson,  
Byrne,  
Calvert,  
Capito,  
Carper,  
Carson (IN),  
Carson (GA),  
Cartwright,  
Cartter (FL),  
Caulfield,  
Chairy,  
Chen,  
Chu,  
Clark (CA),  
Clay (NY),  
Clay,  
Cleaver,  
Collins (NY),  
Collins (GA),  
Comer,  
Comstock,  
Connolly,  
Cook,  

EXPANSION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR HEADSTONES, MARKERS, AND MEDALLIONS POSTMEDAL OF HONOR RECIPIENTS  
The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unexplained business is the vote on the motion to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H. R. 4757) to amend title 38, United States Code, to expand the eligibility for headstones, markers, and medallions furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for deceased individuals who were awarded the Medal of Honor and are buried in private cemeteries, as amended, on which the yeas and nays were ordered reported:  

Mr. PAYNE changed his vote from "not voting" to "yea."  

The result of the vote was announced as follows:  

[Vote was taken by electronic device.]

The result of the vote as announced above is recorded.  

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.  

[Vote was taken by electronic device.]

The result of the vote as announced above is recorded.  

Notes:  
Mr. RODNEY DAVIS of Alabama, on rollcall No. 588, was unavoidably detained. Had I been present, I would have voted "yea."
Honor and are buried in private cemeteries, and for other purposes.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Mr. McCaul. On November 29, 2016, I missed the voting session. If present, I would have voted as follows: "Yes"—H.R. 5422—To ensure funding for the Human Trafficking Hotline, and for other purposes.

"Yes"—H.R. 4757—To amend title 38, United States Code, to expand the eligibility for headstones, markers, and medallions furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for deceased individuals who were awarded the Medal of Honor and are buried in private cemeteries, and for other purposes.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The unfinished business is the question on suspending the rules and passing the bill (H.R. 5843) to establish a grant program at the Department of Homeland Security to promote cooperative research and development between the United States and Israel on cybersecurity, as amended.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Ratcliffe) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds being in the affirmative) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON H. RES. 933, PROVIDING AMOUNTS FOR FURTHER EXPENSES OF THE COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE IN THE ONE HUNDRED FOURTEENTH CONGRESS

Mr. Harper, from the Committee on House Administration, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114-858) providing amounts for further expenses of the Committee on Energy and Commerce in the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

TREATMENT OF BUILDINGS AND OTHER AREAS WITHIN BOUNDARIES OF REAL ESTATE OR OTHER PROPERTY INTERESTS ACQUIRED BY NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART

Mr. Harper, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 5160) to amend title 38, United States Code, to include as part of the boundaries of the National Gallery of Art any buildings and other areas within the boundaries of any real estate or other property interests acquired by the National Gallery of Art, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Graves of Louisiana). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

H.R. 5160

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. TREATMENT OF BUILDINGS AND OTHER AREAS WITHIN BOUNDARIES OF REAL ESTATE OR OTHER PROPERTY INTERESTS ACQUIRED BY NATIONAL GALLERY OF ART.

Section 6301(2) of title 46, United States Code, is amended—


(2) by redesigning subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) as clauses (1), (ii), and (iii), respectively;

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) All other buildings, service roads, walks, and other areas within the exterior boundaries of any real estate or land or interest in land (including temporary use) that the National Gallery of Art acquires and that the Director of the National Gallery of Art determines to be necessary for the adequate protection of individuals or property in the National Gallery of Art and suitable for administration as a part of the National Gallery of Art.’’

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

REPORT ON RESOLUTION PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF SENATE AMENDMENT TO H.R. 34, TSUNAMI WARNING, REPORTS, AND RESEARCH ACT OF 2015, AND PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 6392, SYSTEMIC RISK DESIGNATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2016

Mr. Burgess, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 114-839) on the resolution (H. Res. 934) providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 34) to authorize and strengthen the tsunami detection, forecast, warning, research, and mitigation program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and for other purposes; and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 6392) to amend the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act to specify when bank holding companies may be subject to certain enhanced supervision, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

VETERANS MOBILITY SAFETY ACT OF 2016

Mrs. Walorski, Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the
Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 3471) to amend title 38, United States Code, to make certain improvements in the provision of automobiles and adaptive equipment by the Department of Veterans Affairs, with the Senate amendment thereto, and to concur in the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read the title of the bill. The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the Senate amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Section 3903(b) of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(a) PREHIBITIVE POLICY.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall develop a comprehensive policy regarding quality standards for providers who provide modification services to veterans under the automobile adaptive equipment program.

(b) SCOPE.—The policy developed under subsection (a) shall apply to each of the following:

(1) The Department of Veterans Affairs-wide management of the automobile adaptive equipment program.

(2) The development of standards for safety and quality of equipment and installation of equipment through the automobile adaptive equipment program, including with respect to the development and installation of vehicle modifications.

(3) The consistent application of standards for safety and quality of both the equipment and installation of equipment by the Department.

(4) In accordance with subsection (c)(1), the certification of a provider by a manufacturer if the Secretary designates the quality standards of such manufacturer as meeting or exceeding the standards developed under this section.

(5) In accordance with subsection (c)(2), the certification of a provider by a third party, nonprofit organization, including from an entity that has provided an automobile or other conveyance under this chapter, as having the capability to provide the modifications and other services under such conveyance or other conveyance to veterans under this chapter.

(c) CERTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURERS AND THIRD PARTY NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.—

(1) CERTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURERS.—The Secretary shall approve a manufacturer as a certifying manufacturer for purposes of subsection (b)(4), if the manufacturer demonstrates that its certification standards meet or exceed the quality standards developed under this section.

(2) CERTIFICATION OF THIRD PARTY, NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may approve two or more private, nonprofit organizations as third party, nonprofit certifying organizations for purposes of subsection (b)(5).

(B) LIMITATION.—If at any time there is only one third party, nonprofit certifying organization approved by the Secretary for purposes of subsection (b)(5), such organization shall not be permitted to provide certifications under such subsection until such time as the Secretary approves a second third party, nonprofit certifying organization for purposes of such subsection.

(d) UPDATES.—

(1) INITIAL UPDATES.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update Veterans Health Administration Handbook 1173.4, or any successor handbook or directive, in accordance with the policy developed under subsection (a).

(2) IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS.—Not less frequently than once every 6 years thereafter, the Secretary shall update such handbook, or any successor handbook or directive.

(e) CONSULTATION.—The Secretary shall develop the policy under subsection (a), and revise such policy under subsection (d), in consultation with veterans service organizations, the National Highway Transportation Administration, industry representatives, manufacturers of automobile adaptive equipment, and other entities with expertise in installing, repairing, modifying, or certifying mobility equipment, or developing mobility accreditation standards for automobile adaptive equipment.

(f) CONFLICTING AND IMPLEMENTING THE POLICY.—The Secretary shall—

(1) minimize the possibility of conflicts of interest, to the extent practicable, and

(2) establish procedures that ensure against the use of a certifying organization referred to in subsection (b)(5) that has a financial conflict of interest regarding the certification of an eligible provider.

(g) B IEN NIAL REPORT .—Not later than 1 year after the date on which the Secretary updates Veterans Health Administration Handbook 1173.4, or any successor handbook or directive, under subsection (d), and not less frequently than once every other year thereafter through 2022, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate a report on the implementation and facility compliance with the policy developed under subsection (a).

(h) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) AUTOMOBILE ADAPTIVE EQUIPMENT PROGRAM.—The term “automobile adaptive equipment program” means the program administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs pursuant to chapter 39 of title 38, United States Code.

(2) VETERANS SERVICE ORGANIZATION.—The term “veterans service organization” means any organization recognized by the Secretary for the representation of veterans under section 5902 of title 38, United States Code.

SEC. 4. APPOINTMENT.—

(a) LICENSED HEARING AID SPECIALISTS IN VETERANS HEALTH ADMINISTRATION.—

(1) APPOINTMENT.—Section 7401(3) of title 38, United States Code, shall be amended by inserting “licensed hearing aid specialists,” after “audiologists.”

(2) QUALIFICATIONS.—Section 7402(b)(14) of such title shall be amended by inserting “licensed hearing aid specialist” after “dental technologist.”

(b) REQUIREMENTS.—With respect to appointing hearing aid specialists under sections 7401 and 7402 of title 38, United States Code, as amended, and providing services furnished by such specialists, the Secretary shall ensure that—

(1) a hearing aid specialist may only perform hearing services consistent with the hearing aid specialist’s State license related to the practice of fitting and dispensing hearing aids without the involvement of nonphysician professionals, including audiologists, from rendering services in overlapping practice areas;

(2) services provided to veterans by hearing aid specialists shall be provided as part of the non-medical treatment plan developed by an audiologist; and

(3) the medical facilities of the Department of Veterans Affairs provide to veterans access to the full range of professional services provided by an audiologist.

(c) CONSULTATION.—In determining the qualifications required for hearing aid specialists and in carrying out subsection (b), the Secretary shall consult with veterans service organizations, audiologists, otolaryngologists, hearing aid specialists, and other stakeholder and industry groups as the Secretary determines appropriate.

(h) ANNUAL REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter during the 5-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall submit to Congress a report on the following:

(A) Timely access of veterans to hearing health services through the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(B) Contracting policies of the Department with respect to providing hearing health services to veterans in facilities that are not facilities of the Department.

(2) TIMELY ACCESS TO SERVICES.—Each report shall—

(A) with respect to the matter specified in paragraph (1)(A) for the 1-year period preceding the submittal of such report, include the following:

(i) A description of the implementation plan for the policy developed under subsection (a) and any revisions to such policy under subsection (d).

(ii) A description of the performance measures developed under subsection (b) for assessing the effectiveness of such policy in ensuring the safety of veterans enrolled in the automobile adaptive equipment program.

(iii) An assessment of safety issues due to improper installations based on a survey of recipients of adaptive equipment from the Department.

(iv) An assessment provided to the personnel of the Department with respect to administering the program.

(v) An assessment of the certified providers of the Department with respect to meeting the minimum standards developed under subsection (b)(2).

(2) By paragraph (1)(A) for the 1-year period preceding the submittal of such report, include the following:

(i) A description of the implementation plan for the policy developed under subsection (a) and any revisions to such policy under subsection (d).

(ii) A description of the performance measures developed under subsection (b) for assessing the effectiveness of such policy in ensuring the safety of veterans enrolled in the automobile adaptive equipment program.

(iii) An assessment of safety issues due to improper installations based on a survey of recipients of adaptive equipment from the Department.

(iv) An assessment provided to the personnel of the Department with respect to administering the program.

(v) An assessment of the certified providers of the Department with respect to meeting the minimum standards developed under subsection (b)(2).

(3) The percentage of veterans whose total wait time for appointments described in subparagraph (C), including an initial and follow-up hearing health appointment.
Mr. KING of New York. I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (S. 1915) to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to make anthrax vaccines available to emergency response providers, and for other purposes, and ask for its consideration. 

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Indiana?

There was no objection.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

FIRST RESPONDER ANTHRAX PREPAREDNESS ACT

Mr. KING of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker’s table the bill (S. 1915) to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to make anthrax vaccines available to emergency response providers, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1915

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “First Responder Anthrax Preparedness Act”.

SEC. 2. VOLUNTARY PRE-EVENT ANTHRAX VACCINATION PILOT PROGRAM FOR EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROVIDERS

(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall carry out a pilot program to provide eligible anthrax vaccines from the Strategic National Stockpile under section 319F–2(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 247d–6a(a)) that will be nearing the end of their labeled dates of use at the time such vaccines are made available to States for administration to emergency response providers who would be at high risk of exposure to anthrax if such an attack should occur and who voluntarily consent to such administration.

(2) DETERMINATION.—The Secretary of Health and Human Services shall determine whether an anthrax vaccine is eligible to be provided to the Secretary of Homeland Security for the pilot program described in paragraph (1) based on—

(A) a determination that the vaccine is not otherwise available for other purposes;

(B) a determination that the provision of the vaccine will not reduce, or otherwise adversely affect, the capability to meet projected requirements for this product during a public health emergency, including a significant reduction of available quantities of vaccine in the Strategic National Stockpile; and

(C) such other considerations as determined appropriate by the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(3) FUNDING REQUIREMENTS.—Before implementing the pilot program required under this subsection, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall—

(A) establish a communication platform for the pilot program; 

(B) develop and deliver education and training for the pilot program; 

(C) conduct economic analysis of the pilot program, including a preliminary estimate of total costs and expected benefits; 

(D) create a logistical platform for the anthrax vaccine request process under the pilot program; 

(E) establish goals and desired outcomes for the pilot program; and

(F) establish a mechanism to reimburse the Secretary of Health and Human Services for—

(i) the costs of shipment and transportation of such vaccines provided to the Secretary of Homeland Security that will be nearing the end of their labeled dates of use at the time such vaccines are made available to States for administration to emergency response providers;

(ii) the amount, if any, by which the warehousing costs of the Strategic National Stockpile are increased in order to operate such pilot program.

(4) LOCATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out the pilot program required under this subsection, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall select not fewer than 2 nor more than 5 States for voluntary participation in the pilot program.

(B) REQUIREMENT.—Each State that participates in the pilot program under this subsection shall—

(i) provide guidance to participating States and units of local government on identifying emergency response providers who are at high risk of exposure to anthrax;

(ii) an explanation of the economic, health, and other risks and benefits of administering anthrax vaccines through the pilot program rather than post-event treatment; and

(iii) in the case of a recommendation under clause (i) to continue the pilot program after the date described in subsection (c), a plan under which the pilot program could be continued.

(b) DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall begin implementing the pilot program under this section, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in coordination with the Secretary of Health and Human Services, shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions of the Senate a report on the progress and results of the pilot program, including—

(I) a detailed tabulation of the costs to administer the program, including—

(1) total costs for management and administration;

(2) total costs to ship vaccines; 

(3) total number of full-time equivalents allocated to the program; and

(4) total costs to the Strategic National Stockpile; 

(II) an analysis of the numbers and percentage of eligible emergency response providers, as determined by each pilot location, that volunteer to participate; 

(III) the degree to which participants complete the vaccine regimen; 

(IV) the total number of doses of vaccine administered; and

(V) recommendations to improve initial and recurrent participation in the pilot program.

(c) LIMITATION.—The correction to carry out the pilot program under this section shall expire on the date that is 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

The bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

NORTHERN BORDER SECURITY REVIEW ACT

Mr. KING of New York. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from
the Speaker’s table the bill (S. 1808) to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a Northern Border threat analysis, and for other purposes, and ask for its immediate consideration in the House.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The Speaker: The time is now 3:45 p.m. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

The text of the bill is as follows:

S. 1808

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Northern Border Security Review Act”.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate;

(D) the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives;

(E) the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

(F) the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives.

(2) NORTHERN BORDER.—The term “Northern Border” means the land and maritime borders between the United States and Canada.

SEC. 3. NORTHERN BORDER THREAT ANALYSIS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit a Northern Border threat analysis to the appropriate congressional committees that includes—

(1) current and potential terrorism and criminal threats posed by individuals and organizations across the Northern Border;

(A) to enter the United States through the Northern Border; or

(B) to exploit border vulnerabilities on the Northern Border;

(2) improvements needed at and between ports of entry along the Northern Border—

(A) to prevent terrorists and instruments of terrorism from entering the United States; and

(B) to reduce criminal activity, as measured by the total flow of illegal goods, illicit drugs, and smuggled and trafficked persons moved in either direction across the Northern Border;

(3) gaps in law, policy, cooperation between State, tribal, and local law enforcement entities, and international agreements, or tribal agreements that hinder effective and efficient border security, counter-terrorism, anti-human smuggling and trafficking efforts, and the flow of legitimate trade along the Northern Border; and

(4) whether additional U.S. Customs and Border Protection preclearance and preinspection operations at ports of entry along the Northern Border could help prevent terrorists and instruments of terror from entering the United States.

(b) ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS.—For the threat analysis required under subsection (a), the Secretary of Homeland Security shall consider and include—

(1) technology needs and challenges;

(2) personnel needs and challenges;

(3) the role of State, tribal, and local law enforcement in general border security activities;

(4) the need for cooperation among Federal, State, tribal, local, and Canadian law enforcement entities relating to border security;

(5) the terrain, population density, and climate along the Northern Border; and

(6) the needs and challenges of Department facilities, including the physical approaches to such facilities.

(c) CLASSIFIED THREAT ANALYSIS.—To the extent possible, the Secretary of Homeland Security shall submit the threat analysis required under subsection (a) in classified form. The Secretary shall submit a portion of the threat analysis in classified form if the Secretary determines that such form is appropriate for that portion.

The bill was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR VICTIMS OF WOODMORE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL BUS CRASH

(Mr. FLEISCHMANN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. FLEISCHMANN. Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer a moment of silence. On November 21, while many of us were preparing for the Thanksgiving holiday, tragedy once again struck my hometown of Chattanooga, Tennessee.

Woodmore Elementary School is a beautiful elementary school; young, vibrant children, all so precious. There was a tragic schoolbus crash that happened that day in Chattanooga, Tennessee. The crash took the lives of six young children: Keonte Wilson, Cor’Dayja Jones, Zyaira Mateen, D’Myunn Brown, Zoie Nash, and Zyanna Harris. In addition, several other children were severely injured. Many are still in critical condition.

I know I can speak for all of us, including my dear friends who have joined our House delegations, when I say that we are absolutely heartbroken over this horrific tragedy. Nothing I can say tonight can diminish the gravity of the loss that our community has suffered.

But I must thank the first responders, the Chattanooga Police Department, the local officials, and especially the staff, the doctors at Children’s Hospital at Erlanger, for their immediate and compassionate response to this tragedy.

My brothers and sisters in the House, I went with our Governor to see the Chattanooga community. We joined me from the Tennessee delegation.

The Chattanooga community has suffered. Nothing I can say tonight can diminish the gravity of the loss that our community has suffered. But I must thank the first responders, the Chattanooga Police Department, the local officials, and especially the staff, the doctors at Children’s Hospital at Erlanger, for their immediate and compassionate response to this tragedy.

Mr. Speaker, I rise to offer a moment of silence for the victims of Woodmore Elementary School bus crash.

REMEMBERING SAN ANTONIO POLICE OFFICER, DETECTIVE BENJAMIN MARCONI

(Mr. CASTRO of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. CASTRO of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I rise the day after my hometown, San Antonio, laid to rest a hero who was taken from us too soon, Detective Benjamin Marconi.

The son of a San Antonio police officer, Detective Marconi was a 20-year veteran of the force whose life was tragically cut short last week while he was in the field serving our city.

Known for his big smile, his kindness, and his commitment to doing the right thing, Detective Marconi was a beloved member of our community. He leaves behind a son, a grandson, and an extended family who brought him great joy.

Our city mourns the loss of Detective Marconi, an outstanding San Antonian, whom we dearly miss. His passing is a tragic reminder of the risk all of our law enforcement officers take when they go to work each day to keep us safe. We are grateful for his service and theirs.

FIDEL CASTRO’S BRUTAL LEGACY

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, the Cuban people can finally close one chapter in their 57-year nightmare of oppressive rule: Fidel Castro has died.

When I was just 8 years old, I was forced to flee my native homeland of Cuba with my family. We were not the first, nor were we the last, to leave all that we had behind in search of freedom, democracy, opportunity, and safety.

Many constituents I am so humbled to represent have had family members who did not survive their journey, yet they all risked their lives in fleeing Cuba because they felt the brutality of Fidel Castro. They witnessed firsthand the ruthlessness of the tyrant, and they felt that it was like having their human rights stripped from their very being.

Their stories and their experiences—the firing squads, the gulags, and the torture—Mr. Speaker, will be Fidel Castro’s legacy.

EL PASO DREAMERS

(Mr. O’ROURKE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. O’ROURKE. Mr. Speaker, in this country, there are over 700,000 DREAMers, children and young Americans brought to this country at a young age, through no fault of their own, to improve their lives, their opportunities, and those of their families. They are
every bit as much American as you or I or our children.

Pictured next to me is Itzel Campos of El Paso, Texas, a 15-year-old sophomore at Franklin High School, who came to a townhall meeting that we had last night where 300 El Pasanos came to either tell their stories or show support for DREAMers.

We want to make sure that the President-elect and that the Congress that we have here and the one that will be seated in January do everything within their power to keep these DREAMers in our country, who will earn more than $4 trillion in taxable income during their lives but, more importantly, will contribute to the American Dream, will improve communities like mine, which happens to be the safest city in America in large part because of the immigrants, and especially these DREAMers who call El Paso home, and to give people like Itzel every chance to succeed, to improve their lives and the course of this country.

CRONGATULATIONS TO GOVERNOR NIKKI HALEY

(Mr. WILSON of South Carolina asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WILSON of South Carolina. Mr. Speaker, President-elect Donald Trump nominated South Carolina Governor Nikki Haley to be America’s Ambassador to the United Nations.

Mr. Speaker, Governor Haley has a proven record of standing up for America and for our people. That is why I wanted to speak tonight about many of these policies that affect our environment and global warming.

A lot of policies of the last administration, even the administration before that, really don’t have enough money to deal with all the projects and policies around the country, some of which are backed up 20 years. What happens if Mar-a-Lago in Florida faces flooding—or any of the other coastal properties that the President-elect owns—and the Army is trying to make a decision on where to place Federal funds? Will his properties take precedence over thousands of other projects around the country that have been backlogged for years?

I think it is really important that the President-elect create a blind trust and put all of his assets in there. Obviously, he will have a good life in the years ahead, but we simply must not allow the private interests of any American to pollute the public decisions that this country must make.

CLIMATE CHANGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BENISHEK) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. BENISHEK. Mr. Speaker, as a lifelong resident of northern Michigan, I know how important it is to protect and conserve our precious natural resources. Northern Michigan’s economy is powered by our Great Lakes and our outdoor spaces for tourism, agriculture, and sporting activities.

Generations of people in my district have grown up experiencing the outdoors from the shores of Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore to Isle Royale National Park. However, we need to make sure that there is a balance and that we do not undertake rash and unproven regulatory policies that are almost guaranteed to negatively impact our economy in the hope of some potential—and often unquantifiable—environmental gain.

I just got back from northern Michigan. As a matter of fact, I was in Tahquamenon Falls. What strikes me about the regulatory nature of the Federal Government is it doesn’t really take into account what is happening in the wild. The Ottawa National Forest, for example, hasn’t been properly managed. The regulations as far as managing the forest make it so difficult that the forest is aging and the trees are actually falling down and rotting rather than being harvested.

This is just one of the policies of this administration, and I believe that now that we have a new administration coming forward, there will be a lot of change in the regulatory policies to actually develop policies that make sense for our environment and make sense for our people. That is why I wanted to speak tonight about many of these policies that affect our environment and global warming.

Avoiding Trump Administration Conflicts of Interest

(Ms. KAPTUR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I am one of those Americans who is very concerned about the conflict of interest that the President-elect faces as he assumes office. I don’t think we have ever elected someone to office in this country with his vast wealth, but I must say, as ranking member on the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, let me give you one area which causes me concern: where he will separate his private interest from the public interest.

The committee on which I rank handles the Army Corps of Engineers’ budget, and we don’t have enough money to deal with all the projects around the country, some of which are backed up 20 years. What happens if Mar-a-Lago in Florida faces flooding—or any of the other coastal properties that the President-elect owns—and the Army is trying to make a decision on where to place Federal funds? Will his properties take precedence over thousands of other projects around the country that have been backlogged for years?

Reducing Red Tape

(Mr. LAMALFA asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. LAMALFA. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that the House Subcommittee on Federal Lands is holding a hearing soon on H.R. 5239, the Guide and Outdoor Recreation Act, which I have sponsored to make it easier for Americans to access and enjoy their public lands.

I began working on this legislation after an annual run in my district, which had been held for years, was canceled after Federal agencies demanded a costly new study of the event’s environmental impacts, a study, I might say, that the event couldn’t afford. That’s right, Federal agencies were concerned that people running on existing trails could have negative impacts on the environment.

The GO Act cuts this red tape by creating a categorical exclusion to ensure activities which have already been permitted do not need duplicative studies in order to continue. It creates a one-stop joint permitting system so races and other events that might stretch across Federal, State, local, and National Park land, et cetera, don’t need to repeat the permit process over and over and over with every single agency.

The bill caps fees to keep them affordable and allows existing permits to be easily extended so that public access and events can continue.

I am proud to say this bill will help get more Americans outside, Mr. Speaker, for less money. That’s right, red tape. That is a goal every Member of this body can support.
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Mr. Speaker, I am pleased that the House Subcommittee on Federal Lands is holding a hearing soon on H.R. 5239, the Guide and Outdoor Recreation Act, which I have sponsored to make it easier for Americans to access and enjoy their public lands.

I began working on this legislation after an annual run in my district, which had been held for years, was canceled after Federal agencies demanded a costly new study of the event’s environmental impacts, a study, I might say, that the event couldn’t afford. That’s right, Federal agencies were concerned that people running on existing trails could have negative impacts on the environment.

The GO Act cuts this red tape by creating a categorical exclusion to ensure activities which have already been permitted do not need duplicative studies in order to continue. It creates a one-stop joint permitting system so races and other events that might stretch across Federal, State, local, and National Park land, et cetera, don’t need to repeat the permit process over and over and over with every single agency.

The bill caps fees to keep them affordable and allows existing permits to be easily extended so that public access and events can continue.

I am proud to say this bill will help get more Americans outside, Mr. Speaker, for less money. That’s right, red tape. That is a goal every Member of this body can support.

AVOIDING TRUMP ADMINISTRATION CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

(Ms. KAPTUR asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I am one of those Americans who is very concerned about the conflict of interest that the President-elect faces as he assumes office. I don’t think we have ever elected someone to office in this country with his vast wealth, but I must say, as ranking member on the Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, let me give you one area which causes me concern: where he will separate his private interest from the public interest.

The committee on which I rank handles the Army Corps of Engineers’ budget, and we don’t have enough money to deal with all the projects around the country, some of which are backed up 20 years. What happens if Mar-a-Lago in Florida faces flooding—or any of the other coastal properties that the President-elect owns—and the Army is trying to make a decision on where to place Federal funds? Will his properties take precedence over thousands of other projects around the country that have been backlogged for years?

I think it is really important that the President-elect create a blind trust and put all of his assets in there. Obvi-ously, he will have a good life in the years ahead, but we simply must not allow the private interests of any American to pollute the public decisions that this country must make.

CLIMATE CHANGE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker’s announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. BENISHEK) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the majority leader.

Mr. BENISHEK. Mr. Speaker, as a lifelong resident of northern Michigan, I know how important it is to protect and conserve our precious natural resources. Northern Michigan’s economy is powered by our Great Lakes and our outdoor spaces for tourism, agriculture, and sporting activities.

Generations of people in my district have grown up experiencing the outdoors from the shores of Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore to Isle Royale National Park. However, we need to make sure that there is a balance and that we do not undertake rash and unproven regulatory policies that are almost guaranteed to negatively impact our economy in the hope of some potential—and often unquantifiable—environmental gain.

I just got back from northern Michigan. As a matter of fact, I was in Tahquamenon Falls. What strikes me about the regulatory nature of the Federal Government is it doesn’t really take into account what is happening in the wild. The Ottawa National Forest, for example, hasn’t been properly managed. The regulations as far as managing the forest make it so difficult that the forest is aging and the trees are actually falling down and rotting rather than being harvested.

This is just one of the policies of this administration, and I believe that now that we have a new administration coming forward, there will be a lot of change in the regulatory policies to actually develop policies that make sense for our environment and make sense for our people. That is why I wanted to speak tonight about many of these policies that affect our environment and global warming.

A lot of policies of the last administration, even the administration before that, really don’t have enough money to deal with all the projects around the country, some of which are backed up 20 years. What happens if Mar-a-Lago in Florida faces flooding—or any of the other coastal properties that the President-elect owns—and the Army is trying to make a decision on where to place Federal funds? Will his properties take precedence over thousands of other projects around the country that have been backlogged for years?

I am bringing this up for a reason. I just brought this little pollution-by-country chart, and this is the global pollution for the whole world. We know the United States is a pretty big part of the world. I don’t have data for that. India is big, and China is the biggest. The rest of the world provides, probably, the largest. But what strikes me
about this is the fact that we in America haven’t done things right all the time, but we are constantly striving to make improvements.

My problem with the way that the regulations are written under this administration is the fact that we are killing our economy to improve the global environment, and yet we are a relatively small part of the problem of pollution and global warming—if you believe that it is manmade—and we are not really doing anything about the rest of this.

We are putting so many regulatory burdens on our industry, like, for example, energy production. The cost of energy production is a big part of making steel, for example. Many of the countries around the world are buying steel not so much from us but from China and India because they are polluting the planet in order to produce cheap steel, and we are really helping the environment with all our regulations and making the point to the point that we are losing all of our jobs. That doesn’t make any sense. If we were allowed to harvest our energy in a very environmentally friendly way, we would have more jobs here in this country. These guys would have less jobs. I want to keep jobs here in America.

This is just one of the examples. Wait until you see some of the pictures I have.

□ 1930

My district was once a huge mining area. We mine iron ore, construction sand and gravel, salt is produced in Michigan, and copper. And these are all good-paying jobs.

I am going to give you a great example of one of the weirdest regulations that have come out of this administration. And that is we do have a mine in my district that recently opened, a new nickel mine in this country. I think, in over 50 years. The road to the mine, there is no good road to the mine. There is 68 miles of road through a downtown and around a roundabout to the processing mill to process the nickel ore.

The local county road commission wanted to build a 22-mile road that would bypass the 68 miles of road through a downtown, but they can’t get a permit to build the road because EPA blocked it. Now, the Federal Government in Washington, D.C., is telling a local county in my district that they can’t build a road because it involves some wetlands. Well, there is about 5 acres of wetlands that have to be filled in order to build this road. Believe me, you can’t build a road anywhere in this country without filling in some wetlands in order to have the grade be safe.

We have had environmental laws in this country that said: if you are going to fill in some wetlands to build a road, you have got to create some wetlands somewhere else to mitigate for the fact that you have taken away some habitat from some species maybe and that sort of thing. Well, the road commission put up 100 times the acreage of the wetlands that they were going to use for the roadway to mitigate for that. But that wasn’t good enough for the EPA. As a matter of fact, the EPA stopped the road without even listening very well to the mitigation plan.

This was bad for jobs. It makes it difficult for the mine to do business. It makes the longevity of the mine not as good because it is more expensive to process the ore. And it creates more pollution because the trucks are driving 68 miles to the ore processing plant versus the 22 miles on a new road. Besides, the new road would open up a lot of other areas for economic development as well.

Well, this is the type of rule and regulation that doesn’t make any sense to the people that want to protect their environment with fewer miles on the road with diesel trucks and also do create jobs. The EPA didn’t even produce CO₂ because they can’t make a decision for themselves because they can’t make a decision for themselves. The EPA made it worse because people in Washington here under this administration have decided that they know better than the people in Michigan who actually live there, and they can’t make a decision for themselves because they can’t possibly know it would be good for the environment because you are just living on the UP and you don’t really know what is what. That has been my frustration in my time here in Congress. That is a really good example of what is going on.

I want to show you a couple of pictures of some places around the world that aren’t managing the environment, such as the United States is. Here we have a coal-fired power plant in China that is putting out all kinds of pollutants without any significant environmental controls on them at all. These are the kind of factories that we are competing with, with our factories, which are much better.

We just had a coal-fired power plant stopped in my district several years ago by the EPA because of this administration’s war on coal. This coal plant was a state-of-the-art coal plant. It didn’t even produce CO₂ because in my district, they are able to harness the technology to capture the CO₂ and sell it and actually use it to pump in the ground to help the production of local oil wells. The CO₂ is not an issue. So we are actually competing with people that do this to our environment, and losing jobs overseas because of the tight regulations we have here, but we are not doing anything about this that is going on across the world. None of the policies that we have instituted on this side of the border have any real effect. We haven’t put any significant demands on the Chinese to make them stop doing this.

I was talking to some biologists from the University of Michigan, we have an environmental research station in my district. The University of Michigan has been studying the environment for the past 100 years or so. And one of the things that they have come out with was the fact that one of the great concerns about coal mining and coal used for energy production was the mercury in the air. I was talking to these guys from the University of Michigan and they said that we solved that problem decades ago; that is not a problem anymore.

Most of the mercury that is in our environment here in the United States comes from China and India because it is over in China and India doesn’t mean that it is not a global problem. That stuff goes up in the atmosphere. It takes the jet stream, and it comes all the way over here. The majority of the mercury that we are concerned about is coming from places like this. This administration has done nothing about it except for putting more stringent controls on our energy production, making our energy more expensive, and making it harder for people want to buy steel and other products from countries that do this to our environment.

This is not the right way to deal with this issue. If we are going to deal with global pollution, global production of harmful toxins, or global warming, we have to talk to people that are bad actors around the world and make them do their part and not make our industries really the joke of everyone else in the world because they are making money and we are losing our jobs and it doesn’t make any sense whatsoever.

Let’s see another picture here. This is a pretty good one from India. This is a river in India. This is all trash in the middle of the river in India. I went to India, and I was appalled by how filthy it was and the lack of environmental rules. This is what we are dealing with.

Now, I know the Indians and, perhaps, the Chinese are not as developed as we are, but they are doing in the same environment for industry as we are along the globe. I am hopeful that the coming Trump administration is going to take this kind of stuff seriously, unlike the Obama administration, which his only answer to global warming and global pollution is to put more and more restrictions on our industry, killing jobs in this country and giving more jobs to people around the world that do this.

This picture is a good example of the way things are done across the world. Now, I come from a timber district where we want to harvest responsibly the timber that we have in our national forests, tending trees down as they mature in a logical fashion so that there are a lot of healthy trees in the forest that are not overcome by fire and disease, which is what we have seen out West over the last couple of decades because those forests are not being managed.

Originally, the national forests were developed as a place for multiple use—
for harvesting for logs, for entertainment to go hunting and fishing. I hunt and fish in a national forest. But when the trees become over mature and they are not managed in a way that allow new growth, there is a limited amount of species that can exist in that type of a forest.

This is what they do in Indonesia. This is a forest in Indonesia that was clear-cut for miles and miles and miles. This is the way it was left. Now, that is not the way it is done in Michigan, not where I live, that in my Federal forests. The problem is we are not doing enough of the select cuts, the limited clear-cuts that allow spreading of new growth. We are competing on our timber products with people that do this to their environment.

Now, in this country, private forests and State forests are managed with the stewardship program where third-party stewards of the forest, who are registered, licensed, and trained how to manage it, have given them an opportunity to manage forests over decades, over centuries, so that there is always a healthy forest with mid-term growth, long-term growth, new growth. There is a multiple of species that can live among that. People can hunt and enjoy that area. I just want to try to, Mr. Speaker, make sure the American people are aware of the fact that our environment is a place where we live, we want it to be good and healthy, and we want to be able to provide jobs for the people that live in my district and across the country.

Some of the statistics I could give you about the Chinese, for example, is that in 2012, China was responsible for over a quarter of the pollution world-wide. As you saw in that circle, the total pollution in China currently equals the pollution from the United States and the European Union combined. This is expected to only increase.

Now, China is run by a centralized government that has not traditionally respected the environment or the concerns of the locals when it comes to major decisions or projects. This is the type of policy that we can talk to the Chinese and have a discussion about what they can do to improve their behavior.

India is currently the world’s fastest growing economy and already the fourth largest polluter. As the Indian economy grows, so do the emissions are going to continue to rise.

As you see from Indonesia, there is deforestation and clear-cutting in the rain forest. I want to have responsible and sustainable forestry practices because timber is a renewable resource.

Now, our environmental actions have been incremental in nature, but, until this last administration, they haven’t been killing our industry. Now with the Obama administration’s war on coal, significant areas of our economy have fallen into disrepair. I am so thankful, frankly, that we have a new administration coming in that is going to, hopefully, put a stop to those policies that have been driving our jobs overseas and making it difficult for us here at home.

I just want to show another graph here for U.S. employment in manufacturing industries. Now, starting in 2010 into 2014, see, thousands of jobs in the manufacturing industries have gone down. I am not saying that environmental regulations are the complete cause of this, but I think this should be a pretty major part of our decision making process as to how we do these things.

We have a regulatory and approval process in the United States that most other countries don’t even approach or even pretend to go through. Having in significantly increased. I am so happy to hear that Mr. Trump has promised, for every new regulation, to cut two. Let’s start with the cutting.

At the end of the day, we need to protect our environment. However, when the environment is not done, the number of regulations are expected to cost $100 million or more to the American people. You can see that, consistently, from the beginning of the Obama administration that that number has significantly increased. I am so happy to hear that Mr. Trump has promised, for every new regulation, to cut two. Let’s start with the cutting.
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I encourage my colleagues to not be afraid to stand up for what is right and for jobs in this country. I encourage the people who may be watching, too, to think about what the politicians they listen to are saying and how it affects jobs and how it really affects the environment. Because although we want a clean environment, we are not going to write rules that kill jobs and that do not do anything about the real polluters on this planet, who care nothing about the environment, and who are causing the majority of the problems around the globe.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

MAKE IT IN AMERICA: MANUFACTURING

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. GROTHMAN). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2015, the gentleman from California (Mr. GARAMENDI) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Speaker, our previous speaker spoke about the need to revitalize the American economy, and I am talking about the regulations and the environment as being one of the impediments. Certainly, there are many, many regulations that could impede economic development, but there are also regulations that might enhance economic development. Today, I want to continue with what is now a 6-year effort—oh, yes, let's get this right side up. There we go—to Make It In America. Specifically, today, it is about manufacturing because manufacturing matters.

When I first came to Congress in 2009, we were in the midst of the Great Recession, and millions of Americans had lost their jobs. We saw the Rust Belt literally collapse; we saw factories close; we saw our shipyards opened with nothing happening except in the U.S. naval yards. So here we are some 6 years later: the economy is recovering, and we can talk about regulations; but what I would like to talk about tonight are positive regulations—regulations and laws that grow the American economy, not regulations that would hinder. Specifically, as part of this Make It in America agenda, we have these fundamental policies. If we are going to rebuild the American economy, a big part of it has to be manufacturing. It does matter.

So what are those issues that are involved in rebuilding the American economy? There are trade issues, and we have heard a lot about that in the recent Presidential campaign. Undoubtedly, the Congress will deal with that; Taxes. The debate about taxes really was not very clear in the Presidential election, but we are certainly going to be dealing with tax policy here, and we should. There is no doubt that the American tax policy hinders economic growth in many, many ways for small companies and encourages large companies to leave town—to leave America—and leave American workers and communities behind. We have seen too much of that; so tax policy becomes a very, very important part of this. Without paid labor, I am going to go specifically to those; but just quickly are the educational policies. There is a lot of jabbering around here, on the floor of Congress, and out around the world about educational policies. Are our schools good enough? They don't measure up. We need to have charter schools. We are going to go into that in a big way with our new President; but one of the most important parts of education, when we talk about rebuilding the American economy, is that we have properly trained workers whether they are in the computer field—in computer science—or whether they are in the shipyards welding the parts of a ship. A well-trained, well-prepared workforce is absolutely critical to the growth of the American economy; but education is not the subject today, nor is research; Infrastructure. It is part of what we are going to talk about today, and I am going to do this in, maybe, 10 minutes, but not much, wuger than that.

What I want to focus on is energy policy and labor. Did you know—does America know—that the United States has become a net exporter of natural gas? Yes. We do have a boom in the energy industry. It has slowed down a little bit with the drop in the value of crude oil and natural gas; but, nonetheless, as of today, the United States is a net exporter of natural gas. That gas is exported to Canada and Mexico and other parts of the world. When it is exported to other parts of the world, it is exported in ships in liquefied form, called liquefied natural gas, LNG. On ships, this natural gas is liquefied—that is, compressed into a liquid—and goes on a ship, the questions are: Where did that ship come from, and who are the sailors on the ship? I used to be, back when the North Slope of Alaska opened up, that the steel in the Trans-Alaska Pipeline and the ships that would then take that oil to the West Coast ports would be American ships with American sailors. It was the law. It was the law. Here you had a situation in which the law and regulations created American jobs for mariners and for the American shipyards.

If we were to apply that same principle to the export of LNG, that strategic national resource, think of what would happen. This first export facility in Louisiana, Cheniere, began exporting LNG on ships. They were not American ships. There were no American sailors on those ships. The policy of the North Slope oil was not extended to the export of LNG, to the detriment of American jobs.

So here is what we ought to do. There is an energy bill floating around somewhere in the Senate and the House. Nobody knows exactly where it is. But in that energy bill, there is a section that enhances and speeds up the licensing of six other LNG export facilities around the United States on various coasts—on the East Coast, the Gulf Coast, as well as in America. Why not take what we did with the North Slope oil, requiring that it be on American-built ships with American sailors, and apply that same principle, same law, to the export of LNG as these new facilities begin? It is said that the facility on the Gulf Coast, the Cheniere facility in its first part—there are three different pieces of that that will come in over time—the first part of that facility will take 100 ships to export the liquefied natural gas from that one facility. We are probably talking about a few hundred LNG ships to export the liquefied natural gas not only from the existing facility in the Gulf Coast, but to the other facilities that will be built in the future. Possibly as many as 12 percent of the total natural gas, that strategic national asset, will be exported, requiring hundreds of ships.
What if we passed a law called Energizing America? I like that title. In fact, we are going to introduce it tomorrow, Energizing America. It is a piece of legislation that would require that we provide 15 percent of the total export of American-built ships. Think about it.

Perhaps over the next decade, our shipyards would be building maybe as many as a hundred ships. But let's just say it is 10, 20, 30 ships. Perhaps more than 100 people could be employed in the construction of those ships. This would be a good regulation, wouldn't it? It would be a regulation that would put Americans back to work.

It would be a law that would say a strategic national asset of this Nation will also benefit another strategic national asset: the American shipyards. Our U.S. Navy depends on those shipyards. Every U.S. naval ship is built in America in American shipyards. And if we were to expand those shipyards, we would find more competition for the naval ships, perhaps a lower price. Perhaps we would also be able to employ marine engineers, welders, plumbers, steamfitters, steelworkers, not only at the shipyards, but in the manufacturing of the engines here in the United States.

Make it in America. Build it in America. All it takes are a couple of paragraphs of law. That is all it would take, a couple of paragraphs of law that say between now and 2024, in the next 8 years, 15 percent of that liquefied natural gas must be on American-built ships with American sailors.

Note that these American ships and the sailors are a strategic necessity for our U.S. military. Because it turns out that if you are going to project American power around the world, you have to be able to get there with the men, the women, and the materials—and that means ships.

So we would build the U.S. merchant marine. We would build American shipyards so that they would be competitive around the world, and we would employ tens of thousands—and perhaps even hundreds or more thousand—of American workers in our shipyards. It is possible. All it takes is a law.

So when this energy bill starts moving around—and maybe here in the lame duck session—I would propose a simple amendment: between now and 2024, 15 percent of that export of LNG would be on American-built ships with American sailors.

Oh, by the way, there are some older American LNG ships that could be re-flagged for the purposes of meeting at least part of that 15 percent in the initial years. And then after 2025, let's ramp it up to 30 percent. Let's keep our shipyards busy. Let's keep our steelworkers, our welders, our plumbers, our marine engineers, our factories busy in the future with a very simple law that would be a really good regulation.

Oh, I can hear the whining of the oil industry and of the natural gas industries. "Oh, it is going to be too expensive." No, not necessarily, it's not having American jobs and not being able to project American power because we do not have a robust merchant marine and a robust number of American ships.

Consider this fact: after World War II, we had 1,200 American ships, American sailors on them, all American flagged. In the 1980s, we had 500. Today, we have less than 80.

We are seeing the disappearance of the American merchant marine, American sailors, American-flagged ships, American shipyards are all diminishing and very rapidly disappearing. It is up to us, your elected officials—myself, my colleagues, 434 other Members of Congress and the 100 Senators. And, I guess, the new President is interested in making America great again. Hey, here is how you can do it, President-elect Trump. Do it in policies that once again call for making it in America.

So what are my colleagues going to do? Let this opportunity slip? Let this opportunity disappear? Forget about the strategic nature of energy in the United States, the strategic necessity of being able to project American power with American sailors and American ships to go wherever we want?

Oh, yes, I heard somebody say, well, we could contract to have ships sent to move our military: Oh, yeah, hello, Mr. Xi. Oh, yeah, I am phoning. Yeah, I'm phoning from Washington, D.C., and, yeah, can you folks in Beijing send over ships so that we can send men and material to the South China Sea?

It is not likely to happen, right? We can't depend on other countries. We have to depend on our own abilities, our own shipyards, our own mariners. We can do it.

There are many bad regulations to be sure. There are some that hinder the economy. But I would propose to you that a very good law could be used to build the American economy by simply requiring that the export of liquefied natural gas be done on American ships, 15 percent between now and 2024, and thereafter, 30 percent, echoing what we did back in the 1960s when the North Slope of Alaska opened up and that oil came south.

American steel pipe and American-made ships with American sailors, we can do it once again for the benefit of our country, for our national security, and for American workers and American businesses.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to:

Mr. Jones (at the request of Mr. McCarthy) for today and for the balance of the week on account of personal reasons.

Mr. Poe of Texas (at the request of Mr. McCarthy) for today and for the balance of the week on account of personal reasons.

SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 2873. An Act to require studies and reports examining the use of, and opportunities to use, technology-enabled collaborative learning and capacity building models to improve programs of the Department of Health and Human Services, and for other purposes, to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Karen L. Haas, Clerk of the House, reported that on November 28, 2016, she presented to the President of the United States, for his approval, the following bills:

H.R. 3692. To amend title 5, United States Code, to expand law enforcement availability pay to employees of U.S. Customs and Border Protection's Air and Marine Operations.

H.R. 5873. To designate the Federal building and United States courthouse located at 511 East San Antonio Avenue in El Paso, Texas, as the "R.E. Thomason Federal Building and United States Courthouse".

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. Garamendi. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 8 o'clock and 10 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, November 30, 2016, at 10 a.m. for morning-hour debate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Member or employee</th>
<th>Arrival</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Other purposes</th>
<th>Total U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travel to Germany—June 27—July 1, 2016</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kan Bingen</td>
<td>6/27</td>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>807.37</td>
<td>66.48</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>873.85</td>
<td>2,323.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy Morrison</td>
<td>6/27</td>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>807.37</td>
<td>66.48</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>873.85</td>
<td>2,323.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Spencer Johnson</td>
<td>6/27</td>
<td>7/1</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>807.37</td>
<td>66.48</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>873.85</td>
<td>2,323.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travel to Qatar, Bahrain, Iraq, Kuwait, Afghanistan—July 15—21, 2016</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. William M. &quot;Mac&quot; Thornberry</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>162.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>443.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Seth Moulton</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>445.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert L. Simmons</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>445.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Arcandegi</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>162.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>443.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kan Bingen</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>Bahrain</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>432.00</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>445.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visit to Japan, South Korea, the Philippines—July 15—19, 2016 with STAFFDEL Barker</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Trent Franks</td>
<td>7/17</td>
<td>7/19</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>1,773.39</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>2,121.26</td>
<td>1,773.39</td>
<td>7,266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visit to Germany, Latvia, Poland, Germany—August 19—26, 2016 with STAFFDEL Barker</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Chris Gibson</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>8/22</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Paul Cook</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>8/22</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Austin Scott</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>8/22</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Richard B. Negert</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>8/22</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heath Bope</td>
<td>8/20</td>
<td>8/22</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
<td>1,036.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visit to Germany, Italy—September 25—29, 2016 with STAFFDEL Barker</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Menchouse</td>
<td>9/26</td>
<td>9/29</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>845.18</td>
<td>4,055.76</td>
<td>4,055.76</td>
<td>4,055.76</td>
<td>845.18</td>
<td>4,900.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Quinn</td>
<td>9/27</td>
<td>9/28</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>482.77</td>
<td>4,055.76</td>
<td>4,055.76</td>
<td>4,055.76</td>
<td>482.77</td>
<td>4,538.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial transportation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22,592.90</td>
<td>39,895.44</td>
<td>42,488.34</td>
<td>42,488.34</td>
<td>22,592.90</td>
<td>65,081.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Per diem constitutes lodging and meals.
2 If foreign currency is used, enter U.S. dollar equivalent; if U.S. currency is used, enter amount expended.

HON. MAC THORNBERRY, Chairman, Nov. 10, 2016.

(AMENDMENT) REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN APR. 1 AND JUNE 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Member or employee</th>
<th>Arrival</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Other purposes</th>
<th>Total U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hon. John Kline</td>
<td>3/30</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>677.70</td>
<td>39,895.44</td>
<td>42,488.34</td>
<td>42,488.34</td>
<td>677.70</td>
<td>43,166.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. David &quot;Phil&quot; Roe</td>
<td>3/30</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>677.70</td>
<td>39,895.44</td>
<td>42,488.34</td>
<td>42,488.34</td>
<td>677.70</td>
<td>43,166.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**REPORT OF EXPENDITURES FOR OFFICIAL FOREIGN TRAVEL, COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND THE WORKFORCE, HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, EXPENDED BETWEEN JULY 1 AND SEPT. 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Member or employee</th>
<th>Arrival</th>
<th>Departure</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Other purposes</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>..........................</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>...........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>..........</td>
<td>...............</td>
<td>.............</td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Robert C. &quot;Bobby&quot; Scott</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>186.99</td>
<td>636.00</td>
<td>1,823.00</td>
<td>2,546.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Ruben Hinojosa</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julianne Sullivan</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janelle Garnder</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Newell</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Pagliuca</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Miller</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khamsa Pearson</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>4/2</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>605.84</td>
<td>1,638.00</td>
<td>1,386.86</td>
<td>3,629.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon. Frederica Wilson</td>
<td>6/24</td>
<td>6/27</td>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>637.00</td>
<td>1,946.56</td>
<td>2,373.50</td>
<td>5,957.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**HOUSE COMMITTEES**

Please Note: If there were no expenditures during the calendar quarter noted above, please check the box at right to so indicate and return.

1. Per diem constitutes lodging and meals.
2. If foreign currency is used, enter U.S. currency equivalent; if U.S. currency is used, enter amount expended.
3. Military air transportation.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS.

7626. A letter from the Assistant Secretary of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Department of Labor, transmitting the Department's Major final rule — Walking-Working Surfaces and Personal Protective Equipment (Fall Protection Systems) (Docket No.: OSHA-2007-0072) (RIN: 1218-AB80) received November 18, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7627. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s direct final rule — Air Plan Approval; AK: Permitting Fees Revision (EPA-R10-OAR-2016-0691; FRL-9955-58-Region 10) received November 22, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7628. A letter from the Director, Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting the Agency’s final rule — Air Quality Plans; Tennessee; Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard (EPA-R04-OAR-2015-0154; FRL-9955-58-Region 4) received November 22, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7629. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final NUREG — Seismic Classification (NUREG-0860; Revision 3) (Section 3.2.1) received November 18, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7630. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final NUREG — Reactor Operator Qualification Program: Reactor Operator Training (NUREG-0860; Revision 4) (Section 13.2.1) received November 18, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7631. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final NUREG — Operating Organization (NUREG-0860; Revision 3) (Section 13.1.2) (Section 13.1.3) received November 18, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 868); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7632. A letter from the Speaker’s table and referred as follows:
Military Affairs, Department of State, transmitting an addendum to a certification, Transmittal No. DDTDC 16-069, pursuant to Public Law 110-429, Sec. 201; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7650. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final NUREG — System Safety Group Classification [NUREG-0880, Revision 3] (Section 3.2.2) received November 18, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 688); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7651. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting the Commission’s final NUREG — Management and Technical Support Organization [NUREG-0880, Revision 6] (Section 13.1.1) received November 18, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 688); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7652. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting an addendum to a certification, Transmittal No. DDTC 16-032, pursuant to Public Law 110-429, Sec. 201; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7653. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a letter stating waives the certification requirement in section 7044(d)(1) regarding FY 2016 Economic Support Funds, pursuant to Public Law 114-113, Sec. 255(c)(1), Div. C, Sec. 7041(a)(2); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7654. A letter from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting a letter stating waives the certification requirement in section 7044(d)(1) regarding FY 2016 Economic Support Funds, pursuant to Public Law 114-113, Sec. 255(c)(1), Div. C, Sec. 7041(a)(2); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7655. A letter from the Under Secretary, Comptroller, Department of Defense, transmitting a letter stating that the Department of Defense received an extension from the Office of Management and Budget to submit the Department’s FY 2016 Financial Performance Report and December 15, 2016, pursuant to OMB’s authority under Sec. 303 of the Chief Financial Officers Act, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3515(a)(1); Public Law 105-278, Sec. 2(a)(1); (116 Stat. 2049); to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform.

7656. A letter from the Secretary, Department of Energy, transmitting the Department’s FY 2016 Energy Agency Financial Report, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 152112 and 10101, respectively, of Title 36 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 688); to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

7657. A letter from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting the Department’s final rule — Updated Statements of Legal Authority for the Export Administration Regulations (Doc. No. BIS-16-014) received November 16, 2016, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A); Public Law 104-121, Sec. 251; (110 Stat. 688); to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7658. A letter from the Director, Office of Congressional Affairs, Department of Commerce, transmitting a proposed Letter of Offer and Acceptance to the Government of Qatar, Transmittal No. 16-58, pursuant to Sec. 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7659. A letter from the Director, Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense, transmitting a proposed Letter of Offer and Acceptance to the Government of Kuwait, Transmittal No. 16-21, pursuant to Sec. 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7660. A letter from the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Policy-Of Military Affairs, Department of State, transmitting an addendum to a certification, Transmittal No. DDTDC 16-069, pursuant to Public Law 110-429, Sec. 201; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7661. A letter from the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State, transmitting an addendum to a certification, Transmittal No. DDTDC 16-091, pursuant to Public Law 110-429, Sec. 201; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

7662. A letter from the Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Department of State, transmitting an addendum to a certification, Transmittal No. DDTDC 16-074, pursuant to Public Law 110-429, Sec. 201; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.
for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. BISHOP of Utah: Committee on Natural Resources. H.R. 1219. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain lands, including the Arbuckle Project, Oklahoma, to the Arbuckle Master Conservancy District, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114–834). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. CHAFFETZ: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 5790. A bill to provide for the forgoing of whistleblower protection for contractor and grantee employees (Rept. 114–836, Pt. 1). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. CHAFFETZ: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 5920. A bill to enhance whistleblower protection for contractors and grantee employees (Rept. 114–836). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. CHAFFETZ: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H.R. 5920. A bill to provide an increase in premium pay for United States Secret Service agents performing protective services during 2016, and for other purposes; with an amendment (Rept. 114–837). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mrs. MILLER of Michigan: Committee on Oversight and Government Reform. H. Res. 933. A resolution providing amounts for further expenses of the Committee on Energy and Commerce in the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress (Rept. 114–838). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. BURGESS: Committee on Rules. House Resolution 934. Resolution providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 34) to authorize and strengthen the tsunami detection, forecast, warning, research, and mitigation program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and for other purposes, and providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 3932) to amend the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act to specify when bank holding companies may be subject to certain enhanced supervision, and for other purposes (Rept. 114–839). Referred to the House Calendar.

DISCHARGE OF COMMITTEE
Pursuant to clause 2 of rule XIII, the Committee on Armed Services discharged from further consideration. H.R. 5920 referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, and ordered to be printed.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS
Under clause 2 of rule XII, public bills and resolutions of the following titles were introduced and severally referred, as follows:

By Mr. MCMINN of California:
H.R. 6396. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to modify the qualification requirements with respect to certain multiple employer plans with pooled plan providers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Mr. COLLINS of New York:
H.R. 6397. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to ensure that new wind turbines located near certain military installations are ineligible for the renewable electric production credit and the energy credit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ISRAEL:
H.R. 6398. A bill to amend the Small Business Act to provide for the inclusion of unmarried women in the criteria for awarding a grant to a women’s business center; to the Committee on Small Business.

By Mr. KELLY of Pennsylvania (for himself and Mr. MICHAEL F. DOYLE of Pennsylvania):
H.R. 6399. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to create a Medicare hospital wage index metropolitan floor, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. PALLOWE:
H.R. 6400. A bill to revise the boundaries of certain John H. Chafee Coastal Barrier Resources System units in New Jersey, to the Committee on Natural Resources.

By Mr. SABLON (for himself and Mrs. RADNAGEN):
H.R. 6401. A bill to amend Public Law 94–241 with respect to the Northern Mariana Islands; to the Committee on Natural Resources, and in addition to the Committee on the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of California (for herself and Mr. ROH OF TENNESSEE):
H.R. 6402. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 to avoid duplicative annual reporting, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Ways and Means, and in addition to the Committee on Education and the Workforce, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned.

By Ms. SCHAKOWSKY (for herself, Mr. GILZIE GREEN of Texas, Mr. GILZAYLA, Mr. HONDA, and Mrs. BUSOS):
H. Res. 932. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives with respect to third-party charges on Internet telephone bills; to the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

By Ms. GABBARD (for herself and Mr. YOUNG of Alaska):
H. Res. 933. A resolution expressing the sense of the House that Congress should recognize the benefits of charitable giving and express support for the designation of #GivingTuesday; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. WELCH:
H. Res. 934. A resolution expressing the sense of the House of Representatives that all students should have access to the digital tools necessary to further their education and compete in the 21st century economy; to the Committee on Education and the Workforce.

CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY STATEMENT
Pursuant to clause 7 of rule XII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted regarding the specific powers granted to Congress in the Constitution to enact the accompanying bill or joint resolution.

By Mr. MCMINN of New York:
H.R. 6394. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States grants Congress the authority to enact this bill.

By Mr. HUDDON:
H.R. 6395. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States grants Congress the authority to enact this bill.

By Mr. PALLOWE:
H.R. 6401. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States grants Congress the authority to enact this bill.

By Mr. SABLON:
H.R. 6401. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Under Article I, Section 8, Clauses 3 and 8, and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of California:
H.R. 6402. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution of the United States grants Congress the authority to enact this bill.

By Mr. WELCH:
H.R. 6401. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 8, Clauses 3, 4, and 4, and Article IV, Section 3, Clause 2 of the Constitution of the United States.

By Ms. LINDA T. SÁNCHEZ of California:
H.R. 6402. Congress has the power to enact this legislation pursuant to the following: Article I, Section 7

ADDITIONAL SPONSORS
Under clause 7 of rule XII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions, as follows:

H.R. 241: Ms. MCMINN.
H.R. 449: Mr. BEATY.
H.R. 592: Mr. ROYCE.
H.R. 604: Mr. FARENTHOLD.
H.R. 729: Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN.
H.R. 846: Ms. EDDIE BERKINE JOHNSON OF TEXAS.
H.R. 855: Mr. HICK OF WASHINGTON.
H.R. 994: Mr. HICK OF WASHINGTON.
H.R. 1116: Mr. DAVID SCOTT OF GEORGIA.
H.R. 1211: Mr. COTTON.
H.R. 1232: Mr. LEWIS.
H.R. 1231: Mr. HICK OF WASHINGTON.
H.R. 1356: Mr. Heck of Washington.
H.R. 1422: Mr. Langevin.
H.R. 1427: Mrs. Dingell.
H.R. 1457: Mr. Lipinski.
H.R. 1526: Mr. Austin Scott of Georgia.
H.R. 1532: Ms. Esty.
H.R. 1539: Mr. Rothfus.
H.R. 1608: Ms. Stefanik, Mr. Graves of Missouri, Mr. Bridenstine, and Mr. McNERNEY.
H.R. 2050: Mr. Levin.
H.R. 2293: Mr. Clay and Mr. Diaz-Balart.
H.R. 2368: Ms. Linda T. Sánchez of California.
H.R. 2411: Mr. Heck of Washington.
H.R. 2434: Mr. Lipinski.
H.R. 2450: Mr. Courtney, Ms. Wasserman Schultz, Mr. Lynch, Ms. Slaughter, Mr. Garamendi, and Mr. Yarmuth.
H.R. 2903: Mr. Cole.
H.R. 3226: Mr. Carson of Indiana and Mr. Lewis.
H.R. 3229: Mr. Renacci.
H.R. 3268: Mr. Reichert.
H.R. 3355: Mr. Ashford, Mr. Brooks of Alabama, and Mr. Moulton.
H.R. 3365: Mr. Heck of Washington.
H.R. 3381: Mr. Reichert.
H.R. 3474: Mr. Pallone.
H.R. 3665: Ms. Schakowsky.
H.R. 3706: Ms. Brownley of California and Mr. Deutch.
H.R. 3846: Mr. Johnson of Ohio, Ms. Stefanik, Mr. Sessions, and Mr. Palazzo.
H.R. 4013: Mr. Cohen.
H.R. 4212: Mr. Cárdenas, Mrs. Blackburn, Miss Rice of New York, Ms. Pingree, and Mr. Swalwell of California.
H.R. 4220: Mr. Lamborn.
H.R. 4275: Mrs. Blackburn.
H.R. 4390: Mr. Peters.
H.R. 4625: Mr. Heck of Washington.
H.R. 4818: Mr. Yoho and Mr. Chaffetz.
H.R. 5062: Mr. Walker.
H.R. 5167: Ms. Pingree and Mr. Rokita.
H.R. 5180: Mr. Duncan of South Carolina.
H.R. 5235: Mr. LlALMAFA.
H.R. 5362: Ms. McSally, Mr. Schweikert, and Mr. Gosar.
H.R. 5410: Mr. Roskam.
H.R. 5474: Mr. Foster.
H.R. 5499: Mr. Thornberry.
H.R. 5584: Mr. Cohen.
H.R. 5667: Mr. Richmond, Mr. Simpson, and Mr. Kilmer.

H.R. 5681: Mr. Harper and Mrs. Bratton.
H.R. 5721: Mr. Bilirakis, Mr. Stivers, Mr. Renacci, Mrs. Blackburn, and Mr. Crowley.
H.R. 5918: Ms. Long, Mr. Roybal.  
H.R. 5932: Mr. Cohen.
H.R. 5974: Mr. Bishop of Michigan.
H.R. 6099: Mr. Levin, Mr. Calvert, Mr. Yoder, Mr. McKinley, Ms. Slaughter, and Mr. Graves of Missouri.
H.R. 6020: Mr. Ryan of Ohio and Mr. Takano.
H.R. 6021: Mr. Ryan of Ohio and Mr. Takano.
H.R. 6030: Mrs. Bratton.
H.R. 6045: Ms. Stefanik.
H.R. 6099: Ms. Tsongas, Mr. Kilmer, and Ms. DelBene.
H.R. 6160: Mr. Smith of Nebraska, Mr.佩雷, Mr. Palazzo, Mr. Poliquin, Mr. Labrador, and Mr. Olson.
H.R. 6108: Mr. Olson, Mr. Lipinski, and Mr. Gibbs.
H.R. 6116: Ms. Delauro.
H.R. 6117: Mr. Garamendi and Mr. McNerney.
H.R. 6139: Mr. Stivers.
H.R. 6159: Mr. Renacci.
H.R. 6183: Mrs. Ellmers of North Carolina.
H.R. 6238: Mrs. Torres, Mr. Levin, Mrs. Comstrock, and Mr. Gene Green of Texas.
H.R. 6283: Mr. Emmer of Minnesota.
H.R. 6299: Mr. LlALMAFA.
H.R. 6316: Ms. Speier.
H.R. 6336: Mr. Huffman and Mr. Polis.
H.R. 6340: Mr. Schakowsky, Mr. Briden, Mr. McGiven, Ms. Velázquez, Mr. McDermott, Mr. Brendan Boyle of Pennsylvania, Mr. Nadler, Mr. Cohen, Mr. Cicilline, Ms. Meng, Ms. McCollum, Ms. Bonamici, Ms. Moore, Mr. Meeks, Mr. Ted Lieu of California, Mr. Capuano, Ms. Kaptur, Mr. Blumenauer, Mr. Schiff, Mr. Gutiérrez, Mr. Lee, Mr. Deutch, Ms. Napolitano, Mr. Hastings, Ms. Speier, Mr. Grayson, Mr. Gallego, Mr. Polis, Mr. Johnson of Georgia, Ms. Clarke of New York, Mr. Castro of Texas, Ms. Jackson Lee, Mr. Langevin, Mr. Michael F. Doyle of Pennsylvania, and Ms. Edwards.
H.R. 6346: Mr. Honda.
H.R. 6374: Mr. Loudermilk.
H.R. 6382: Ms. Velázquez, Mr. Ryan of Ohio, Mr. Yarmuth, Mr. Takano, Ms. Moore, Mr. Bryer, and Mr. Kildeer.
H.R. 6392: Mr. Murphy of Florida, Ms. Sinema, Ms. Sewell of Alabama, Mr. David Scott of Georgia, Mr. Williams, Mr. Stivers, Mr. Hill, Mr. Sessions, and Mrs. Love.

CONGRESSIONAL EARMARKS, LIMITED TAX BENEFITS, OR LIMITED TARIFF BENEFITS

Under clause 9 of rule XXI, lists or statements on congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits were submitted as follows:

OPPERED BY MR. LIETKEMEYER

H.R. 6392 does not contain any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.
The Senate met at 10 a.m. and was called to order by the President pro tempore (Mr. HATCH).

PRAYER
The Chaplain, Dr. Barry C. Black, offered the following prayer:

Let us pray.
O God, our help in ages past, our hope for years to come, thank You for the spirit of contentment we can receive from You, bringing quietness and faith to our hearts. Today, use our Senators for Your purposes, enabling them to live worthy of Your Name. May the words they speak bring edification and unity as our lawmakers build bridges of cooperation. Lord, give them the wisdom to depart from strife, remembering that soft answers turn away anger. Inspire them to avoid contention in their search for common ground. Give them cheerful hearts and optimistic spirits.
We pray in Your great Name. Amen.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
The President pro tempore led the Pledge of Allegiance, as follows:
I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.
Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The senior assistant legislative clerk will call the roll.
The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6297) to reauthorize the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996.

Mr. MCCONNELL. In order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to further proceedings.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.
The bill will be placed on the calendar.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—H.R. 6297
Mr. MccONNELL. Mr. President, I now ask unanimous consent that at a time to be determined by the majority leader, after consultation with the Democratic leader, the Senate proceed to the consideration of H.R. 6297, which was received from the House; further that the bill be read a third time and the Senate vote on passage of the bill with no intervening action or debate; finally, if passed, that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Democratic leader.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, the ranking member of the Intelligence Committee, Senator FEINSTEIN, has had some trouble with this. I spoke to her last night. She said to go ahead and let this go. She is totally in agreement now that there would be time for debate on this issue and a vote. We understand that. So I am not objecting to this matter.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MEASURE PLACED ON THE CALENDAR—H.R. 6297
Mr. McCOnnell. Mr. President, I understand there is a bill at the desk due for a second reading.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will read the bill by title for the second time.
The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 6297) to reauthorize the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996.

Mr. McCOnnell. In order to place the bill on the calendar under the provisions of rule XIV, I object to further proceedings.
The PRESIDING OFFICER. Objection is heard.
The bill will be placed on the calendar.

IRAN SANCTIONS EXTENSION BILL
Mr. McCOnnell. This week, Senators will have a chance to pass the Iran Sanctions Extension Act that recently passed the House on an overwhelming vote. Preserving these sanctions is critical, given Iran’s disturbing pattern of aggression and its persistent efforts to expand its sphere of influence across the Middle East.

This is all the more important, given how the administration has ignored Iran’s overall efforts to upset the balance of power in the greater Middle East and how it has been held hostage by Iran’s threats to withdraw from the nuclear agreement. The authorities extended by this bill give us some of the tools needed to impose sanctions if necessary to hold Iran accountable and help keep Americans safer from this threat.
I expect that next year the new Congress and the new administration will undertake a review of our overall policy toward Iran, and these authorities should remain in place as we address how best to deal with Iranian missile tests, support to Hezbollah, and support of the Syrian regime.

BUSINESS BEFORE THE CONGRESS

Mr. McCOnnell. Mr. President, as we come to the end of this year and of this Congress, we will continue in our efforts to complete the business before us. Members have been working diligently on their respective conference committees to conclude the outstanding conference reports on the Defense authorization bill, the waterways infrastructure and resources bill, and the energy policy modernization bill. I look forward to the full Senate taking up these measures as they are available so that we can pass final legislation to be signed into law.

In the coming days, the Senate will also consider a critical and bipartisan medical innovation bill known as the 21st Century Cures bill, as well as a
BUSINESS BEFORE THE CONGRESS

Mr. REID. Mr. President, as the Republican leader mentioned a minute or two ago, the Senate has some important work to do before this Congress can come to a close. One of the pieces of legislation that has to be addressed is the Cures Act, a scaled-back version of the 21st Century Cures legislation the House is scheduled to consider tomorrow.

The staffs of the Senate Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions Committee and the House Energy and Commerce Committee have worked countless hours on this bill. For more than a year, they have missed time with their families and given up vacations in the hope of reaching bipartisan agreement. There are many priorities in this bill to address funding for opioids, which has been an ongoing problem with all of the deaths occurring on a daily basis. We have done nothing to help with that—nothing.

Of course, we are concerned about cancer and the advocacy of Vice President Biden and the so-called moonshot, as well as important provisions for the National Institutes of Health. There are other issues outstanding that will need to be dealt with and resolved.

It is my understanding that the committee work continues in the House, and we can expect a managers’ amendment in the House Rules Committee sometime tonight. We are all eager to see what they might propose. We want to know if it is different from the Senate bill, which we felt very good about.

By the end of next week, we are going to have to pass new legislation to ensure that the government does not shut down for lack of funding. But we also have to be concerned about what happens with that Cures Act. Is this going to be put over again, as we have put over opioid funding time and again over the past several years, or are we going to finally do something that is constructive in nature? Right now, there is some angst in my caucus about what we should do.

Now, on funding, I am very disappointed that the Republican leadership appears unwilling to pass a comprehensive bill that reflects the careful and considered judgment of the Appropriations Committee. With only days left in this Congress, we should be working on a bipartisan bill, in a manner that sets our priorities. But that is not happening. We should be funding initiatives that serve important needs and eliminate others that are wasteful and have a lower priority. Instead, it appears that we are going to pass another continuing resolution that just sets the government on autopilot, potentially for many months. The exact months we don’t know. I guess there is some dispute among the Republican leadership as to how long the CR is going to be.

Mr. REID. This is punishing, for lack of a better description. They are trapped, and the only thing they can do is punt and see what happens later. It is irresponsible, it is wasteful, and it is not the way we should be doing the business of this Congress.

Mr. President, will the Chair announce the business of the day.

RESERVATION OF LEADER TIME

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the leadership time is reserved.

MORNING BUSINESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will be in a period of morning business until 11 a.m., with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each. The assistant Democratic leader.

DACA

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, 15 years ago, a woman contacted my office in Chicago because she had a problem. It turned out that her daughter, who was about 17 years old or 18 years old at the time, had an extraordinary musical talent and had been accepted as a student at the Manhattan School of Music, as well as at the Juilliard School in New York.

The problem was that her daughter was undocumented. She brought her little girl to the United States at the age of 2. This Korean girl, Tereza Lee, was raised in the United States by a family of very modest means, but she showed extraordinary talent at music, so much so that she was accepted at these great schools.

When she went to fill out the application form and they asked for her nationality or citizenship status, she turned to her mother and said: What should I put here?

Her mother said: Well, I never filed any papers after we brought you to this country, so I don’t know.

They called our office. The law was very clear. This young girl, who for 15 or 16 years had grown up in Chicago in modest circumstances, gone to school, done well, and excelled in her music, was in fact undocumented. Under the law of the United States of America, the only recourse for her—and it is still the case—was to leave this country for 10 years and apply to come back.

I thought to myself: This little girl had nothing to say when the family decided to move to the United States when she was 2 years of age. She wasn’t consulted. She didn’t make a conscious decision. She, in fact, did everything she was expected to do in her life. She grew up believing that she would be in America, that she would be part of this country’s future, but she has this undocumented status, an uncertain status.

That is why, 15 years ago, I introduced the DREAM Act. It said to young women and men such as Tereza Lee: We will give you a chance. If you were
brought to the United States as a child, you have gone through school and done well, and you have no serious criminal issues that worry us, we will give you a chance to earn your way into legal status and ultimately citizenship.

The DREAM Act was introduced 15 years ago. Over the last 15 years, it has passed in the House some years and in the Senate in other years. It has never become the law of the land. It was a few years ago that I wrote a letter to then-President Obama—and asked him, as a cosponsor of my DREAM Act, could he do something to help these young people who were fearful they were going to be deported. Republican Senator Lugar of Indiana joined me in the letter, and later some 20 other Senators joined as well.

President Obama studied it and asked his Attorney General and others to find a path, and he created an Executive action. The Executive action allowed those who have been in a status such as Tereza Lee’s a chance under the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals Program, or the DACA Program, to sign up with the government, to register with the government, to pass a criminal background check, and understand this is only a temporary situation that can be renewed, do it. Be part of America. Be part of obeying the law, following the law, and, ultimately, I think it will be to your benefit.

When I gave that advice, I could not have imagined that we would be facing a new President in just a few weeks with a totally different view on immigration. That President-elect, Donald Trump, has said some very hurtful and divisive things about immigration during the course of his campaign. Fortunately for us, it appears he is reflecting on those statements now, and some of those he is modifying, if not changing.

I hope he will do the same when it comes to this. These 744,000 DACA-eligible persons who are currently in the program, as well as others, should be given their chance in America. As long as they are no threat to our country, we should capitalize on their talents, on the education that they have received that we paid for, and give them a chance to make America better.

I have stood on the floor many times—and I will today—to tell the story of just one of these students. It is a story to talk about what they might bring to this country, and it is another thing to get to know them a little bit.

This is a photograph of Yuri Hernandez. Yuri was 3 years old when her family brought her to the United States. She grew up in Coos Bay, OR. In high school she was an honor roll student and was active in her community. She was an active member of the Key Club and the Kiwanis service program for students.

She was voted homecoming princess of her high school and jubilee princess of Coos Bay.

She attended the University of Portland, where she graduated with a bachelor’s degree in social work. She reports that it was a very challenging experience.

She faced hardships that many students take for granted in America, such as Pell grants and government loans.

Yuri had to find another way to do this. She had to work her way through school, borrowing money from parents. She faced hardships that many students don’t face, but she overcame them. That speaks to her, her character, and her determination.

She volunteered as a tutor for at-risk elementary and middle school students. During her senior year in college, she was a full-time student and a full-time worker to pay for her college education.

Do we need persons in America such as Yuri—so determined, so committed to their future that they are willing to make sacrifices many students don’t make? Of course we do.

Yuri is now a graduate student at the University of Michigan School of Social Work. Again, she doesn’t qualify for any government assistance to go to school. She is planning on a graduate degree, a master’s in social work, in the fall of 2017, and she still finds time to tutor and mentor high school students.

She wants to give back to America. She wrote a letter to me about the DACA Program and said:

DACA opened a lot of doors. I no longer wake up every day fearing that I could be picked up and deported (out of the United States). DACA has improved my life completely and allowed me to use my education.

Would America be better if Yuri were deported, if she were sent away from this country to a country she has never known, one from which she was taken away when she was a child of 3 years of age?

I think the answer is obvious.

For her and for thousands such as her, this is a moment of testing. Will we in the United States of America, this Nation of diverse immigrants, this diverse Nation that believes in fairness and justice, give to those DREAMers, those DACA recipients, their chance to prove themselves? Will we hold these children responsible for decisions made by their parents or will we give them their own chance in life?

Over the last few weeks, I have been home in Illinois, and I have talked to a lot of people who have come to know these DACA recipients and DREAMers. Many of these young people are dependent. With the new President, they are afraid they are going to lose any protection they currently have from deportation. Some have been driven to despair. Some have decided to leave the country, and, in some rare cases, there have been cases of suicide from their despondency.

We can do better, America. We can say to these young people, no, that, while Congress debates immigration and its future, we are going to make certain they are not penalized and hurt in the process.

For Yuri and thousands just like her, we owe it to them to give them their chance.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ECHO BILL

Mr. WICKER. Mr. President, I come to the floor to express my support for the ECHO Act, which the Senate will be voting on in approximately 1 hour.

This represents bipartisan work—another bipartisan achievement during this very productive term of Congress. In this case it is Senators HATCH and SCHATZ who have led us to this morning’s vote.

The ECHO Act is named after Project ECHO, an innovative telehealth-inspired model originally conceived at the University of New Mexico. Project ECHO has created promising opportunities for primary care clinicians to receive high-quality specialty training remotely. In this way, the most remote patient in the most underserved area can receive specialized care by his hometown doctor or provider.

I am a longtime supporter of using technology and telehealth to improve patients’ access to quality care.

New Mexico is a State with many rural areas, as is my State of Mississippi. For that reason, Mississippi
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and New Mexico have had to be leaders in innovative health care models for years, such as Project ECHO in New Mexico and the University of Mississippi Medical Center in Jackson, MS. At UMCC we are national leaders in providing technology-enabled care remotely. While ECHO emphasizes training among professionals, the University of Mississippi Medical Center has used remote technology for clinical care monitoring.

Since 2003, the medical center in Jackson has reached more than one-half million rural Mississippians through the use of telehealth. To date, the program includes more than 30 specialties and can reach patients at more than 200 clinical sites.

Like Senator HATCH, I have reached across the aisle to work with our friend from Hawaii, Senator SCHATZ, to expand an innovative model for the rest of the country. Specifically, I worked this year with Senator SCHATZ on the CONNECT for Health Act, which has been endorsed by nearly 100 organizations. Like CONNECT, the ECHO Act aims to prove an approach to technology-enabled care and bringing it to underserved populations across the country.

The CONNECT for Health Act, which is S. 2494, would be a small but significant step toward payment parity for telehealth services under the Medicare Program. In addition to removing specific barriers to telemedicine, the bill would allow for coverage of certain remote patient monitoring services for patients with multiple chronic diseases.

Remote patient monitoring is a model the University of Mississippi Medical Center has used to expand access, improve quality, and reduce hospital readmissions for some of our State’s most underserved populations.

So I want to thank Senator SCHATZ for his leadership on CONNECT for Health and also ECHO, which again we will be voting on in just a few moments. We are at our utmost appreciation to Senator SCHATZ and to Senator HATCH and the Committee on Finance for including policies inspired by our CONNECT for Health Act in the bipartisan chronic care outline.

I am confident proposals to advance telehealth can improve access and cut costs, and I look forward to seeing CONNECT enacted also, but today I am pleased and thrilled we are taking an important step forward with the passage of the ECHO Act.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maryland.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 15 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?
Without objection, it is so ordered.

The remarks of Mr. CARDIN pertaining to the submission of S. Con. Res. 56 are printed in today’s RECORD under “Submitted Resolutions.”

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Utah.

The ECHO BILL

Mr. HATCH. Mr. President, today, the Senate is voting on S. 2873, the ECHO Act. In April, Senator SCHATZ and I introduced this bill to highlight the impressive work of technology-enabled collaborative learning and capacity-building models.

One such model that has brought promising new ideas to our Nation’s healthcare delivery system is Project ECHO, which started in New Mexico and quickly expanded to Utah. Today, Project ECHO is thriving in more than 30 States.

Our bill draws on the success of Project ECHO to improve health services on a national scale. Our proposal is not political; rather, it is the culmination of a broad bipartisan effort to bring about the necessary reforms that will benefit families across the country in red States and blue States alike.

Our legislation improves medical services for all Americans by providing healthcare to rural and underserved communities with access to a network of peers and specialists who can teach specialty care. By connecting doctors and nurses with teams of experts, patients can receive the care they need when they need it. Most importantly, patients will not have to travel long distances to receive treatments; they can stay close to home and receive treatment from doctors they know and trust.

In today’s bustling healthcare environment, policymakers often forget that healthcare delivery works differently in urban and rural settings. To bridge the urban-rural divide, the ECHO Act brings expertise to providers serving rural populations by enabling them to gain the skills they need to care for people living in their communities. Through this exchange, urban providers in return can learn how rural health is operationalized in real time.

Ultimately, our proposal prioritizes rural health needs and reconciles differences in care delivery for diverse populations.

Today, I am grateful that a majority of my colleagues have agreed to support this forward-thinking, commonsense legislation. Like the 21st Century Cures bill, our proposal demonstrates our common commitment to improving health care for all patients.

Telehealth is a topic of particular interest in my home State of Utah. Under the existing Project ECHO programs, medical experts based at the University of Utah use videoconferencing to train healthcare professionals who are hundreds, sometimes even thousands, of miles away. As we work to improve telehealth models like those in the ECHO Act will enable telementorship and provider education to occur via avenues more tailored to health professionals’ needs.

This customization is an essential step to achieving person-centered health care.

As a body, we must be dedicated to improving health services for all Americans, no matter where they live. Through this bill, we will take significant progress toward achieving that goal. Using groundbreaking new technologies, the ECHO Act will enable us to take better care of our family members, neighbors, and friends. By putting communication front and center, Project ECHO will enable professionals to share innovations and new discoveries in an efficient, timely manner.

Before turning the floor over to my esteemed colleague from Hawaii, whose collaboration on this proposal has proven invaluable, I first wish to share how our legislation came to be. Several months ago, doctors at the University of Utah—including Dr. Terry Box and Dr. Vivian Lee, as well as some of the renowned disease experts in the country—reached out to me to demonstrate how Project ECHO was benefitting families across Utah and the Intermountain Region. Their innovative approach to telehealth piqued my interest. As it turned out, Senator SCHATZ had a very similar experience with his own constituents. After discussing our shared experiences, we joined forces to draft a bill that would allow Americans in rural counties access across the country to reap the benefits of telehealth.

The founder of Project ECHO, Dr. Sanjeev Arora, was an instrumental partner throughout this process. He worked with us to share ideas from ECHO hubs across the country, allowing us to incorporate a broad array of viewpoints. With his help, we were able to hear from countless stakeholders and medical professionals who understood the potential of our legislation. We also worked alongside the leaders of the Health, Labor, and Pensions Committee. With the assistance of Senators ALEXANDER and MURRAY, as well as the majority and minority leaders, we were able to shepherd this legislation through the committee process and bring it to the Senate floor.

This bill was born fresh, from a bottom-up approach, which enabled us to solicit ideas and opinions from numerous healthcare professionals across the country. Thanks to their input and the support of Members on both sides of the aisle, we are poised to pass legislation that will dramatically improve the quality of our Nation’s health care.

I wish to thank all those who assisted in this bipartisan effort. Today is a victory for everyone involved. I appreciate the efforts of Senator SCHATZ.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Hawaii.

Mr. SCHATZ. Mr. President, I thank the President pro tempore, the Senator from Utah, Mr. HATCH, for his leadership on this and many other issues.
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The clerk will report the amendment by number.

The legislative clerk read as follows:

The Senator from Montana [Mr. Daines], for Mr. Alexander, proposes an amendment numbered S.110.

The amendment is as follows:

(Purpose: In the nature of a substitute)

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Expanding Capacity for Health Outcomes Act” or the “ECHO Act”.

SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

In this Act:

(1) HEALTH PROFESSIONAL SHORTAGE AREA.—The term “health professional shortage area” means a health professional shortage area designated under section 332 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 254e).

(2) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term “Indian tribe” has the meaning given the term in section 330(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 254b).

(3) MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED AREA.—The term “medically underserved area” has the meaning given the term “medically underserved community” in section 799B of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 255p).

(4) MEDICALLY UNDERSERVED POPULATION.—The term “medically underserved population” has the meaning given the term in section 330(b) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 254b).

(5) NATIVE AMERICANS.—The term “Native Americans” has the meaning given the term in section 736 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 255c).

(6) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Health and Human Services.

(7) TECHNOLOGY-ENABLED COLLABORATIVE LEARNING AND CAPACITY BUILDING MODEL.—The term “technology-enabled collaborative learning and capacity building model” means a distance health education model that connects specialists with multiple other health care professionals through simultaneous interactive videoconferencing for the purpose of facilitating case-based learning, disseminating best practices, and evaluating outcomes.

(8) TRIBAL ORGANIZATION.—The term “tribal organization” has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 5309).

SEC. 3. EXAMINATION AND REPORT ON TECHNOLOGY-ENABLED COLLABORATIVE LEARNING AND CAPACITY BUILDING MODELS.

(a) EXAMINATION.—In general.—The Secretary shall examine technology-enabled collaborative learning and capacity building models and their impact on:

(A) addressing mental and substance use disorders, chronic diseases and conditions, prenatal and maternal health, pediatric care, pain management, and palliative care;

(B) addressing health care workforce issues, such as specialty care shortages and primary care workforce recruitment, retention, and support for lifelong learning;

(C) the implementation of public health programs, including those related to disease prevention, infectious disease outbreaks, and public health surveillance;

(D) the delivery of healthcare services in rural areas, frontier areas, health professional shortage areas, and medically underserved areas, and to medically underserved populations and Native Americans; and

(E) addressing other issues the Secretary determines appropriate.
Our local providers are the first-line responders. They tackle everything from the common cold to emergency situations. It is their actions that can make the difference between life and death. Rural providers give Montanans access to preventative and behavioral health services. They help ward off chronic illness with early detection and provide care and support through cancer and other debilitating diseases. They deserve our respect and the resources that will serve better serve Montanans. That is why I am honored to join my colleagues in supporting the ECHO Act and making sure it is passed and signed into law. I am thankful for the leadership of the senior Senator from Utah, Senator Harck, who has been out front leading in this effort.

Geographic location should not dictate the quality of care. This bill will promote opportunities to improve access to high-quality care in rural communities, such as access to specialists and support and training for rural health care providers. In fact, this year the Billings Clinic launched the Montana-based Project ECHO hub in an effort to address the lack of access to mental health and substance abuse resources. The hub connects rural providers with a team of specialists to collaborate, share case studies, and offer support. The hub is built to be flexible, allowing teleclinics on any topic or any disease. It also allows Montana’s providers to collaborate with specialists at academic centers, such as the University of Washington and the University of New Mexico. Because of the success of this first hub, the Billings Clinic will launch two more teleclinics next year to help primary care sites across Montana integrate behavioral health services in their practices.

The ECHO Act will promote these programs throughout the country and increase access for all Americans. I am thankful to see strong bipartisan support on the passage of this bill as we work together to improve rural health care.

I thank the President. I suggest the absence of a quorum. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. VITTER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. VITTER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent all time be yielded back.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (S. 2873), as amended, was passed.

MORNING BUSINESS

Mr. BLUMENTHAL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NATIONAL ADOPTION MONTH

Mr. BLUNT. Mr. President, I wish to spend a few moments talking about National Adoption Month.

I thank the Senator from Maryland and my colleagues for letting me talk for a few minutes about an issue that I think every single Member of the Senate cares about. The month of November is National Adoption Month. It
Continuing Resolution

Ms. MIKULSKI. Mr. President, I come to the floor as the vice chair of the Committee on Appropriations. That means I am the Democratic leader on appropriations for this session of Congress, and next to me is the distinguished Senator from Vermont, Mr. LEAHY, who will have that responsibility next year.

I come to the floor to say that, sadly, I am concerned we will not finish our job on appropriations the way we should finish it—to do an omnibus, to get the job done. Alas, the clock is slipping away.

Now, one needs to note that the Committee on Appropriations, during the past year, under the leadership of Senator COCHRAN of Mississippi, worked constructively, worked in a well-paced, well-sequenced way, and we were poised to finish our work, with the Committee on Appropriations report out, 12 bills for floor consideration 5 months ago. So we were ready 5 months ago to bring them up either as individual bills or in a series of minibuses. But instead of finishing Congress’s work to fund the government, we are now contemplating putting the government on autopilot by something called a continuing resolution—a short-term continuing resolution that would only last for maybe 3 months.

I am very frustrated about this. It did not have to be this way. As I said, we have worked very constructively on both sides of the aisle and have been cooperating to do our job. We allocated our funds, we have tried to make the best decisions about what the needs of the American people—bills related to national security and economic growth and that meet compelling human needs. For those Republicans who are obstructionists, they really have been setting us back. For those on both sides of the aisle who want to save money, they are actually going to cost us more money by delaying. There are only 11 other bills left.

Funding for every mission—let’s start with the Department of Defense. Our troops are fighting overseas, and we need to support them. There is Federal law enforcement, foreign policy and embassy security, infrastructure, education—from child care to college affordability. So instead of making choices about what to fund, what to cut, we leave these missions on autopilot, spending the same amount as last year on the same items with the same policies. No business operates this way. It is irresponsible to spend $1 trillion this way with no thought, delaying important investments, and thus resulting in increasing cost to the taxpayers.

Let me talk about why this really can give you heartburn. Last week, Department of Defense Comptroller Mike McCord warned that a stopgap CR delays ships and weapons our troops need. Hello. Did you hear that? It actually delays the construction of ships and purchase of weapons our troops need. Without a special provision in the CR, DOD would have to delay 11 other bills left...

These new subs are necessary. They are the backbone of our nuclear deterrent—our nuclear deterrent. The current ships’ nuclear reactors reach the end of their useful lives in the mid-2020s. So this isn’t some new whiz-bang thing that might be untried. So without special provisions, other things will be delayed.
What are we trying to do here? We are concerned that people in this country are now facing death from heroin and opioid overdoses. Every Governor in the United States of America has cried out to the Federal Government for help on heroin and opioid overdoses. We have to get on both sides of the aisle advocacy for a comprehensive approach. The problem affects every part of the country—urban and rural—and every socioeconomic category.

Now, our appropriations bill is ready with bold new law enforcement, prevention, treatment, and education. But in the continuing resolution, we won't get these investments, and more families will suffer. Every leading authority on treatment says when you need it and you are ready to ask for it, you need to get it on the same day. Just as clinicians have to act with urgency, so do we.

What else won't a CR help? It won't help college affordability with full-year Pell grants. It won't bolster security for the FBI, for the Border Patrol, for embassy security.

Remember Benghazi? Whoa, when people loved to investigate rather than legislate, Benghazi was in the news. That was the first time the Congress had cut—particularly, the House had cut—embassy security considerably. But in this bill, working with both sides of the aisle, we were able to come up with the appropriate money for embassy security, border control, and so on.

We also won't have the funds for infrastructure funding, particularly for roads, to improve our ports, and to make our railroads safer.

We won't meet the needs of children—children who are on the march, children who are in desperate need of help in Central America.

I know the other thing we have supported on both sides of the aisle is an innovation particularly in the area of the medical research of the National Institutes of Health. Hopefully, we are going to be debating the Cures Act, yet right now we have the ability to act with the funding for the National Institutes of Health research and also the great work done at the Department of Defense in research.

All year long I have come to the floor and talked about how appropriations can be used to solve problems, whether it was children exposed to lead in drinking water—the compelling story of Flint, MI. We need to really modernize our water supply. In my own hometown of Baltimore, infrastructure funding could be fantastic. If we replaced the Baltimore water system that was built over 100 years ago, we would improve public health, we would create jobs in Maryland, in Baltimore, and we would leave our communities in a better, safer place by getting the lead out. We need to get the lead out of our water supply, and we need to get the lead out of Congress.

We want to solve problems, create jobs, and protect America. A CR is not the best way to do it. But if we are going to do a CR, it should be for the shortest time possible.

So let me be clear. Senate Democrats are willing to work across the aisle and across the dome. It is our Republican colleagues who need to think about this long and hard. I really urge that you not spend another half year spinning your wheels and not serving the American people, addressing security needs and compelling human needs.

As I get my time in being the ranking member on the Committee on Appropriations, I would like to finish it by working constructively, collegially, and in the best interests of the United States of America to get a real bill across the finish line for the longest time possible. That will provide certainty to Federal agencies that are protecting America and protecting our border while we try to protect American jobs.

There is much ahead and that will lie ahead in the new term and with a new administration. We can act with certainty now for at least the funding for next year if we acted, and we acted with a long-term view. I could elaborate on more, but, please, let's do our job. Let's work together. There are still a few days where we could get this done the right way. I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from South Dakota.

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to conclude my remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

SENATE ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND PRIORITIES FOR THE 115TH CONGRESS

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, 2 years ago the American people entrusted Republicans with the Senate majority. At that time, things were in a bad way here in the Senate. Under Democratic control, the legislative process almost ground to a halt. Important bills weren't getting passed, and those that did get passed were frequently drafted behind closed doors, with Republicans and many rank-and-file Democrats shut out of the process, which, of course, means that the American people's voices were frequently shut out of the process.

When Republicans took control, we knew that getting the Senate working again had to be our first priority, and that is what we did. We opened up debate so Senators from both parties could make their voices heard. We started drafting legislation in committee again so that bills were the result of discussion and compromise instead of being dictated by Democratic Party leaders. And we got the Senate passing real, substantial legislation again—a balanced budget, appropriations, the Energy bill since the Bush administration, and the first significant education reform since 2002.

I am particularly proud of two bills that the committee I chair, the Commerce Committee, worked on—a Federal Aviation Administration bill with major airport security provisions and the first long-term Transportation bill since 2005.

The terrorist attacks in Brussels and Istanbul that occurred this year broadcast airport security challenges—particularly the soft target offered by large crowds in unsecured airport areas. Those were problems we had been working on in the Commerce Committee for months before the attacks, and in July we passed an FAA bill that addresses them and more. The bill we passed required the TSA to look at ways to improve security checkpoints to make the passenger screening process more efficient and effective, and it significantly increases the security presence in unsecured areas in airports.

Also important in the airport employees to address the insider terrorist threat—the risk that an airport employee would give a terrorist access to secure areas. The Senate passed this bill in July, and the President signed it into law a couple days later. I am proud of this law, which is the kind of substantial legislation we should be passing for the American people.

I am also proud of the Transportation bill we passed, part of which came out of our committee. When Congress fails to provide certainty about the way transportation funding will be allocated, States and local governments are left without the certainty they need to authorize projects or to make long-term plans, important investments in infrastructure that support the economy are shelved, and jobs that depend upon transportation are put in jeopardy. The Transportation bill we passed changes all that. It reauthorizes transportation programs for the long term and provides 5 years of guaranteed funding. That means States and local governments will have the certainty they need to build transportation projects and the jobs they create, and that, in turn, means a stronger economy and a more reliable, safe, and effective transportation system.

I am proud of what we were able to accomplish over the past 2 years, but there is a lot left to be done. Some of the most important measures we passed in the 114th Congress went nowhere, thanks to opposition from the Democrats and the White House—an ObamaCare repeal; legislation to overturn some of the Obama administration’s most burdensome regulations; legislation to address the dangerous issues of Sanctuary cities, which refuse to work with Federal immigration officials to deport illegal immigrants convicted of crimes. I am hopeful that with a Republican President, we will be able to address these issues and many more in the 115th Congress.

Republicans have big plans for the 115th. If there is one thing this election...
made clear, it is that the Obama economy is not working for American families. Republicans are committed to fixing that.

Growing our economy is going to be our No. 1 priority next Congress. There are a number of things we can do to get our economy healthy again. We can reform our Tax Code to reduce the burden on American families and businesses. Right now, our Nation has the highest corporate tax rate in the developed world. More and more, American companies are focusing their business operations overseas because the tax situation is so much better abroad. That means American jobs are going overseas with them. We have lost our competitive edge in an increasingly global economy. Instead of pushing corporations out of this country, we should bring our Nation’s corporate tax rate in line with those of other countries to create more jobs here in the United States.

Another big thing we can do is repeal some of the burdensome government regulations that are weighing down businesses. While some government regulations are necessary, even the Administration has to remember that regulations have consequences. The more resources individuals and businesses spend complying with unnecessary government regulations, the less they have to focus on the growth and innovation that drive our economy and create new opportunities for workers. The overregulation of the last 8 years has left businesses with few resources to dedicate to growing and creating jobs. Another thing we need to do is address our national debt, which has nearly doubled over the past 8 years. All that debt is a drag on the economy. It slows growth and reduces economic opportunities to create more jobs here in the United States.

There are other priorities we need to address: confirming a Supreme Court nominee who will judge based on the law and the Constitution; protecting religious liberty; and the list goes on.

Republicans are aware of the trust the American people have placed in us, and we intend to earn it. We are going to spend the 115th Congress fighting for the American people’s priorities. We have a real chance to get things done in the next Congress, and I look forward to working with my colleagues here in the Senate to address the challenges that are facing our Nation.

Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Vermont.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to continue in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. LEAHY. I thank the distinguished Presiding Officer.

CONTINUING RESOLUTION

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, for the past 2 years, the Republican Party has enjoyed solid majorities in both the House and Senate. They control the presidential election and the process. They can decide which legislation to keep or replace with their House counterparts to hammer out a conference agreement that the House and Senate can vote on and the President can sign. We could easily be finished by December 9, when the current funding resolution expires. What’s new is that Congress is not even meeting and had a continuing resolution for the rest of the year. There is no way to predict.

For Members of Congress who may not be familiar with the intricate operations of Federal agencies and would prefer not to think about it, the idea of a continuing resolution may not be a big deal. For those of us on both sides of the aisle who do know, it is an example of government at its worst. Funding the government by continuing resolution means putting priorities and budgeting decisions on autopilot. It stops us having any kind of a voice in what our government does. It negates the hard work that has gone into reevaluating priorities from one year to the next. It negates the careful process of looking at Federal agencies account by account to make adjustments as warranted. It means largely making a carbon copy of an earlier appropriations bill or bills regardless of changed circumstances or compelling need to modify earlier priorities.

I can give all kinds of examples in this appropriations bills. Here are a few examples of what it means for the State and foreign operations bill, which comprises only 1 percent of the Federal budget.

A continuing resolution will provide $433 million less than Senator Graham’s original bill for economic development, governance, and security programs, such as the Power Africa Initiative. It will mean $39 million less for
programs to counter violent extremism. These programs have strong bipartisan support—and did in the Appropriations Committee—because they are the building blocks for stability where we have critical national security interests and all Americans.

A continuing resolution will provide $162 million less than our bill for global health, including for maternal and child health programs, such as vaccines for children, and food aid. These programs literally mean life or death for millions of people, which is why they have bipartisan support—or at least they did before the Republican leadership scrapped the appropriations bills that we passed with overwhelming bipartisan support.

In fact, one of the things a continuing resolution will do is provide $454 million less than Senator GRAHAM’s and my bill for security for U.S. diplomatic and consular personnel, for security upgrades to U.S. Embassies and facilities overseas, and for cyber security programs.

I mention this because the Republicans in the other body spent tens of millions of dollars of taxpayers’ money decrying the lack of security at our embassies, even after they had already voted to cut money for embassy security, and now they are going to cut another $454 million. Will they stop using their talking points about how we should spend more to protect our diplomats posted overseas? Of course not, because the United States will not be able to do what we don’t need and nobody wants. It is bad government. It is what the Republican leadership 10 months ago said they wanted to avoid, and we all agreed with them. But that was then and this is now. Now it’s forget what we said before. We’ve exchanged our mind. Let’s just put the government on autopilot and waste the money.

I heard Senator MCCAIN, the chairman of the Armed Services Committee, denouncing his colleagues for abandoning the regular appropriations process. He knows the problems it will create for the U.S. military.

Senator MIKULSKI, the vice chairwoman of the Appropriations Committee, has called it “absolutely outrageous.” She called it “procrastinating” instead of “legislating.” I agree with her.

Another 4-month continuing resolution is completely unnecessary, not to mention outrageous, wasteful, and irresponsible. It can still be avoided. Speaking for State and foreign operations, we can complete our conference agreement in less than 1 week. We are perfectly willing to work into the evenings to do that. I suspect the other subcommittees could do the same or close to it. Certainly, we could finish these bills before Christmas.

So why don’t we? That is what the Republican leadership said they wanted. That is what regular order is. That is how the Congress is supposed to work. We should do it. We ought to show the American people, for once, that we will actually do the job we were elected to do. That is what this Vermonter wants. I hope others would also.

I yield the floor.

RECESS

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate stands in recess until 2:15 p.m.

Thereupon, the Senate, at 12:51 p.m., recessed until 2:15 p.m. and reassembled when called to order by the Presiding Officer (Mr. PORTMAN).

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Florida.

Mr. NELSON. Mr. President, I want to talk about something we all hear about and generally support—that the National Institutes of Health needs help. It was founded in 1873. Its work and investments in the work of others have led to countless discoveries, including in Alzheimer’s disease, cancer, and so many other chronic illnesses.

I visited this 300-acre campus in Bethesda, and it is jam-packed with buildings that are teeming with scientists and physicians. Yet that is just the tip of the iceberg because research is being conducted all over the country—indeed, all over the world—by the medical research grants that are given by NIH. This funded research has led to many discoveries that not only are allowing us to live healthier lives but also contribute to our knowledge and understanding of how diseases and the human body work. Take, for example, the BRAIN Initiative. NIH seeks to unravel the mysteries of the vastly complex human brain, which could allow us to understand an array of conditions affecting the brain.

When I visited yesterday, I met with Dr. Francis Collins, the head of NIH, and a plethora of his brilliant scientists who are working on neurodegenerative diseases—diseases such as concussions, ALS, Parkinson’s, and all the many complicated things that come from this complicated organ called the brain. Well, they are on the verge of some real breakthroughs, but that comes at a cost. Dr. Collins stressed the need for consistent, robust funding for NIH.

In 2003, funding for NIH peaked and has since failed to keep up with inflation. In 2009 we came along with a stimulus bill that increased funding for NIH for only 2 years by approximately $4 or $5 billion a year over its base funding of $24 to $25 billion a year. I will never forget Dr. Collins told us—after the effect of that second year of the stimulus bill—that he had to cease 700 medical research grants sent out to the medical schools and research institutions all across the country because he simply did not have the money they had planned for, and thus there is the call for consistent and robust funding. Dr. Collins mentioned that the agency’s biggest concern was a loss of young researchers. As the next generation of researchers is increasingly being denied research grants, they are leaving the research field. I don’t think that is what this Nation wants. We need to ensure that
NIH maintains a strong pipeline of researchers so that the critical work toward scientific discovery can continue.

This is not a partisan issue. Health and disease research is a bipartisan issue, and so we need to come together to support and fund it. Even now, NIH is engaged in developing a prevention tool against the disease that was the dominant conversation last summer—the Zika virus. They are going into their first trials on a vaccine. Zika has affected more than 1,000 people in the State of Florida alone and more than 30,000 people in Puerto Rico. We need a vaccine, but the process of FDA trials takes time.

Now, just to prove that it is not confined to Puerto Rico and Florida, just yesterday the State of Texas reported the first case of locally transmitted Zika virus, which now makes it the second State to officially have local transmission after the State of Florida. The head of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Dr. Frieden, said that Zika could become endemic within our U.S. border, making it more important now than ever to have the Zika vaccine. That is just one other little example of what has been going on at NIH.

We are just about to consider a Cures bill, which has some more robust funding. The whole impetus for the Cures bill was NIH funding. A lot of other things have been attached. There is some controversy, but it would begin to authorize funding that would be stable over a 10-year period. If the United States is going to continue to be looked at as the leader of medical research around the world, we are going to have to provide for the funds for this great institution. We have already seen major breakthroughs in our lifetime, and this funding will help us to see some new incredible breakthroughs accomplished. You have heard of the Moonshot for cancer research. There are the existing victories that have already been had in cancer research. We are now just on the cusp. What about diseases where we don’t have a cure, such as ALS, or amyotrophic lateral sclerosis?

A big reason for my making this speech is for my friend Evan in Jacksonville. He is afflicted with this disease that affects the body’s motor nerves. There is something that happens that does not send the signals all the way through the neurological system to the motor nerves. We first identified that in a famous basketball player, Lou Gehrig. There are 20,000 to 30,000 people in the country afflicted with this disease. We still don’t know the reason for it nor have a cure, but yesterday I talked to three different physician scientists who have very promising leads for identifying a gene that has a direct connection to what happens in the brain when someone has ALS. They are trying to determine whether we could go in and clip out that gene so that our progeny would not have this concern.

We have seen what has happened in Alzheimer’s. Did you see the 60 Minutes segment last Sunday in which there is this incredible space in Colombia, near Medellin, within a 100-mile diameter, where so many families get the onset of Alzheimer’s during their forties, which is what they had—they had now identified a protein in the brain where, if you now know the gene that causes that protein, you could go ahead and alert people of the disease, and even though the effects of Alzheimer’s start to develop, you could start a therapy that would work against that protein in the brain. They are right on the cusp of all these kinds of exciting discoveries that can help us to live healthier, longer lives.

I implore my colleagues in the Senate not to short-sheet the NIH and the funding that it so desperately needs.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from California.

ELECTORAL COLLEGE

Mrs. BOXER. Mr. President, I rise today to discuss legislation I introduced to elect an Electoral College and ensure that the candidate who wins the most votes will be elected President. Clearly, this has nothing to do with this past election. There are recounts going on, and we will see where that will end. The bottom line is that this looks to the future.

The Presidency is the only office in America where the candidate who wins the most votes can still lose the election. There isn’t any elected office in the Nation, be it county, city, State, or national level, where so many families get the election results segment last Sunday in which Alzheimer’s. Did you see the 60 Minutes?

OK. Maybe that is true. Maybe that is true. His point is well-taken. I don’t agree with too much of what Donald Trump says, but I sure agree with that. He said, “The electoral college is a disaster for democracy.” I couldn’t agree more. I don’t agree with too much of what Donald Trump says, but I sure agree with that. He said, “The electoral college is a disaster for democracy.”

After the election, his views did not change: “You know, I’m not going to change my mind just because I won. But I would rather see where you want simple votes.”

These are all quotes of his.

“I know, you get 100 million votes and somebody else gets 90 million votes and you win.”

After he said that, I think his advisors gave him a lot of nuts because by the next morning, he tweeted that the electoral college system was “actually genius.” Then he also tweeted this, which was very interesting: “If the election were based on the total popular vote, I would have campaigned in New York, Florida, and California and won even bigger and more easily.”

OK. Maybe that is true. Maybe that is true. His point is well-taken.

Presidential candidates should campaign in every single State. Actually, if we got rid of the electoral college, candidates would have to campaign in every State because the vote of every American would matter regardless of where they live. If you get all the popular vote in one State, you will add to your popular vote at the end.

According to nationalpopularvote.com, 94 percent of campaigning by the Presidential candidates in 2016 took place in 12 States—12 States. That was it. Two-thirds of all general election campaign events took place in six States.

In 2015 Gov. Scott Walker of Wisconsin said: “The nation as a whole is not going to elect the next president. Twelve states are.” Just think about that. “The nation as a whole is not going to elect the next president.”

He was right when he said that in 2015. He was right.

So what message does that send to the people who live in peripheral States, like my State, where 39 million Americans live? What message does that send to the 27 million Americans who live in Texas? What message does
that send to the smaller States, like North Dakota and Rhode Island, where the candidates don’t even bother to campaign for the votes because they are either blue or red? They are not purple, so they don’t matter. No wonder voter turnout was just 58 percent in this election. Too many Americans don’t believe their vote matters because they are told: Oh, you live in a red State. It is going to Trump. Even if you are for Trump, just stay home.

It is ridiculous. Maybe that person really wanted to vote, but they are convinced that if they live in a bright red State like Alabama, they don’t have to vote because it is going for Trump, and if they are for Hillary Clinton and they live in a reliably blue State, they may think: Well, you know what, I am not interested. Why should I bother? My State is blue. What is the difference?

So we have a 58-percent voter turnout. It is altogether ridiculous. Political scientists agree that too many Americans feel their vote doesn’t count. It just doesn’t count.

Listen to Doug McAdam, professor of sociology at Stanford University, who asked, “What about all those citizens who live in noncompetitive states?” He makes his point:

“Consider the loyal Republican who lives in California or the stalwart Mississippi Democrat? Every four years, voting for them is an exercise in political powerlessness, at least when it comes to the presidential race.”

What is the difference? Hillary is going to win by so much. Don’t worry about it.

But if we were using the popular vote, believe me, every Republican would get out and every Democrat would get out and every Independent would get out because their vote would count.

Every 4 years, a lot of people in different States feel their vote doesn’t matter. They feel powerless when it comes to the Presidential race—the only race in the country where the winner doesn’t win, maybe. The winner doesn’t win. It is crazy. I looked all over to find another example where the winner doesn’t win, maybe. The winner doesn’t win, whatever it is, if they are about 11 or 12, explain what happened.

I know changing the system won’t be easy. I have been around a long time. I have spent more than half of my life in politics in elected office. So we understand that the legislation would need to be enacted by Congress and would only take effect after being ratified by three-quarters of the States within 7 years after its passage. This is very difficult. This is a constitutional amendment. So I am not naive, and I understand what we are talking about.

But there is another way to address this; it is called the National Popular Vote plan. It would guarantee that the Presidential candidate who gets the most votes wins the election and be the President, whether it is Donald Trump getting the most votes or Hillary Clinton getting the most votes, etc. It is very simple. All it requires is for enough States to agree to the Interstate compact where the States would agree to award their electoral votes to the Presidential candidate who wins the popular vote.

So if California, where we have a number of electoral votes, if Donald Trump wins, they go to Donald Trump regardless of how our State voted. In other words, the votes are counted and then the States give their electoral votes to the popular vote—pretty simple. So you still have the electoral college, but the result is that the votes are given to the person who wins the national popular vote. The agreement takes effect only once the States that account for a majority of the electoral votes and half of the States sign on to the agreement. It is rare. We might have to have a constitutional amendment to set that aside, here we are on the same side.

“Now one should become president of the United States without speaking to the needs and hopes of Americans in all 50 States. ...”

Newt Gingrich said Medicare should wither on the vine. He called Democrats traitors. Believe me, I served with him, I know. And his ethical standards don’t meet what I think the standards should be. Believe me, whether that aside, here we are on the same side.

“Now one should become president of the United States without speaking to the needs and hopes of Americans in all 50 States. ...”

I urge my colleagues to take a close look at the legislation I have introduced, and I urge State legislators and Governors around the country to take a close look at the National Popular Vote bill.

Again, I am going to be honest, it is really hard to pass a constitutional amendment. I am not going to do it. But to pass a law in various States isn’t that hard. That should be done. The American people can help. I ask them to call their Senators and Members of Congress about our bill. There is a bill in the House being introduced by CHARLIE RANGEL to do away with the electoral college—very simple—and just let the popular vote stand. Ask them to sign on to this bill, but don’t stop there. Write and call your representatives in the State house and push for your State to sign on to the Interstate compact.

A lot of people have come up to me after this election and said: You know, I don’t feel my voice is heard, period. This is one of the reasons. Well, make your voice heard on either getting rid of the electoral college or the State compact where the State would give its votes to the winner of the national popular vote. Voting is the cornerstone of democracy. We have had men and women through the decades die for the right to vote. Many generations of Americans of every gender, race, religion, and ideology have marched and struggled and died to secure this fundamental freedom. Yet we have a system where the winner can lose.

We owe it to the American people who have given so much for the right to vote to make sure that every vote matters and every vote counts. We owe it to them to ensure that the vote of a citizen in my State is worth the same as a vote of someone in a swing State. We owe it to every Republican voter and every Democratic voter and every Independent voter, every Green Party voter—whatever the party—to have that vote count. One person, one vote is the cornerstone of democracy.

By making this critical change where the winner of the popular vote wins and the citizen of voter counts regardless of who they are, where they live, whether they are a Republican, Democrat, or a decline-to-state or Green or
whatever party they choose, we would then be engaging voters in every single State. We will lift voter turnouts. We will ensure that every Presidential candidate speaks to the needs of Americans in every State and every region. We will ensure equal representation for all.

You know, sometimes I come down here and I talk about issues that are very controversial. I must tell you, if you ask anyone on the street “Do you think the winner of the popular vote should be the President?” I would say a very strong majority would say “Of course.” If you ask them “Do you know of any office in the land, whether it is Governor, mayor, supervisor, city council, sewer board, sanitation district, you name it, where the winner doesn’t win?” they will say “No, I can’t think of any.” You know what, there are none. So why not do the simple thing and the right thing and the just thing and make sure that the winner of the year is sworn in as President. I think this will be a huge boon for every single voter in this greatest of all countries.

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Maryland.

IRAN SANCTIONS EXTENSION BILL

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, I noticed the majority leader has given us all notice that, after consultation with the Democratic leader, he intends to bring up the Iran Sanctions Act, H.R. 6297. I point out that this legislation passed the House of Representatives by a vote of 419 to 1.

It is legislation that would extend the Iran Sanctions Act that was passed by this Congress that is set to expire at the end of this year. Let me repeat that. The Iran Sanctions Act, which was enacted originally in 1996—if no action is taken by the end of the session, that sanction authorization legislation would expire.

This is our last opportunity to extend the Iran Sanctions Act before it is scheduled to expire at the end of December. It was passed in 1996 by a unanimous vote of this body. Its goal was to deny Iran the ability to be able to have financial support for its nuclear proliferation. Congress had passed several bills that provided sanctions and authorized the administration to impose sanctions in order to get Iran to change its behavior, its illegal activities in pursuing a nuclear weapon, which was against U.S. security interests, destabilizing for the entire region, threatened Israel, threatened the neighboring states. It was, I think, the unanimous view of our body that we had to take whatever steps were possible to prevent Iran from becoming a nuclear weapon power.

The legislation we passed, including the Iran Sanctions Act, allowed the Obama administration to move forward with sanctions against Iran, and they rigorously enforced the sanctions they imposed. I want to acknowledge the work done by the Obama administration in enforcing those sanctions that we gave our authorization to impose.

But the Obama administration went further than that. They then garnered international support to impose and support the sanctions that we had imposed in the United States, which was strong enough to get Iran to recognize that they had to come to the negotiating table. Clearly, the sanctions were the motivating factor that allowed for the negotiations of the nuclear agreement that was agreed to 2 years ago.

This legislation is pretty simple. It extends for 10 years the Iran Sanctions Act that was used by the administration and in which we have a temporary—we have relief granted under that law as long as Iran is in compliance with the nuclear agreement. The nuclear agreement, JCPOA, specifically provides for snapback of sanctions in the event that Iran violates the provisions of the agreement.

In order to have snapbacks, you have to have the sanction regime in place. Therefore, it is incumbent upon us to have the sanction authorization. This does not impose any new sanctions on Iran. That it does not. It is not in violation of the JCPOA. It just allows us to have effective enforcement to make sure Iran complies with their commitments. I want to underscore that point. During the Senate Foreign Relations Committee hearing, I had a chance to ask the administration’s witness, Secretary Lew, that specific question. I asked Secretary Lew—this question was asked July 23, 2015. I said to the witness:

The Iran Sanctions Act expires at the end of 2016. We will still be in the JCPOA a period of time where snapback of sanctions is a viable hedge against cheating. Congress may well want to extend that law so that power is available immediately if Iran were to violate the agreement. Is that permitted under the JCPOA?

The answer from Secretary Lew:

I think that if it is on expiration, it is one thing. If it is well in advance, it is another. I think the idea of coming out of the box right now is very different from what you would do when it expires.

Well, we are doing exactly what the administration asked us to do. We have held off for over—now it has been over 15 months, 16 months that we have held off on the authority to extend the Iran Sanctions Act. If we don’t take action now, the authority given by Congress in the 1996 act, which would empower the snapbacks if needed, would not be available. So it is timely for us to act. It is totally consistent with the JCPOA and not to extend the authority here.

I think the administration is looking as an international leader, bringing the international community together to isolate Iran, that the United States would be isolating the United States. That is not in our national security interest.

So what should we do? Well, as I said earlier, the first step is to pass H.R. 6297 so that we have all the tools in place. Secondly, let us all join together to rigorously enforce the Iran agreement, the JCPOA. We need to do that.

We need to make sure that every part of that agreement is adhered to, including making sure Iran never becomes a nuclear weapons state. We need to continue the use of sanctions on Iran’s nonnuclear nefarious activities.

They are still a sponsor of terrorism. We all know that. I was recently in the Middle East. I had the opportunity to talk to a lot of our strategic partners. They tell me about Iran’s activities in their region, how they are supporting efforts to destabilize other sovereign states in the Middle East. They are supporting terrorism.

We also know that they have expanded their ballistic program. That is in contravention to their international obligations. We can impose sanctions and continue to strengthen sanctions place. Secondly, let us all join together to rigorously enforce the JCPOA. This does not impose any new sanctions on Iran. This does not impose any new sanctions on Iran. It extends the sanction regime in place.

I urge the administration to rigorously enforce the sanctions that were imposed in the United States, which was strong enough to get Iran to recognize that they had to come to the negotiating table. Clearly, the sanctions were the motivating factor that allowed for the negotiations of the nuclear agreement that was agreed to 2 years ago.

This legislation is pretty simple. It extends for 10 years the Iran Sanctions Act that was used by the administration and in which we have a temporary—we have relief granted under that law as long as Iran is in compliance with the nuclear agreement. The nuclear agreement, JCPOA, specifically provides for snapback of sanctions in the event that Iran violates the provisions of the agreement.

In order to have snapbacks, you have to have the sanction regime in place. Therefore, it is incumbent upon us to have the sanction authorization. This does not impose any new sanctions on Iran. That it does not. It is not in violation of the JCPOA. It just allows us to have effective enforcement to make sure Iran complies with their commitments. I want to underscore that point. During the Senate Foreign Relations Committee hearing, I had a chance to ask the administration’s witness, Secretary Lew, that specific question. I asked Secretary Lew—this question was asked July 23, 2015. I said to the witness:

The Iran Sanctions Act expires at the end of 2016. We will still be in the JCPOA a period of time where snapback of sanctions is a viable hedge against cheating. Congress may well want to extend that law so that power is available immediately if Iran were to violate the agreement. Is that permitted under the JCPOA?

The answer from Secretary Lew:

I think that if it is on expiration, it is one thing. If it is well in advance, it is another. I think the idea of coming out of the box right now is very different from what you would do when it expires.

Well, we are doing exactly what the administration asked us to do. We have held off for over—now it has been over 15 months, 16 months that we have held off on the authority to extend the Iran Sanctions Act. If we don’t take action now, the authority given by Congress in the 1996 act, which would empower the snapbacks if needed, would not be available. So it is timely for us to act. It is totally consistent with the JCPOA and not to extend the authority here.
It provides more information to the Congress on how the sanction relief resources are being used by Iran so that we can track the money. If they use it to support terrorism against the United States or they use it against our interests, yes, we would be able to know about that and take action.

It provides for expedited considerations if Iran commits these types of violations. It makes it very clear that we will continue to work on a regional security strategy so that our partners in the region know that the United States will continue to be on their side against the aggression that we have seen from the Iranian regime. To me, that is the responsible action for us to take in order to carry out what should be U.S. leadership in isolating Iran, getting it to change its behavior, recognizing that it has been a major problem for the security of the United States in the region, and we must continue to be actively engaged.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. LANKFORD). The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for such time as I may require.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

21ST CENTURY CURES BILL

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, tomorrow the U.S. House of Representatives will vote on a piece of legislation that many in this body on both sides of the aisle have worked on and that the majority leader of the Senate has described as the most important piece of legislation that will pass this year. We call it the 21st Century Cures Act, and it includes three mental health reform acts—the most significant reforms in mental health programs in 10 years.

I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD at the conclusion of my remarks the more than 200 organizations from all across the country supporting the 21st Century Cures legislation.

Why would the majority leader say it is the most important legislation the Senate might act on—because we do a lot of important stuff around here, whether it is Defense authorization, whether it is cyber security, whether it is the bill to fix No Child Left Behind that we passed in a bipartisan way last December. I think it is because this legislation will affect virtually every American family because we are entering the most exciting period of medical research in our country. That is the first part of it.

The second part, which has to do with mental health, affects so many families. We know that about one out of every five adult Americans suffers from some form of mental illness. This concentrates a large amount of money we actually spend on mental health programs every year from the Federal Government and spends it in a more effective way to actually help people.

In the next few minutes, I would like to acquaint the Senate again with how we have gone about this and remind the Senate that I have had a hand in this legislation. It is a remarkable 2 years of work that has involved many, many, many hearings, dozens of meetings, and that has been done in a large committee of 22 Senators of very different points of view in a largely bipartisan way.

I will summarize. The first thing I would mention, the legislation includes $6.3 billion of funding and $1 billion of that is for State opioid grants. Whether opioids can help people. We know that, but it is addictive and it is causing problems. What if we had non-addictive pain medicine? So this bill helps in two ways. The most immediate way is to provide State grants—Federal dollars to go to States—over the next 2 years to help States fight opioid abuse.

The other way it helps, when we get to the part about 21st Century Cures, is that Dr. Francis Collins, head of the National Institutes of Health—Dr. Collins calls it the "National Institutes of Hope"—says that one of the groundbreaking discoveries we expect to happen in this country is a non-addictive pain medicine. The problem with opioids is, they are addictive. Now, people need it. If you have a back surgery or if you have terrible pain, you desperately need it. We know that, but it is addictive and it is causing problems. What if we had non-addictive pain medicine? So this bill helps in two ways.

There is other funding in this legislation: $1.8 billion to the National Institutes of Health. The first 1.8 billion of that is for Cancer Moonshot. This is Vice President BIDEN’s initiative. He is motivated for many reasons by it. His son died of cancer. Many of us have family members or friends with cancer. There are startling discoveries going on in cancer today. This is $1.8 billion in support of the Vice President’s Cancer Moonshot.

Then there is $1.4 billion for the Precision Medicine Initiative. This is one of President Obama’s most important initiatives. I know he has said that very realistically he expects it to happen anyway, but he would like to move it along, just as we would like to move it as fast as possible. What this means is that if the Senator from Oklahoma and I each have a disease, that because of our genetic background, the medicine we might get for that disease should be different. If we actually know that, the two of us, the doctor can prescribe for it. That is called personalized medicine or precision medicine.

Then there is $1.6 billion for the BRAIN Initiative. This includes groundbreaking research in Alzheimer’s, for example. I talked to one drug manufacturer that has spent more than $1 billion trying to develop a medication that will slow Alzheimer’s before it shows symptoms and then another medication that will slow the progression of Alzheimer’s. Imagine what could happen in our country if, for the tens of millions of Americans who are going to Alzheimer’s—Alzheimer’s, we could find that out before they actually have the symptoms and we can then slow down the progression of Alzheimer’s. That is why the suffering that would help. Think of the billions of dollars it would save. This is for that kind of research. Dr. Collins says that during this next 10 years, he expects that we will be able to identify individuals at high risk for Alzheimer’s before any symptoms appear and provide them with effective medicines to slow or prevent the disease.
bill, but we have done it this time because this is an unusual opportunity, and we have done it in a way that Speaker RYAN and the House of Representatives believe is financially responsible. That means it doesn’t add any new mandatory spending. That kind of spending is not going to wash up on the roof so it doesn’t do that. It means it is paid for. That means we have reduced other spending to pay for it. When we look at the entire budget, it doesn’t add a penny to the entire budget—what we are doing is a mandatory part—because it is paid for by reducing other spending.

We have set priorities, we have done our job, and the Appropriations Committee has done its job in consecutive years, approving $20 billion more over 10 years for the National Institutes of Health and will add another 5 here just to the National Institutes of Health.

Let’s talk about the bipartisan nature of this bill. I am going to go through it quickly. For those watching, I think it is important to see this because sometimes when bills are popular—and I think this one will be popular. Everyone says: Well, that is easy. Tomorrow, the House of Representatives will vote on the 21st Century Cures bill. It includes the mental health bill—that I will describe in just a minute. I think it will be on suspension, which means they expect a big vote over there. I expect a big vote over there, and don’t expect many Senators would want to vote no on a $1 billion grant program that will fight opioid abuse in their home State. I don’t think there will be a lot of Senators who want to vote no on more money to fight cancer and to help the Vice President with the Cancer Moonshot. I suspect there will be a lot of Senators who want to vote yes to help the President advance his precision medicine legacy. I know there are families affected by Alzheimer’s all over the country. Senator Murray’s bill for the BRAIN Initiative. I imagine we will get a big vote when it comes up next Monday and Tuesday, after the House passes it tomorrow, but as we put this bill together, there was plenty of controversy, there was plenty of conflict, but virtually everything we did was bipartisan.

The money I just described is certainly bipartisan—the President’s initiative, the Vice President’s initiative, the opioid initiative. That is bipartisan, but look at the bills we are talking about.

Here is one called the Advanced Targeted Therapies, which allows researchers to use their own data from previously approved therapies to help find a faster treatment for serious genetic diseases—Senator BENNET, Democrat; Senator WARREN, Democrat; Senator BURR, Republican; Senator HATCH, Republican—and it passed by voice vote.

I am very quickly going to go through 19 different bills that are the core of the 21st Century Cures legislation. They came out of our committee which has 22 Members, and the largest number of recorded votes against any one of those 19 bills was two because every single one of these bills had a Democratic sponsor and a Republican sponsor, except for one, and that was Senator MURRAY’s bill, and she is the ranking Democrat on our committee. So don’t let anyone suggest that a bill that has $6.3 billion of appropriations, that include Democratic priorities and bipartisan priorities, and the core of it is the 19 bills that we have taken that has a Democratic sponsor for every single bill and that was approved by a 22-member committee and only had two recorded votes against it was the most that was against it—don’t let anybody say this is not a bipartisan bill. Anyone who says that simply hasn’t spent the time to be involved in the process.

Let’s go to the next one. BURR and FRANKEN, Republican and Democrat, advancing Precision Medicine. It will bring innovative devices like artificial knees and insulin pumps to patients more quickly by getting rid of unnecessary regulations.

One of the major things we need to do—and it is all in this bill—is to speed up bringing cures and discoveries through the regulatory process more quickly and at less cost. All of us are concerned about the price of drugs. One factor contributing to that cost is that it takes a billion dollars and 13 or 15 years to take a new discovery through the process. We would like to shorten that process as long as we can do it in a way that ensures that it is safe.

The next one is called the Next Generation Researchers Act—Senator BALDWIN, Democrat; Senator COLLINS, Republican. It improves opportunities for our young researchers. It was passed by voice vote. That means there was no objection.

The next one is called the Enhancing Rehabilitation Research Act at the National Institutes of Health—Kirk, Republican; BENNET, Democrat; HATCH, Republican; MURKOWSKI, Republican; Republicans ISASKSON and COLLINS. Enhancing Rehabilitation Research was passed by voice vote.

Neurological Diseases Research. Here we have ISASKSON and MURPHY, Republican and Democrat, advancing Research for Neurological Diseases.

The next one is called the Medical Countermeasure Innovation Act. This is very important. Senators Burr and Cassidy are among leaders in dealing with medical countermeasures. These are in case there is a bioterror attack, anthrax—some kind of man-made or naturally-occurring problem like that. Are we ready to deal with that? This helps to do that.

There are just a few more. Some will say: Why are you going on for so long? Because I would like for people to know when it happens that this Senate is capable of taking a great big, complex subject, and Democrats and Republicans are capable of working together to produce a result that deserves a big vote.

The Combination Products Innovation Act. This helps to bring to the market a products that are made up of medical devices and medicines. There is a bill by WICKER, BENNET, COLLINS, KLOBUCAR, ISASKSON, and FRANKEN on Patient Focused Impact Assessment. There is one to modernize the FDA and streamline its work. Dr. Califf told us that his biggest problem at the FDA is that he can’t hire all the people he needs to deal with all of the exciting things important to precision medicine, to personalized medicine, because if you can’t use all this data, a doctor is not going to prescribe something for the Senator from Oklahoma that is different from something for the Senator from Tennessee.

That the electronic medical records system was a mess. We had six hearings on it, and we worked with the Obama administration because they could do some things to fix it and we could do some things to fix it. I thank Secretary Burwell in the Obama administration—I thank her and Andy Slavitt at CMS for the efforts they have made to do what they could do. And these are the things that we could do. Senator MURRAY was involved, Senator CASSIDY, Senator WHITEHOUSE, Senator HATCH, Senator BENNET. It was a bipartisan effort to reduce physician documentation burden—electronic health records to make it more interoperable and to get this system moving again.

Advancing Breakthrough Medical Devices. One of the great successes we have had in legislation was a few years ago when Senator BENNET and Senator BURR, among others, introduced a bill that brought breakthrough medicines through the Food and Drug Administration more rapidly. More than 49 have been approved and 461 requests for breakthrough designation in about 4 or 5 years. We are applying that same breakthrough strategy to medical devices. Of course, we have bipartisan support for that.

The Advancing Hope Act. If you are a parent of a child with a rare disease, such as brain cancer, this increases the opportunity that the drugs will help.

Medical Electronic Data Technology. We had Senator BENNET, Democrat; Senator HATCH, Republican.

Medical Countermeasure Innovation Act. This is very important. Senators Burr and Cassidy are among leaders in dealing with medical countermeasures. These are in case there is a bioterror attack, anthrax—some kind of man-made or naturally-occurring problem like that. Are we ready to deal with that? This helps to do that.
going on. This gives him new authority to do that. Everybody thinks that would be an important thing to do. It was approved by voice vote.

Advancing Precision Medicine. This is legislation that I introduced and supported by the President’s Precision Medicine Initiative, which I have talked about before.

There is other legislation that went through. The point of all of this is that 19 different bills are the core of this 21st Century Cures Act. The most rec-orded number of votes against this bill was two, and every single one was the 21st Century Cures Act. The most re-19 different bills are the core of this talked about before.

Medicine Initiative, which I have supported the President’s Precision

able to add to the bill the Mental Health Reform Act. Actually, we include three mental health bills, and to-gether they make up the most signifi-cant mental health programs that we have had in more than a dec-a.de. I want to give particular credit to Senator MURPHY, Democrat, and to Senator CASSIDY, Republican, for work-ing together through some real land-mines to get this to a place where it can move through both chambers from almost unani-mously and where it will be a part of the bill that we will vote on next week.

I want to thank the majority whip, Senator CORNYN, who also added an im-portant judicial part to this legislation and that we navigate some difficult issues. In other words, these Senators showed that they know how to legis-late. They could have stood up and made a speech. They could have insis-ted on doing things exactly their way, but they said to look for the area where we might agree on 80 percent of the policy and let’s agree that.

This is one of those bills. Look at the number of Republicans and Democrats who have passed that. Here is the sec-ond mental health bill we are talking about. You can see the number of Sen-ators. I have taken some time to go through the legislation that will be coming to the Senate early next week and that will be voted on tomorrow in the House of Representatives. I do think it likely represents, as the ma-jority leader has suggested, the most important piece of legislation that we could act on this year. Because it a-fects virtually every American family, Forbes reported that 78 per-cent of the American people favored Congress taking action on medical inno-vation because they have heard people like Dr. Francis Collins, the head of the National Institutes of Health, talk about within the next 10 years having a Zika vaccine and HIV/AIDS vaccines identifying Alzheimer’s before symp-toms appear and slow its progression, an artificial pancreas for those with diab-etes, and a non-addictive type of pain medicine.

There was magnificent opportunities for us. We have strong leadership at the National Institutes of Health. We have put our money where our mouth is. It is true that we will have to ap-prove it every year, and it is true that we had to reduce other spending in order to have this spending, but that is the way we are supposed to do things. What we have done is take a bipar-tisan core of bills; we worked hard for two years in a bipartisan way and pro-duced a result that had very few “no” votes along the way. It includes Democ-ratic priorities as well as Republican priorities. It has the avid interest of the Democratic President of the United States, the President of the United States. It is a part of the agenda forward in health care for the Republican Speaker of the House, and the Republican majority leader in the Senate says it is the most important bill we are going to act on.

I would think that would get a big vote tomorrow in the House, and I would think it deserves a big vote in the U.S. Senate next week. It has been my privilege to work with Senator MURPHY, a member of the Committee on Health, Education, and Labor to produce the bill.

I yield the floor.

There being no objection, the mate-rial ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

ORGANIZATIONS SUPPORTING 21ST CENTURY CURSES


Coalition of 217 rare disease foundations: AKU Society, North America, Alpha-1 Foundation, ALS Association, Alternating Hemiplegia of Childhood Foundation, American Béchet’s Disease Association (ABDA), American Brain Tumor Association, American Multiple Endocrine Neoplasia Support (AMEN Support), Association for Frontotemporal Degeneration (AFTD), Association for the Treatment of Motility Disorders, Inc. (AGMD), Association for Glycogen Storage Disease, Batten Disease Support and Research Association, BCC Nevus Syndrome Foundation, BBH Alliance, Children’s PKU Network.


Mr. ALEXANDER. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

Mr. ALEXANDER. Mr. President, without objection, it is so ordered.

FILLING THE SUPREME COURT VACANCY

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, earlier this year the Republican leadership made a somewhat controversial deci-sion, but when you think about it, it shouldn’t have been all that controversial. It was to allow the American people, by their selection for the next President of the United States, to express their views about whom ought to be
nominated to the vacancy left by the untimely death of Justice Antonin Scalia. This is not an easy decision, but the fact remains that the Supreme Court considers rules on some of the most pressing, challenging questions of our time. It does some very important things and construes the Constitution. They are the final word. It also guarantees liberty by the separation of powers and enforcing the Bill of Rights and the like.

It is easy to exaggerate to say that the Supreme Court affects the lives of every man, woman, and child in our country, and it is obviously a truism that the people who occupy those seats will have a very clear impact on the future direction of not only the Court but our country.

We have to consider lifetime appointments carefully. As Justice Scalia liked to say during his lifetime, why in the world should people trust non-elected judges to make value judgments, that is, substitute their judgment for the views of the duly elected Members of Congress who represent the American people and who are politically accountable? That is why he said judges ought to take a rather deferential view of their role, under the Constitution. I agree with him.

The role of the judiciary is not to say what the law should be but, rather, what the law actually is. Unfortunately, the Supreme Court of the United States has become such a controversial place in large part because of its tendency to substitute its value judgments for those of the American people or to read into the Constitution words that nobody found in the last 200 years, but miraculously somehow they sprung up with new meaning, resulting in the creation of a new constitutional life that nobody ever dreamed existed before.

It is true that the Supreme Court plays an essential function in our government, and there was simply too much at stake not to let the American people, through their selection of the next President, have a say. Well, suffice it to say, 3 weeks removed from election day, it is clear that we heard their voice. I think by the selection of Donald Trump as the next President of the United States, the American people clearly realized that even though the Supreme Court wasn’t on the ballot, the person who selected the next Supreme Court Justice—perhaps the next two or three—was clearly on the ballot, and there was a clear difference between those choices. I think people realized that Secretary Clinton would likely appoint more judges in the tradition of people like Justice Ginsburg and Justice Sotomayor, people who demonstrated their record of being willing to take some license with the Constitution and the laws and basically rewrite them in their own image. I think the American people knew they were choosing between activist judges who essentially operated as unelected legislators wearing black robes or judges who believed in the more traditional role for the judiciary—judges who actually interpret the written words on the page passed by the Congress and signed into law to shape the law or the Constitution itself. I believe that is how our Founding Fathers intended our separation of powers to work.

The judiciary is not supposed to be a substitute for the political branches; it is supposed to represent a check and balance to make sure that the laws that are passed do not violate the Constitution as written and that the laws that are passed are faithfully carried out according to the words in the statute.

I, for one, look forward to considering President-Elect Trump’s nominee to the Supreme Court in due time. Since I have been in the Senate, I have had the privilege of participating in the nomination and confirmation of four Justices to the U.S. Supreme Court. As members of the Judiciary Committee, we are at ground zero in that process. Chairman Grassley is already preparing, along with members of the committee, to receive the nomination of President-Elect Trump. We don’t know whom he will nominate to the Court yet, but he has given the American people a pretty good idea of the type of jurist he would nominate. I think that is one of the reasons millions of Americans voted for him. They wanted an administration committed to the Constitution, and the nomination process reified in the list of men and women President-Elect Trump circulated as potential nominees to the Court.

Now that we have heard from the American people, I have to go through the confirmation process once again. I am sure it will be a rigorous contest of ideas. I am sure there will be a lot of different views expressed, and that is OK. But in the end, I am committed to the President-Elect Trump’s nominee to the Supreme Court. I am optimistic that it will be somebody in the tradition of Justice Scalia, somebody who believes in upholding the rule of law in the country.

Having been a member of the State judiciary for 13 years, I have some pretty strong views on this topic. If people want to take on the role of a policymaker, I believe they ought to run for Congress or the state assembly or maybe run for President. They shouldn’t seek to be a judge on the Federal court or in the court system because that is not primarily a policymaking role. It is important but perhaps less exciting in some ways or at least is a less visible way of interpreting the Constitution and the laws passed by Congress. That is important and straightforward enough, but it is important that the people who are elected to be judges understand what their important but limited role is under our constitutional government.

As I said, we need a Justice like the late Justice Scalia, who believed that the words in the Constitution matter. We need a Justice who brings some sense of humility to the bench. That is a very important quality. I remember Chief Justice Roberts talking about the importance of humility when it comes to the job of judging. When one has a lifetime tenure job and can’t be removed from office except by impeachment, that gives them a lot of latitude to do things that perhaps more humility would dictate that we not do. So we need people of good character, people with the requisite qualifications and experience and with the right judicial philosophy, I believe. We need a Justice who will fight for the Court to take its proper role as a check against executive or legislative overreach, but it ought to be constrained by the words of the Constitution as written and by the words in the legislation Congress has passed. There is no justification under our Constitution for a non-elected, unelected judge to simply view their position as license to do what they want or substitute their opinion for that of the elected representatives of the people.

I am optimistic we will be able to move forward with President-Elect Trump’s nominee to fill the bench and will soon be up to full speed of nine Justices. Through President Obama’s tenure, we saw the Senate confirm two of his Justices to the Supreme Court. As I mentioned, those are two of the four nominations in which I have had the pleasure of participating in the confirmation process. President Obama was able to replace two members of the Court.

In recent months, we heard our friends across the aisle say how important it is to fill the vacancy left by the death of Justice Scalia. We know they disagreed with us on our decision to leave that decision to the voters who selected the next President, but I trust they will feel the same way now—that it is important that we fill this bench without undue delay now that the people have spoken.

It is the American people who I believe have made a choice in the type of Justice they want confirmed to the Court. They have determined that what our country needs is a Justice committed to the rule of law and to the Constitution—not politics, not value judgments, but enforcing the law as written. I look forward to helping the new administration deliver that for the American people.

JUSTICE FOR ALL REAUTHORIZATION BILL

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, today the House will take up a piece of legislation known as the Justice for All Reauthorization Act, a bill that will help victims as they seek to restore their lives and will better equip law enforcement to fight some of the most heinous crimes imaginable. This legislation will help put more of the guilty behind
bars and provide key resources to forensic labs across the country while aiming to end the rape kit backlog.

The rape kit backlog in particular has been something that a wonderful woman named Debbie Smith has committed her life to, making sure we provide the resources to local forensic labs that test those rape kits because of the power of DNA and forensic testing. One can literally tell with almost certainty whether the evidence contained in a rape kit matches a DNA sample from a suspected sexual offender. Likewise, one can also exclude the suspect from being the one who provided that forensic DNA sample. In other words, you can exonerate as well as convict people as a result of testing from these rape kits.

Being involved in this issue, we initially heard there were as many as 400,000 untested rape kits in America. Some of them had been tested 20 years after the fact only to find that the sexual assault committed was act of violence or sexual assault but was a serial offender.

There are stories of individual courage on the part of victims of sexual assault who have come forward to tell their story about just the impact of this important elimination of the rape kit backlog. There are cities like Houston—Houston, under the leadership of Mayor Parker, basically said they are going to eliminate the rape kit backlog in Houston on their own, with perhaps some Federal assistance. They were able to identify a number of perpetrators in unsolved crimes because they were able to tell that the DNA in these rape kits matched certain hits on the FBI’s CODIS list, where they maintain the data bank of DNA samples that are matched against those collected from suspects, collected in forensic examination.

Suffice it to say that this legislation will contribute to ending that rape kit backlog, and I believe that is a good enough reason to support it. It will make sure that brave people like Debbie Smith, who years ago suffered a sexual assault and who has made this one of her causes in life—it will make sure that no woman would have to endure what she had to endure, and that is where law enforcement fails to use all the resources available to it to find her assailant and to bring them to justice.

Most importantly, this legislation will also help strengthen victims’ rights and help them pursue their justice in court.

We already passed it once unanimously in the Senate back in June, and I am thankful to the leadership in the House for bringing this bill up in the waning days of the 114th Congress. I look forward to the House bringing up and passing this legislation today and to us taking it up here with any amendments the House may propose and taking it up here I hope by unanimous consent and passing it before we leave for the holidays.

With that, Mr. President, I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded. The PRESIDING OFFICER (Ms. Ayotte). Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to speak for up to 25 minutes in morning business.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Madam President. I started my weekly series of speeches about the dangers of climate change in the spring of 2012. My trusty “Time to Wake Up” sign is getting a little battered, showing some wear and tear, but I am still determined to get us to act on climate before it is too late. The Senator from New Hampshire clearly knows what is going on in her State.

It is long past time to wake up to the industry-controlled campaign of calculated misinformation on the dangers of climate change. Opponents of climate action relish operating in the dark. Their slickest work to undermine science and deny the harmful effects of carbon pollution on human health, natural systems, and the economy is done by hidden hands through front groups. If anything is to change, we first need to acknowledge peer-reviewed science, the expert assessments of our military and national security leaders, and the business case for climate action that iconic American companies are making. But if anything is really going to change, we need to shine a light on the sophisticated scheme of science denial being foisted on the American people.

President Theodore Roosevelt once said “Par and away the best prize that life offers is the chance to work hard at work worth doing.”

We in Congress have the chance to do this worthy work, but big special interests don’t want that to happen. So Congress is drifting toward climate catastrophe, and I keep delivering my weekly remarks—today for the 150th time.

Thankfully, I am not a lone voice. Many colleagues have been speaking out, particularly our ranking member on the Environment and Public Works Committee, Senator BOXER, and one of our Democratic Party’s Presidential contenders, Senator SANDERS. Senator MARKEY has been speaking on climate longer than I have been in the Senate. Senators SCHUMER, NELSON, BLUMENTHAL, SCHATZ, KING, BALDWIN, BROWN, and COONS have each joined me to speak of the effects of carbon pollution on their home States and economies. Our Democratic leader, Senator REID, has pressed the Senate to face up to this challenge, and 18 fellow Democratic colleagues, including climate champs MERKLEY, WARREN, MARKEY, SCHUMER joined me in calling out the industry-controlled, contaminated apparatus deliberately polluting our American discourse with climate science denial.

The climate science that deniers tend to undermine was set off in the 1800s, predating Henry Ford’s first production Model T, predating Thomas Edison’s first light bulb demonstration, and predating the first commercial oil well in the United States. It was 1824, around the time that President Monroe added the South Portico to the White House, that French scientist Joseph Fourier explained that the Earth’s temperature would be much lower if the planet lacked an atmosphere, providing one of the first descriptions of greenhouse warming. In 1896, the year President Lincoln took office, Irish physicist John Tyndall described the trace components of the atmosphere that were responsible for the greenhouse effect, including carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen, and water vapor. In 1861, the year Utah joined the Union, Swedish scientist Svante Arrhenius published the first calculation of global warming due to the addition of carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels.

Thirteen percent of carbon dioxide in the Earth’s atmosphere at that time was 295 parts per million. Today it is 400 parts per million and rising—in deed, rising at a pace not seen for 66 million years. Scientific research continues to demonstrate planetary warming and the many changes that come with it.

I am from the Ocean State, and we can particularly look at the oceans to see the devastating effects of climate change. Of course, we can’t interrupt the denial machine the fossil fuel industry supports rarely talks about oceans. But, remember, that machine doesn’t care about evidence. It just wants to create phony doubt. But there is not much room for doubt in measurements of warming, rising, and acidifying seas, which are measured with everyday thermometers—with yardsticks, essentially—and pH tests. So faced with all that measurement, they just don’t go there.

But the changes happening in the oceans are real. Our unfettered burning of fossil fuels has made our oceans warmer. The oceans have absorbed the vast majority of the heat trapped in our atmosphere by our carbon pollution—the heat equivalent to several Hiroshima-style atomic bombs being set off in the sea every second for the last 20 years. One result of all this heat is the calamity now taking place in the world’s coral reefs, the incubators of life in the oceans.

Australia’s Great Barrier Reef is the largest coral ecosystem on Earth. Severe bleaching has hit between 60 and
100 percent of corals on the Great Barrier Reef, according to Dr. Terry Hughes of James Cook University in Queensland. Research led by Dr. Andrew King at the University of Melbourne determined that the ocean warming that leads to coral bleaching and devastating coral destruction was made 175 times more likely by human-caused climate change.

As one researcher put it, climate change “is the smoking gun.” We are not just warming the oceans. The ocean is a giant heat-storage vessel, itself, as well as heat. Because carbon dioxide forms carbonic acid when it dissolves in sea water, the seas are acidifying at the fastest rate in 50 million years. On America’s northwest coast, oyster hatcheries have already experienced significant losses when their new hatches were unable to grow their shells in the acidified sea water. Off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and Northern California, 50 percent of tiny nurse salmon, critical to maintaining healthy salmon stocks, that hatch in the ocean—these creatures right here—were measured to have “severe shell damage,” mostly from acidified seas. A NOAA study released just last week detailed for the first time the extent to which that damage is caused by human carbon pollution. If this species collapses, the bottom falls out of the oceanic food chain.

In Rhode Island, Narragansett Bay’s mean winter water temperature is up nearly a degree. Off Fishers Island, the Rhode Island lobster fishery is crashing, and our winter flounder fishery is practically gone. I know that the New Hampshire fishery is equally stressed. With real alarm, Rhode Island’s clammers, lobstermen, fish farmers, and shellfish growers are all watching the damage acidified seas are doing. This is the cost of climate change in the oceans.

We are approaching a point of no return. The U.N. Environment Programme’s Ocean Gap Report released earlier this month, warned that unless reductions in carbon pollution from the energy sector are taken swiftly, it will be nearly impossible to keep warming below 2 degrees Celsius and avoid widespread catastrophes. The report says that the next 3 years are “likely the last chance” to limit global warming to safe limits in this century—likely the last chance to make a difference. But Republicans in this Senate want to do nothing about it.

Once upon a time, Republicans joined Democrats in pushing for action on climate. Senator McCAIN ran for President on a strong climate change platform and was the lead co-sponsor of the Climate Stewardship Act, which would have created a market-based emissions cap-and-trade program to reduce carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping pollutants from the biggest U.S. sources. At the time Senator McCAIN said:

> While we cannot say with 100 percent confidence what will happen in the future, we do know the emission of greenhouse gases is not healthy for the environment. As many of the top scientists through the world have stated, the sooner we start to reduce these emissions, the better off we will be in the future.

Other Republicans got behind cap-and-trade proposals. Senator Granholm’s Clean Air Planning Act at one time or another counted Senators ALEXANDER, GRAHAM, and COLLINS among its supporters. Senator COLLINS later co-authored her own important cap-and-trade bill with Senator CANTWELL.

Senator King voted for the Waxman-Markey cap-and-trade bill in the House. Senator FLAKE, then representing Arizona in the House, was an original co-sponsor of the Raise Wages, Cut Carbon Act to reduce payroll taxes for employers and employees in exchange for equal revenue from a carbon tax.

So what happened? Why did this steady heartbeat of Republican climate action suddenly falter in 2010? Something happened in 2010.

What happened was the Supreme Court’s disgraceful 2010 decision in Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission, where, in a nutshell, the Court ruled that corporations are people and money and so there can be no limit to corporate money influencing American elections.

When Citizens United uncorked all that big, dark money and allowed it to cast its bullying shadow over Congress, Republicans ran from any major climate legislation. Rather than freeing up open debate, Citizens United effectively ended any honest debate in Congress on the climate crisis.

Unlimited corporate spending in politics can, indeed, corrupt—and not just through floods of anonymous attack advertisements. It can corrupt secretly and, more dangerously, through the mere threat of that spending, through private threats and promises. Sometimes, the fossil fuel industry threat to politicians is a simple one: If you are not a Republican, we will not be your friend. DDT, CFCs, and, of course, particulate matter all had the harms their products cause isn’t noticed. Thus, the US General Accounting Office reported that DDT is still killing wildlife, and a DDT ban in the U.S. was reversed in 1974, 10 years after the ban went into effect. So what’s the difference? I ask you, how much trouble would an industry go to to protect a $700 billion-per-year subsidy? A growing body of scholarship is examining the science of protecting the fossil fuel industry—how it is funded, how it communicates, and how it propagates the denial message. That research includes work by Harvard’s Naomi Oreskes, Michigan State’s Aaron McCright, Oklahoma State’s Riley Dunlap, and Austin Farrell, Drexel’s Robert Brulle, and others.

Industrial powers fighting to obscure the harms their products cause isn’t new. They operate from a well-worn playbook that was used for industrial contaminants and health hazards such as DDT, CFCs, and, of course, particularly tobacco. It is the ultimate special interest lobbying.

President-Elect Donald Trump campaigned on a pledge of draining the swamp of big special interests controlling Washington. Yet leading the transition at the Environmental Protection Agency for the Trump administration is Myron Ebell, the poster child of industry-bashing and climate denial. Well, I’m the director of energy and environment at the Competitive Enterprise Institute, a corporate front group that has specialized in undermining tobacco, climate, and other science. CEI received millions of dollars from ExxonMobil, the Koch family, coal companies Murray and Massey, and the identity-laundersing groups Donors Trust and Donors Capital. CEI and Myron Ebell are the quintessential DC swamp creatures.

Politico reports that Ebell was a veteran of the tobacco regulation wars. Jeremy Symons of the Environmental Defense Fund credits Ebell with “taking the tobacco playbook and applying it to climate change.” And on climate, John Taylor, of the libertarian Niskanen Center says Ebell was “involved in marshaling allies, building a skeptical movement and enforcing that political orthodoxy as best he could in the Republican Party.”

Ebell criticizes scientists for working outside their degree fields, but it turns out he isn’t even a scientist himself. After college, he studied political theory at the London School of Economics and history at Cambridge. He has even criticized Pope Francis’s encyclical on climate change, calling it “scientifically ill-informed, economically illiterate, intellectually incoherent and morally obtuse.” That is rich right there—an outspoken climate contrarian whose organization receives fossil fuel money calling Pope Francis morally obtuse.

Well, the President-elect mocked Republican politicians when they went groveling before the Koch brothers at the recent “Maga-thon.” Then the President-elect called it, but now he is busy filling his staff with Koch operatives. Donald Trump may have won the Presidency, but with operatives like Myron
Ebell, the Koch brothers are moving in to run the Presidency.

The new President, however, will hear from our military, he will hear from our National Labs, and he will hear from NASA, which, with a rover driving on Mars right now, may actually know a little science, that this is deadly serious.

I encourage President-Elect Trump to listen to the voices of reason and expertise, not to the swamp things. Don’t listen to Mr. President-Elect, he takes forms by industry lobbyists and front groups scratching and clawing to protect a $700 billion conflict of interest. Consider, Mr. President-elect, listening to your children, who joined you just 7 years ago in saying climate science was “irrefutable” and portends “catastrophic and irreversible” consequences. That is what you and they said just 7 years ago.

Madam President, let’s assume something. Let’s assume that all our National Labs, NASA and NOAA, our military leaders, our home State universities across our 50 States, hundreds of major American companies, and the more than 190 different nations that signed the Paris climate agreement are all actually not deluded about climate change, that they are not part of a hoax. If that is so, if these trained expert scientists who don’t labor under a $700 billion-per-year conflict of interest are telling the truth, then the fossil fuel industry’s science denial operation is a fraud. As a fraud, it is a particularly evil one because in order to achieve its goal, the industry has to drag down the Government of the United States or at least the Congress of the United States to its level. The fossil fuel industry maintains a science denial operation and a political influence operation designed and intended to willfully sabotage the proper operation of a branch of the Government of the United States. We ought to all have a powerful interest is willing to damage our American experiment in democracy just to achieve its selfish ends.

As a Senator, John F. Kennedy once said this:

Let us not despair but act. Let us not seek the Republican answer or the Democratic answer, but the right answer. Let us not seek to fix the blame for the past. Let us accept our own responsibility for the future.

Solutions to climate change need not be either Republican or Democratic. They do need to be based on sound science and healthy and open debate. And we will be a stronger and more respected country if they are American solutions, if we are leading the world, not trailing along behind other countries.

For a country like ours that claims to stand as an example—as a city on a hill, we call it—a country that benefits from the power of our example around the world, this horrible example of out-of-control special interest influence will have lasting consequences. We have a role to play in this world, we Americans, and it is time we got about it.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Madam President, let me also take a moment to add to my climate remarks my appreciation to Dr. Gifford Wong, who is here with me on the floor today. He has been helpful in my office as a trained expert scientist and has helped with many of these speeches. He is leaving us this week after working as a fellow on my staff for over a year. I am proud to have had him serve in my office, and I wish him well. This is his last climate speech with me.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the Senator withhold?

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Yes, the Senator without prejudice.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Hawaii.

Mr. SCHATZ. Madam President, I want to commend Senator WHITEHOUSE for his 150th climate speech. It takes a lot of passion, a lot of research, and a lot of focus to be willing to stay on one topic in the Senate for that many consecutive speeches. There are a lot of things that are important in the Senate and it is easy to get distracted, but Senator WHITEHOUSE remains steadfast, focused, and passionate, and history will show that SHELTON WHITEHOUSE was right and is right. I am proud to be his colleague.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Thank you, sir.

CONTINUING RESOLUTION

Mr. SCHATZ. Madam President, I am here to speak on another topic, actually, and that is what we are about to do with respect to appropriations.

This Congress was told by the majority leader that the Senate would return to the regular order, and I have no doubt he intended to make good on that promise. I know he is an appropriator, know him as an institutionalist, and he really wanted to get back to the regular order. We were given assurances that keeping the government funded would be an orderly and bipartisan process, and it was true at the committee level, but that was then, and today we are far from that promise.

Today the Republican leadership, led by House leadership, has refused to complete funding bills for the current fiscal year. And what is so confounding for those of us who believe in the appropriations process, who believe in our constitutional prerogative, our constitutional obligation to hold the pursestrings and to use that authority to be a proper check on the executive branch, is that simply kicking the can down the road and passing another short-term CR doesn’t result in anything conservative at all. Willing to write these omnibus solutions, if they are leading the world, this will have lasting consequences. We try to stand as an example—as a city on a hill, we call it— a country that benefits from the power of our example around the world, this horrible example of out-of-control special interest influence will have lasting consequences. That is what you and they said just 7 years ago.

Madam President, let’s assume something. Let’s assume that all our National Labs, NASA and NOAA, our military leaders, our home State universities across our 50 States, hundreds of major American companies, and the more than 190 different nations that signed the Paris climate agreement are all actually not deluded about climate change, that they are not part of a hoax. If that is so, if these trained expert scientists who don’t labor under a $700 billion-per-year conflict of interest are telling the truth, then the fossil fuel industry’s science denial operation is a fraud. As a fraud, it is a particularly evil one because in order to achieve its goal, the industry has to drag down the Government of the United States or at least the Congress of the United States to its level. The fossil fuel industry maintains a science denial operation and a political influence operation designed and intended to willfully sabotage the proper operation of a branch of the Government of the United States. We ought to all have a powerful interest is willing to damage our American experiment in democracy just to achieve its selfish ends.

As a Senator, John F. Kennedy once said this:

Let us not despair but act. Let us not seek the Republican answer or the Democratic answer, but the right answer. Let us not seek to fix the blame for the past. Let us accept our own responsibility for the future.

Solutions to climate change need not be either Republican or Democratic. They do need to be based on sound science and healthy and open debate. And we will be a stronger and more respected country if they are American solutions, if we are leading the world, not trailing along behind other countries.

For a country like ours that claims to stand as an example—as a city on a hill, we call it—a country that benefits from the power of our example around the world, this horrible example of out-of-control special interest influence will have lasting consequences. We have a role to play in this world, we Americans, and it is time we got about it.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Madam President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Madam President, let me also take a moment to add to my climate remarks my appreciation to Dr. Gifford Wong, who is here with me on the floor today. He has been helpful in my office as a trained expert scientist and has helped with many of these speeches. He is leaving us this week after working as a fellow on my staff for over a year. I am proud to have had him serve in my office, and I wish him well. This is his last climate speech with me.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Will the Senator withhold?

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Yes, the Senator without prejudice.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Hawaii.

Mr. SCHATZ. Madam President, I want to commend Senator WHITEHOUSE for his 150th climate speech. It takes a lot of passion, a lot of research, and a lot of focus to be willing to stay on one topic in the Senate for that many consecutive speeches. There are a lot of things that are important in the Senate and it is easy to get distracted, but Senator WHITEHOUSE remains steadfast, focused, and passionate, and history will show that SHELTON WHITEHOUSE was right and is right. I am proud to be his colleague.

Mr. WHITEHOUSE. Thank you, sir.

CONTINUING RESOLUTION
now is to hit a few singles. We need to do a few rational things.

The idea that what we should do is take the debt ceiling and the expiration of the CR and put them together just doesn’t make any sense. It was proven wrong by the government shutdown of 18 June 2013. The administration estimated that had up to a $6 billion impact on the economy. NIH studies were delayed, national parks were shuttered, transportation and energy projects were postponed, and food safety inspections were pushed back. This is not fiscal conservatism. This is not any kind of conservatism.

The idea of being a conservative, as I understand it—and I will grant you that I am a progressive, so it is not totally clear to me—is the idea that what you do may have unintended consequences and that whatever changes you make ought to be incremental and ought to respect the institutions that have gotten America this far.

This is not a conservative result, to kick the can into the next spring, when we have no idea whether we are going to be able to solve multiple problems at the same time. If we want government to pick up all these issues and leaving it to a new administration to deal with in the spring will likely not work. We should finish the work we were elected to do and complete the funding bills for this fiscal year.

I yield the floor.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. GARNER). Without objection, it is so ordered.

TRIBUTE TO BERNARDA “BERNIE” WONG

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I want to take a few moments to acknowledge Bernarda “Bernie” Wong, founder and president of the American Chinese Service League, CASL—and Esther Wong, cofounder and executive director of CASL. Earlier this year, Bernie and Esther announced they would be retiring on their own street: Bernarda “Bernie” Wong Way, right outside the Chinese American Service League. What an honor.

Since CASL’s beginning, Esther Wong has been a faithful founding member. For more than two decades, she has served as chair of the program committee. Esther has been integral in CASL’s success. So much so that, in 2002, Esther was recruited to assume the newly created executive director position. In this role, Esther has been responsible for expanding CASL’s programs to include housing and financial education services, and has overseen several significant infrastructure improvements that have allowed CASL to provide critical safety net programs to the Chicagoland community. As a recipient of the mayor’s Commission on Women’s Affairs’ Woman of the Year Award and the Asian American Coalition of Chicago’s Community Services Award, Esther served on countless boards in the Asian American community, and continues to serve on the boards of the National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development, the Coalition for Limited English Speaking Elderly, and the Chicago Jobs Council.

Nearly 40 years ago, along with eight Chinese American friends, Bernie and Esther gathered over potluck dinners to discuss the needs of the Chinese community in Chicago. These discussions led to the creation of the Chinese American Service League, commonly referred to as CASL. CASL began with the goal of teaching English as a second language to Chinese immigrants. Bernie has been the recipient of numerous awards, including the Champion of Change Award given by President Obama for her extraordinary leadership in the community. Her other awards include United Way of Chicago’s Executive of the Year Award; Crain’s Chicago’s “100 Most Influential Women of Chicago;” and the Chicago Historical Society’s Jane Addams Making History Award. Bernie also chaired the Chicago mayor’s advisory council on Asian affairs and was the first Asian appointed to the boards of United Way of Chicago and the Chicago Public Library. And just last month, her years of service were recognized with her very own street: Bernarda “Bernie” Wong Way, right outside the Chinese American Service League. What an honor.

Since CASL’s beginning, Esther Wong has been a faithful founding member. For more than two decades, she has served as chair of the program committee. Esther has been integral in CASL’s success. So much so that, in 2002, Esther was recruited to assume the newly created executive director position. In this role, Esther has been responsible for expanding CASL’s programs to include housing and financial education services, and has overseen several significant infrastructure improvements that have allowed CASL to provide critical safety net programs to the Chicagoland community. As a recipient of the mayor’s Commission on Women’s Affairs’ Woman of the Year Award and the Asian American Coalition of Chicago’s Community Services Award, Esther served on countless boards in the Asian American community, and continues to serve on the boards of the National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development, the Coalition for Limited English Speaking Elderly, and the Chicago Jobs Council.

The senior assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, I want to take a few moments to acknowledge Bernarda “Bernie” Wong, founder and president of the American Chinese Service League, CASL—and Esther Wong, cofounder and executive director of CASL. Earlier this year, Bernie and Esther announced they would be retiring on their own street: Bernarda “Bernie” Wong Way, right outside the Chinese American Service League. What an honor.

Since CASL’s beginning, Esther Wong has been a faithful founding member. For more than two decades, she has served as chair of the program committee. Esther has been integral in CASL’s success. So much so that, in 2002, Esther was recruited to assume the newly created executive director position. In this role, Esther has been responsible for expanding CASL’s programs to include housing and financial education services, and has overseen several significant infrastructure improvements that have allowed CASL to provide critical safety net programs to the Chicagoland community. As a recipient of the mayor’s Commission on Women’s Affairs’ Woman of the Year Award and the Asian American Coalition of Chicago’s Community Services Award, Esther served on countless boards in the Asian American community, and continues to serve on the boards of the National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development, the Coalition for Limited English Speaking Elderly, and the Chicago Jobs Council.

Nearly 40 years ago, along with eight Chinese American friends, Bernie and Esther gathered over potluck dinners to discuss the needs of the Chinese community in Chicago. These discussions led to the creation of the Chinese American Service League, commonly referred to as CASL. CASL began with the goal of teaching English as a second language to Chinese immigrants. Bernie has been the recipient of numerous awards, including the Champion of Change Award given by President Obama for her extraordinary leadership in the community. Her other awards include United Way of Chicago’s Executive of the Year Award; Crain’s Chicago’s “100 Most Influential Women of Chicago;” and the Chicago Historical Society’s Jane Addams Making History Award. Bernie also chaired the Chicago mayor’s advisory council on Asian affairs and was the first Asian appointed to the boards of United Way of Chicago and the Chicago Public Library. And just last month, her years of service were recognized with her very own street: Bernarda “Bernie” Wong Way, right outside the Chinese American Service League. What an honor.

Since CASL’s beginning, Esther Wong has been a faithful founding member. For more than two decades, she has served as chair of the program committee. Esther has been integral in CASL’s success. So much so that, in 2002, Esther was recruited to assume the newly created executive director position. In this role, Esther has been responsible for expanding CASL’s programs to include housing and financial education services, and has overseen several significant infrastructure improvements that have allowed CASL to provide critical safety net programs to the Chicagoland community. As a recipient of the mayor’s Commission on Women’s Affairs’ Woman of the Year Award and the Asian American Coalition of Chicago’s Community Services Award, Esther served on countless boards in the Asian American community, and continues to serve on the boards of the National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development, the Coalition for Limited English Speaking Elderly, and the Chicago Jobs Council.

Nearly 40 years ago, along with eight Chinese American friends, Bernie and Esther gathered over potluck dinners to discuss the needs of the Chinese community in Chicago. These discussions led to the creation of the Chinese American Service League, commonly referred to as CASL. CASL began with the goal of teaching English as a second language to Chinese immigrants. Bernie has been the recipient of numerous awards, including the Champion of Change Award given by President Obama for her extraordinary leadership in the community. Her other awards include United Way of Chicago’s Executive of the Year Award; Crain’s Chicago’s “100 Most Influential Women of Chicago;” and the Chicago Historical Society’s Jane Addams Making History Award. Bernie also chaired the Chicago mayor’s advisory council on Asian affairs and was the first Asian appointed to the boards of United Way of Chicago and the Chicago Public Library. And just last month, her years of service were recognized with her very own street: Bernarda “Bernie” Wong Way, right outside the Chinese American Service League. What an honor.

Since CASL’s beginning, Esther Wong has been a faithful founding member. For more than two decades, she has served as chair of the program committee. Esther has been integral in CASL’s success. So much so that, in 2002, Esther was recruited to assume the newly created executive director position. In this role, Esther has been responsible for expanding CASL’s programs to include housing and financial education services, and has overseen several significant infrastructure improvements that have allowed CASL to provide critical safety net programs to the Chicagoland community. As a recipient of the mayor’s Commission on Women’s Affairs’ Woman of the Year Award and the Asian American Coalition of Chicago’s Community Services Award, Esther served on countless boards in the Asian American community, and continues to serve on the boards of the National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development, the Coalition for Limited English Speaking Elderly, and the Chicago Jobs Council.

Nearly 40 years ago, along with eight Chinese American friends, Bernie and Esther gathered over potluck dinners to discuss the needs of the Chinese community in Chicago. These discussions led to the creation of the Chinese American Service League, commonly referred to as CASL. CASL began with the goal of teaching English as a second language to Chinese immigrants. Bernie has been the recipient of numerous awards, including the Champion of Change Award given by President Obama for her extraordinary leadership in the community. Her other awards include United Way of Chicago’s Executive of the Year Award; Crain’s Chicago’s “100 Most Influential Women of Chicago;” and the Chicago Historical Society’s Jane Addams Making History Award. Bernie also chaired the Chicago mayor’s advisory council on Asian affairs and was the first Asian appointed to the boards of United Way of Chicago and the Chicago Public Library. And just last month, her years of service were recognized with her very own street: Bernarda “Bernie” Wong Way, right outside the Chinese American Service League. What an honor.

Since CASL’s beginning, Esther Wong has been a faithful founding member. For more than two decades, she has served as chair of the program committee. Esther has been integral in CASL’s success. So much so that, in 2002, Esther was recruited to assume the newly created executive director position. In this role, Esther has been responsible for expanding CASL’s programs to include housing and financial education services, and has overseen several significant infrastructure improvements that have allowed CASL to provide critical safety net programs to the Chicagoland community. As a recipient of the mayor’s Commission on Women’s Affairs’ Woman of the Year Award and the Asian American Coalition of Chicago’s Community Services Award, Esther served on countless boards in the Asian American community, and continues to serve on the boards of the National Coalition for Asian Pacific American Community Development, the Coalition for Limited English Speaking Elderly, and the Chicago Jobs Council.

Two years ago, the Chicago Tribune interviewed Bernie. They asked how she would like to be remembered if she ever retired. She recalled a time when a janitor was sitting down eating lunch in the cafeteria. In China, you don’t sit with your boss, so he was trying to leave. Bernie said, “No, sit down.” He told her, “I’ve never seen a boss who wanted to include you.” That is Bernie Wong’s legacy. She simply wants people to know she cares and to make people feel included. After a career spanning nearly four decades at CASL, providing comprehensive and inclusive programs for immigrants and helping generations born in America realize their dreams, one thing is clear: Bernie and Esther care.

I want to congratulate Bernie and Esther on two wonderful careers and thank them for their service to our community. I wish them and their husbands, Albert and David, all the best in the next chapter of their lives.
Arava Institute and community members from Kibbutz Ketura where he currently teaches, attended one of the rallies at the official Israeli-Jordanian border crossing along the Eilat promenade, and, the following day, at Qasr al-Yahud, the Jordan River baptismal site.

At the baptismal site one of the members of Kibbutz Ketura recognized a man sitting on the Jordanian side of the river who had visited the Arava Institute earlier in the summer. The man had come to support the March of Hope from the Jordanian side, while members of the Arava Institute showed their support from the Israeli side. The two men exchanged warm words from across the river epitomizing the goals of the movement.

The Middle East is facing one of its most unstable and dangerous periods in modern history. Entities like the Arava Institute, along with the Women Wage Peace movement, offer hope that peaceful coexistence is possible in the Middle East. Women, men, Israelis, Palestinians, Christians, Muslims, Jews, youth, and elders have joined together in reminder that we are all connected as members of one international community.

I ask unanimous consent that Rabbi Cohen’s October 26, 2016, post, “A rabbi in the desert: A reminder of what can be,” be printed in the Arava Institute blog be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

A RABBI IN THE DESERT: A REMINDER OF WHAT CAN BE

When I was five I attended my first political rally. It was the March on Trenton which paralleled the famous March on Washington and Martin Luther King’s “I have a Dream Speech.” The event in Trenton, New Jersey, as well as others around the country, were attended by women who could not make it to the nation’s capital to show nationwide support for the message of the event.

Fast forward fifty-three years later, and the global “Palestinian–Israeli-Women Wage Peace” movement decided on the same format; rallies throughout the country followed by a rally in Jerusalem. So during the week of the Sukkot holiday, I found myself standing at the official Israeli-Jordanian border crossing between Eilat and Aqaba with members of the southern Arava valley communities including Kibbutz Ketura and students, staff, and faculty of the Arava Institute. The message of the rally was women demand a “Peace Agreement” — the slogan of the rally.

After a march along the Eilat promenade there were a number of speeches including one by a member of the Kibbutz. The following day many of us got up before the sun so we could travel first to Qasr al-Yahud, the Jordan River baptismal site.

There, participants mingled with Christian pilgrims who had come to the site for baptism ceremonies. The Jordan River at that point is some fifteen feet wide and on both sides stands a wall, almost all accessible to holy waters. A member of Kibbutz Ketura pointed out a man with white beard sitting on the Jordanian side of the river who had lost an arm due to a injury after our arrival this summer! He owns a farm near that spot and is working with Dr. Clive Lipchin, the Director of our Center for Transboundary Water Management, and Arava alumnus and researcher Suleiman Halalash, to install the prototype of a new solar desalination system in Jordan. He came to support from the Jordanian side of the border. I called across the river and border. He immediately recognized me and we had a conversation much to the delight and surprise of those who listened to us. This extraordinary encounter modelled what the Arava Institute is capable of creating, and by extension what the Women Wage Peace event was all about.

The rally was addressed by Liberian Nobel Peace Prize laureate Leymah Roberta Gbowee, whose story of empowerment, bravery and strength resonated with the marchers. From Qasr al-Yahud we continued on our way to Jerusalem, where our numbers swelled to 20,000 as we marched past Israeli government ministry buildings, the Knesset, the Prime Minister’s office, the President’s House, and finally ended up a block from the Prime Minister’s residence.

The marchers’ song “Prayer of the Mothers” was sung with such heart that many people making their way through the streets and neighborhoods of Jerusalem. At the final rally, Yael Deckelbaum led us in her touching song “Praying.”

The day was called the March of Hope. Hope is one of the great motivating forces in our lives; it allows us to reach forward to what we want; it’s a strong reminder of what can be. The activities of the Arava Institute are daily reminders that hope can also be lived as a reality.

RECOGNIZING ALLENHOLM FARM AND THE ALLEN FAMILY

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, Vermonters understand the value of hard work and perseverance, and we take pride in passing those values from generation to generation. Our communities thrive on family-owned businesses built on these values. They form the roots of success in our Green Mountain State, and it is those who own and operate them who are providing the leadership that will carry our State into the future. Today I want to recognize one exceptional Vermont family for the success of their multigenerational Vermont enterprise and their continued commitment to Vermont values.

Founded in 1870, Allenholm Farm is Vermont’s largest apple orchard. At its helm is Ray W. Allen, whose great-grandfather, with a covered wagon, planted the farm’s first apple trees more than 150 years ago, and Ray’s wife and partner, Pam. After graduating from the University of Vermont with a degree in agriculture, Ray returned to the family farm he had worked as a child, eventually purchasing it from his father in 1960. More than five decades of running the farm hadn’t slowed Ray down, and he can still be found fixing machinery, giving tours of the orchard, and loading delicious Vermont apples into trucks for shipment.

Like many Vermont business owners, Ray knows the value of diversification. In addition to the apples it sells to local grocery store bread makers, the farm harvests raspberries, blueberries, and cherries, some of which are sold to Vermont’s world-renowned Alchemists, Ray and Pam, his wife of 31 years, work together to make hundreds of apple pies that are then baked fresh on demand. The autumn season brings thousands of guests, often multigenerational families themselves, for pick-your-own apples and visits to Wille and Sassafras, the farm’s pet donkeys. Visitors may also enjoy maple creemes, a soft serve ice cream that is as unique to the State as the patented Vermont Gold apple variety is to Allenholm Farm.

Ray’s dedication to this farm is modeled only by his commitment to his family’s legacy. As he hands down his knowledge of the apple business to his children, grandchildren, and now great-grandchildren, he passes on something else: a commitment to Vermont for future generations.

I ask unanimous consent that an October 1 story from the Burlington Free Press about the successful Allenholm Farm in South Hero, VT, be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

LOCALvore SINCE 1870 at ALLENHOLM FARM in SOUTH HERO

(By Sally Pollak)

SOUTH HERO,—When the apple pies are sold out, the apples cut up and fed to the farm animals are confined, mostly, to a petting zoo, though a donkey named Willy and a pet goat named Peggy are kept in a barn. There are 25 acres. The farm also produces cherries, and sells to Vermont’s world-renowned Alchemists.

The farm is a diversified family farm with dairy cows, sheep, hogs, poultry, horses for plowing. These days, the 275-acre farm is primarily an apple farm, with 2,000 trees growing on roughly 25 acres. The farm also produces cherries, berries, pears and pumpkins.

Farm animals are confined, mostly, to a petting zoo, though a pet goat named Willy sometimes strolls down South Street, site of the farm. That’s when Ray C. Allen, sheriff of Grand Isle County and son of Ray W. Allen, telephones his stepmother with a message:

“Your husband’s ass is in the middle of the road again,” the sheriff tells her.

This is family duty, he said. Not law and order.
Ray W. Allen, steadfast and true to the farm, is also a bit of a wanderer. Over the years he has gone off to high school at Lyndon Institute in the Northeast Kingdom; run 25 marathons; appeared on stage in community theater, served as a trustee at the University of Vermont, his alma mater; and volunteered as an EMT—late-night calls before early-morning chores.

Monday morning he was up at 3:15 for a bank run to deposit the weekend's cash. At 4:30, he was back home in his kitchen, hand-mixing pie dough for some of the 2,000 pies Allenholm Farm makes each year. (Ray Allen mixes the dough; Pam Allen makes the filling.)

At 5 a.m., he and his grandson, Brandon Allen, met at the big gray storage shed across from the farmstand to load trucks with boxes of apples for delivery to Hannaford supermarkets.

"It's a good time," Brandon Allen said. "Quality bonding time at 5 in the morning."

STORIED HISTORY OF APPLE PRODUCTION

The Champlain Islands have a long history of quality apple production, said Terry Bradshaw, apple specialist at UVM and director of its Horticulture Research Center. The lake climate—which makes for a cooler summer and a crisp frost—provides superior growing and ripening conditions, especially for McIntosh apples, he said. In addition, according to the early 20th century measurement for shipping fruit north to the port of Montreal and south to New York.

"It's historic," Bradshaw said of Allenholm Farm.

The history dates to the founding of Vermont. Pam Allen, Ray Allen's second wife, proudly exudes the family heritage: Thomas Chittenden, Vermont's first governor. Ray Allen descends from Moses Robinson, the state's second governor.

"Ultimate," Allen said of his ancestry. More recent farm history includes the end of dairying about half a century ago, and getting in on the craft beer boom. Allen sells his cherries to the Alchemist, the Stowe brewery that makes Heady Topper. The cherries are used in a beer called Petit Mutant.

Apples that are three or more inches in diameter are worth about $30 a case. With the tree's large size, apples become too heavy for picking. "It's a character," his son said.

His roles include welcoming visitors to Allenholm Farm and leading tours. Allen expects future generations will fulfill these and other duties; but he has no plans to retire. "I would have to be the one to lose it," he said. "This is the 146th year. I sure don't want to be the first one to lose the farm."

CONSUMER REVIEW FAIRNESS ACT

Mr. THUNE. Mr. President, as chairman of the Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee, which has jurisdiction over consumer protection matters, I introduced the bipartisan Consumer Review Freedom Act last year, along with Senators SCHATZ and MORA, and cosponsored by Senators McCASKILL, DAINES, BLUMENTHAL, NEL- SON, BOEYKENS, and LIU, to address a growing and alarming trend affecting American consumers in the United States. Some businesses are slipping so-called gag clauses into form contracts and contractual documents that are executed to remove critical feedback to the public, even when that feedback is an honest reflection of the consumer experience.

This legislation, and companion legislation agreed to in the House of Representatives would invalidate non-disparagement clauses in form contracts and make it unlawful for a person to offer or enter into a contract containing a nonnegotiable non-disparagement clause. Both bills contain a provision to require a Web site owner to remove a review that contains the personal information or likeness of another person or is libelous, harassing, abusive, obscene, or otherwise explicit, or inappropriate with respect to race, gender, sexuality, ethnicity, or other intrinsic characteristic. This language is simply intended to preserve the ability of Web site operators to enforce such terms of service. For example, it would—and is intended to—preserve the ability of a business to remove language from its Web site that includes inappropriate or harassment references to someone’s religious, physical disability, or similar characteristic.

As highlighted at the Commerce Committee hearing on this legislation, the intent is not to regulate speech; the intent is to ensure that consumers are protected against fees and penalties imposed pursuant to form contracts for engaging in honest reviews of goods and services.

I am pleased that the Senate has passed the latest version of this legislation and that it has been headed to the President’s desk for signature. I thank my colleagues for their support of this measure.

HONORING CHARLES E. RUDLER

Mr. TOOMEY. Mr. President, today I wish to honor Charles E. Rudler, a World War II infantry soldier and prisoner of war who selflessly served his Nation with distinction.

Born in Linesville, PA, on March 26, 1925, Charles Rudler was an 18-year-old truck driver when he began his service in the U.S. Army in 1943. Serving as a rifleman during WWII, he landed on the beaches of Normandy and fought through northern France, the Ardennes, and Central Europe.

Unfortunately, Rudler was captured while fighting the Nazis and held as a POW through the end of the war at Stalag 3A, a brutal prison and work camp near Brandenburg, Germany. He survived this ordeal and separated from the service at the end of the war with an honorable discharge in 1945.

For his bravery and determination, Rudler has been awarded the WWII Victory Medal, the American Campaign Medal, the Good Conduct Medal, a Purple Heart, and the European-African-Middle Eastern Campaign Medal with four Bronze Stars.

For these reasons, I wish to honor Charles Rudler for his service and sacrifice in defense of our Nation.

ADDITIONAL STATEMENTS

250TH ANNIVERSARY OF LEMPSTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE

Ms. AYOTTE. Mr. President, today I want to pay tribute to Lempster, NH—a town in Sullivan County that is celebrating the 250th anniversary of its founding. I am delighted to join citizens across the Granite State in recognizing this historic occasion.

The territory was originally discovered in 1735. In 1753 it was regranted and named Duplin after a leader of Nova Scotia at the time. Lempster, named for Sir Thomas Fermor of Lempster, England, received its current name after it was regranted a final time in 1767.

Lempster is located in the center of western New Hampshire and consists of three parts: East Lempster, Dodge Hollow, and Keyes Hollow. With a population of 1,154 residents, this close-knit town may be best known for its meetinghouse that is more than 200 years old. The meetinghouse is a source of great pride for Lempster and embodies its deep historical roots.

The town of Lempster is also home to a number of unique landmarks, including New Hampshire's first wind farm. Additionally, Lempster also received the first electric pole under the Rural Electrification Act on December 4, 1939. Nestled among these landmarks are beautiful recreational areas that allow the residents of Lempster and countless visitors the ability to enjoy all that the Granite State has to offer.

On behalf of all Granite Staters, I am pleased to offer my congratulations to the residents of Lempster on reaching this historic milestone, and to the citizens of Lempster on reaching this historic milestone.
PLAISTOW FIRE DEPARTMENT'S 101ST ANNIVERSARY

Ms. AYOTTE. Mr. President, today I wish to recognize the Plaistow Fire Department, as this year marks the 101st anniversary of its founding.

The department was established on August 9, 1915, and was originally known as the Plaistow Volunteer Fire Company. It was formed with the objective of providing better fire protection for the community and served as an active working organization in order to protect the citizens of Plaistow. In 1915, the town noted “we have to have the best in the State, and would seem almost criminal not to protect our property and our beautiful shade trees, which, if destroyed by fire, would be a great loss to the town.”

The Plaistow Fire Department is comprised of full-time and on-call staff who are dedicated and professional individuals that are committed to serving the town of Plaistow and our State. Importantly, Plaistow placed an emphasis on inviting all of its residents to contribute to safety and “most earnestly invite the co-operation of every citizen in this matter of fire protection and extend a most cordial welcome to everyone to attend its meetings and try-outs.”

Today the Plaistow Fire Department remains committed to responding to every call in a safe and professional manner to protect the lives and property of its citizens. We ask so much of our firefighters and first responders, and we will be forever grateful for the selfless nature of their service.

On behalf of the people of New Hampshire, I join with the residents of Plaistow in celebrating the 101st anniversary of the Plaistow Fire Department and wish them continued success in the years to come.

TRIBUTE TO TANNER HANSON

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Tanner Hanson for his hard work as an intern in the Senate Committee on Indian Affairs. I recognize his efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Tanner is a native of Ferndale, WA. He graduated from Reed College, where he studied history. He has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made him an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of his work is reflected in his great efforts over the last several months.

I want to thank Tanner for the dedication he has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have him as part of our team. I know he will have continued success with all of his future endeavors. I wish him all my best on his journey.

TRIBUTE TO ALICE SCHLEIFER ALVES DA COSTA

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Alice Schleifer Alves da Costa for her hard work as an intern in my Cheyenne office. I recognize her efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Alice is a native of Brazil and a graduate of Laramie County Community College. She is a junior at the University of Wyoming, studying international relations. She has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made her an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of her work is reflected in her great efforts over the last several months.

I want to thank Alice for the dedication she has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have her as part of our team. I know she will have continued success with all of her future endeavors. I wish her all my best on her journey.

TRIBUTE TO LEANN BENTLEY

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Leann Bentley for her hard work as an intern in my Washington, DC, office. I recognize her efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Leann is a native of Laramie, WY, and a graduate of Laramie High School. She is a junior at the University of Wyoming, studying business marketing with a concentration in sustainability and global markets. She has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made her an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of her work is reflected in her great efforts over the last several months.

I want to thank Leann for the dedication she has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have her as part of our team. I know she will have continued success with all of her future endeavors. I wish her all my best on her journey.

TRIBUTE TO Daulton Grube

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Daulton Grube for his hard work as an intern in my Washington, DC, office. I recognize his efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Daulton is a native of Rock Springs, WY, and a graduate of Rock Springs High School. He attended the University of Wyoming, where he studied microbiology. He has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made him an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of his work is reflected in his great efforts over the last several months.

I want to thank Daulton for the dedication he has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have him as part of our team. I know he will have continued success with all of his future endeavors. I wish him all my best on his journey.

TRIBUTE TO SAM TANNER

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Sam Tanner for his hard work as an intern in the Republican Policy Committee. I recognize his efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Sam is a native of Utah and a sophomore at Central Wyoming College. He is studying business administration. He has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made him an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of his work is reflected in his great efforts over the last several months.

I want to thank Sam for the dedication he has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have him as part of our team. I know he will have continued success with all of his future endeavors. I wish him all my best on his journey.

TRIBUTE TO ELIZABETH WALSH

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Elizabeth Walsh for her hard work as an intern in my Casper office. I recognize her efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Elizabeth is a native of Gleneock, WY, and a graduate of Natrona County High School. She is currently in her second year of Casper College, where she studies international studies and world languages. She has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made her an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of her work is reflected in her great efforts over the last several months.

Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to Thomas Myler for his hard work as an intern in my Casper office. I recognize his efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

Thomas is a native of Casper, WY, and a graduate of Natrona County High School. He is currently a second year at Casper College, studying communications and multimedia. He has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made him an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of his work is reflected in his great efforts over the last several months.

I want to thank Thomas for the dedication he has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have him as part of our team. I know he will have continued success with all of his future endeavors. I wish him all my best on his journey.
I want to thank Elizabeth for the dedication she has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have her as part of our team. I know she will have continued success with all of her future endeavors. I wish her all my best on her journey.

TRIBUTE TO JAMES WILLSON

- Mr. BARRASSO. Mr. President, I would like to take the opportunity to express my appreciation to James Willson for his hard work as an intern in my Washington, DC, office. I recognize his efforts and contributions to my office as well as to the State of Wyoming.

James is a native of Cody, WY, and a graduate of Cody High School. He is a graduate of the University of Wyoming College of Law. He has demonstrated a strong work ethic, which has made him an invaluable asset to our office. The quality of his work is reflected in his great efforts over the last several months. I want to thank James for the dedication he has shown while working for me and my staff. It is a pleasure to have him as part of our team. I know he will have continued success with all of his future endeavors. I wish him all my best on his journey.

TRIBUTE TO JIM SPEARS

- Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, today I wish to honor circuit judge Jim Spears who will be retiring in December after serving the people of Sebastian County, AR, for more than 20 years.

Judge Spears has been an active member of the legal community since graduating from the University of Arkansas School of Law in 1973. Described by those who know him as “kind, fair and approachable,” his 24 years presiding over the Sebastian County Circuit’s Third Division have been a testament to his commitment to the people of Arkansas.

Those who have stood in court before Judge Spears have expressed their utmost respect and admiration for his conduct and character. In addition to his passion for the law, his colleagues say that his commitment to civic involvement is equally important to his years on the bench. Judge Spears has worked closely with the Boy Scouts of America and served on the Arkansas Access to Justice Commission and as board chairman of the U.S. Marshals Museum. He has played a critical role in many community projects including leading the effort to create a statue of U.S. Marshal Bass Reeves.

Judge Spears has been honored by many organizations for his efforts, including the Arkansas Bar Association’s Citizen Lawyer award in 2004 for his exemplary service to his community. I am honored to know Judge Spears and want to thank him for his distinguished service as a leader in the justice system and the community. I wish him the best in his well-earned retirement from the bench, and I look forward to watching him use his talents and passion to continue to serve Arkansas in the years to come.

President Courtway has been lauded for his steady leadership and has been entrusted to serve time and again. In addition to serving as president of the university, he previously represented UCA as general counsel, vice president, and interim president. President Courtway is a strong leader in central Arkansas’ dedication to academic vitality, diversity and integrity.

He has also been actively engaged in the legislative process at the State level on behalf of his community. He served the 45th district in the Arkansas Legislature for 6 years, and he also spent a year as the interim director of the Arkansas Department of Education. President Courtway has served his community and State in a remarkable way, pursuing development and higher education opportunities for the good people of Conway.

I congratulate President Courtway for his outstanding achievements in his career and thank him for his dedication to education, students, and the community. I wish him all the best in retirement and want that his wife, Melissa, and the rest of his family will enjoy the opportunity to spend more time with him.

TRIBUTE TO TOM COURTWAY

- Mr. BOOZMAN. Mr. President, today I wish to honor University of Central Arkansas president Tom Courtway who will retire as president of the university in December after nearly 15 years of dedication to higher education in Arkansas.

President Courtway was appointed the 10th president of UCA in 2011, and he has proven himself to be a driving force in improving and expanding the campus for current and future students.

His career has been marked by leadership and dedicated service, which will endure since he will continue to teach at UCA. During his time leading in higher education, he has always put students first and fought to ensure the community had opportunities to succeed.

President Courtway has been lauded for his steady leadership and has been entrusted to serve time and again. In addition to serving as president of the university, he previously represented UCA as general counsel, vice president, and interim president. President Courtway is a strong leader in central Arkansas’ dedication to academic vitality, diversity and integrity.

He has also been actively engaged in the legislative process at the State level on behalf of his community. He served the 45th district in the Arkansas Legislature for 6 years, and he also spent a year as the interim director of the Arkansas Department of Education. President Courtway has served his community and State in a remarkable way, pursuing development and higher education opportunities for the good people of Conway.

I congratulate President Courtway for his outstanding achievements in his career and thank him for his dedication to education, students, and the community. I wish him all the best in retirement and want that his wife, Melissa, and the rest of his family will enjoy the opportunity to spend more time with him.

DEDICATION OF THE GRANITE MOUNTAIN HOTSHOTS MEMORIAL STATE PARK

- Mr. MCCAIN. Mr. President, today we honor the lives of 19 courageous Granite Mountain Hotshots by celebrating the dedication of the Granite Mountain Hotshots Memorial State Park in Yarnell, AZ.

DEAR FRIENDS: In a proud but solemn moment for all Arizonans, today we celebrate the dedication of the Granite Mountain Hotshots Memorial State Park.

The 2013 Yarnell Hill Fire was a tragedy that resulted in the lives of 19 brave and fearless Granite Mountain Hotshots. That fateful day on June 30, 2013 was the greatest loss of life for firefighters in a wildfire since 1933 and the greatest loss of firefighters in the United States since the September 11th attacks. This Memorial State Park is a most fitting tribute to these remarkable firefighters who selflessly risked their own lives to protect others and their community in the beautiful Arizona town of Yarnell.

I thank Arizona State Parks and the Yarnell Hill Memorial Site Board, who made this designation possible. With this wonderful memorial, I hope the family and friends of those Hotshots who passed may find peace and comfort in this tribute, which will forever preserve and honor the memory of these brave souls.

My thoughts and prayers are with you all on this occasion, and I send best wishes for a memorable event.

Sincerely,
JOHN MCCAIN,
U.S. Senator.
and his integrity, service, and devotion to justice will be forever remembered by the people of Kansas.

MESSAGES FROM THE PRESIDENT

Messages from the President of the United States are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.

EXECUTIVE MESSAGES REFERRED

As in executive session the Presiding Officer laid before the Senate messages from the President of the United States submitting sundry nominations which were referred to the appropriate committees.

(The messages received today are printed at the end of the Senate proceedings.)

PRESIDENTIAL MESSAGE

REPORT RELATIVE TO AN ALTERNATIVE PLAN FOR PAY INCREASES FOR CIVILIAN FEDERAL EMPLOYEES COVERED BY THE GENERAL SCHEDULE AND CERTAIN OTHER PAY SYSTEMS IN JANUARY 2017—PM 57

The PRESIDING OFFICER laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States, together with an accompanying report: which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:

To the Congress of the United States:

I am transmitting an alternative plan for pay increases for civilian Federal employees covered by the General Schedule and certain other pay systems in January 2017. Title 5, United States Code, authorizes me to implement alternative pay plans for pay increases for civilian Federal employees covered by the General Schedule and certain other pay systems if, because of "national emergency or serious economic conditions affecting the general welfare." I view the adjustments that would otherwise take effect as inappropriate.

Civilian Federal employees made significant sacrifices as a result of the 3-year pay freeze that ended in January 2014. Since the pay freeze ended, annual adjustments for civilian Federal employees have also been lower than private sector pay increases and statutory formulas for adjustments to the General Schedule for 2014 through 2016. However, we must maintain efforts to keep our Nation on a sustainable fiscal course. This is an effort that continues to require tough choices under current economic conditions.

Under current law, locality pay increases averaging 2.49 percent and costing $23 billion would go into effect in January 2017. Federal agency budgets cannot sustain such increases. In my August 31, 2016, alternative pay plan submission, I noted that the alternative plan for locality payments will be limited so that the total combined cost of the 1.0 percent across-the-board base pay increase and the varying locality pay increases will be 1.6 percent of basic payroll, consistent with the assumption in my 2017 Budget. Accordingly, I have determined that under the authority of section 5309a of title 5, United States Code, locality-based comparability payments for the locality pay areas established by the President’s pay plans set forth in the attached table, shall become effective on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after January 1, 2017.

The locality-based comparability payments for the locality pay rates in the attached table are based on an allocation of 0.6 percent of payroll as indicated in my August 31, 2016, alternative pay plan for adjustments to the base General Schedule. These decisions will not materially affect our ability to attract and retain a well-qualified Federal workforce.

BARACK OBAMA

The WHITE HOUSE, November 29, 2016.

MEASURES PLACED ON THE CALENDAR

The following bill was read the second time, and placed on the calendar:


EXECUTIVE AND OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

The following communications were laid before the Senate, together with accompanying papers, reports, and documents, and were referred as indicated:

EC–7677. A communication from the Deputy Secretary, Division of Swap Dealer and Intermediary Oversight, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Chief Compliance Officer Annual Report Requirements for Futures Commission Merchants, Swap Dealers, and Major Swap Participants; Amendments to Piling Dates" (RIN0350–AE49) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 17, 2016; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–7678. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Iraq-Related Multilateral Sanctions Regime Efforts" covering the period August 7, 2015 to February 6, 2016; to the Committees on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs; Finance; and Foreign Relations.

EC–7679. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a six-month periodic report on the national emergency with respect to the Central African Republic that was declared in Executive Order 13677 of May 12, 2014; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7680. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report on the national emergency with respect to Syria that was declared in Executive Order 13388 of May 11, 2004; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7675. A communication from the Administrator and Chief Executive Officer, Office of Power Marketing Administration, Department of Energy, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Administration's Annual Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7676. A communication from the Secretary of the Interior, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report entitled "Proposed Final Continental Shelf (OCS) Oil and Gas Leasing Program 2017–2022"; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7677. A communication from the Division Chief of Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Onshore Oil and Gas Operations; Federal and Indian Oil and Gas Leases; Measurement of Oil" (RIN0004–AE16) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 17, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7678. A communication from the Division Chief of Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Onshore Oil and Gas Operations; Federal and Indian Oil and Gas Leases; Site Security" (RIN0004–AE15) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 17, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7679. A communication from the Division Chief of Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Waste Prevention, Production Subject to Royalties, and Resource Conservation" (RIN0004–AE14) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7680. A communication from the Administrator of the Office of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Tolling Waiver Program; Adjunction of Tolling Authority" (RIN0004–AE13) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7681. A communication from the Secretary of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Operating Organization" (RIN1004–AE01) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7682. A communication from the Director of the Office of Management, Office of Management and Budget, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report of a rule entitled "Operating Organization" (RIN0004–AE01) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7683. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Office of New Reactors, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Operating Organization" (RIN0004–AE01) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

EC–7684. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Office of New Reactors, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Reactor Operator Qualification Program; Reactor Operator Training" (RIN0004–AE01) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.
a rule entitled “Seismic Classification” (NUREG–0800) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7685. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Office of New Reactors, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Management and Technical Support Organization” (NUREG–0800) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7687. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Office of New Reactors, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Administrative Procedures - General” (NUREG–0800) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7688. A communication from the Director of Congressional Affairs, Office of New Reactors, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Non-Licensed Plant Staff Training” (NUREG–0800) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7689. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule: Leak Detection Methodology Revisions and Confidentiality Determinations for Petroleum and Natural Gas Systems” ((RIN2060–A575) (FRL–9958–25–Region 5) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7690. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Findings of Failure to Attain the 1997 PM2.5 Standards; California; San Joaquin Valley” (FRL–9955–33–Region 9) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7692. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Ohio; Redesignation of the Ohio portion of the Campbell-Clermont KY–OH Sulfur Dioxide Nonattainment Area” (FRL–9955–37–Region 5) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7693. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Clarification of Requirements for Method Certification” (RIN2060–AR97) (FRL–9955–50–OAR) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7694. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval; FL Infrastructure Requirements for the 2010 1-hour N02 NAAQS” (FRL–9955–49–Region 4) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7695. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Air Plan Approval/Disapproval; AL Infrastructure Requirements” (FRL–9955–29–Region 8) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7696. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Spodoptera frugiperda Multiple Host-AssociatedTraits (HBCT) Category; Community Right-to-Know Toxic Chemical Release Reporting” ((RIN2025–AA42) (FRL–9953–28) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7697. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Endothall; Pesticide Tolerances” (FRL–9953–97) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry.

EC–7698. A communication from the Director of the Regulatory Management Division, Environmental Protection Agency, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “DoD Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP)” (RIN0694–AH04) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7699. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Commercial Control List: Removal of Certain Nuclear Power Reactors to the Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Policy List” (RIN0969–AD04) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7700. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “DoD Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP)” (RIN0694–AG82) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7701. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Violence Against Women Re-authorization Act of 2013: Implementation of HUD Housing Programs” (RIN2501–AD71) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7702. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Commercial Control List: Removal of Certain Nuclear Power Reactors to the Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Policy List” (RIN0969–AH04) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7703. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Endothall; Pesticide Tolerances” (RIN–9955–50–OAR) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7704. A communication from the Associate General Counsel for Legislation and Regulations, Office of Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Endothall; Pesticide Tolerances” (RIN–9955–50–OAR) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016; to the Committee on Environment and Public Works.

EC–7705. A communication from the Regulations Coordinator, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Department of Health and Human Services, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “The Medicaid Program: Final FY 2014 and Preliminary FY 2015 Disproportionate Share Hospital allotments, and Final FY 2014 and Preliminary FY 2016 Institutions for Mental Disease Disproportionate Share Hospital allotments” ((RIN1545–BJ48) (TD 9792)) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Finance.

EC–7706. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Office of the General Counsel, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “DoD Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (ELAP)” (RIN0694–AJ16) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Armed Services.

EC–7707. A communication from the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation and Regulations, Office of the Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Establishing a More Effective Fair Market Rent System; Using Small Area Fair Market Rents in the Housing Choice Voucher Program Instead of the Current 50th Percentile FSIs” (RIN2501–AD74) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.

EC–7708. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration, Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Commercial Control List: Removal of Certain Nuclear Power Reactors to the Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) Policy List” (RIN0969–AH04) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016; to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs.
report of a rule entitled "Transaction of Interest—Section 831(b) Micro-Captive Transactions" (Notice 2016–66) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 15, 2016, to the Committee on Finance.

EC–7708. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Medicaid and Children's Health Insurance Programs: Eligibility and Compliance; Fall 2016; Applying and Approving Processes for Medicaid and Other Provisions Related to Eligibility and Enrollment for Medicaid and CHIP" (RIN0956–A327) (CMS–2354–FP) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President on November 28, 2016, to the Committee on Finance.

EC–7709. A communication from the Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to a waiver of section 1001 of Public Law 100–204 regarding the Palestine Liberation Organization Office: to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–7710. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Amendment to the International Traffic in Arms Regulations and the International Control List of U.S. Munitions Categories VIII and XIX” (RIN19400–AD96) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 15, 2016, to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

EC–7711. A communication from the Assistant Legal Adviser for Treaty Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to the Case–Wyzanski Act, 12 U.S.C. 851 (as amended), the report of the texts and background statements of international agreements, other than treaties (List 2016–0161 - 2016–0168) to the Committee on Appropriations.

EC–7712. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Labor, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Walking-Working Surfaces and Personal Protective Equipment (Fall Protection Systems)” (RIN1218–AB80) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 18, 2016, to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–7713. A communication from the Assistant General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Paying Benefits” (29 CFR Part 4022) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 28, 2016, to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions.

EC–7714. A communication from the President and CEO, Inter-American Foundation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Executive Branch Ethics Program Amendments” (RIN3299–AA42) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7715. A communication from the Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Technical Amendments” (FAO 2005–92) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7716. A communication from the Chief of the Publications and Regulations Branch, Internal Revenue Service, Department of the Treasury, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Public Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reduction Goals—Representation” (RIN0900–AM90) (FAO 2005–92) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7717. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General and a Management Letter for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7718. A communication from the Chairman, National Mediation Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Board’s Annual Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7719. A communication from the Chief of the Border Propriations Branch, Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “The U.S. Anti-Terrorism Financing (AFTF) Business Travel Card Program” (RIN1561–AB01) (CBP Dec. 16–20) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President. to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7720. A communication from the Assistant Secretary for Legislative Affairs, Department of Homeland Security, transmitting proposed legislation entitled “U. S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement Pay Reform” to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7721. A communication from the President and CEO, Inter-American Foundation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Foundation’s fiscal year 2016 Annual Management Report; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7722. A communication from the Director, Office of Government Ethics, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Executive Branch Ethics Program Amendments” (RIN3299–AA42) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 15, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7723. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Technical Amendments” (FAO 2005–92) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7724. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Removal of Regulations Relating to Telegraphic Communication” (RIN0000–AN23) (FAO 2006–92) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7725. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reduction Goals—Representation” (RIN0000–AM90) (FAO 2005–92) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7726. A communication from the Senior Procurement Executive, Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled “Federal Acquisition Regulation; Federal Acquisition Circular 2005–92; Introduction” (FAO 2005–92) received during adjournment of the Senate in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 21, 2016, to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7727. A communication from the Chairman, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7728. A communication from the Chair, Securities and Exchange Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Agency Financial Report for fiscal year 2016 and the Uniform Resource Locator (URL) for the report; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7729. A communication from the Administrator, Agency for International Development, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Agency’s fiscal year 2016 Agency Financial Report and the Uniform Resource Locator (URL) for the report; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7730. A communication from the Administrator, Office of Government Ethics, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Semiannual Report of the Inspector General for the period from April 1, 2016 to September 30, 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7731. A communication from the Chairman, Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Board’s Annual Financial Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7732. A communication from the Chairwoman of the Federal Trade Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7733. A communication from the Chairwoman of the Federal Trade Commission, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.


EC–7735. A communication from the Chairman, National Mediation Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Board’s Annual Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7736. A communication from the Staff Director, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Commission’s Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

EC–7737. A communication from the Chairman, National Mediation Board, transmitting, pursuant to law, the Board’s Annual Performance and Accountability Report for fiscal year 2016; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE
November 29, 2016

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

The following petition or memorial was laid before the Senate and was referred or ordered to lie on the table as indicated:

POM–255. A joint resolution adopted by the Legislature of the State of South Dakota making formal application to the United States Congress to call an Article V convention of the states for the sole purpose of proposing a federal balanced budget amendment to the United States Constitution; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 1001

Whereas, the Legislature of the State of South Dakota hereby applies to Congress, under the provisions of Article V of the Constitution of the United States, for the calling of a convention of the states limited to proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States requiring that in the absence of a national emergency, the total of all federal appropriations made by Congress for any fiscal year may not exceed the total of all estimated federal revenues for that fiscal year, with any related and appropriate fiscal restraints; and

Whereas, this application constitutes a continuing application in accordance with Article V of the United States Constitution, the report of a rule entitled "Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments (85); Amdt. No. 3715'' (RIN2120–AA65) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 17, 2016; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

EC–7766. A communication from the Management and Program Analyst, Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation, transmitting, pursuant to law, the report of a rule entitled "Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, and Takeoff Minimums and Obstacle Departure Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments (1); Amdt. No. 3719'' (RIN2120–AA65) received in the Office of the President of the Senate on November 17, 2016; to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND JOINT RESOLUTIONS

The following bills and joint resolutions were introduced, read the first and second times by unanimous consent, and referred as indicated:

By Mr. JOHNSON:
S. 3848. A bill to amend chapter 8 of title 5, United States Code, to provide for an online consideration in resolutions of disapproval for "midnight rules", and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

By Mr. TESTER:
S. 3849. A bill to establish an advisory committee to issue nonbinding governmentwide guidelines for the availability of information, that is publicly available Government information held by the executive branch to be made available on the Internet, to express the sense of Congress that publically available information held by the legislative and judicial branches should be available on the Internet, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.

SUBMISSION OF CONCURRENT AND SENATE RESOLUTIONS

The following concurrent resolutions and Senate resolutions were read, and referred (or acted upon), as indicated:

By Mr. COONS (for himself and Mr. RUIEO):
S. Res. 620. A resolution reaffirming the United States–Argentina joint statement recognizing Argentina’s economic reforms; to the Committee on Foreign Relations.

By Mr. WYDEN (for himself, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. COONS, Ms. KLOBUCAR, and Mr. MERKLEY):
S. Res. 621. A resolution designating November 2016 as National Hospice and Palliative Care Month; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BLUNT (for himself, Ms. KLOBUCAR, Mr. BOOZMAN, Mr. GRASSLEY, Mr. PORTMAN, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. INHOPE, Mr. DAINES, Mr. MORA, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, Mr. MARKS, Mr. HOPVEN, Mr. BENNET, Mrs. FISCHER, Mr. HARRIS, Mr. COYNE, Mr. ROUINI, Mr. RISCH, Mr. MCCAIN, Mr. WICKER, Mr. ENZI, Mr. BOOKER, Mr. PETERS, Mr. CASHER, Mr. TILLS, Mr. RUBIO, Mr. SCOTT, and Mrs. MURRAY):
S. Res. 622. A resolution expressing support for the goals of National Adoption Day and National Adoption Month by promoting national awareness of adoption and the children awaiting families, celebrating children and families involved in adoption, and encouraging the people of the United States to secure safety, permanency, and well-being, for all children; considered and agreed to.

By Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Mr. MCELHIN, Ms. MURKOWSKY, Mr. TESLER, Mr. WICHER, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. TOOMEY, Mrs. SHAHEEN, Mr. KIRK, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. ROBERTSON, Ms. WYDEN, Mr. BOXER, Mr. GARDNER, Mr. COONS, Mr. HATCH, Mr. PETERS, Mr. LANKFORD, Mr. NELSON, Mr. THUNE, Mr. MENENDEZ, Mr. TESTER, Ms. AYOTTE, Mrs. BILDSWORTH, Mr. CRAP, Mr. HINICH, Mr. COTTON, Mr. UDALL, Mr. BLUNT, Mr. CASEY, Mr. CUPPETO, Mr. ROUDS, Mr. MARKES, Mr. BENNET, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. MANCHIN, and Mr. PERDUE):
S. Res. 623. A resolution recognizing the vital role the Civil Air Patrol has played, and continues to play, in supporting the homeland security and national defense of the United States; considered and agreed to.

By Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Mr. LEAHY, Mr. REID, Mr. DURBIN, Ms. MCKULSKI, Mrs. BOXER, Mr. WYDEN, Mr. REED, Mr. CARPER, Ms. STABENOW, Mr. WHITEHOUSE, Mr. UDALL, Mr. MERKLEY, Mr. BENNET, Mr. FRANKEN, Mr. COONS, Ms. BALDWIN, Mr. MURPHY, Ms. HIRONO, Mr. HINICH, Mr. WARREN, Mr. MARKES, Mr. BOOKER, and Mr. CASEY):
S. Con. Res. 56. A concurrent resolution clarifying any potential misunderstanding as to whether actions taken by President-elect Donald Trump constitute a violation of the Emoluments Clause, and calling on President-elect Trump to divest his interest in, or any ownership or management interest in, the Trump Organization; to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs.
ADDITIONAL COSPONSORS

At the request of Mr. Grassley, the names of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Leahy), the Senator from Maine (Ms. Collins) and the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Carper) were added as cosponsors of S. 298, a bill to amend titles XIX and XXI of the Social Security Act to provide States with the option of providing services to children with medically complex conditions under the Medicaid program and Children’s Health Insurance Program through care coordination programs focused on improving health outcomes for children with medically complex conditions and lowering costs, and for other purposes.

S. 624

At the request of Mr. Brown, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Ms. Warren) was added as a cosponsor of S. 624, a bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to waive coinsurance under Medicare for colorectal cancer screening tests, regardless of whether therapeutic intervention is required during the screening.

S. 689

At the request of Mr. Isakson, the name of the Senator from New Hampshire (Mrs. Shaheen) was added as a cosponsor of S. 689, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for systematic data collection and analysis and epidemiological research regarding Multiple Sclerosis (MS), Parkinson’s disease, and other neurological diseases.

S. 797

At the request of Mr. Nelson, the name of the Senator from Wisconsin (Ms. Baldwin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 797, a bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to repeal the requirement for reduction of survivor annuities under the Survivor Benefit Plan by veterans’ dependency and indemnity compensation, and for other purposes.

S. 1756

At the request of Mrs. Boxer, the name of the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Coons) was added as a cosponsor of S. 1756, a bill to require States to report to the Attorney General certain information regarding shooting incidents involving law enforcement officers, and for other purposes.

S. 2126

At the request of Ms. Cantwell, the name of the Senator from Massachusetts (Mr. Markey) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2126, a bill to reauthorize the women’s business center program of the Small Business Administration, and for other purposes.

S. 2241

At the request of Mrs. Gillibrand, the name of the Senator from Maryland (Mr. Cardin) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2241, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to reauthorize a program for early detection, diagnosis, and treatment regarding deaf and hard-of-hearing newborns, infants, and young children.

S. 2427

At the request of Mr. Schumer, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Mr. Franken) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2427, a bill to prohibit discrimination against individuals with disabilities who need long-term services and supports, and for other purposes.

S. 2551

At the request of Mr. Cardin, the name of the Senator from Oregon (Mr. Wyden) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2551, a bill to help prevent acts of genocide and mass atrocities, which threaten national and international security, by enhancing United States civilian capacities to prevent and mitigate such crises.

S. 2600

At the request of Mr. Alexander, the names of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. Brown) and the Senator from Kansas (Mr. Moran) were added as cosponsors of S. 2660, a bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide comprehensive mental health reform, and for other purposes.

S. 2713

At the request of Mr. Alexander, the name of the Senator from Washington (Mrs. Murray) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2713, a bill to provide for the implementation of a Precision Medicine Initiative.

S. 2873

At the request of Mr. Hatch, the name of the Senator from Oklahoma (Mr. Inhofe) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2873, a bill to require studies and reports examining the use of, and opportunities to use, technology-enabled collaborative learning and capacity building models to improve programs of the Department of Health and Human Services, and for other purposes.

S. 2871

At the request of Mr. Portman, the name of the Senator from Ohio (Mr. Brown) was added as a cosponsor of S. 2971, a bill to authorize the National Urban Search and Rescue Response System.

S. 3065

At the request of Mr. Wyden, the name of the Senator from Virginia (Mr. Kaine) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3065, a bill to amend parts B and E of title IV of the Social Security Act to invest in funding prevention and family services to help keep children safe and supported at home, to ensure that children in foster care are placed in the least restrictive, most family-like, and appropriate settings, and for other purposes.

S. 319

At the request of Mr. Brown, the name of the Senator from New Jersey (Mr. Menendez) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3149, a bill to posthumously award a Congressional Gold Medal to Lawrence Eugene “Larry” Doby in recognition of his achievements and contributions to American major league athletics, civil rights, and the Armed Forces during World War II.

S. 3245

At the request of Mr. Merkley, the name of the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. Klobuchar) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3245, a bill to amend title VIII of the Public Health Service Act to extend advanced education nursing grants to support clinical nurse specialist programs, and for other purposes.

S. 3256

At the request of Mr. Durbin, the name of the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Murphy) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3256, a bill to amend the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 to provide assistance for developing countries to promote quality basic education and to establish the goal of all children in school and learning as an objective of the United States foreign assistance policy, and for other purposes.

S. 3339

At the request of Mr. Leahy, the names of the Senator from Rhode Island (Mr. Whitehouse), the Senator from Texas (Mr. Cornyn), the Senator from Minnesota (Ms. Klobuchar), the Senator from Utah (Mr. Hatch), the Senator from Delaware (Mr. Coons), the Senator from Connecticut (Mr. Blumenthal), and the Senator from New Hampshire (Ms. Ayotte) were added as cosponsors of S. 3350, a bill to amend title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 to authorize grants for heroin and methamphetamine task forces.

S. 3450

At the request of Mr. Brown, the name of the Senator from New Mexico (Mr. Heinrich) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3450, a bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to include electric charging of certain vehicles as a qualified transportation fringe benefit excluded from gross income.

S. 3475

At the request of Mr. Coons, the name of the Senator from Vermont (Mr. Leahy) was added as a cosponsor of S. 3475, a bill to delay the amendments to rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

S. RES. 416

At the request of Mrs. Shaheen, the name of the Senator from Michigan (Mr. Peters) was added as a cosponsor of S. Res. 416, a resolution supporting the goals and ideals of American Diabetes Month.
Whereas Secretary of State John Kerry visited Argentina in August 2016 to launch a High-Level Dialogue on Children in Foster Care; and
Whereas Secretary Kerry, during his visit, stated that “the United States strongly supports President Macri’s effort to deepen Argentina’s integration with the global economy” and that “our governments will be supporting policies that are aimed at strong, sustainable, and inclusive economic growth”; Now, therefore, be it
Resolved, That the Senate—
(1) designates November 2016 as National Hospice and Palliative Care Month; and
(2) encourages the people of the United States—
(A) to increase their understanding and awareness of care at the end of life; and
(B) to observe National Hospice and Palliative Care Month with appropriate activities and programs.

SENATE RESOLUTION 621—DESIGNATING NOVEMBER 2016 AS NATIONAL HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE CARE MONTH

Whereas President Macri has emphasized his intention to seek closer ties with the United States and restore the bilateral partnership previously enjoyed by both countries; Whereas the Argentine Republic is a major non-NATO ally of the United States; Whereas United States-Argentina relations are historically characterized by cooperative commercial ties and strong bilateral cooperation on human rights, peacekeeping, science and technology, non-proliferation, and education, as well as on regional and global issues; Whereas President Barack Obama traveled to Argentina in March 2016 to strengthen engagement on trade and investment, renewable energy, climate change, security, and peacekeeping issues; Whereas, in an appearance with President Macri at the Casa Rosada in Buenos Aires, President Obama said that “our countries share profound values in common—respect for human rights, for individual freedoms, for democracy, for justice, and for peace”; Whereas the United States Department of the Treasury no longer opposes multilateral development lending to Argentina because of the Government of Argentina’s “progress on key issues and positive economic policy trajectory”; Whereas, President Macri prioritized Argentina resolving its 15-year standoff with internacional for resolving its dispute with international creditors; and (2) encourages the Department of State to coordinate an interagency strategy to increase cooperation with the Government of Argentina on areas of bilateral, regional, and global concern; (3) commends President Mauricio Macri and his Administration for making far-reaching economic reforms that will benefit the people of Argentina, stimulate economic growth, and deepen Argentina’s integration with the global economy; (4) praises the Government of Argentina for resolving its dispute with international creditors; and (5) encourages the Government of Argentina to continue to investigate and prosecute those responsible for the 1994 bombing of the Argentine-Israeli Mutual Association (AMIA) in Buenos Aires, as well as the January 2015 death of AMIA special prosecutor Alberto Nisman.

SENATE RESOLUTION 622—EX-PRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE GOALS OF NATIONAL ADOPTION DAY AND NATIONAL ADOPTION MONTH BY PROMOTING NATIONAL AWARENESS OF ADOPTION AND THE CHILDREN AWAITING FAMILIES, CELEBRATING CHILDREN AND FAMILIES INVOLVED IN ADOPTION, AND ENCOURAGING THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES TO SECURE SAFETY, PERMANENCY, AND WELFARE, FOR ALL CHILDREN

Whereas there are millions of unparented children in the world, including 427,910 children in the foster care system in the United States, approximately 111,820 of whom are waiting for families to adopt them; Whereas 62 percent of the children in foster care in the United States are age 10 or younger; Whereas the average length of time a child spends in foster care is approximately 2 years; Whereas for many foster children, the wait for a loving family in which the children are nurtured, comforted, and protected seems endless; Whereas, in 2015, over 20,000 youth “aged out” of foster care because of reaching adulthood without being placed in a permanent home; Whereas every year, thousands of loving families are strengthened and expanded when committed and dedicated individuals make an important difference in the life of a child through adoption; Whereas, a 2007 survey conducted by the Dave Thomas Foundation for Adoption demonstrated that although “Americans overwhelmingly support the concept of adoption, and in particular foster care adoption . . . foster care adoptions have not increased significantly over the past 5 years”; Whereas while nearly a quarter of individuals in the United States have considered adoption, a majority of individuals in the United States have misperceptions about the process of adopting children from foster care and the children who are eligible for adoption; Whereas 50 percent of individuals in the United States believe that children cannot enter the foster care system because of juvenile delinquency, when in reality the vast majority of
children who have entered the foster care system were victims of neglect, abandonment, or abuse; whereas 39 percent of individuals in the United States believe that foster care adoption is expensive, when in reality there is no substantial cost for adopting from foster care and financial support is available to adoptive parents after the adoption is finalized; whereas family reunification, kinship care, and domestic and intercountry adoption promote permanency and stability to a far greater degree than long-term institutionalization or long-term, often disrupted, foster care; whereas November is National Adoption Month, and National Adoption Day occurs in November; whereas National Adoption Day is a collective national effort to find permanent, loving families for children in the foster care system; whereas, since the first National Adoption Day in 2000, nearly 64,500 children have joined permanent families during National Adoption Day; and whereas the President traditionally issues an annual proclamation to declare the month of November as National Adoption Month, and National Adoption Day is on November 19, 2016. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved—

(1) supports the goals and ideals of National Adoption Day and National Adoption Month, and

(2) recognizes that every child should have a permanent and loving family; and

(3) encourages the people of the United States to consider adoption during the month of November and throughout the year.

SENATE RESOLUTION 623—RECOGNIZING THE VITAL ROLE THE CIVIL AIR PATROL HAS PLAYED, AND CONTINUES TO PLAY, IN SUPPORTING THE HOMELAND SECURITY AND NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES

Ms. COLLINS (for herself, Ms. Mikulski, Mr. Blumenthal, Ms. Murkowski, Mr. Tester, Mr. Wicker, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Toomey, Mrs. Shaheen, Mr. Kirk, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Roberts, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Shaheen, Mrs. Boxer, Mr. Gardner, Ms. Coons, Mr. Hatch, Mr. Peters, Mr. Lankford, Mr. Nelson, Mr. Thune, Mr. Menendez, Mr. Sullivan, Mr. Carper, Ms. Ayotte, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Crapo, Mr. Heinrich, Mr. Cotton, Mr. Udall, Mr. Blunt, Mr. Casey, Mrs. Capito, Mr. King, Mr. Rounds, Mr. Markey, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Franken, Mr. Manchin, and Mr. Perdue) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to:

S. RES. 623

Whereas, on December 1, 1941, a new civilian defense organization known as the Civil Air Patrol was founded, which was to rely on volunteer civilian aviators who would fly in support of the homeland security of the United States; whereas with the attack on Pearl Harbor 6 days later and the entry of the United States into World War II, the Civil Air Patrol would find itself serving the United States in ways that were not imagined at the time of the conception of the Civil Air Patrol; whereas the Civil Air Patrol initially engaged in coastal patrol operations that were considered critical to the United States war effort, piloting aircraft that in total flew 24,000,000 miles over 18 months, reporting 173 possible enemy submarines, and dropping 82 bombs or depth charges; whereas Civil Air Patrol civilian volunteers flew privately owned light aircraft armed with military bombs at the expense of the volunteers, often at low altitude, in bad weather, and up to 60 miles from shore; whereas Civil Air Patrol civilian volunteers undertook other vital World War II missions nationwide, which included border patrols, search and rescue operations, courier and cargo services, and air defense and pilot training; whereas, unlike many organizations at the time, the Civil Air Patrol welcomed women into its ranks to fly for the Civil Air Patrol, with approximately one-half of the women later joining the Women’s Airforce Service Pilots (commonly known as “WASP”) after having first flown with the Civil Air Patrol; whereas Civil Air Patrol control was open to all pilots interested in flying for the Civil Air Patrol, which allowed African-Americans an opportunity to serve and fly for the United States well before the adoption of the integrated Armed Forces; whereas, in 2016, the Civil Air Patrol continues its critical mission in service to the United States, now as a vital partner for the Air Force, serving as the auxiliary force, and, since 2001, as an official component of the total force; whereas the Civil Air Patrol remains one of the premier inland search and rescue organizations of the United States, and was credited with saving the lives of 69 individuals through search and rescue operations in 2015; whereas the Civil Air Patrol continues to fulfill many other vital missions, including helping train interceptor pilots and unmanned aerial vehicle operators under realistic conditions, aerial observation missions, counterdrug operations, disaster relief support, live organ transport, aerospace education, cadet programs, and Reserve Officer Training Corps orientation flights; whereas the continued work of the all-volunteer force of the Civil Air Patrol offers vital support to homeland security and defense missions; and whereas the weekly youth and aerospace education programs of the Civil Air Patrol continue to introduce young students to the field of aviation and instill within the students the values of national service and personal responsibility; now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) applauds the Civil Air Patrol for 75 years of continuous service in times of peace and war; and

(2) recognizes the critical emergency services, training support, and mission capabilities that the Civil Air Patrol offers State and national homeland security agencies as well as the United States Armed Forces; and

(3) commends the more than 23,500 youth and 32,500 adult volunteers of the Civil Air Patrol, who hail from a range of professions and nationalities and dedicate their time to the service of their communities and the United States.

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 56—CLARIFYING ANY POTENTIAL MISUNDERSTANDING AS TO WHETHER ACTIONS TAKEN BY PRESIDENT-ELECT DONALD TRUMP CONSTITUTE A VIOLATION OF THE EMOLUMENTS CLAUSE, AND CALLING ON PRESIDENT-ELECT TRUMP TO DIVEST HIS INTEREST IN, AND SEVER HIS RELATIONSHIP TO, THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION

Mr. CARDIN (for himself, Mr. Leahy, Mr. Reid, Mr. Durbin, Ms. Mikulski, Mrs. Boxer, Mr. Wyden, Mr. Reed, Mr. Carper, Ms. Stabenow, Mr. Whitehouse, Mr. Udall, Mr. Merkley, Mr. Bennet, Mr. Franken, Mr. Coons, Ms. Baldwin, Mr. Murphy, Ms. Hirono, Mr. Heinrich, Ms. Warren, Mr. Markay, Mr. Booker, and Mr. Casey) submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs:

S. CON. RES. 56

Whereas article I, section 9, clause 8 of the United States Constitution is commonly known as the “Emoluments Clause,” declares, “No title of Nobility shall be granted within the United States: And no Person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under them, shall, without the Consent of the Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State.”; whereas the issue of foreign corruption greatly concerned the Founding Fathers of the United States, such as Alexander Hamilton in Federalist No. 22, wrote, “In republican governments, where the community, by the suffrages of their fellow-citizens, to stations of great pre-eminence and power, may find compensations for betraying their trust, which, to any but minds animated and guided by superior virtue, may appear to exceed the proportion of interest they have in the common stock, and to overbalance the obligations of duty. Hence it is that history furnishes us with so many mortifying examples of the prevalency of foreign corruption in republican governments.”; whereas the President of the United States is the head of the executive branch of the Federal Government and is expected to have undivided loyalty to the United States, and consequently occupies an “oath of office” within the meaning of article I, section 8, clause 8 of the Constitution, according to the Office of Legal Counsel of the Department of Justice; whereas the Office of Legal Counsel of the Department of Justice opined in 2009 that corporations owned or controlled by a foreign government are presumptively foreign states under the Emoluments Clause; whereas President-elect Donald J. Trump has a business network, the Trump Organization, that has financial interests around the world and negotiates and concludes transactions with foreign states and entities that are extensions of foreign states; whereas Michael Van Tieghem, attorney for Donald J. Trump and the Trump Organization, has stated that the Trump Organization
would be placed into a "blind trust" managed by Donald Trump's children, Donald Trump Jr., Ivanka Trump, and Eric Trump; whereas the very nature of a "blind trust" is such that it will have no control over, will receive no communications about, and will have no knowledge of the identity of the specific assets held in the trust, and that the manager of the trust is independent of the owner, and as such the arrangement proposed by Mr. Cohen is not a blind trust; whereas Presidents Ronald Reagan, George H. W. Bush, William J. Clinton, and George W. Bush have set the precedent of using true blind trusts, in which their holdings were liquidated and placed in new investments unknown to them by independent trustees who managed them free of familial bias; whereas the intermingling of the business of the Trump Organization and the work of government has the potential to constitute the foreign corruption so feared by the Founding Fathers and betray the trust of America's citizens; whereas the intent of this resolution is to prevent any potential misunderstanding or crisis with regards to whether the actions of Donald J. Trump as President of the United States will violate the Emoluments Clause and is disguising the obligations of office based on the national interest, not based on personal interest: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That Congress:

(1) calls upon President-elect Donald J. Trump to follow the precedent established by prior presidents and convert his assets to simple, conflict-free holdings, adopt blind trusts managed by independent managers with no relationship to Donald J. Trump or his businesses, or take other equivalent measures, in order to ensure compliance with the Emoluments Clause of the United States Constitution;

(2) calls upon President-elect Donald J. Trump not to use the powers or opportunities of the Office of the President of the United States for any purpose related to the Trump Organization; and

(3) regards, in the absence of such actions outlined in (1) or specific authorization by Congress, dealings that Donald J. Trump, as President of the United States, may have through his companies with foreign governments or entities owned or controlled by foreign governments as potential violations of the Emoluments Clause.

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. President, I come to the floor to speak on behalf of a resolution I will submit today on the enrollment clause, which seems to uphold the values and strictures of one of our Nation's most sacred documents—the Constitution itself.

The Founding Fathers were clear in their belief that any Federal officeholder in the United States must never be placed in a position where he or she could be influenced by a foreign governmental actor. Article 1, section 9, clause 8 of the U.S. Constitution, known as the emoluments clause, declares that "no title of nobility shall be granted by the United States: And no person holding any office of profit or trust under them, shall, without the consent of the Congress, accept of any present, emolument, office, or title, of any kind whatever, from any king, prince, or foreign state."

Longstanding precedent has made it plain the President of the United States, as the head of the executive branch of government, clearly occupies an office of profit or trust. As such, the emoluments clause applies to and constrains whoever holds the Oval Office of the Presidency.

For those who claim to value a strict interpretation of the Constitution and who place ultimate authority above partisan politics, the unambiguous reading and meaning are clear and evident. Put simply, the American public has a right to know the President of the United States is acting in their best interest and not because he or she has received some benefit or gift from a foreign government, such as Russia or China or any foreign entity. They need to know the President of the United States is making decisions about potential trade agreements, windfall profits, or where we spend America's great resources is based upon what is in the public interest and not because it would advance the President's private pecuniary interests.

The Founding Fathers' concerns on this subject were neither abstract nor baseless. Alexander Hamilton made specific references to these dangers in the Federalist Papers. While the Constitution was being debated in America, the Polish Lithuanian Commonwealth was in the process of being ruthlessly dismembered by her neighbors—Prussia, the Austrian Empire and Russia. Poland's neighbors bribed Polish Government officials and succeeded in paralyzing the state for decades. The Founding Fathers placed the emoluments clause, an explicit bar on foreign corruption and interference, within the Constitution so we may avoid Poland's fate.

Happily, the emoluments clause has not been a section of the Constitution that has had to be of concern to this body, nor is there voluminous case history detailing its legal interpretation with regard to the highest offices of the executive branch. This is because every President, from George Washington to Barack Obama, has taken great pains to avoid even the appearance of impropriety with regard to their personal wealth and investments, ensuring that the public never interfere with performing their duties as President of the United States.

That is why, over the past four decades, Presidents Jimmy Carter, Ronald Reagan, George Herbert Walker Bush, Bill Clinton, and George W. Bush all had their assets placed into blind trusts while they were President. President Obama went even further because he wanted to fulfill his promises of greater transparency. He invested the vast majority of his funds into U.S. Treasury bonds.

I wish the well-established precedent and practice would make it unnecessary to introduce and seek to move this resolution today. I wish President-Elect Trump would be inclined to continue the longstanding and bipartisan tradition of Presidential traditions.

In September, Mr. Trump said, if he were elected, he would absolutely never violate the Emoluments Clause. Despite that pledge, it has since become clear that absent intervention by this body, the President-elect may not follow the precedents established by his predecessors. In so doing, he may risk whatever reason and whatever motive—place himself and our Constitution in jeopardy.

As a separate and coequal branch of government, the Senate has a duty and obligation to safeguard our Constitution. It is to the Constitution, after all, not the person or position, that we swear our oath of office and to nourish the republican virtues that have allowed our Nation and government to flourish.

We must do so because following the election, it appears that President-elect Trump may have changed his mind about the promises he made as he sought office. Mr. Trump's lawyers announced The Trump Organization would be placed into a "blind trust," managed by Don Trump's older children, Donald Trump, Jr., Ivanka Trump, and Eric Trump.

Let me be clear, as the gravity of this issue demands absolute clarity. The financial arrangement described by Mr. Trump and his lawyers is not a blind trust. It just isn't. We can't allow Mr. Trump or his lawyers to trick us or the American people into thinking it is just because they use that term.

A true blind trust, including the ones established by past Presidents, is an arrangement where the official has no control over, will receive no communications about, and will have no knowledge of the identity of the specific assets held in the trust, and the trust's managers operate independently of the owner.

The arrangement described by Mr. Trump and his lawyers is not independent. Mr. Trump is well aware of the specific assets held, and he can receive communications about and take actions to affect the values of such assets. The idea that President-Elect Trump's children are or will be truly independent managers is not credible. This is not a blind trust, and this is not an arrangement that will ensure compliance with the emoluments clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Mr. Trump has said there is no one like him who has ever become President of the United States. On that point, he may well be correct. I am very concerned Mr. Trump may violate the U.S. Constitution on the day he takes office and, even if it is not his intent, place himself and our Nation in a perilous position of not having the proper means to convey to the President-elect there is still time for him to avoid this constitutional conflict.
Some might ask: Why should anyone care? It is not hard to imagine circumstances in which a foreign governmental actor will want to give President Trump gifts so they can curry favor with him and hope to influence his decisions in ways that benefit them when the President's decisions benefit the American people—precisely the danger our Founding Fathers sought to protect against with the emoluments clause.

This is not an esoteric argument about rules that do not affect real people. The American public has the right to know if the trade agreements negotiated by the new administration will benefit American businesses, farmers, workers, and consumers or whether they will benefit a company or hotels.

Donald Trump's business network, The Trump Organization, has financial interests around the world and negotiates and includes transactions with foreign states and entities that are extensions of foreign states.

To give but one example of how bad things can get if Mr. Trump is allowed to stay connected to his businesses: In Azerbaijan, The Trump Organization partnered with billionaire Anar Mammadov to build a 33-story Trump Tower in Baku, the capital of Azerbaijan. Mammadov's father is Azerbaijan's long-time Transportation Minister and a confidant of the President of Azerbaijan. There have been allegations this billionaire's company and the companies he is connected to have profited from more than $1 billion worth of transportation contracts related to his father's position in the Transportation Ministry.

Azerbaijan has been ruled by a U.S. ambassador to Azerbaijan in the 1990s and an adviser to the Director of National Intelligence under George W. Bush has said of this deal: “These are not business people acting on their own—you’re dealing with daddy.”

There are a great many nations, none of which we should emulate, where the lines between officials of the foreign government and business entities controlled by that foreign government are blurred. For that reason, the Office of Legal Counsel at the Department of Justice has stated that corporations owned or controlled by foreign governments are presumptively foreign states under the emoluments clause.

We should all be concerned when the President-elect is connected to an organization that has dealings with countries and entities that aren’t interested in distinguishing between doing business with President Trump and the wrongdoing position that bears his name. We run the risk of turning the United States of America, our legal system, our immigration system, our financial system, our trade agreements, and our military into subsidiaries of The Trump Organization.

It has already been reported that the Trump International Hotel in Washington, DC, has been patronized by an increasing number of foreign dignitaries and diplomats because of Mr. Trump’s election. One diplomat was recorded as saying:

Why wouldn’t I stay at his hotel, blocks from the White House, so I can see the new president, “I love your new hotel?” Isn’t it rude to come to his city and say, “I am staying at your competitor?”

Likewise, news reports suggest that one day after a phone call between President-Elect Trump and the President of Argentina, permits under review for a Trump building in Buenos Aires were suddenly approved. In China, just days after the Presidential election, Donald Trump scored a legal victory in a high-stakes dispute over the right to use the Trump name for real estate agent services in commercial and residential properties in China. The timing of these actions is interestingly coincidental. The appearance of intermingling between the business of The Trump Organization and the work of government has already begun. Despite Mr. Trump’s campaign promises to sever or sell the Trump Organization and the work of government, he stated that “I’ll have my children and my executives run the company and I won’t discuss it with them,” the Trump Presidential transition team has named Mr. Trump’s children, Donald Trump Jr., Ivanka Trump, and Eric Trump, to the transition team’s executive committee—the same children who are supposedly managing The Trump Organization without discussing it with him. In those positions, they have, in a de facto manner, turned the Trump Organization into a device to trademark disputes over the right to use the Trump name for real estate agent services in commercial and residential properties.

This insight into human conditions elicited the precise fear articulated by our Founding Fathers: Leaders who receive gifts and payments from foreign governments, being human, may not act in the best interest of the American people. To quote Richard Painter, an expert in ethics and an adviser to George W. Bush: “Imagine where we’d be today if President Franklin Roosevelt had owned apartment buildings and hotels... and some of us might be speaking German.”

I am extremely troubled by Mr. Trump’s recent remarks on this subject. On November 22, President-Elect Trump stated, “The law’s totally clear. You can have a meaning, Mr. Trump, but you can’t have a conflict of interest.” In typical Trump sleight of hand, he selectively picks his own facts as he shows a troubling and callous disregard for our Constitution and for the duty he owes to the American people.

While the President, Vice President, Members of Congress, and Federal judges may be granted specific, limited exemptions from conflicts of interest statutes so that they can do their duties, that law does not supersede the Constitution nor, frankly, have anything to do with the very specific provisions of the emoluments clause preventing foreign governmental financial influence over the President. That the President-elect is not doing enough to avoid such conflicts is what brings me to the floor today and, overall, according to one new poll, is troubling to nearly 60 percent of the people.

The list goes on and on. The totality of these engagements and the potential implications are deeply, deeply disturbing. Yet President-Elect Trump has done nothing to assure the American people that he will put their interests above the enrichment of himself and his children, and he will assure, as the Founding Fathers intended, that the President is not placed in a position where he might be vulnerable to foreign influence. Thus, even the appearance of foreign influence.

While Mr. Trump or his advisers say “Trust us,” let us remember what John Adams said: “We are a government of laws and not of men.” It was the enduring wisdom of our Founders to recognize that not all men are angels, so we place our trust in the Constitution itself, not in individuals.

Mr. Trump’s wealth and business interests must yield to the U.S. Constitution. Those wide-ranging interests make us realize just how critical the Constitution’s prohibition of foreign financial influence is. The business and financial system, our trade agreements, and our military into subsidiaries of The Trump Organization does overseas in places like Scotland, Argentina, India, and Azerbaijan cannot help but not be far from Mr. Trump’s mind when he discusses matters of policy with foreign leaders. This is not because President-Elect Trump is any more susceptible to these temptations than anyone else but simply because, as the Founding Fathers recognized, we are humans, not angels.

As the American people, human conditions dictate the precise fear articulated by our Founding Fathers: Leaders who receive gifts and payments from foreign governments, being human, may not act in the best interest of the American people.
unfortunate proposition that “when the president does it, that means it is not illegal” before, and Congress, in service of the Constitution and the American people, has found that not to be the case. No one is above the law; no one is above the Constitution, including the President of the United States.

President-Elect Trump has also tweeted: “Prior to the election it was well known that I have interests in properties around the world.” That is understandable. But the American people, in voting for a candidate, cannot—indeed, would not want to—excuse a potential future violation of the Constitution by that candidate.

President-Elect Trump’s attempt to imply that because he won the election, the Constitution somehow does not apply to him is irresponsible and disrespectful. It would be disrespectful to the Constitution; it is truly disrespectful to the American people, who are trusting their future, their children, their livelihood, and their safety to decisions Mr. Trump will make once he becomes President.

We must do everything we can to protect the Constitution, our democracy, and the American people from such recklessness.

The aim of my resolution is straightforward. It takes a strict interpretation of the plain words of the Constitution and supports the traditional values and practices adopted by previous Presidents. It simply calls on President-Elect Trump to follow the precedent established by prior Presidents and convert his assets to simple, conflict-free holdings, adopt blind trusts managed by truly independent trustees with no relationship to Mr. Trump or his businesses, or to take other, equivalent measures. It calls upon the President-Elect Trump to refrain from using the powers or opportunities of his position for any purpose related to The Trump Organization. It makes it clear that Mr. Trump does not take appropriate actions to sever his ties to his business interests and have no choice, given the oath to protect and defend the Constitution that each and every Member has taken, but to view any dealings Mr. Trump has through his companies with foreign governments or entities owned or controlled by foreign governments as a potential violation of the emoluments clause.

As Mr. Painter observed, “It should send a clear message to [Mr. Trump] that he should divest his assets and that [Congress] will regard dealings with his companies that he owns abroad and any entities owned by foreign governments as a potential violation of the Emoluments Clause unless he can prove it was an arm’s-length transaction.”

It makes it clear to President-Elect Trump that we care about the Constitution and our democracy, that the American people really are watching, and that we will not be distracted from caring about these things. I want to close by observing that because of strong feelings and passions generated by the recent election, some might be tempted to view this resolution and its aims through a distorted prism of politics. Nothing could be further from the truth. I strongly support a smooth transition between the Obama administration and the Trump administration to have support from Congress to succeed on behalf of the American people. But when Mr. Trump deviates from his constitutional responsibilities or recommends policies that are contrary to the core values of our Nation, Members of Congress have an obligation to speak out and to act.

I stand here today because I believe Congress has an institutional, constitutional obligation to ensure that the President of the United States, whosoever that is, does not violate our Constitution, acts lawfully, and is discharging the obligations of the office based on the broad interests of the American people, not his or her own narrow personal interests.

My resolution is not intended to create a misunderstanding or crisis, but to avoid one, so that President-Elect Trump can put aside any appearance of impropriety and devote himself to the good work on behalf of the American people. Let us hope President-Elect Trump can make very clear what our expectations are ahead of inauguration day. Why? So that we can avoid a Constitutional crisis. Such a crisis would not serve in the best interests of the President, Congress, and the American people.

### AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

**SA 5113. Mr. McCONNELL (for Mr. Grassley (for himself, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Hatch, Mr. Blunt, Mr. Schumer, and Mr. Coons)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2944, to require reporting on the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits programs, and for other purposes.**

**SA 5114. Mr. McCONNELL (for Mr. Grassley) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2944, supra.**

**SA 5115. Mr. McCONNELL (for Mr. Cornyn) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 461, to provide for alternative financing arrangements for the provision of certain services and the construction and maintenance of infrastructure at land border ports of entry, and for other purposes.**

**SA 5116. Mr. McCONNELL (for Mr. Heller (for himself, Mrs. Feinstein, and Mr. Reid)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3438, to authorize the Secretaries of Veterans Affairs and Health and Human Services to carry out a major medical facility project in Reno, Nevada.**

### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 5113. Mr. McCONNELL (for Mr. Grassley (for himself, Mrs. Gillibrand, Mr. Hatch, Mr. Blunt, Mr. Schumer, and Mr. Coons)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2944, to require accurate reporting on the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits program, and for other purposes; as follows:**

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Public Safety Officers’ Benefits Improvement Act of 2016.”

### SECTION 2. REPORTS.

Section 1205 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796c) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting “Rules, regulations, and procedures used under this part may include regulations based on standards developed by another Federal agency for programs related to public safety officer death or disability claims,” before the last sentence;

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting “(1)” before “In making”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) in making a determination under section 1201, the Bureau shall give substantial weight to the evidence and all findings of fact presented by a State, local, or Federal administrative or investigatory agency regarding eligibility for death or disability benefits.”;

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(c)(1)(A) Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Bureau shall make available on the public website of the Bureau information on all death, disability, and educational assistance claims submitted under this part that are pending as of the date on which the information is made available.

“(B) Not less frequently than once per week, the Bureau shall make available on the public website of the Bureau updated information with respect to all death, disability, and educational assistance claims submitted under this part that are pending as of the date on which the information is made available.

“(C) The information made available under this paragraph shall include, concretely—

(i) for each pending claim—

(I) the date on which the claim was submitted to the Bureau;

(ii) the date of enactment of this subsection, and

(iii) the total number of pending claims that were submitted to the Bureau more than 1 year before the date on which the information is made available.

“(2)(A) Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this subsection, and every 180 days thereafter, the Bureau shall submit a report to Congress on the death, disability, and educational assistance claims submitted under this part.

“(B) Each report submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include information on—

(i) the total number of claims for which a final determination has been made during the 180-day period preceding the report;

(ii) the amount of pending claims and the amount of claims paid during the 180-day period preceding the report;

(iii) the date that is 1 year before the date on which the information is made available;

(iv) as of the last day of the 180-day period preceding the report, the total number of claims submitted to the Bureau on or before that date for which a final determination has not been made;

(v) for each claim described in clause (iv), a detailed description of the delay; and

(vi) as of the last day of the 180-day period preceding the report, the total number of
of claims submitted to the Bureau on or before that date relating to exposure due to the September 11th, 2001, terrorism attacks for which a final determination has not been made; 

"(vii) as of the last day of the 180-day period preceding the report, the total number of claims submitted to the Bureau on or before the date that is 1 year before that date relating to exposure due to the September 11th, 2001, terrorism attacks for which a final determination has not been made; 

"(viii) the number described in clause (vii), a detailed description of the basis for delay; 

"(ix) the total number of claims submitted to the Bureau relating to exposure due to the September 11th, 2001, terrorism attacks for which a final determination was made during the 180-day period preceding the report, and the average award amount for any such claims that were approved; 

"(x) the result of each claim for which a final determination was made during the 180-day period preceding the report, including the number of claims rejected and the basis for any denial of benefits; 

"(xi) the number of final determinations which were appealed during the 180-day period preceding the report, regardless of when the final determination was first made; 

"(xii) the number of claims processed per reviewer of the Bureau during the 180-day period preceding the report; 

"(xiii) for any claim submitted to the Bureau for which the Bureau issued a subpoena to a public agency during the 180-day period preceding the report in order to obtain information or documentation necessary to determine the claim, the name of the public agency, the date on which the subpoena was issued, and the dates on which the public agency was contacted by the Bureau before the issuance of the subpoena; and 

"(xiv) information on the compliance of the Bureau with the obligation to offset award amounts under section 1201(f)(3), including— 

"(A) the number of claims that are eligible for compensation under both this part and the September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001 (49 U.S.C. 40101 note; Public Law 107–42) (commonly referred to as the ‘VCF’); 

"(B) for each claim described in clause (i) for which compensation has been paid under the VCF, the amount of compensation paid under the VCF; 

"(C) the number of claims described in clause (i) for which the Bureau has made a final determination; and 

"(D) the number of claims described in clause (i) for which the Bureau has not made a final determination. 

"(xv) the number of claims described in clause (i) for which the Bureau has made a final determination; and 

"(xvi) the number of claims described in clause (i) for which the Bureau has not made a final determination. 

"(xvii) the number of claims described in clause (i) for which the Bureau has made a final determination; and 

"(xviii) the number of claims described in clause (i) for which the Bureau has not made a final determination. 

"(xix) not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits Improvement Act of 2016, and every 5 years thereafter, the Comptroller General of the United States shall— 

"(A) conduct a study on the compliance of the Bureau with the obligation to offset award amounts under section 1201(f)(3); and 

"(B) if, on the date of enactment of this Act, the Bureau has not yet conducted a study under subparagraph (A) that includes an assessment of whether the Bureau has provided the information required by subparagraph (B) of paragraph (2) of this subsection in each report required under that paragraph, 

"(1) in this subsection, the term ‘nature of the claim’ means whether the claim is a claim for— 

"(A) benefits under this subpart with respect to the disability of a public safety officer; or 

"(B) benefits under this subpart with respect to the disability of a public safety officer; or 

"(2) the education assistance under subpart 2."

SEC. 3. AGE LIMITATION FOR CHILDREN. 
Section 1202(c) of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796d–1(c)) is amended— 

"(1) by striking ‘‘No child’’ and inserting the following:— 

"(i) In general.—Subject to paragraph (2), no child'; and 

"(2) by adding at the end the following:— 

"(D) CLAIM FOR BENEFITS FOR DEATH OR PERMANENT AND TOTAL DISABILITY.—In addition to an extension under subparagraph (A), if any, for a claim for benefits under subpart 1 that is approved more than 1 year after the date on which the claim was filed with the Attorney General, the age limitation under this subsection shall be extended by the length of the period— 

"(1) beginning on the date after the date that is 1 year after the date on which the application is filed; and 

"(ii) ending on the date on which the application is approved.

SEC. 4. DUE DILIGENCE IN PAYING BENEFIT CLAIMS. 
Subpart 1 of part L of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796d et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following: 

"SEC. 1208. DUE DILIGENCE IN PAYING BENEFIT CLAIMS. 

"(a) In general.—The Bureau, with all due diligence, shall expeditiously attempt to obtain the information and documentation necessary to adjudicate a benefit claim filed under this part, including a claim for financial assistance under subpart 2, upon the request of any entity, may enter into a fee agreement with such entity under which— 

"(1) U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall provide services described in subsection (b) at a United States port of entry or any other facility at which U.S. Customs and Border Protection provides or will provide such services; 

"(2) U.S. Customs and Border Protection a fee imposed under a contract of U.S. Customs and Border Protection with a United States contractor that is not proprietary in nature or will be incurred in providing such services; and 

"(3) if space is provided by such entity, any benefit under this Act provided by a United States contractor or contractor of U.S. Customs and Border Protection on the date of enactment of this Act. 

"(b) FEES AGREEMENTS FOR CERTAIN SERVICES AT PORTS OF ENTRY. 
SEC. 5. PRESUMPTION THAT OFFICER ACTED IN GOOD FAITH. 
Section 1202 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796a) is amended— 

"(1) by striking ‘‘No benefit’’ and inserting the following:— 

"(a) In general.—No benefit'; and 

"(2) by adding at the end the following:— 

"(B) if any, the Bureau shall— 

"(1) presume that none of the limitations described in subsection (a) apply; and 

"(2) have the burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that a limitation described in subsection (a) applies.

SEC. 6. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICABILITY. 
The amendments made by this Act shall— 

"(1) take effect on the date of enactment of this Act; and 

"(2) apply to any benefit claim or application under part L of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796d et seq.). 

"(A) pending before the Bureau of Justice Assistance on the date of enactment; or 

"(B) received by the Bureau on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

SA 5114. Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. GRASSLEY) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 2941, to require adequate reporting on the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits program, and for other purposes: 

"Amend the title so as to read: ‘‘A bill to require adequate reporting on the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits program, and for other purposes.’’

SA 5115. Mr. MCCONNELL (for Mr. CORNYN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 461, to provide for alternative financing arrangements for the provision of certain services and the construction and maintenance of infrastructure at land border ports of entry, and for other purposes: 

"Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following: 

"SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. 
This Act may be cited as the ‘‘Cross-Border Trade Enhancement Act of 2016.’’

SEC. 2. PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS. 
SEC. 481. FEE AGREEMENTS FOR CERTAIN SERVICES AT PORTS OF ENTRY. 
SEC. 6. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICABILITY. 
The amendments made by this Act shall— 

"(1) take effect on the date of enactment of this Act; and 

"(2) apply to any benefit claim or application under part L of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796d et seq.). 

"(A) pending before the Bureau of Justice Assistance on the date of enactment; or 

"(B) received by the Bureau on or after the date of enactment of this Act.
port of entry or any other facility at which U.S. Customs and Border Protection provides or will provide services.

(c) Modification of Trade PRIOR AGREEMENTS.—

The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, at the request of an entity who has previously entered into an agreement under subsection (a), may modify such agreement to implement the act, provided that—

(1) the entity submits to the Commissioner the unexpired term of any prior fee agreement and is otherwise in compliance with the terms of such agreement;

(2) a determination and report on the full costs of providing such services, including the salaries and expenses of employees and contractors of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, is submitted to the Congress that represent the State or Congressional District in which the affected port of entry or facility is located in such agreement;

(3) the identification of costs paid by the entity who has previously entered into an agreement with U.S. Customs and Border Protection for the reimbursement of fees in effect on the date of enactment of this section, or under the provisions of this section, may request that such agreement be amended to provide for the reimbursement of fees in effect on the date of enactment of this section, or under the provisions of this section, prior to the activities undertaken and the agreement entered into pursuant to this section to—

(A) the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Finance of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate;

(D) the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate;

(E) the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives;

(F) the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives; and

(G) the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives; and

(4) the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives;

(5) the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives;

and

(S) of the size of the port of entry.

(f) PORT OF ENTRY SIZE.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall—

(A) the reason for the denial is law enforcement; and

(B) the identification of costs paid by such entity, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, a fee agreement for U.S. Customs and Border Protection services at an air port of entry with respect to the following locations at which services:—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount of the fee to be charged under an agreement authorized under subsection (a) shall be paid by each entity requesting U.S. Customs and Border Protection services under any such agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) and shall be available as described in such subsection.

(2) RELATION TO OTHER FEE AGREEMENTS.—

(A) a determination and report on the full amount charged pursuant to an agreement under subsection (a) and shall be available to the House of Representatives; and

(B) a determination and report on the full amount charged pursuant to an agreement under subsection (a) and shall be available as described in such subsection.

(3) COVERED SERVICES.—In addition to costs described in paragraph (1), a fee agreement under this section may be construed as imposing any other costs incurred or to be incurred for the reimbursement of—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection may enter into an agreement with U.S. Customs and Border Protection for the reimbursement of fees in effect on the date of enactment of this section, or under the provisions of this section, may request that such agreement be amended to provide for the reimbursement of fees in effect on the date of enactment of this section, or under the provisions of this section, prior to the activities undertaken and the agreement entered into pursuant to this section to—

(A) the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

(B) the Committee on Finance of the Senate;

(C) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate;

(D) the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate;

(E) the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives;

(F) the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives; and

(G) the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives; and

(2) not later than 15 days before entering into a fee agreement, notify the members of Congress that represent the State or Congressional District in which the affected port of entry or facility is located of such agreement.

(l) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section may be construed as imposing any other costs incurred or to be incurred for the reimbursement of—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, in consultation with the Administrator of General Services, may enter into an agreement with any entity to accept a donation of personal property, money, or nonpersonal services for the uses described in paragraph (3) only with respect to the following locations at which U.S. Customs and Border Protection performs or will be performing inspection services:

(A) a new or existing sea or air port of entry.

(B) any existing Federal Government-owned land port of entry.

(C) any Federal Government-owned land port of entry if—

(i) the fair market value of the donation is $5,000 or less; and

(ii) the fair market value, including any personal and real property donations in

fee in a timely manner. If such services are terminated, all costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection that have not been paid shall become immediately due and payable. Interest on such costs paid or to be paid shall be computed based on the rate and amount established under sections 6621 and 6622 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Penalty—If any entity that, after notice and demand for payment of any fee under subsection (b), fails to pay such fee in a timely manner shall be liable for a penalty equal to twice the amount of such fee. Such penalty may be collected and deposited into the account designated by the Secretary of the Treasury, which account is to be used for the full payment of the costs of providing such services, including the salaries and expenses of employees and contractors of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to provide such services and other costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection relating to such services, as temporary or permanent placement or relocation of such employees and contractors.

(2) TIMING.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection may require that the fee paid by each entity that has entered into a fee agreement under subsection (a) with U.S. Customs and Border Protection in advance of the service. Customs and Border Protection services, and shall be for the full amount charged pursuant to an agreement under subsection (a) and shall be available to the Congress that represent the State or Congressional District in which the affected port of entry or facility is located of such agreement.

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount of the fee to be charged under an agreement authorized under subsection (a) shall be paid by each entity requesting U.S. Customs and Border Protection services under any such agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) and shall be available as described in such subsection.

(2) RETURN OF UNUSED FUNDS.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall return any unused funds collected and deposited into账户 descrited in paragraph (1) if a fee agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) is terminated for any reason or the terms of such fee agreement change by mutual agreement to cause a reduction of U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.

(1) TERMINATION.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall terminate the services provided pursuant to a fee agreement entered into under subsection (a) if the entity submitting such proposal with the request for the denial unless—

(A) the denial is filed in the national security interests of the United States.

(B) the identification of costs paid by such entity, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, a fee agreement for U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.

(1) ACCOUNT.—Funds collected pursuant to any agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) shall be deposited as offsetting collections.

(2) RETURN OF UNUSED FUNDS.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall return any unused funds collected and deposited into the account described in paragraph (1) if a fee agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) is terminated for any reason or the terms of such fee agreement change by mutual agreement to cause a reduction of U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.

(1) TERMINATION.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, on U.S. Customs and Border Protection any responsibilities, duties, or authorities relating to real property.

(S) SEC. 452. PORT OF ENTRY DONATION AUTHORITY.—

(1) PERSONAL PROPERTY DONATION AUTHORITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, in consultation with the Administrator of General Services, may enter into an agreement with any entity to accept a donation of personal property, money, or nonpersonal services for the uses described in paragraph (3) only with respect to the following locations at which U.S. Customs and Border Protection performs or will be performing inspection services:

(A) a new or existing sea or air port of entry.

(B) any existing Federal Government-owned land port of entry.

(C) any Federal Government-owned land port of entry if—

(i) the fair market value of the donation is $5,000 or less; and

(ii) the fair market value, including any personal and real property donations in

fee in a timely manner. If such services are terminated, all costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection that have not been paid shall become immediately due and payable. Interest on such costs paid or to be paid shall be computed based on the rate and amount established under sections 6621 and 6622 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Penalty—If any entity that, after notice and demand for payment of any fee under subsection (b), fails to pay such fee in a timely manner shall be liable for a penalty equal to twice the amount of such fee. Such penalty may be collected and deposited into the account designated by the Secretary of the Treasury, which account is to be used for the full payment of the costs of providing such services, including the salaries and expenses of employees and contractors of U.S. Customs and Border Protection, to provide such services and other costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection relating to such services, as temporary or permanent placement or relocation of such employees and contractors.

(2) TIMING.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection may require that the fee paid by each entity that has entered into a fee agreement under subsection (a) with U.S. Customs and Border Protection in advance of the service.

(3) COVERED SERVICES.—In addition to costs described in paragraph (1), a fee agreement under this section may be construed as imposing any other costs incurred or to be incurred for the reimbursement of—

(A) a determination and report on the full costs of providing such services, and a process for increasing such fees, as necessary; and

(B) the establishment of a periodic remittance schedule to replenish appropriations, accounts, or funds, as necessary; and

(C) the identification of costs paid by such fees.

(1) ACCOUNT.—Funds collected pursuant to any agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) shall be deposited as offsetting collections.

(2) RETURN OF UNUSED FUNDS.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall return any unused funds collected and deposited into the account described in paragraph (1) if a fee agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) is terminated for any reason or the terms of such fee agreement change by mutual agreement to cause a reduction of U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.

(1) TERMINATION.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall terminate the services provided pursuant to a fee agreement entered into under subsection (a) if the entity submitting such proposal with the request for the denial unless—

(A) the denial is filed in the national security interests of the United States.

(B) the identification of costs paid by such entity, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, a fee agreement for U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.

(1) ACCOUNT.—Funds collected pursuant to any agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) shall be deposited as offsetting collections.

(2) RETURN OF UNUSED FUNDS.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall return any unused funds collected and deposited into the account described in paragraph (1) if a fee agreement entered into pursuant to subsection (a) is terminated for any reason or the terms of such fee agreement change by mutual agreement to cause a reduction of U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.

(1) TERMINATION.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall terminate the services provided pursuant to a fee agreement entered into under subsection (a) if the entity submitting such proposal with the request for the denial unless—

(A) the denial is filed in the national security interests of the United States.

(B) the identification of costs paid by such entity, except as otherwise provided in this subsection, a fee agreement for U.S. Customs and Border Protection services. No interest shall be owed upon the return of any such unused funds.
null
section regarding the acceptance of real or personal property are in the discretion of the Commissioner and the Administrator and are not subject to judicial review.

(f) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, nothing in this section may be construed as affecting in any manner the responsibilities, duties, or authorities of U.S. Customs and Border Protection or the General Services Administration.

SEC. 483. CURRENT AND PROPOSED AGREEMENTS.

‘‘Nothing in this subtitle or in section 4 of the Cross-Border Trade Enhancement Act of 2016 may be construed as affecting—

‘‘(1) any agreement entered into pursuant to section 590 of division D of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113–36) or section 599 of division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (6 U.S.C. 211 note; Public Law 113–76), as in existence on the day before the date of the enactment of this subsection, and any such agreement shall continue to have full force and effect on and after such date; or

‘‘(2) a proposal accepted for consideration by U.S. Customs and Border Protection pursuant to such section 599, as in existence on the day before such date of enactment.

SEC. 484. DEFINITIONS.

‘‘In this subtitle—

‘‘(1) DONOR.—The term ‘donor’ means any entity that is proposing to make a donation pursuant to subsection (a) and (b); and

‘‘(3) the fees and donations received by U.S. Customs and Border Protection pursuant to such section 599, as in existence on the day before the date of the enactment of this subsection, and any such agreement shall continue to have full force and effect on and after such date; or

‘‘(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, nothing in this section may be construed as affecting in any manner the responsibilities, duties, or authorities of U.S. Customs and Border Protection or the General Services Administration.

SEC. 483. CURRENT AND PROPOSED AGREEMENTS.

‘‘Nothing in this subtitle or in section 4 of the Cross-Border Trade Enhancement Act of 2016 may be construed as affecting—

‘‘(1) any agreement entered into pursuant to section 590 of division D of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113–36) or section 599 of division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (6 U.S.C. 211 note; Public Law 113–76), as in existence on the day before the date of the enactment of this subsection, and any such agreement shall continue to have full force and effect on and after such date; or

‘‘(2) a proposal accepted for consideration by U.S. Customs and Border Protection pursuant to such section 599, as in existence on the day before such date of enactment.

SEC. 484. DEFINITIONS.

‘‘In this subtitle—

‘‘(1) DONOR.—The term ‘donor’ means any entity that is proposing to make a donation under this Act.

‘‘(2) ENTITY.—The term ‘entity’ means any—

‘‘(A) person;

‘‘(B) partnership, corporation, trust, estate, cooperative, association, or any other organization;

‘‘(C) Federal, State or local government (including any subdivision, agency or instrumentality thereof); or

‘‘(D) any other private or governmental entity.’’.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Homeland Security Act of 2002 is amended by adding at the end of the list of items relating to title IV the following:

‘‘Subtitle G—U.S. Customs and Border Protection Public Private Partnerships

‘‘Sec. 481. Fee agreements for certain services at ports of entry.

‘‘Sec. 482. Port of entry donation authority.

‘‘Sec. 483. Current and proposed agreements.

‘‘Sec. 484. Definitions.’’.

SEC. 3. MODIFICATION OF EXISTING REPORTS TO CONGRESS.

Section 907(b) of the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 (Public Law 114–125) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking “or” at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting ‘‘; or’’; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

‘‘(5) the program for entering into reimbursable fee agreements with U.S. Customs and Border Protection established under section 481 of the Homeland Security Act of 2002.’’.

SEC. 4. REPEALS.

(a) CONTRACT AUTHORITY.—Section 560 of division D of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113–6) is repealed.

(b) PARTNERSHIP PILOT PROGRAM.—Section 559 of division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (6 U.S.C. 211 note; Public Law 113–76) is repealed.

SEC. 5. WAIVER OF POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN LAW ENFORCEMENT APPLICANTS.

Section 3 of the Anti-Border Corruption Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–376; 6 U.S.C. 221) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking ‘‘The Secretary’’ and inserting the following:

‘‘(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary’’;

(2) in subsection (a)(1), as redesignated, by inserting ‘‘except as provided in subsection (b)’’ after ‘‘Border Protection’’; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

‘‘(b) WAIVER.—The Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection may waive the polygraph examination requirement under subsection (a)(1) for any applicant who—

‘‘(1) is deemed suitable for employment;

‘‘(2) holds a current, active Top Secret/Sensitive Compartmented Information Clearance;

‘‘(3) has a current Single Scope Background Investigation;

‘‘(4) was not granted any waivers to obtain his or her clearance; and

‘‘(5) is a veteran (as defined in section 2108 of title 5, United States Code).’’.

SA 5116. Mr. McCONNELL (for Mr. HELLER (for himself, Mrs. FEINSTEIN, and Mr. REID)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3438, to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a major medical facility project in Reno, Nevada, as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SEC. 1. AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN MAJOR MEDICAL FACILITY PROJECTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs may carry out the following major medical facility projects, with each project to be carried out in an amount not to exceed the amount specified for that project:

(1) Seismic, life safety, and utilities upgrades and expansion of clinical services in Reno, Nevada, in an amount not to exceed $213,800,000.

(2) Seismic corrections to the mental health and community living center in Long Beach, California, in an amount not to exceed $317,300,000.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2017 or the year in which funds are appropriated for the Construction, Major Projects, account $581,100,000 for the projects authorized in subsection (a).

(c) LIMITATION.—The projects authorized in subsection (a) may only be carried out using—

(1) funds appropriated for fiscal year 2017 or the year in which funds are appropriated for the Construction, Major Projects, account pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (b);

(2) funds available for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(3) funds available for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(4) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(5) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(6) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 for a category of activity not specific to a project;

(7) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2017 for a category of activity not specific to a project; and

(8) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 for a category of activity not specific to a project.

FOREIGN TRAVEL FINANCIAL REPORTS

In accordance with the appropriate provisions of law, the Secretary of the Senate herewith submits the following reports for standing committees of the Senate, certain joint committees of the Congress, delegations and groups, and select and special committees of the Senate, relating to expenses incurred in the performance of authorized foreign travel:
## Name and country | Name of currency | Foreign currency U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency | Transportation | Miscellaneous | Total | Per diem | Total
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
### Senator Pat Roberts:
England: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | | 5,085.86
Total: | | | | | | | 5,085.86

### Consolidated Report of Expenditure of Funds for Foreign Travel by Members and Employees of the U.S. Senate, Under Authority of Sec. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), Committee on Appropriations for Travel from July 1 to Sept. 30, 2016

| Name and country | Name of currency | Foreign currency U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency | Transportation | Miscellaneous | Total | Per diem | Total
---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---
William Todd: | Germany: | Euro | 768.92 | | | | 768.92
Lithuania: | Euro | 290.03 | | | | | 290.03
Romania: | Leu | 500.00 | | | | | 500.00
United States: | Dollar | 16,313.49 | | | | | 16,313.49
Mary Colleen Gaydos: | Germany: | Euro | 768.92 | | | | 768.92
Lithuania: | Euro | 290.03 | | | | | 290.03
Romania: | Leu | 500.00 | | | | | 500.00
United States: | Dollar | 13,988.51 | | | | | 13,988.51
Laure Friedel: | Haiti: | Gourde | 619.00 | | | | 619.00
United States: | Dollar | 3,810.79 | | | | | 3,810.79
Sarah Beneke: | Haiti: | Gourde | 619.00 | | | | 619.00
United States: | Dollar | 3,810.79 | | | | | 3,810.79
Jeff Recine: | Haiti: | Gourde | 619.00 | | | | 619.00
United States: | Dollar | 3,810.79 | | | | | 3,810.79
Lisa Bernhardt: | Haiti: | Gourde | 375.00 | | | | 375.00
United States: | Dollar | 1,651.00 | | | | | 1,651.00
Senator Richard Shelby: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
United States: | Dollar | 7,332.66 | | | | | 7,332.66
Senator Thad Cochran: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
United States: | Dollar | 7,673.76 | | | | | 7,673.76
Kay Webber Cochran: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
United States: | Dollar | 7,673.76 | | | | | 7,673.76
Linda Good: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
Brian Pitts: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
Jacqui Russell: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
Jeremy Merich: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
Jean Tsai Eisien: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
United States: | Dollar | 561.86 | | | | | 561.86
Virginia Boney: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 5,085.86 | | | | 5,085.86
Senator Lindsey Graham: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 3,715.16 | | | | 3,715.16
Anne Caldwell: | United Kingdom: | Pound | 4,985.86 | | | | 4,985.86
United States: | Dollar | 596.86 | | | | | 596.86
Rachel Santos: | Tanzania: | Shilling | 1,341.50 | | | | 1,341.50
United States: | Dollar | 11,367.76 | | | | | 11,367.76
Patrick Carroll: | Tanzania: | Shilling | 1,341.50 | | | | 1,341.50
United States: | Dollar | 11,367.76 | | | | | 11,367.76
Carlyle Clarkes: | Tanzania: | Shilling | 1,341.50 | | | | 1,341.50
United States: | Dollar | 11,367.76 | | | | | 11,367.76
David Gibbs: | Japan: | Yen | 1,361.00 | | | | 1,361.00
United States: | Dollar | 8,623.66 | | | | | 8,623.66
Kate Kastner: | Japan: | Yen | 1,361.00 | | | | 1,361.00
Singapore: | Dollar | 559.00 | | | | | 559.00
United States: | Dollar | 33,509.26 | | | | | 33,509.26
Allen Cutler: | United States: | Dollar | 146.81 | | | | 146.81
France: | Euro | 674.00 | | | | | 674.00
Belgium: | Euro | 272.00 | | | | | 272.00
United States: | Dollar | 11,719.00 | | | | | 11,719.00
Alexander Carney: | Sudan: | Pound | 1,856.00 | | | | 1,856.00
United States: | Dollar | 192.42 | | | | | 192.42
Shekou: | Yuan | 20.00 | | | | | 20.00
Ethiopia: | Birr | 818.05 | | | | | 818.05
United States: | Dollar | 1,632.88 | | | | | 1,632.88
Robert Heimle: | Germany: | Euro | 859.32 | | | | 859.32
Luxembourg: | Euro | 392.56 | | | | | 392.56
Poland: | Zloty | 271.92 | | | | | 271.92
Sweden: | Krona | 226.00 | | | | | 226.00
Patrick Magnuson: | Germany: | Euro | 859.32 | | | | 859.32
Luxembourg: | Euro | 392.56 | | | | | 392.56
Poland: | Zloty | 271.92 | | | | | 271.92
Sweden: | Krona | 226.00 | | | | | 226.00
### CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22

**U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS FOR TRAVEL FROM JULY 1 TO SEPT. 30, 2016—Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States</strong></td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>12,403.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12,403.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom H. Carper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Wheelock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Santos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Christopher Murphy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alec Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris H. Dodd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Santors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Barbara Mikulski</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Toal Eisen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Wheelock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator John B. Barrasso</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delegation expenses:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delegation expenses:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delegation expenses:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delegation expenses:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 510(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Sections 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1997.*

**SENATOR THAD COCHRAN,**
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, Oct. 25, 2016.

**CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22**

**U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES FOR TRAVEL FROM JULY 1 TO SEPT. 30, 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</td>
<td>Foreign currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Georgia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Roger Wicker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delegation Expenses:</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 510(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Sections 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1997.*

**SENATOR THAD COCHRAN,**
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations, Oct. 25, 2016.
### Delegation Expenses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Delegation</th>
<th>Name of Country</th>
<th>Currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senator Joni Ernst</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>10,499.36</td>
<td>10,499.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>65.03</td>
<td>65.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>238.77</td>
<td>238.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurt Frist Jr.</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>14,802.46</td>
<td>14,802.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>93.85</td>
<td>93.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>238.77</td>
<td>238.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Scafati</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>10,499.36</td>
<td>10,499.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>65.03</td>
<td>65.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>238.77</td>
<td>238.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delegation Expenses:</em></td>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>1,153.35</td>
<td>1,153.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>946.00</td>
<td>946.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator John McCain</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Afghani</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kathleen Wheelinger:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Afghani</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elizabe O’Toole:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Afghani</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td>48.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator Lindsey Graham:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>29,249.02</td>
<td>29,249.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>Afghani</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>5,011.67</td>
<td>5,011.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Craig Boebig:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td>20,688.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td>436.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator Joni Ernst:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>24,022.48</td>
<td>24,022.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td>473.66</td>
<td>473.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td>168.00</td>
<td>168.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daniel Lemen:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>12,901.84</td>
<td>12,901.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>1,712.57</td>
<td>1,712.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>733.00</td>
<td>733.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator Roger Wicker:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>4,985.86</td>
<td>4,985.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joseph Lax:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>4,985.86</td>
<td>4,985.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator James M. Inhofe:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>4,464.26</td>
<td>4,464.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthony Lazara:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>4,591.56</td>
<td>4,591.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator Mike Rounds:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>4,133.86</td>
<td>4,133.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dan Aldestein:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>4,133.86</td>
<td>4,133.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>5,579.81</td>
<td>5,579.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Daniel Lemen:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>10,079.76</td>
<td>10,079.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>2,041.09</td>
<td>2,041.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>314.00</td>
<td>314.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Christian Bosse:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>23,447.94</td>
<td>23,447.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Yen</td>
<td>589.27</td>
<td>589.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Won</td>
<td>360.94</td>
<td>360.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Peso</td>
<td>427.76</td>
<td>427.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>971.79</td>
<td>971.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Yen</td>
<td>281.18</td>
<td>281.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Won</td>
<td>287.42</td>
<td>287.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Peso</td>
<td>326.24</td>
<td>326.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>251.66</td>
<td>251.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cord Sterling:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>18,656.52</td>
<td>18,656.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Kroon</td>
<td>213.53</td>
<td>213.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Forint</td>
<td>778.92</td>
<td>778.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Krónur</td>
<td>450.79</td>
<td>450.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Kroon</td>
<td>322.90</td>
<td>322.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adam Banken:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>14,001.58</td>
<td>14,001.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>Franc</td>
<td>630.02</td>
<td>630.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thomas Geffen:</strong></td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>18,244.86</td>
<td>18,244.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td>31.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>Manat</td>
<td>317.00</td>
<td>317.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Lari</td>
<td>616.00</td>
<td>616.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>289.00</td>
<td>289.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovna</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>493.00</td>
<td>493.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>525.00</td>
<td>525.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>295.00</td>
<td>295.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>Dinara</td>
<td>361.00</td>
<td>361.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Delegation Expenses:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>Denar</td>
<td>210.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>210.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td></td>
<td>430.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>430.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Wheelinger: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>317.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>317.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Lari</td>
<td>604.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>604.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>286.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>286.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</td>
<td>Marka</td>
<td>495.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>495.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>523.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>523.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Lek</td>
<td>208.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>208.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>Denar</td>
<td>266.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>266.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>433.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>433.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dustin Hunter: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>18,547.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18,547.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>Manat</td>
<td>233.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>233.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Lari</td>
<td>342.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>627.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>627.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>290.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>290.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</td>
<td>Marka</td>
<td>482.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>482.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>425.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>425.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Lek</td>
<td>154.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td>333.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>333.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>Denar</td>
<td>175.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>175.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>322.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>322.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mariah McMillan: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>18,244.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18,244.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijan</td>
<td>Manat</td>
<td>342.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Lari</td>
<td>627.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>627.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>290.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>290.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>95.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</td>
<td>Marka</td>
<td>482.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>482.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>425.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>425.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albania</td>
<td>Lek</td>
<td>154.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>154.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td>333.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>333.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia</td>
<td>Denar</td>
<td>175.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>175.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kosovo</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>322.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>322.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Epting: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>18,773.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18,773.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>Tenge</td>
<td>572.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>572.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>395.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>395.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>540.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>540.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>610.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>610.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belarus</td>
<td>Rubles</td>
<td>381.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>381.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>Dram</td>
<td>258.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>258.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>257.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>257.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cord Sterling: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>15,094.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,094.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Peso</td>
<td>506.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>506.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>893.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>893.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>743.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>743.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Wheelinger: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>15,094.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,094.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>506.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>506.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>715.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>715.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>768.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>768.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David E. Gephardt: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>15,094.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,094.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>506.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>506.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>715.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>715.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>768.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>768.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>241.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>241.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>551.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>551.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Bill Nelson: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>900.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td>667.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>667.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>303.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>303.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>285.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>285.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Krona</td>
<td>316.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>316.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Williams: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>900.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>900.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td>667.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>667.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>303.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>303.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>285.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>285.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Krona</td>
<td>316.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>316.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Angus King: Denmark</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td>905.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>905.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Smith: Denmark</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td>905.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>905.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan Cashwell: Denmark</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td>895.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>895.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Angus King: Denmark</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td>3,928.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,928.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Wong: United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,297.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,297.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td>6,255.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,255.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES FOR TRAVEL FROM JULY 1 TO SEPT. 30, 2016—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Rupiah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Lats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Rupiah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Lats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Rupiah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Lats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Rupiah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Lats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Rupiah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Lats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Krone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuwait</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Rupiah</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td>Lats</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Note


SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN,
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services, Oct. 25, 2016.
## CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

### November 29, 2016

**CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22**

### U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES FOR TRAVEL FROM JULY 1 TO SEPT. 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>David Collins:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

**CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22**

### U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC WORKS FOR TRAVEL FROM JULY 1 TO SEPT. 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator Cory A. Booker:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sophia Lawall:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matthew B. Klappro:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraq</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Delegation Expenses:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Dinar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

**CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR FOREIGN TRAVEL BY MEMBERS AND EMPLOYEES OF THE U.S. SENATE, UNDER AUTHORITY OF SEC. 22, P.L. 95–384—22**

### U.S.C. 1754(b), COMMITTEE ON FINANCE FOR TRAVEL FROM JULY 1 TO SEPT. 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator Tim Scott:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jennifer DeLaurentis:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brian Gaff:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Shekel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Christopher Campbell:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ewenn Esselstien:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Swiss Franc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shane Warren:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Swiss Franc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Christopher Campbell:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Thai Bath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>Brunei Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Singapore Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ewenn Esselstien:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Thai Bath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>Brunei Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Singapore Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shane Warren:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Thai Bath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>Brunei Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Singapore Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jay Waskle:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Rupee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Thai Bath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunei</td>
<td>Brunei Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Singapore Dollar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 520(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384 and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1977.

**Senator Lisa Murkowski:**
Chairman, Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, Oct. 5, 2016.

**Senator James Inhofe:**

**Senator Cory A. Booker:**
### Consolidated Report of Expenditure of Funds for Foreign Travel by Members and Employees of the U.S. Senate, Under Authority of Sec. 22, P.L. 95–384—22 U.S.C. 1754(b), Committee on Foreign Relations for Travel from July 1 to Sept. 30, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senator John Barrasso:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>2,742.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Grassley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td>2,742.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>2,742.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Christopher Coons:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td>578.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Koruna</td>
<td>378.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Kroon</td>
<td>578.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>378.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Edward Markey:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>Escudo</td>
<td>182.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>CFA Franc</td>
<td>889.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>Liberian dollar</td>
<td>685.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Naira</td>
<td>405,84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>375.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator John McCain:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Lempira</td>
<td>580.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Cordoba</td>
<td>580.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Colón</td>
<td>580.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,718.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Jim Inhofe:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>3,646.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>677.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Roger Wicker:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Ben Sasse:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Joni Ernst:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Patrick Toomey:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Robert Menendez:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Maria Cantwell:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Bill Nelson:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Lindsey Graham:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Cory Booker:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Tim Kaine:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Robert Casey:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Michael Bennet:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Mark Warner:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator John Thune:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Jon Tester:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Thad Cochran:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Mazie Hirono:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Dick Durbin:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Robert Menendez:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,364.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>17,832.56</td>
<td>191,495.26</td>
<td>2,180.91</td>
<td>221,508.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name and country</td>
<td>Name of currency</td>
<td>Foreign U.S. or currency</td>
<td>Transportation U.S. or currency</td>
<td>Miscellaneous U.S. or currency</td>
<td>Total U.S. or currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>407.00</td>
<td>2,742.76</td>
<td>407.00</td>
<td>3,956.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Peso</td>
<td>2,742.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,742.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>642.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>642.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>249.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>249.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>268.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>268.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>31,459.07</td>
<td>64,195.02</td>
<td>11,843.99</td>
<td>107,498.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1978.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Per diem</th>
<th>Transportation</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</td>
<td>U.S. dollar equivalent</td>
<td>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</td>
<td>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</td>
<td>Foreign currency or U.S. currency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Bautista:</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,527.98</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
<td>1,166.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>349.23</td>
<td>349.23</td>
<td>349.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jose Bautista:</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Won</td>
<td>801.59</td>
<td>801.59</td>
<td>801.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,166.82</td>
<td>1,166.82</td>
<td>1,166.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Vina:</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,203.40</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Won</td>
<td>814.65</td>
<td>814.65</td>
<td>814.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,203.40</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Gary Peters:</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>513.63</td>
<td>513.63</td>
<td>513.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>172.38</td>
<td>172.38</td>
<td>172.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Koruna</td>
<td>237.00</td>
<td>237.00</td>
<td>237.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td>487.04</td>
<td>487.04</td>
<td>487.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Koruna</td>
<td>354.93</td>
<td>354.93</td>
<td>354.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Vina:</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,203.40</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Won</td>
<td>814.65</td>
<td>814.65</td>
<td>814.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,203.40</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
<td>10,771.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator John Cornyn:</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>Hryvnia</td>
<td>541.71</td>
<td>541.71</td>
<td>541.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Euro</td>
<td>193.42</td>
<td>193.42</td>
<td>193.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Koruna</td>
<td>266.82</td>
<td>266.82</td>
<td>266.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>Dirham</td>
<td>503.33</td>
<td>503.33</td>
<td>503.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Koruna</td>
<td>439.89</td>
<td>439.89</td>
<td>439.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,193.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,888.95</td>
<td>54,864.36</td>
<td>56,353.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Delegation expenses include payments and reimbursements to the Department of State under authority of Sec. 502(b) of the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended by Section 22 of P.L. 95–384, and S. Res. 179 agreed to May 25, 1977.
### Consolidated Report of Expenditure of Funds for Foreign Travel by Members and Employees of the U.S. Senate, Under Authority of Sec. 22, P.L. 95–384—22

#### Chairman, Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, Oct. 17, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senator David Vitter</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>3,207.39</td>
<td>6,414.78</td>
<td>15,111.66</td>
<td>3,207.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>15,111.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Tom Cotton</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>2,969.38</td>
<td>6,938.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>12,961.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,176.77</td>
<td>37,078.38</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>43,255.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and currency</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Foreign currency</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Tully</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Matchison</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>1,137.00</td>
<td>2,274.00</td>
<td>4,520.00</td>
<td>1,137.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>4,520.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,274.00</td>
<td>4,520.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6,794.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jangsun Hye</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Tom Cotton</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>1,137.00</td>
<td>2,274.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>2,274.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,137.00</td>
<td>2,274.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,411.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad Turner</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,200.00</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3,600.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Bookout</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>984.13</td>
<td>1,968.26</td>
<td>3,936.52</td>
<td>984.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,968.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,968.26</td>
<td>3,936.52</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,904.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayden Miller</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>1,074.00</td>
<td>2,148.00</td>
<td>4,300.00</td>
<td>1,074.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>4,300.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,148.00</td>
<td>4,300.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6,448.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator James Lankford</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>480.00</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,920.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Farris</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>480.00</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>1,920.00</td>
<td>480.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>960.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,920.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Walm</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td>284.00</td>
<td>568.00</td>
<td>142.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>284.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>284.00</td>
<td>568.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>852.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Weiss</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>497.00</td>
<td>994.00</td>
<td>1,491.00</td>
<td>497.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>994.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>994.00</td>
<td>1,491.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,485.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senator Tom Cotton</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>534.00</td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,136.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Tully</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Pound</td>
<td>534.00</td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td>1,068.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,136.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Per diem U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Transportation U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Miscellaneous U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Total U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Hawkins:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>189.00</td>
<td>537.00</td>
<td>12,495.86</td>
<td>14,167.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Norwegian Krone</td>
<td>176.50</td>
<td>502.60</td>
<td>12,042.86</td>
<td>13,602.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>21,862.42</td>
<td>111,239.48</td>
<td>137,670.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Per diem U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Transportation U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Miscellaneous U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Total U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambassador David Killion:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Dollar</td>
<td>885.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>885.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Zloty</td>
<td>9,522.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,522.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,414.67</td>
<td>22,716.52</td>
<td></td>
<td>34,131.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and country</th>
<th>Name of currency</th>
<th>Per diem U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Transportation U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Miscellaneous U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
<th>Total U.S. dollar equivalent or U.S. currency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairman, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Nov. 7, 2016.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Mr. McConnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to request the return of the papers with respect to H. Con. Res. 122 so that the enrolling clerk may make a technical correction. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered. PUBLIC SAFETY OFFICERS' BENEFITS IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2016

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 513, S. 2944. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title. The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (S. 2944) to require adequate reporting on the Public Safety Officers' Benefit program, and for other purposes. There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on the Judiciary, with amendments, as follows: (The parts of the bill intended to be stricken are shown in boldface brackets and the parts of the bill intended to be inserted are shown in italics.)

S. 2944

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Public Safety Officers' Benefits Improvement Act of 2016".

SEC. 2. REPORTS.

Section 1205 of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796c) is amended— (1) in subsection (a), by inserting "Rules, regulations, and procedures issued under this part may include regulations based on standards developed by another Federal agency for programs related to public safety officer death or disability claims." after "before the Bureau":; and (2) in subsection (b)—
(A) by inserting "(1)" before "In making"; and
(B) by adding at the end the following:
(2) In making a determination under section 1202, the Bureau shall give substantial weight to the evidence and all findings of fact presented by a State, local, or Federal administrative or investigative agency regarding eligibility for death or disability benefits; and
(3) by adding at the end the following:
(3) Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this subsection, the Bureau shall make available on the public website of the Bureau updated information on all death, disability, and educational assistance claims submitted under this part that are pending as of the date on which the information is made available.

(C) the information made available under this paragraph shall include—
(1) the date on which the claim was submitted to the Bureau;
(2) the State of residence of the claimant;
(3) the number of claims that are pending as of the date on which the information is made available;
(4) the total number of pending claims that were submitted to the Bureau more than 1 year before the date on which the information is made available;
(5) the amount of time required to process each pending claim; and
(6) the result of each claim

(D) by adding at the end the following:
(1) by striking ''No benefit'' and inserting the following:
(1) in General.—Subject to paragraph (2), no child; and
(2) by adding at the end following:
(2) Delayed Approvals.—
(A) Educational Assistance Application.——If a claim for assistance under this subpart is approved more than 1 year after the date on which the application for such assistance is filed with the Attorney General, the age limitation under this subpart shall be extended by the length of the period—
(1) beginning on the day after the date that is 1 year after the date on which the application is filed, and
(2) ending on the date on which the application is approved.
(B) Claim for Benefits for Death or Permanent and Total Disability.—In addition to an extension under subparagraph (A), if any, for an application for assistance under this subpart that relates to a claim for benefits under subpart 1 that was approved more than 1 year after the date on which the claim was filed with the Attorney General, the age limitation under this subpart shall be extended by the length of the period—
(1) beginning on the day after the date that is 1 year after the date on which the claim for benefits is submitted; and
(2) ending on the day on which the claim for benefits is approved.

SEC. 6. EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICABILITY.

The amendments made by this Act shall—
(1) take effect on the date of enactment of this Act; and
(2) apply to any benefit claim or application under part L of title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. 3796d–1) that is—
(A) pending before the Bureau of Justice Assistance on the date of enactment; or
(B) received by the Bureau on or after the date of enactment of this Act.

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today, the Senate reiterates its commitment to our Nation’s law enforcement officers, firefighters, and other first responders. Forty years ago, we created the Comanche Safety Act of 1974 to provide medical care for officers who suffered injuries in the line of duty. Since 1974, we have expanded the program to include firefighters and police officers who suffer injuries or illnesses as the result of exposure to hazardous substances while performing their duties. However, the claims adjudication process, which has too long has been plagued by red tape and delays.

Today’s legislation builds upon my past efforts to improve the PSOB program. In 2003, I worked with a bipartisan group of Senators to pass the Hometown Heroes Survivors Benefits Act, which recognized that law enforcement officers who suffer fatal heart attacks or strokes in the line of duty also deserve benefits. In 2009, I introduced the Dale Long Emergency Medical Service Providers Protection Act, which became law in 2012 and extended PSOB benefits to nonprofit Emergency Medical Service, EMS, providers. This change covered an estimated 1,200 EMS providers in Vermont alone. Today’s legislation will add transparency to the PSOB’s decisionmaking process and should help expedite the review of applications for benefits.

The legislation also includes an amendment I offered in the Judiciary Committee that improved this bill in three important ways. First, it ensured that children are not disqualified from receiving education benefits due to delays within the PSOB program, which can approach 10 years. At a Senate Judiciary hearing in April, a law enforcement official described this as unconscionable. I agree. My amendment ensures it will never happen
again. Second, a fallen officer or first responder’s family should not have their claim denied simply because their employer fails to provide necessary paperwork to the PSOB office. My amendment requires that the PSOB office use every investigation tool it has to obtain all the data needs from third parties to process a claim. This will ensure that officers and their families who are entitled to benefits are not further victimized by delays beyond their control. Finally, as originally drafted, this legislation applies only to claims filed after it becomes law. I want these improvements to help those currently stuck in the backlog, and my amendment fixed this issue.

One hundred twenty-three law enforcement officers have been killed in the line of duty so far in 2016. These families deserve a working and responsive PSOB program. This legislation, while only a modest step, demonstrates our shared commitment to those officers and their families. I urge the House of Representatives to quickly pass this legislation and send it to the President for signature.

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the committee-reported amendments be withdrawn; that the Grassley substitute amendment be agreed to; that the bill, as amended, be read a second time and passed; that the Grassley title amendment be agreed to; and that the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDENT OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The committee-reported amendments were withdrawn.

The amendment (No. 5113) in the nature of a substitute was agreed to.

The amendment (printed in today’s Record under “Text of Amendments.”)

The bill (S. 2944), as amended, was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

The amendment (No. 5114) was agreed to, as follows:

(Purpose: To amend the title)

Amend the title so as to read: “A bill to require adequate reporting on the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits program, and for other purposes.”

CROSS-BORDER TRADE ENHANCEMENT ACT OF 2015

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the immediate consideration of Calendar No. 559, S. 461.

The PRESIDENT OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 461) to provide for alternative financing arrangements for the provision of certain services and the construction and maintenance of infrastructure at land border ports of entry, and for other purposes.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, with an amendment to strike all after the enacting clause and insert in lieu thereof the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
This Act may be cited as the “Cross-Border Trade Enhancement Act of 2015.”

SEC. 2. REPEAL AND TRANSITION PROVISION.
(a) REPEAL.—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), section 560 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2013 (division D of Public Law 113–6; 127 Stat. 378) and section 559 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2014 (division F of Public Law 113–76; 6 U.S.C. 211 note) that is in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, and any such agreement shall continue to have full force and effect on and after such date.

(b) AGREEMENTS IN EFFECT.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), nothing in this Act may be construed as affecting in any manner an agreement entered into pursuant to section 560 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2013 (division D of Public Law 113–6; 127 Stat. 378) or section 559 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2014 (division F of Public Law 113–76; 6 U.S.C. 211 note) that was accepted for consideration and further development by U.S. Customs and Border Protection or the General Services Administration pursuant to section 560 of the Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2014 (division F of Public Law 113–76; 6 U.S.C. 211 note) that was accepted prior to the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. DEFINITIONS.
In this Act:
(1) ADMINISTRATION.—The term “Administration” means the Department of Homeland Security.

(2) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Administration.

(3) COMMISSIONER.—The term “Commissioner” means the Commissioner of U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

(4) DONATION AGREEMENT.—The term “donation agreement” means an agreement made under section 5(a).

(5) FEE AGREEMENT.—The term “fee agreement” means an agreement made by the Commissioner under subsection (a)(1).

(6) PERSON.—The term “person” means—
(A) an individual;

(B) a corporation, partnership, trust, estate, association, or any other private or public entity;

(c) a Federal, State, or local government;

(D) any subdivision, agency, or instrumentality of a Federal, State, or local government; or

(E) any other governmental entity.

(7) RELEVANT COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The term “relevant committees of Congress” means—
(A) the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Environment and Public Works, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Homeland Security, the Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 4. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO FEE AGREEMENTS FOR THE PROVISION OF CERTAIN SERVICES AT LAND BORDER PORTS OF U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION.
(a) FEE AGREEMENTS.—
(1) AUTHORITY FOR FEE AGREEMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 30101(e) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c(e)) and section 451 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1451), the Commissioner may, upon the request of any person, enter into an agreement with that person under which—
(A) U.S. Customs and Border Protection will provide the services described in paragraph (4) at a port of entry or any other facility where U.S. Customs and Border Protection provides or uploads for services; and

(B) such person will remit a fee imposed under subsection (b) to U.S. Customs and Border Protection in an amount equal to the full cost incurred by such person, without additional cost to the Federal Government, in accordance with U.S. Customs and Border Protection specifications.

(2) CRITERIA.—The Commissioner shall establish criteria for entering into a partnership under paragraph (1) that include the following:

(A) Selection and evaluation of potential partners;

(B) Identification and documentation of roles and responsibilities between U.S. Customs and Border Protection, the Administration, and private or government partners; and

(C) Identification, allocation, and management of explicit and implicit risks of partnering between U.S. Customs and Border Protection, the Administration, and private and government partners.

(3) PUBLICATION.—The Commissioner shall make publicly available the criteria established under paragraph (2), and shall notify the relevant committees of Congress not less than 15 days prior to the publication of the criteria and any subsequent changes to such criteria.

(4) SERVICES DESCRIBED.—Services described in this paragraph are services related to, or in support of, customs, agricultural processing, border security, or immigration matters provided by an employee or contractor of U.S. Customs and Border Protection at ports of entry or any other facility where U.S. Customs and Border Protection provides or will provide services.

(5) MODIFICATION OF PRIOR AGREEMENTS.—
The Commissioner, at the request of a person who has previously entered into an agreement with U.S. Customs and Border Protection for the reimbursement of fees in effect on the date of enactment of this Act, may modify such agreement to implement any provisions of this Act.

(6) LIMITATION.—The Commissioner may not enter into a reimbursement agreement under this subsection if such agreement would unduly and permanently impact services funded in this Act or any appropriations Act, or provided from any account in the Treasury of the United States derived by the collection of fees.

(7) NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (8) and (9), there shall be no limit to the number of fee agreements that may be entered into by the Commissioner.

(8) AUTHORITY FOR NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS.—
(A) RESOURCE AVAILABILITY.—If the Commissioner finds that resources or allocation constraints would prevent U.S. Customs and Border Protection from fulfilling, in whole or in part, requests for services under the terms of existing or potential fee agreements, the Commissioner shall impose annual limits on the number of new fee agreements.
(B) ANNUAL REVIEW.—If the Commissioner limits the number of new fee agreements under this paragraph, the Commissioner shall annually evaluate and reassess such limits and publish any such limits that shall remain in effect in a publicly available format.

(9) AIR PORTS OF ENTRY.—

(A) TERMINATION.—A new fee agreement for U.S. Customs and Border Protection services at an air port of entry may only provide for the reimbursement of—

(i) salaries and expenses of not more than 5 full-time equivalent U.S. Customs and Border Protection officers;

(ii) costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection for the payment of overtime to employee;

(iii) the salaries and expenses of employees of U.S. Customs and Border Protection officers specified in clause (i) to support U.S. customs and Border Protection officers in performing law enforcement functions at air ports of entry, including primary and secondary processing of passengers; and

(iv) other costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection relating to services described in paragraph (4), such as temporary placement or permanent relocation of such employees, including incentive pay for relocation where appropriate.

(B) PURCHASE.—The authority in the section may not be used to enter into new preclearance agreements or initiate the provision of U.S. Customs and Border Protection services outside of the United States.

(C) PERMANENT RELOCATION.—Any fee agreement under this Act to provide for the reimbursement of the permanent relocation of an employee of U.S. Customs and Border Protection shall certify that the terms of the agreement—

(i) cannot otherwise be sufficiently met by the person and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection;

(ii) would not unduly impact U.S. Customs and Border Protection services at the port of entry from which the relocation of the employee is proposed;

(iii) would be consistent with other applicable laws and regulations regarding the relocation of employees of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection; and

(iv) all costs of the relocation have been approved by the Commissioner.

(10) PORTS OF ENTRY SIZE CONSIDERATION.—

The Commissioner shall—

(A) ensure that each fee agreement proposal is given equal consideration regardless of the size of the port of entry; and

(B) report to the relevant committees of Congress on the number of fee agreement proposals that the Commissioner did not enter into due to numerical limits on the number of fee agreements, if the Commissioner adopts such limits.

(11) DENIED APPLICATION.—If the Commissioner denies a proposal for a fee agreement, the Commissioner shall provide the person who submitted the proposal the reason for the denial, unless the reason for the denial involves a law enforcement matter or national security interest.

(12) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section may be construed to—

(A) require a person entering into a fee agreement to cover costs that are otherwise the responsibility of the U.S. Customs and Border Protection or any other agency of the Federal Government and are not incurred, or expected to be incurred, to cover services specifically covered by an agreement entered into under authorities provided by this Act; or

(B) reduce the responsibilities or duties of U.S. Customs and Border Protection to provide services at ports of entry that have been authorized or mandated by law for law enforcement evaluation and affirm any such limits that shall remain in effect in a publicly available format.

(13) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Decisions of the Commissioner under this subsection are in the discretion of the Commissioner and not subject to judicial review.

(B) FEE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A person who enters into a fee agreement shall pay a fee pursuant to such agreement in an amount equal to the full cost of U.S. Customs and Border Protection services.

(A) of the salaries and expenses of individuals employed or contracted by U.S. Customs and Border Protection to provide such services; and

(ii) any other costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection related to providing such services, such as temporary placement or permanent relocation, including incentive pay for relocation where appropriate.

(2) ADVANCE PAYMENT.—The Commissioner, with approval from a person requesting services under sections 6621 and 6622 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, may accept the fee for services prior to providing such services.

(3) OVERSIGHT OF FEES.—The Commissioner shall develop a process to oversee the activities for which fees are charged pursuant to a fee agreement that includes the following:

(A) a determination and report on the full cost of providing services, including direct and indirect costs, as well as a process, through consultation with affected parties and other interested stakeholders, for increasing such fees as necessary.

(B) The establishment of a periodic remittance schedule to replenish appropriations, accounts, or funds, as necessary.

(C) The identification of costs paid by such fees.

(4) DEPOSIT OF FUNDS.—Amounts collected pursuant to a fee agreement—

(A) shall be deposited as an offsetting collection;

(B) remain available until expended, without fiscal year limitation; and

(C) shall be credited to applicable appropriation, account, or fund for the amount paid out of that appropriation, account, or fund for—

(i) any expenses incurred or to be incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection in providing such services; and

(ii) any other costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection relating to such services.

(5) TERMINATION BY THE COMMISSIONER.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commissioner shall terminate the services provided pursuant to a fee agreement with a person that, after receiving notice from the Commissioner that a fee imposed under the fee agreement is due, fails to pay such fee in a timely manner.

(B) EFFECT OF TERMINATION.—At the time the services are terminated pursuant to subparagraph (A), all costs incurred by U.S. Customs and Border Protection which have not been paid, will become immediately due and payable.

(C) INTEREST.—Interest on unpaid fees will accrue based on the quarterly rate(s) established under sections 6621 and 6622 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(6) PENALTIES.—Any person that fails to pay any fee incurred under a fee agreement in a timely manner, after notice and demand for such payment, shall, in addition or in lieu of, liquidated damage equal to 2 times the amount of such fee.

(7) AMOUNT COLLECTED.—Any amount collected pursuant to a fee agreement shall be deposited into the account specified under paragraph (4) and shall be available as described therein.

(8) RETURN OF UNUSED FUNDS.—The Commissioner shall return any unused funds collected under a fee agreement that is terminated for any reason, or in the event that the terms of such agreement are modified by mutual agreement to cause a reduction of U.S. Customs and Border Protections services. No interest shall be owed for the reimbursement of fees in effect on the date of enactment of this Act, or under the provisions of this Act, may request that such agreement make provision for termination at the request of such person prior to the expiration of the period agreed to in which the affected port or facility is located.

(B) MODIFICATION OF EXISTING REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—Section 907(b) of the Trade Facilitation and Trade Enforcement Act of 2015 (Public Law 114-125) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (3), by striking ‘‘or’’ at the end;

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at the end and inserting ‘‘; or’’; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

(5) the program for entering into reimbursable agreements for U.S. Customs and Border Protection services established by the Cross-Border Trade Enhancement Act of 2016.

SEC. 5. AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS TO ACCEPT DONATIONS FOR PORTS OF ENTRY.

(A) AGREEMENTS AUTHORIZED.—

(1) COMMISSIONER.—The Commissioner, in collaboration with the Administrator as provided under subsection (e), may enter into an agreement with any person to accept a donation of real or personal property, including monetary donations, or nonpersonal services, for activities in subsection (b) at a new or existing land, sea, or air port of entry or any facility or infrastructure at a location where U.S. Customs and Border Protection performs or will be performing services within the United States.

(B) ADMINISTRATOR.—Where the Administrator has custody or control of a new or existing land port of entry, facility, or other infrastructure at a location where U.S. Customs and Border Protection performs or will be performing inspection services, the Administrator, in collaboration with the Commissioner, may enter into an agreement with any person to accept a donation of real or personal property, including monetary donations, or nonpersonal services, at that location for activities set forth in subsection (b).

(2) USE.—A donation made under a donation agreement may be used for activities related to construction, alteration, operation or maintenance, including expenses related to—

(A) land acquisition, design, construction, repair, and alteration;

(B) furniture, fixtures, equipment, and technology, including installation and the deployment thereof; and

(C) operation and maintenance of the facility, infrastructure, equipment, and technology.

(D) LIMITATION ON MONETARY DONATIONS.—Any monetary donation made pursuant to a donation agreement may not be used to pay the salaries of employees of U.S. Customs and Border Protection who perform inspection services.

(E) TERM OF DONATION AGREEMENT.—The term of a donation agreement may be as long as is required to meet the terms of the agreement.

(F) ROLE OF ADMINISTRATOR.—The Administrator shall mediate and conduct all matters related to this section is limited with respect to donations made at new or existing land ports of entry, facilities, or other infrastructure owned or leased by the Administrator.

(G) EVALUATION PROCEDURES.—

(1) REQUIREMENTS FOR PROCEDURES.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment, the Administrator, in consultation with the Administrator as appropriate, shall issue procedures for evaluating proposals for donation
agreements on a year-round basis and otherwise consistent with the requirements of this section.

(2) AVAILABILITY.—The procedures issued under paragraph (1) shall be made available to the public.

(3) COST-SHARING ARRANGEMENTS.—In issuing the procedures under paragraph (1), the Commissioner, in consultation with the Administrator, shall use the procedures of the laws provided under this section to enter into cost-sharing or reimbursement agreements with eligible persons and determine whether such agreements may be used in whole or in part for the following:

(A) a detailed description of all specific information or material that is needed to complete review of the proposal; and

(B) allow the person to resubmit the proposal with additional information and material described under subparagraph (A) to complete the proposal.

(2) INCOMPLETE PROPOSALS.—If the Commissioner, and Administrator if applicable, determines that a proposal is incomplete, the person that submitted the proposal shall be notified and provided with:

(A) a detailed description of all specific information or material that is needed to complete review of the proposal; and

(B) allow the person to resubmit the proposal with additional information and material described under subparagraph (A) to complete the proposal.

(3) COMPLETE APPLICATIONS.—Not later than 180 days after receiving a completed and final proposal for a donation agreement, the Commissioner, and Administrator if applicable, shall:

(A) make a determination whether to deny or approve the proposal; and

(B) notify the person that submitted the proposal of the determination.

(4) CONSIDERATIONS.—In making the determination under paragraph (3)(A), the Commissioner, and Administrator if applicable, shall consider:

(A) the impact of the proposal on reducing wait times at that port of entry or facility and other ports of entry on the same border;

(B) the potential of the proposal to increase trade and travel efficiency through added capacity;

(C) the potential of the proposal to enhance the security of the port of entry or facility; and

(D) the funding available to complete the intended use of a donation under this section.

(5) AGREEMENTS IN EFFECT.—Any agreement made pursuant to this Act that is in effect on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act shall continue to have full force and effect and remain in effect under the terms of such agreement.

(6) PERMANENT PROVISIONS.—Section 2, the supplemental for certain air traffic controllers.

SEC. 7. EFFECTIVE PERIOD.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Act and the amendments made by this Act shall be in effect during the 10-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) AGREEMENTS IN EFFECT.—Any agreement made pursuant to this Act that is in effect on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act shall continue to have full force and effect and remain in effect under the terms of such agreement.

SEC. 8. WAIVER OF POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN LAW ENFORCEMENT APPLICANTS.

Section 3 of the Anti-Border Corruption Act of 2010 (Public Law 11-376; 6 U.S.C. 221) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

(“A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”,

(2) in subsection (a)(1), as redesignated, by inserting “except as otherwise provided in this section, nothing in this section may be construed—

(a) as affecting in any manner the responsibilities, duties of U.S. Customs and Border Protection or the Administration;

(b) to create any right or liability of the parties referred to in this section, except as otherwise set forth in any donation acceptance agreement entered into under this section; or

(c) as affecting any consultation requirement under any other law.

SEC. 9. WAIVER OF POLYGRAPH EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 5785) to amend the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1011 et seq.), to provide for an annuity supplement for certain air traffic controllers.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed without the recommendation of the chair, and that the PRESIDING OFFICER be empowered to take such action as may be necessary to determine that the Senate has agreed to the provisions of H.R. 5785, which was received from the House.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The bill (H.R. 5785) was ordered to a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

PROVIDING AN ANNUITY SUPPLEMENT FOR CERTAIN AIR TRAFFIC CONTROLLERS.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (H.R. 5785) to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide for an annuity supplement for certain air traffic controllers.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

Mr. Mcconnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. Mcconnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the bill be considered read a third time and passed and the motion to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the bill by title.

Mr. Mcconnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Armed Services Committee be discharged from further consideration of and the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 607.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. Mcconnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Armed Services Committee be discharged from further consideration of and the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 607.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

Mr. Mcconnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Armed Services Committee be discharged from further consideration of and the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 607.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.

Mr. Mcconnell. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Armed Services Committee be discharged from further consideration of and the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 607.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report the resolution by title.
The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 607) recognizing the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency on its 20th anniversary.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution. Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the armed services committee be discharged from further consideration of and the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 611.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 607) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s Record under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

SUPPORTING THE DESIGNATION OF OCTOBER 8, 2016 AS 40 YEARS OF WOMEN CADETS AT THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY DAY

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Armed Services Committee be discharged from further consideration of and the Senate now proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 611.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 611) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in the Record of September 29, 2016, under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

RECOGNIZING THE VITAL ROLE THE CIVIL AIR PATROL HAS PLAYED, AND CONTINUES TO PLAY, IN SUPPORTING THE HOMELAND SECURITY AND NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 623, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The resolution (S. Res. 623) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s Record under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR THE GOALS OF NATIONAL ADOPTION DAY AND NATIONAL ADOPTION MONTH

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate proceed to the consideration of S. Res. 622, submitted earlier today.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The resolution (S. Res. 622) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in the Record of September 29, 2016, under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS TO CARRY OUT A MAJOR MEDICAL FACILITY PROJECT IN RENO, NEVADA

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs be discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 623 and the Senate proceed to its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The senior assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A resolution (S. Res. 622) expressing support for the goals of National Adoption Day and National Adoption Month by promoting national awareness of adoption and the children awaiting families, celebrating children and families involved in adoption, and encouraging the people of the United States to secure safety, permanency, and well-being for all children.

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the resolution. Mr. McCONNELL. I ask unanimous consent that the resolution be agreed to, the preamble be agreed to, and the motions to reconsider be considered made and laid upon the table.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The resolution (S. Res. 622) was agreed to.

The preamble was agreed to.

(The resolution, with its preamble, is printed in today’s Record under “Submitted Resolutions.”)

 strikes all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN MAJOR MEDICAL FACILITY PROJECTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.

(a) In General.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs may carry out the following major medical facility projects, with each project to be carried out in an amount not to exceed the amount specified for that project:

(1) funds appropriated for the construction, Major Projects, for the fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(2) funds available for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(3) funds available for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(4) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(5) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(6) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(b) Authorization of Appropriations.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for fiscal year 2017 or the year in which funds are appropriated for the Construction, Major Projects, account $331,100,000 for the projects authorized in subsection (a).

(c) Limitation.—The projects authorized in subsection (a) may only be carried out using—

(1) funds appropriated for fiscal year 2017 or the year in which funds are appropriated for the Construction, Major Projects, account pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (b);

(2) funds available for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(3) funds available for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(4) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(5) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year before fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation;

(6) funds appropriated for Construction, Major Projects, for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2017 that remain available for obligation.

The bill (S. 3438), as amended, was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, was read the third time, and passed.

ORDERS FOR WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2016

Mr. McCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until 10 a.m., Wednesday, November 30; that following the prayer and pledge, the hour be deemed expired, the Journal of proceedings be approved to date, and the time for the two leaders be reserved for
their use later in the day; further, that following leader remarks, the Senate be in a period of morning business, with Senators permitted to speak therein for up to 10 minutes each. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ADJOURNMENT UNTIL 10 A.M. TOMORROW

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, ask unanimous consent that it stand adjourned under the previous order.

There being no objection, the Senate, at 6:44 p.m., adjourned until Wednesday, November 30, 2016, at 10 a.m.

NOMINATIONS

Executive nominations received by the Senate:

IN THE NAVY
THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE RESERVE OF THE UNITED STATES NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 531 AND 3064:

To be lieutenant commander

DAVID MEADE, OF VIRGINIA
THOMAS D. STARKEY
JACOB M. SWOGGART, OF GEORGIA
CRAIG LEWIS, OF COLORADO

To be major

STEPHEN DONALD MULL, OF VIRGINIA
CATHERINE ANN MUNCHMEYER, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN THE MARINE CORPS
THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE SERVICE CORPORALS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 624 AND 626:

To be lieutenant colonel

CHRISTOPHER S. BRISER

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 624 AND 626:

To be colonel

THOMAS D. STARKEY

IN THE ARMY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT TO THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY MEDICAL SERVICE CORPORALS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 613 AND 614:

To be lieutenant colonel

ANTHONY C. LYONS

FOREIGN SERVICE

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBERS OF THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF MINISTER-COUNSELOR:

ROBERT S. MACINTOSH, OF MONTANA
NATHANIAL S. LINDSEY, OF VIRGINIA
MICHAEL C. BONFIELD, OF TEXAS
LADISLAV BERANEK, OF VIRGINIA

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBERS OF THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF COUNSELOR:

JOHN C. DOCKER, OF ALABAMA
JULIE S. CARES, OF VIRGINIA
JACOB S. ROSENTHAL, OF NEW YORK

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBERS OF THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF SPECIALIST:

CRAIG LEWIS, OF COLORADO

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 531 AND 3064:

To be lieutenant commander

THOMAS R. VANDENBRINK, OF VIRGINIA
TRACY JO THOMAS, OF VIRGINIA
JEFFREY A. CARLSON, OF COLORADO
JENNIFER S. TSING, OF COLORADO

IN THE ARMY

THE FOLLOWING NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES ARMY MEDICAL SERVICE CORPORALS UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 613 AND 614:

To be lieutenant colonel

LINDA S. SPECTRUM, OF VIRGINIA
SHANNON A. KALNICK, OF MONTANA

IN THE NAVY

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 531 AND 3064:

To be lieutenant commander

ALAN R. TOWNSEND, OF VIRGINIA

IN THE NAVY

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 531 AND 3064:

To be lieutenant commander

RICHARD HARRIS GLENN, OF VIRGINIA
LISA S. KENNA, OF MARYLAND
THERESA GRENCIK, OF MARYLAND

IN THE FOREIGN SERVICE

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED CAREER MEMBERS OF THE FOREIGN SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CLASS OF COUNSELOR:

BRIAN GEORGE HEATH, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
JEFFREY JAMES ROBERTSON, OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE NAVY

THE FOLLOWING-NAMED OFFICER FOR APPOINTMENT IN THE GRADE INDICATED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY UNDER TITLE 10, U.S.C., SECTIONS 531 AND 3064:

To be lieutenant commander

DAVID MEADE,...
The following named members of the Foreign Service of the Department of State to be a Consular Officer and a Secretary in the Diplomatic Service of the United States of America:

MATTHEW BERNARD GONZALEZ, OF VIRGINIA
PAUL ROBERT GIBLIN, OF ARIZONA
ANDREW R. GALLUCCI, OF VIRGINIA
BRYAN J. FURMAN, OF NEW JERSEY
CHRISTOPHER S. FULLERTON, OF VIRGINIA
ELISABETH M. FRENCH, OF VIRGINIA
MILYNDA RAE FOUSHEE, OF VIRGINIA
ALAN JOSEPH MICHAEL FLESCH, OF OKLAHOMA
SONIA FERNANDES, OF NEW YORK
JOEL ELLISON, OF VIRGINIA
AARON COOPER EASLICK, OF MICHIGAN
DAWN M. DYETTE, OF VIRGINIA
JOSEPH EVAN DE BERNARDO, OF VIRGINIA
JAYSON CHRISTOPHER CRIDDLE, OF VIRGINIA
LISA COSGROVE, OF VIRGINIA
EVAN MITCHELL CORZINE, OF VIRGINIA
CHARLES MEDFORD CLATANOFF, OF VIRGINIA
TERRY B. CARWILE, OF VIRGINIA
PATRICK GENE BURLINGAME, OF PENNSYLVANIA
HEATHER DAWN BROOKS, OF FLORIDA
ERIC J. BRADSHAW, OF VIRGINIA
STEPHEN CAREY BIRMINGHAM II, OF CALIFORNIA
EVE SARAH COPELAND BENTOVIM, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CRAIG D. BENNETT, OF VIRGINIA
JAMES V. BARR, OF VIRGINIA
LAVONDA TANE'E BALDWIN, OF NEW JERSEY
MICHELLE MARIE BAILEY, OF VIRGINIA
KRISTIN D. BAILEY, OF VIRGINIA
GARY PHILLIP ANTHONY, OF NEW YORK
DIVAH JEANNE ALSHAWA, OF VIRGINIA
JONATHAN PAUL ACKLEY, OF WASHINGTON
TESSIE ANNE ABRAHAM, OF TEXAS
SERVICE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE TO BE A CON-COMMITTEE.
WILLIAM F. ZEMAN, OF CONNECTICUT
JOHN SZYPULA, OF COLORADO
HILDE LYNN PEARSON, OF VIRGINIA
ROBERT M. PASTORE, OF NEW YORK
JESS A. PURDY, OF VIRGINIA
DAVID WILLIAM PUCCI, OF VIRGINIA
MATTHEW WILLIAM PRIEST, OF MICHIGAN
NICOLE MICHELLE PORTER, OF CALIFORNIA
SHANNON BLOTNER PINE, OF VIRGINIA
CAITLIN ELISE NETTLETON, OF FLORIDA
KATHLEEN M. MINOR, OF VIRGINIA
DENISE MARTON MENENDEZ, OF FLORIDA
MOISES DAVID MENDOZA, OF OREGON
JOHN J. MCHUGH, OF VIRGINIA
COLE LIHAU JACKSON, OF HAWAII
ELIZABETH ATKINSON ISAMAN, OF COLORADO
PORTER ILLI, OF UTAH
DEBRA SUE HUNGERFORD, OF VIRGINIA
JEFFREY PETER HOULE, OF VIRGINIA
NICOLE STILLWELL HOLLER, OF MARYLAND
ANDREW WILLIAM HILLSTROM, OF TEXAS
KRISTINA LOUISE HILLMAN, OF VIRGINIA
CARL R. HILL, OF VIRGINIA
NELL GARDENIA GULLE HIDALGO, OF VIRGINIA
BENJAMIN ROBERT HARVEY, OF MISSOURI
KYLE ELIZABETH HARTWELL, OF THE DISTRICT OF CO-LUMBIA
SUSAN MCCUE, RESIGNED.
THE CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD
RICHARD STENGEL, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE A DIRECTOR OF THE AMTRAK BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.
JEFFREY R. MORELAND, OF TEXAS, TO BE A DIRECTOR OF THE AMTRAK BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.

AMTRAK BOARD OF DIRECTORS
SETH HARES, OF NEW YORK. TO BE A DIRECTOR OF THE AMTRAK BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.
JEFFREY B. MORRISON, OF CALIFORNIA. TO BE A DIRECTOR OF THE AMTRAK BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR A TERM OF FIVE YEARS.

CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD
RACHEL A. MELD, OF WISCONSIN. TO BE A MEMBER OF THE CHEMICAL SAFETY AND HAZARD INVESTIGATION BOARD FOR A TERM EXPIRING AUGUST 15, 2027. VICE MARK A. GRIF-ON, DESIGNATED.

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
MICHAEL P. LEARY, OF PENNSYLVANIA. TO BE INSPEC-TOR GENERAL. SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION. VICE PATRICK P. MURPHY. REAPPOINTMENT.

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS
RICHARD STENGEL, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS FOR A TERM EXPIRING AUGUST 15, 2017. VICE SUSAN MCCUE, RESIGNED.
RICHARD STENGEL, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE CHAIRMAN OF THE BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS. VICE JEFFREY SHELL.

FEDERAL MINES SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION
PATRICK E. NAKAMURA, OF ALABAMA, TO BE A MEMBER OF THE FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION FOR A TERM OF SIX YEARS EXPIRING AUGUST 15, 2022. (REAPPOINTMENT)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
ROBERT P. STORCH, OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, TO BE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY (NEW POSITION)
Mr. FARENTHOLD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to remember the life and memory of Mr. Jimmie Martinez of Lumberton, Texas, who passed away earlier this month at the age of fifty-four.

A former classmate of mine at Incarnate Word Academy, Jimmie committed himself to public service after graduation, joining the Nueces County Emergency Service District in Flour Bluff as a firefighter and EMT. He also served the Annville Emergency Service District and Lumberton Fire and EMS as Assistant Fire Marshal and as a firefighter and EMT. Jimmie served as the president of the twenty-one-county East Texas Firefighters' and Fire Marshals' Association, received the firefighter of the year award, the medal of valor for his seminal play Zoot Suit which he first produced in Los Angeles in 1978. The next year, Zoot Suit became the first play written by a Chicano produced on Broadway. A film version followed in 1981. This film experience led Luis to other television and movie projects, including the hit movie La Bamba in 1987 that told the story of Richie Valens.

All along Luis remained committed to the campesino movement that he helped form and that helped to form him. In 1971, he moved his theater group to San Juan Bautista in my California district. He became a founding member of the California Arts Council and is a member of the College of Fellows of the American Theater.

Mr. Speaker, I know I speak for the whole House when I extend our congratulations to Luis Valdez for his most recent of honors. It comes on top of a lifetime of achievement giving voice to those voices that have been so often missed or dismissed by our broader society. Mindful of recent events, his voice is more important than ever.

In 1965, Luis returned to Delano and joined with Cesar Chavez in the UFW's effort to organize farmworkers. It was then that he had the inspiration to combine his passions for theater and social justice and created a farm workers theater troupe simply called El Teatro Campesino. The group specialized in short one act plays based on the farm worker experience that aimed to educate both farmworkers and the broader public. By 1967, El Teatro began to explore broader Chicano themes and Luis led the group to share that vision with a broader audience. He founded the Centro Campesino Cultural in Del Rey and later Fres- no to produce plays in a theater setting.

Luis quickly developed a reputation as the Godfather of Chicano theater and helped organize other Chicano theater groups throughout the Southwest. During this period he wrote and produced numerous plays, including La Virgen de Tepeyac, La carpa de los resquachis, and Corridos: Tales of Passion and Revolution. However, Luis is best known for his seminal play Zoot Suit which he first produced in Los Angeles in 1978. The next year, Zoot Suit became the first play written by a Chicano produced on Broadway. A film version followed in 1981. This film experience led Luis to other television and movie projects, including the hit movie La Bamba in 1987 that told the story of Richie Valens.

This ''bullet'' symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.
highest rating available. He's established thoughtful standards for county purchases and has provided superb oversight for $1 billion in capital projects. Central Texas is better because of his leadership and hard work.

David's commitment to excellence doesn't stop when the work day ends. He has been a trustee for the Texas County and District Retirement System and is a past president for the Texas Association of County Auditors. David shouldered the demanding responsibilities as the Chairman of the Investment Committee for the Texas Association of Counties from 2008 to 2012. Over the years, he has received numerous awards and commendations as well as the admiration of his peers and colleagues.

Retirement is to be celebrated and enjoyed. It is not the end of a career, but rather the beginning of a new adventure. I heartily salute David Flores’ work and contributions to his community. I’m sure I echo the thoughts of all when I wish him the best in both his retirement and all his future endeavors.

HONORING DENNIS R. LEBER OF PENNSYLVANIA
HON. SCOTT PERRY OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. PERRY. Mr. Speaker, today I honor my constituent, Dennis R. Leber, on his pending retirement upon more than 17 years of combined service with the United States House of Representatives and the United States Air Force.

Mr. Leber began his career as an Air Force telecommunications specialist, then spent several decades in the private sector communications and networking industry. Upon completion of his private sector career, Mr. Leber served two years as a contractor with the U.S. House of Representatives and House Information Resources (HHR) Group, where he completed his professional career this December, upon 11 years of service as a Senior Network Systems Engineer in this august institution.

Mr. Leber's dedication and professionalism touched the lives of many people and challenged all with whom he served to be the best. His presence and dedication will be missed, but we wish him well and Godspeed in his future adventures.

On behalf of Pennsylvania’s Fourth Congressional District, I commend and congratulate Dennis R. Leber upon his retirement and thank him for his tireless service to the citizens of the United States of America.

IN HONOR OF MAJOR TOOLE
HON. SAM FARR
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. FARR. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize Major Travis N. Toole for his dedication to duty and service as an Army Officer. In 2014, Travis was selected to be an Army Congressional Fellow in my office. His portfolio included working with Team Monterey, all the Department of Defense entities located in Monterey, CA. Team Monterey includes the Defense Language Institute and the Naval Postgraduate School, Guard and Reserve facilities, SATCOM, and nine other critical DoD and Navy missions all located in Monterey, after the closure of the former Fort Ord.

Major Toole staffed me for the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Military Construction and Veterans Affairs and brought his expertise as an Engineer to the portfolio that led to significant accomplishments. He was instrumental in working the halls of the Pentagon on behalf of the California National Guard to secure funding for a bridge replacement, a number one priority of the Guard for several years. His diligence to constituent services enabled him to help a local transit provider navigate the Army bureaucracy for ultimate payment of transit services. He was well respected by the Military Construction Appropriations staff for his contributions to the Subcommittee hearings and markup.

When Travis returned to the Pentagon as an Army Congressional Budget Liaison, he worked closely with the House and Senate Military Constructions Appropriations Subcommittees, ensuring Army’s budget positions were extremely well represented and articulated to the Committees. He assisted the Subcommittee staff with their oversight responsibilities in Korea, Japan and many CONUS facilities, and accompanied Members visiting Afghanistan during the Christmas holiday.

The next step for Major Toole will be further military education at the Command and General Staff College at Fort Belvoir, Virginia.

A native of Cincinnati, Ohio, Major Toole was commission as an Engineer officer after his graduation from Ohio University with a Bachelor of Arts degree. He has subsequently earned a Master’s degree in Legislative Affairs from the George Washington University. He has served in a broad range of assignments during his Army career. Major Toole’s previous assignments include serving as a Mobility Support Platoon Leader for the 562nd Engineer Company, 172nd Stryker Brigade Combat Team, and a Sapper Platoon Leader and Executive Officer for the 73rd Engineer Company, 1st Brigade 25th Infantry Division at Fort Wainwright, Alaska.

He then served as a Current Operations Officer for the 130th Engineer Brigade and commanded the 34th Sapper Company, 65th Engineer Battalion at Schofield Barracks, Hawaii. Travis has led Soldiers in combat as a Platoon Leader, Battalion Operations Officer, and Company Commander while deployed in direct support of combat operations in Iraq on three separate occasions.

Throughout his distinguished Army career, Travis has positively affected his Soldiers, peers, and superiors. His extraordinary leadership, thoughtful judgment, and exemplary work have made our country safer. On behalf of a grateful nation, I commend Major Travis Toole for his service to our nation and wish him all the best as he continues his journey in the United States Army.

HONORING ADDISON RUSSELL FOR ADDISON RUSSELL DAY ATPACE HIGH SCHOOL IN PACE, FLORIDA
HON. JEFF MILLER
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. MILLER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, it is with great pleasure that I rise to recognize Addison Russell for his incredible athletic talent and honor him for Addison Russell Day at Pace High School. His natural ability to lead by example both on and off the field has distinguished him among his peers and built the foundation for his successful career.

Born and raised in Pace, Florida, Russell began his freshman year at Pace High School in 2009 where he became the 5th freshman in the school’s history to play on the varsity baseball team. In 2010, he led Pace High School to a class 5A Florida High School Athletic Association baseball state championship and again as runner-up in 2012. In 2011, Russell was selected to be a member of the USA Baseball 18U National Team at the COPABE 18U/AAA Pan American Games. Russell hit a grand slam in the Championship game against Team Canada, allowing his team to take home the gold medal and Russell to be named at the First-Team All-Tournament as Shortstop.

Upon graduating from high school, Russell was drafted by the Oakland Athletics with the 11th overall pick of the first round in the 2012 Major League Baseball Draft. He was then traded to the Chicago Cubs on July 4, 2014 and on April 21, 2015 Russell made his major league debut against the Pittsburgh Pirates.

Russell represented the Cubs in the 2016 Major League Baseball All-Star Game as the starting shortstop for the National League. Then, during game six of the 2016 World Series, Addison hit the 19th grand slam in the history of the Series and also tied the Major League Baseball record for six RBIs by one player in a game on a team facing elimination from the fall classic.

Mr. Speaker, Northwest Florida is proud to honor Addison Russell on November 29, 2016 with Addison Russell Day at Pace High School. My wife Vicki and I extend Russell, wife, Melissa; and children, Aiden and Milla; our best wishes for their continued success.

CELEBRATING THE 9TH ANNUAL LAKESHORE CLASSIC BASKETBALL INVITATIONAL
HON. PETER J. VISCONSKEY
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. VISCONSKEY. Mr. Speaker, it is with great honor and respect that I recognize the Gary Chamber of Commerce as the organization that celebrates the 9th annual Lakeshore Classic Basketball Invitational. In celebration of this special event, the Gary Chamber of Commerce hosted a celebratory corporate luncheon at the Diamond Center in Gary, Indiana, on Monday, November 21, 2016, followed by the basketball invitational at West Side Leadership Academy on Friday, November 25 and Saturday, November 26, 2016.

The theme for this year’s Lakeshore Classic is “Step Up for Education,” which emphasizes...
the importance of combining athletics, academics, and the significant role of teachers, parents, coaches, and community leaders in the educational processes. To enhance this vision, the Gary Chamber of Commerce chose David J. Johns, Executive Director for the White House Initiative on Educational Excellence for African Americans, as a guest speaker for the corporate luncheon. This initiative works with federal agencies and partners nationwide to improve the range of educational programs for African Americans. David is not only passionate about empowering students to achieve academic excellence and to demonstrating exceptional sportsmanship. The participating teams include the Gary West Side Lady Cougars, Gary West Side Cougars, John Marshall Lady Commandos, John Marshall Commandos, East Chicago Central Cardinals, Thea Bowman Eagles, Charles A. Tindley Tigers, and the Griffith Panthers.

Mr. Speaker, at this time, I ask you to join me in recognizing the Gary Chamber of Commerce, as well as the organizers and sponsors of the 9th annual Lakeshore Classic. The leadership, enthusiasm, and dedication to our youth and Northwest Indiana is worthy of the highest praise.

RECOGNIZING PEACH TREE HEALTH ON ITS 25TH ANNIVERSARY

HON. JOHN GARAMENDI
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. GARAMENDI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Peach Tree Health for its 25 years of providing quality and affordable healthcare to medically underserved communities in the 3rd District of California. Peach Tree Health is a federally qualified health center and an independent non-profit corporation based in Marysville, California. It has successfully extended its services throughout three counties within the 3rd District without sacrificing its core values of compassion, integrity, excellence, and cooperation in providing care for almost 30,000 patients.

In August, Peach Tree Health opened its first clinic in Sacramento County, launching a unique vision of care services designed to maximize access to any child regardless of their family’s ability to pay. In 2017, Peach Tree Health will be opening a comprehensive Pediatric Center in Yuba City, California, that will offer health and dental services.

The Department of Health and Human Services Young and Proudly awarded Peach Tree Health a federal grant that will allow it to enhance its health information technology, further enhancing the quality of care it provides.

Congratulations to Peach Tree Health on this important milestone and its continued work offering personalized health services at affordable rates. Its services are of great importance to communities throughout the 3rd District and I look forward to working with them in the future.

IN RECOGNITION OF PICATINNY ARSENAL’S STEM PROGRAM

HON. DONALD M. PAYNE, JR.
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education program developed by the United States Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (ARDEC) at Picatinny Arsenal in New Jersey. This STEM Educational Outreach Program supports public and private schools, colleges and universities, and professional development training throughout the state of New Jersey. Participants of the program have the opportunity to visit with exceptional engineers and scientists in their laboratories, gain funding for their student robotics teams and sponsorship for student competitions, and benefit from the creation of new instructional materials. Approximately 200 Picatinny scientists and engineers have volunteered to support STEM education by making over 1000 educational field trips to Picatinny Arsenal’s laboratories, participating in the annual “Introduce a Girl to Engineering” Open House, assisting nearly 800 teachers, and inspiring 50,000 students in over 400 schools, including many throughout the 10th Congressional District of New Jersey.

This program has been developed to inspire students and educators through fresh and stimulating classroom activities in all avenues of STEM education. It has been custom tailored to meet the specific needs of individual schools using current edge technologies that are critical in order to compete in this current employment market. On behalf of the New Jersey youth, I humbly wish to see such dedication from ARDEC’s staff and professionals who are dedicated to transferring their knowledge of emerging technologies in STEM and look forward to the next generation of scientists and engineers from NJ–10.

CELEBRATING THE LIFE OF MICHAEL SIMONOFF

HON. TED LIEU
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. TIEU. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to celebrate the life of Michael Scott Simonoff—a beloved husband, father, and son—who passed away following a motorcycle accident on Wednesday, November 9, 2016. Michael was born in 1967 to Jerome and Carol Simonoff in New York and spent his formative years in Plainview, Long Island. He graduated from John F. Kennedy High School in 1985 and attended the University of Denver where he received a Bachelor’s of Science in Accounting and a CPA license. He went on to earn a law degree from Whittier Law School in Southern California in 1998.

While Michael is recognized for his business acumen, he was also extraordinarily generous with his time and resources. He started his own firm, the Simonoff Group that provided office services, consulting, and bookkeeping to businesses. As a member of the California State Bar, Michael also used his legal expertise to negotiate complex real estate deals.

His ceaseless generosity is manifested in his final act of goodness. Michael donated his organs to ensure others in need could have the gift of life. His family hopes this beautiful gift will allow others to live their lives to the fullest and extend Michael’s free and beautiful spirit.

Michael is survived by his wife, Elayne Howitt, and two sons, Joel Simonoff and Sam Simonoff and two stepsons Adam Howitt and Josh Howitt of Encino, California. He also leaves behind his parents Jerome and Carol Simonoff of Marina Del Rey, California, his brother Zachary Simonoff, sister-in-law Lisa Swenski of Lorain, Ohio, his sister Rachael Wexler, brother-in-law Eric Wexler as well as his niece Amanda Wexler and nephew Harrison (Harry) Wexler of Pacific Palisades, California. He is survived by many good friends and extended family.

A funeral was held for Michael on Sunday November 13, 2016 at the Mount Sinai Cemetery. In the spirit of Michael’s generosity, the Simonoff family requested that in lieu of flowers, donations be made to charties of their choice. I wish Michael’s family nothing but peace and solace as they mourn the loss of a cherished husband, father, and son. I ask that my colleagues join me in recognizing Michael Simonoff’s beautiful life.

HONORING THE LATE DR. LUNA ISAAC MISHOE

HON. ALCEE L. HASTINGS
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor Dr. Luna Isaac Mishoe, a President of Delaware State College and a veteran of World War II. Revered as a mentor, guide, and instructor, Dr. Mishoe was a symbol of hope for many young African American individuals.

One of America’s most distinguished mathematicians and physicists, Dr. Mishoe was only the 17th African American in this country to earn a Ph.D. in Mathematics. Indeed, Dr. Mishoe was a very well educated man: he had a Bachelor of Science Degree in mathematics and chemistry from Allen University, a Master of Science Degree in Mathematics and physics from the University of Michigan, and a Doctor of Philosophy in mathematics from New York University, as well as a year of post-doctoral research in mathematics at Oxford University. And, at the age of 63, while serving as President of Delaware State, Dr. Mishoe graduated once again, this time with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting and Business Administration, and a Master of Business Administration from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. His tenacious desire to pursue his education is truly inspiring.
At the age of 53, Dr. Mishoe accepted the role as president of Delaware State College, where he oversaw the overall growth and development of the University. The school continued to expand under Dr. Mishoe’s 27-year tenure, and he saw to it that greater emphasis be placed on the development and improvement of academic programs dedicated to the education of all people. Enrollment progressively increased from 386 students in 1960 to 2,327 in 1987.

Dr. Mishoe’s phenomenal leadership generated an exemplary environment at DSU. Serving as the second longest tenure, Dr. Mishoe was able to improve the physical infrastructure by completing the construction of Conway Hall for men, Laws Hall for women, building of Business and Economics, and Evers Hall. Through his ability to create the first master’s degree program in Education Curriculum and Instruction in 1981, and later, establishing six other graduate degree programs, Dr. Mishoe upheld the University’s mission of integrating the highest standard of excellence.

Prior to entering academia, Dr. Mishoe served in the Air Force during World War II. During this time of racial conflict, Dr. Mishoe fought battles abroad and for civil rights at home. It was recently determined by the National Office of the Tuskegee Airmen that Dr. Mishoe is a documented Original Tuskegee Airman. He also served as a Special Consultant for the Ballistics Research Laboratory at the U.S. Army Ordnance Proving Ground in Maryland, prior to taking the helm at DSC.

Dr. Mishoe sadly passed away at the age of 72 on January 18, 1989, and is remembered as a dynamic community leader by his family, friends, students, and the many people whose lives he touched. I am very pleased to honor his life and legacy.

TRIBUTE TO JAGUAR TRACK CLUB

HON. DONALD M. PAYNE, JR.
OF NEW JERSEY
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to mark the 20th anniversary of the Jaguar Track Club, a competitive track program for youth ages 7–18 in South Orange, Maplewood, and surrounding communities in the 10th district of New Jersey. Founders Maurice and Daneen Cooper, Andrea Johnson, and Carl Lea held their first practice at Underhill Field in April 1996 and have been serving the public ever since.

The program’s motto is “train your body to lead, train your body to follow.” Not only are these young athletes exercising their bodies physically, but they are working their minds concurrently to become productive members of society. Each youth is trained to run sprint, middle, and long distances, as well as field events such as hurdles, shot put, discus, and long jump. However, the program also builds self-esteem, promotes physical fitness, teaches positive social skills, develops responsibility, and requires maintenance of academic excellence.

Over 300 athletes have gone through the Jaguar Track Club; many have continued their love for track in college and beyond. A number of the youth have achieved the distinction of All American, participated in Olympic trials, and even represented the United States in the Olympics.

On December 28, 2016, the Jaguar Track Club will celebrate its 20th anniversary and the common bond shared of being a Jaguar. I am honored to recognize the athletes, parents, and coaches that have all participated throughout these years as we dedicate our congratulations once again to the Jaguar Track Club.

HONORING CARLO A. SCISSURA

HON. DANIEL M. DONOVAN, JR.
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. DONOVAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the tireless dedication of Brooklyn’s Carlo A. Scissura for his service to the community.

For the last two decades, Carlo has devoted his life to public service. In 1999, Carlo was elected to the New York City Board 20 where he served for five years. During his time on the board, Carlo worked closely with parents and teachers to fight for drug and alcohol abuse prevention programs. He was then appointed to the Community Education Council for district 20 where he served as President and Chairman of the Legislative Committee. Carlo was fundamental in having the School Construction Authority approve the largest capital construction plan for District 20. He has also served on the staffs of a local State Senator, Assemblyman, and Borough President.

Since 2012, Carlo has served as President and CEO of the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce. Under Carlo’s leadership, the Chamber has advocated fiercely for businesses and economic development in Brooklyn. In the last four years, the Chamber has launched multiple initiatives such as Explore Brooklyn, Brooklyn-Made, and Chamber on the Go. These programs have proven successful and beneficial to all businesses that call Kings County their home. The Chamber will be sad to see Carlo leave as his life takes a new turn down the path of success.

Mr. Speaker, Carlo Scissura’s dedication to charity and improving his community is the essence of the model New Yorker. I thank him, and the Chamber thanks him for his service, dedication, and all of his great work. I am proud to honor this great American from New York’s 11th District.

WITH THANKS FOR THE LIFE OF JUDGE DAVID A. KATZ

HON. MARCY KAPTUR
OF OHIO
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in this season of Thanksgiving when we are especially grateful for family and friends to pay tribute to one such friend, The Honorable David A. Katz. Judge Katz passed from this world in Toledo on July 26, 2016. Katz’ proudest and most important achievement was his family. A family man to his core, he cherished his wife, children, grandchildren and great-grandchildren above all else. Though a public man and elder statesman whose imprimatur is writ large through the decisions he rendered and his contributions to our community, his true legacy is given to his family. As his family and friends remember Judge David A. Katz in thanksgiving for his life, we recall the words in the poem by Sylvia Kamens & Rabbi Jack Riemer, entitled We Remember Them.

At the rising sun and at its going down; We remember them.
At the blowing of the wind and in the chill of winter; We remember them.
At the opening of the buds and in the rebirth of spring; We remember them.
At the blueness of the skies and in the warmth of summer; We remember them.
At the rustling of the leaves and in the beauty of the autumn; We remember them.
At the beginning of the year and when it ends; We remember them.
As long as we live, they too will live, for they are now a part of us as We remember them.
When we are weary and in need of strength; We remember them.
When we are lost and sick at heart; We remember them.
When we have decisions that are difficult to make; We remember them.
When we have joy we crave to share; We remember them.
When we are sound and in health; We remember them.
When we have achievements that are based on theirs; We remember them.
For as long as we live, they too will live, for they are now a part of us as, We remember them.
Mr. ROSENFELD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor a World War II veteran from the Sixth Congressional District of Illinois, Peter Gilea. Peter was recently named a knight of the U.S. Army Cavalry and Armor Association’s Order of St. George and presented with the Bronze Medallion. The honor is awarded to Armor and Cavalrymen who perform outstanding service to the U.S. Army Armed Force.

Peter Gilea was drafted and went into the army January 25, 1943. He went through his training with the 735th Independent Tank Battalion, which he also helped establish and on February 12, 1944 his unit left the United States and arrived in Scotland on February 24, 1944. While serving as a commander on a Sherman tank with the 735th Tank Battalion in Europe, he received five campaign battle stars, which include fighting in Normandy, the pursuit through France and action in Luxembourg during the important allied victory of the Battle of the Bulge. Peter also received the Bronze Star Medal for bravery, two Purple Hearts, European-African-Middle Eastern Campaign Medal, Good Conduct Medal, American Defense Medal, World War II Victory Medal and the French Legion of Honor Medal.

His commitment to defending the freedoms we cherish will forever be a credit to his patriotism and sacrifice. His bravery during campaigns through Normandy, Northern France, Rhinelands, Ardennes-Alsace, and Central Europe was pivotal in the destruction of the oppressive and evil Nazi regime. It is because of men like Peter Gilea that we enjoy the rights and liberties we value so deeply.

Mr. Speaker, please join me in congratulating Peter Gilea for receiving the Bronze Medallion and receiving a knighthood into the Order of St. George. Once again, congratulations, and I wish Peter all the best in the years to come.

Mr. WESTERMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the dedicated, hardworking employees of Aerotech Rocketdyne in Camden and their achievements so far. These Arkansans are working hard to ensure our men and women in uniform have the resources they need to carry out their missions effectively and quickly, and they deserve our sincere appreciation.

MAMMOTH LAKES TRAIL AND PUBLIC ACCESS TENTH ANNIVERSARY

HON. PAUL COOK OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. COOK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the tenth anniversary of the Mammoth Lakes Trail and Public Access (MLTPA), a non-profit organization which has devoted its efforts to the planning and creation of a four-season trail system in Mammoth Lakes and the immediate Eastern Sierra. MLTPA is an effective, independent leader in recreational wilderness regions of California, preserving America’s natural beauty and encouraging a prosperous local economy. In 2005, the MLTPA began planning and raising over twenty million dollars which it invested to connect people with nature. Public access was improved so more people could come and enjoy the area’s great outdoors and through collaborative partnerships and recreation opportunities, the community participation increased. MLTPA has made a significant difference in connecting the community to the local government and businesses in order to bring people to the region by providing a safe and sustainable trail network. Mammoth Lakes Trail and Public Access has increased awareness about the future of the community and its relationship to the surrounding public lands. MLTPA has led a collaborative effort with the local government, federal agencies, and other non-profits. Its upcoming projects include the acceleration of various transportation projects and supporting local businesses by providing a safe and sustainable trail network. Mammoth Lakes Trail and Public Access has increased awareness about the future of the community and its relationship to the surrounding public lands. MLTPA has led a collaborative effort with the local government, federal agencies, and other non-profits. Its upcoming projects include the acceleration of various transportation projects and supporting local businesses by providing a safe and sustainable trail network.
TRIBUTE TO CHARLES JOE HELMS

HON. LUKE MESSER
OF INDIANA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. MESSER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to the life of my good friend Charlie Joe Helms of Butlerville, Indiana.

Charlie was born on May 8, 1948 in Richmond, Indiana, to Myron and Esther Dennis Helms. Charlie lived a long, full life dedicated to his family and his community. Charlie is survived by his loving wife, Vickie; three sons, Jon, Lennie, and Arnie; daughter, Nichelle Burkhardt; stepdaughters, Traci Baldwin, Amy McCanlis, and Bekah Hunsucker; one sister, Lynda Schmidt; and seven grandchildren.

Raised in Indiana, Charlie graduated from Brookville High School before serving his country in the U.S. Navy. Following his service, he worked for Ford Motor Company in Connersville and then General Motors Delphi in Dayton, Ohio for several years. Charlie officially retired in 2011 after working for the Muscatatuck Urban Training Center.

As the Good Book says in Acts 20:35, “It is more blessed to give than to receive.” Charlie truly lived this scripture throughout his life. Everyone who knew him, knew of his steadfast faith and compassion for serving the Hoosier community. Charlie was a member of the Nebraska Church of God, Masonic Lodge Number 219 in Butlerville and American Legion Post Number 77 in Brookville. In his free time, he enjoyed exploring the outdoors, boating and spending time with his family.

I am lucky to have called Charlie Helms a close friend. I know first-hand his faith, his extraordinary friendship and his consistent encouragement. I will never forget his support and belief in me.

Charlie will be missed dearly by his family and his community, but his memory will live on in those who were blessed to know him.

Today, it is my privilege to honor the life of Charlie Helms. My thoughts and prayers go out to Charlie’s family, and may God comfort those he left behind with His peace and strength.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE SACRAMENTO JAPANESE AMERICAN CITIZENS LEAGUE 2016 HONOREES

HON. DORIS O. MATSUI
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Sacramento Chapter of the Japanese American Citizens League’s 2016 honorees. As the members of the Sacramento Japanese American Citizens League (JACL) join together for their annual community recognition and installation banquet, I ask all of my colleagues to join me in recognizing these outstanding leaders in our community.

As one of the oldest and largest Japanese American Citizens League chapters in our nation, the Sacramento chapter remains a leader in the fight for civil rights of all Americans, while supporting programs that enhance our culture and community. Each year, the Sacramento JACL honors inspiring individuals and companies that are committed to public service and upholding the values of the JACL. This year, I join the members of the Sacramento JACL in honoring the following individuals:

Dr. Donna Yee and Priscilla Ouichita, as well as members from the Dharma School Courtyard Kitchen of the Sacramento Buddhist Church—Sam and Gladys Adachi, Billy and Grace Hatano, Reiko Kurahara, Mike and Rachel Nagai, Sachiko Sawada and Akaiye Shimada.

Dr. Donna Yee, Chief Executive Officer of Asian Community Center Senior Services, has worked tirelessly for over forty years to ensure that seniors and their families have much needed access to resources and programs vital to their well-being. The ACC provides assisted and independent living as well as support programs including transportation, home respite services, and caregiver support.

Priscilla Ouichita, a longtime community advocate, has much experience and numerous accomplishments in the quest for civil rights and promoting the cultural heritage of Japanese-Americans. Serving as the Executive Director of the JACL, the Chief of Staff and Legislative Director for Senator Joe Simitian, and the Chief of Staff and Legislative Director for Senator Patrick Johnston, Ms. Ouichita is a valued leader in our community.

Sam and Grace Hatano, Mike and Rachel Nagai, Sachiko Sawada and Akaiye Shimada from the Dharma School Courtyard Kitchen of the Sacramento Buddhist Church have been preparing meals for over 35 years. They manage to do all this from donations from local businesses and personal donations.

Mr. Speaker, as these individuals are being recognized by the Japanese American Citizens League, I ask my colleagues to join me in thanking them for their outstanding service to our Sacramento community.

HONORING MS. DEBORAH WILLIAMS

HON. BARBARA LEE
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. LEE. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to honor the extraordinary life and invaluable career of Ms. Deborah Hudson-Williams, who passed away on November 18, 2016.

Deborah was born on September 15, 1952 in Oakland, California, to Girver and Gertrude Hudson, and grew up in a large working-class family which helped shaped through his career as an electrician at General Electric. Her father was also active with the United Auto Workers (UAW), and his work inspired her own career, when she followed him into working for the UAW.

Ms. Williams was incredibly passionate about the rights of workers, and she was very active in the UAW, including many years when she worked at the New United Motor Manufacturing, Inc. (NUMMI) plant in Fremont, California. She also served as the chairperson for the UAW’s Political Action Committee in the Bay Area, which she used to support candidates and policies that worked to improve the quality of life for middle-class families like her own.

In addition to working to support the men and women of her union, Ms. Williams was politically active in many areas, including working with the National Association of Negro Business and Professional Women’s Clubs (NANBPWC), the A. Philip Randolph Organizing Committee (the NPOC), and the Oakland East Bay Democratic Club.

She is survived by many siblings, including four brothers: Anthony Hudson (Linda) Las Vegas, NV, Girver Hudson Jr. (Linda) California, Michael Hudson (Dolores) Oakland, Mark Hudson, Oakland; and seven sisters, Brenda Brooks, Oakland, Francine Wesley (Noel) San Pablo, Cheryl Moore, Oakland, Patricia Henry (Clarence) Oakland, Mada Hudson, Oakland, Beverly Hudson, Pittsburg, Karen Cox (Mobil), Oakland.

On a personal note, Debbie was a loyal supporter whose wise counsel I always appreciated and who always came through for me right on time, every time. Most importantly, she was a dear friend who I will deeply miss.

On behalf on California’s 13th Congressional District, I would like to offer my sincerest condolences to her family, friends, and the community she cared so much about. Ms. Williams’ legacy as a strong advocate for working men and women will be remembered and honored throughout the Bay Area.

CELEBRATING THE REPUBLIC OF KAZAKHSTAN’S 25 YEARS OF INDEPENDENCE

HON. ALCEE L. HASTINGS
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Republic of Kazakhstan, which on December 16, 2016 will celebrate its independence day. For twenty five years, Kazakhstan and its people have stood out as a steadfast and true friend to the United States on an array of security and economic issues and have made tremendous strides in democratization and economic development.

Since its independence, Kazakhstan has stood out as a reliable strategic partner in Central Asia to the United States and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). Kazakhstan contributes to the reconstruction of Afghanistan, finances the education of thousands of Afghan immigrants and refugees, and has provided supply line access and support to the International Security Assistance Force (ISAF) Coalition. For the past thirteen years, Kazakh, American, and NATO security forces have conducted joint military training programs in the Central Asian steppes. According to the National Bank of Kazakhstan, American companies invested $24 billion in the Kazakh economy from 2005 to 2016.

However, the cornerstone of strong U.S.-Kazakhstan relations is nuclear nonproliferation. After the dissolution of the Soviet Union, Kazakhstan inherited a nuclear weapons stockpile of 1,400 nuclear warheads, the fourth largest stockpile of nuclear weapons in the world. President Nursultan Nazarbayev expressed his early commitment to nuclear disarmament by decommissioning all 1,400 nuclear weapons.

The government in Astana has remained committed to the global cause of nonproliferation ever since. Recently the country established the first-ever Low Enriched Uranium
Fuel Bank backed by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in order to ensure the stability of civil nuclear energy use worldwide. As a nonpermanent member of the UN Security Council, President Nazarbayev has relentlessly pursued the cause of nuclear disarmament.

Kazakhstan is also on the road to becoming a regional hub for sustainable economic activity in Central Asia. Its New Silk Road Initiative, the “Bright Path” stimulus plan, and the 2050 Strategy each mark President Nazarbayev’s commitment to developing transportation and telecommunications infrastructure and his commitment to diversifying Kazakhstan’s overall economy. Relatedly, Kazakhstan will host an International Exposition in 2017 that aims to create a global discourse among states, NGOs, and corporations about ensuring sustainable access to energy while reducing carbon emissions. These promising strides in economic development will not only help the Kazakh people, but will benefit American companies doing business in Kazakhstan.

Mr. Speaker, I once again want to congratulately President Nazarbayev, and the Kazakh people on the joyous occasion of their twenty fifth anniversary of independence, and I look forward to a continued, strong and resilient friendship between our two countries.

2016 NATIONAL NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE MONTH

HON. BETTY MCCOLLUM
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. McCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, each November we celebrate the contributions of First Americans with National Native American Heritage Month. The people of the 567 diverse, federally recognized tribal nations—including the 11 Ojibwe and Dakota nations in Minnesota—play a complex and vital role in our nation’s history, and make our communities and our country stronger today.

Despite centuries of violent discrimination and abusive policies, our country’s Native American cultures and nations have endured. Tribal governments and Native communities have made monumental progress. Our federal trust relationship with tribal nations has grown stronger in the current era of self-governance among Native American tribes—a proud accomplishment that will continue to require the federal government’s full and respectful engagement.

As a co-chair of the Congressional Native American Caucus and the Ranking Member of the Interior-Environment Appropriations Subcommittee, I have had the honor to meet with hundreds of tribal leaders to discuss the needs of Indian Country. Working together, we have succeeded in making substantial progress on education, health, and criminal justice issues through measures like the Indian Health Care Improvement Act, increased funding for the Bureau of Indian Education, and special tribal jurisdiction in domestic violence cases.

Despite these concrete steps, we have much more work to do to address disparities, invest in Indian Country, and create more opportunities for all Native Americans—especially Native youth. As we honor the achievements and resilience of our First Americans, I pledge to continue working to ensure that our Native American brothers and sisters have every opportunity to succeed.

IN RECOGNITION OF JOHN SHIREY

HON. DORIS O. MATSUI
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize John Shirey as he retires after over 44 years of public service. As his loved ones, friends, and colleagues gather to celebrate his dedicated career, I ask my colleagues to join me in celebrating his work as Sacramento’s City Manager.

John earned his Bachelor of Science degree in industrial engineering at Purdue University and then completed his Master’s degree in public administration at the University of Southern California. He served diligently as the Assistant City Manager of City of Long Beach, starting a long career of public service in California. For a few years he served as City Manager of Cincinnati, Ohio. After returning to California, John began his time as Executive Director of the California Redevelopment Association, an organization responsible for over 350 redevelopment agencies. Consistent with his dedication to the public good, John dedicated nine years to urban redevelopment, and thereafter became the City Manager of Sacramento in September of 2011. During his tenure as City Manager, Mr. Shirey has balanced not only an annual budget that nears $900 million, but also a work force of around 4,100 employees. His expertise and knowledge have served the city well, and he will be missed.

Mr. Shirey played a pivotal role in keeping our Sacramento Kings where they belong, which is in California’s capital city. His motivation and drive were integral to the construction of the Golden 1 Center, which is a jewel in Sacramento’s downtown that will drive economic development and commerce for decades to come. John has also made a continual effort to support the homeless in Sacramento. His hard work and integrity have helped make Sacramento a better place.

Mr. Speaker, as John and his family, friends, and colleagues gather to celebrate his retirement, I ask my colleagues to join me in wishing him the best in retirement and thanking him for his contributions to the Sacramento region.

IN HONOR OF FRANK JOHNSON

HON. SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR.
OF GEORGIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. BISHOP of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, it is with a heavy heart and solemn remembrance that I rise today to pay tribute to a respected community leader and outstanding citizen, Frank Johnson. Sadly, Mr. Johnson passed away on Sunday, November 20, 2016. Funeral services were held on Saturday, November 26, 2016.

Frank Johnson served our nation honorably among the ranks of the first black Marines, known as the Montford Point Marines because they received basic training at the segregated Montford Point Base adjacent to Camp Lejeune, North Carolina. Mr. Johnson later worked at Robins Air Force Base until he retired.

Although Mr. Johnson fought to protect our cherished freedoms and liberties, he did not benefit from all those freedoms and liberties for he did not have the right to vote due to the color of his skin. This inspired Mr. Johnson to join the march over the Edmund Pettus Bridge in Selma, Alabama on March 7, 1965. When a group of protesters reached the other side of the bridge, they were brutally attacked by police, giving the historic day the name “ Bloody Sunday.”

Frank Johnson’s life was about helping people. He was known as the “mayor” of Macon’s Unionville neighborhood for his efforts to revitalize the area and improve the quality of life for its residents. He was involved in the Unionville Improvement Association and helped with community clean-ups. Mr. Johnson wanted a recreation center for Unionville’s young people to enjoy. He got that and more—a recreation center was opened on Mercer University Drive on the site where he grew up playing and it was named after him. The Frank Johnson Community Center is currently undergoing renovations and will reopen soon.

Mr. Johnson devoted decades of service to the people of Macon through his meaningful contribution of energy, love, and genuine passion. He was an honorable human being who loved deeply and, in return, was deeply loved. Frank Johnson is survived by his wife of 62 years, Dorothy, and his daughter, Christy.

Maya Angelou once said, “A great soul serves everyone all the time. A great soul never dies.” Frank Johnson is one such great soul, who served humanity in a special way. Each day he graced the people around him with an enthusiastic sincerity of presence. His impression on this earth extends beyond himself to the very wellbeing of the Macon community, and for it he will be remembered by the community for time to come.

Mr. Speaker, my wife Vivian and I, along with the more than 730,000 people of the Second Congressional District salute Frank Johnson for his dedicated service and exceptional impact on Macon, Georgia. I ask my colleagues in the House of Representatives to join us in extending our deepest sympathies to Mr. Johnson’s family, friends and loved ones during this difficult time. We pray that they will be consoled and comforted by an abiding faith and the Holy Spirit in the days, weeks and months ahead.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE SACRAMENTO BRANCH OF THE NAACP’S CENTENNIAL CELEBRATION

HON. DORIS O. MATSUI
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Sacramento branch of the NAACP as they celebrate their 100th anniversary. The NAACP’s time-honored chapter in Sacramento has been a leader in the difficult
across the nation will remember his good works in Congress on behalf of his constituents and the American people. True public servants like Steve LaTourette are missed when they leave the House, and mourned when they pass away too soon. Our thoughts and prayers go out to Steve’s wife, children, and his entire family. He will be missed.

HONORING THE LIFE OF DR. FRANK J. INDIHAR

HON. BETTY MCCOLLUM
OF MINNESOTA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. MCCOLLUM. Mr. Speaker, my dear friend, Frank J. Indihar, MD passed away on October 23, 2016. He leaves a legacy of both medical excellence and committed service to my community, the state of Minnesota, and our nation. From 2002 through 2008, Dr. Indihar led Bethesda Hospital as Chief Executive Officer, after serving as its Medical Director for several years as a practicing physician. Bethesda Hospital, located steps from the State Capitol in Saint Paul, is a long-term acute care hospital with a reputation for first-class specialty care. Under his management, Bethesda improved its programs and services and undertook major facility renovations. The entire Midwest greatly benefited from Dr. Indihar’s long list of accomplishments at Bethesda, including establishing the Capistran Center for Parkinson’s Disease and Movement Disorders, starting an innovative clinic to treat young people with concussions, and creating a therapeutic garden for patients, families, and employees. With Bethesda’s specialty in treating brain injuries, Dr. Indihar was a key resource to me as we worked to ensure that our servicemembers and veterans receive the health care they need, including assessment and treatment for those who sustained traumatic brain injuries during their service in Iraq and Afghanistan. Dr. Indihar greatly assisted me with my work on this issue in Congress.

As a Major in the United States Army Medical Corps, Dr. Indihar began his medical career serving in Vietnam and Washington, DC, and was awarded a Bronze Star with Oak Leaf Cluster in 1970 for his brave and meritorious service. In 1973, he served as Chief Resident in Internal Medicine Service at the Minneapolis VA Medical Center. Throughout his distinguished career, Dr. Indihar demonstrated a steadfast commitment to excellence in medicine. Among his numerous professional positions, he was President of the Ramsey County Medical Society, and served as Delegate and Chair of the Minnesota delegation to the American Medical Association House of Delegates for many years. His dedication to medicine was especially apparent through his lifelong mentorship of medical students. Frank was known as a Renaissance man and demonstrated strong support for the arts community in Minnesota. He also made extensive civic contributions to the boards of Catholic Social Services to the Elderly, the Minnesota Orchestra, New Connections, and the Saint Paul Seminary.

I wish to extend my sincere condolences to Frank’s wife, Anita Pampusch, as well as his sisters, nephew and nieces, and grandnieces. My heartfelt condolences also go out to his colleagues at Bethesda Hospital and HealthEast for their loss. It was an honor to work with Frank, and I valued our continued friendship in his retirement. He was a kind and extraordinary person who will be deeply missed.

IN RECOGNITION OF THE 2016 URBAN LAND INSTITUTE VISION HONORS AWARD RECIPIENTS

HON. DORIS O. MATSUI
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. MATSUI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the Urban Land Institute (ULI) of Sacramento and its 2016 ULI Vision Honors Award recipients. As the members of the Urban Land Institute of Sacramento gather at the annual Vision Honors dinner to recognize the projects and individuals that have shown exemplary leadership in smart planning, urban growth, and sustainable communities, I ask all my colleagues to join me in honoring their contributions to the Sacramento region.

The ULI’s mission has long been to promote the responsible use of land for the benefit of local communities, and community leaders are recognized leaders in the community who have upheld this mission. The ULI Principles Vision Honors Award is Mr. Mike McKeever, the outgoing Chief Executive Officer of the Sacramento Area Council of Governments. For over a decade, Mr. McKeever led SACOG with a steady hand and contributed to the advancement of the region’s infrastructure. His nationally acclaimed Blueprint regional planning scenario has become a model for sustainability planning and smart growth. Navigating an organization as large as SACOG—six counties and 22 local cities is no easy task, but Mike proved time and again that he could bring people together and build a consensus among divergent viewpoints. I applaud Mike for his time at SACOG and his work that is not only improving the efficiency of Sacramento’s transit network, but is also increasing the region’s environmental friendliness through its plan to reduce emissions.

The 2016 ULI Project of the Year award is presented to the Sacramento Kings and the City of Sacramento for the Golden 1 Center/Downtown Commons Project. The Golden 1 Center is a groundbreaking project in the heart of Sacramento’s downtown that ties the most advanced technology in the world with environmental sustainability. As the first LEED Platinum designated arena, the Golden 1 Center counteracts climate change through its use of solar energy and its water efficiency. Even more importantly, it promotes environmental awareness and sustainability to its estimated 1.2 million annual visitors. The Golden 1 Center/Downtown Commons Project also contributes to SACOG’s community through its commitment to sourcing 90 percent of all food services from local farms and businesses.

The ULI Member/Achiever of the Year Vision Honors Award is Mr. Jeffrey M. Goldman, AICP, Principal of AECOM. Mr. Goldman has over 30 years of experience in community planning, development codes, community outreach, and CEQA compliance. Over the last
decade, Mr. Goldman has led the Sacramento AECOM office with an increased focus on sus-
tainability, climate mitigation, adaptation, and community resilience. Mr. Goldman has be-
come a leader in coordinating climate action planning documents for local jurisdictions and
has fueled sustainability throughout his numer-
ous environmentally conscious development plans for the Sacramento region, as well as his
community-based housing and restoration projects.

Mr. Speaker, as these leaders are being rec-
ognized for their forward-thinking contribu-
tions to the Sacramento community, I ask all
my colleagues to join me in honoring the im-
pact they have made in the Sacramento re-
gion.

TUESDAYS IN TEXAS: SUSANA
DICKINSON

HON. TED POE
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. POE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the year
was 1836. To many, this year does not signify
much. For Texans everywhere, 1836 shaped
the course of our history and spirit.

In February of 1836, the troops of General Santa
Anna invaded the Alamo where many
Texians gave their lives in the struggle for
independence. General Santa Anna and his
troops numbered between 1,800 and 6,000
men. The 200 Texians occupying the Alamo
stood ready to defend their country. All of the
men in the Alamo would give their lives for
Texan independence that night. General Santa
Anna had ordered his men to take no pris-
oners.

Among the few that survived were Susana
Dickinson and her daughter, Angelina. Susana
Dickinson and her daughter had moved to San
Antonio because her husband, Almeron Dick-
inson, had wanted them close to him. When
the Mexican troops arrived in San Antonio,
Almeron Dickinson moved his family into the
Alamo. Although Susana and Angelina sur-
vived the siege of the Alamo, Almeron and the
rest of the men did not.

Susana was found hiding in the powder
magazine by General Juan Almonte and sent
to General Santa Anna, where she found her
daughter sitting on his lap. General Santa
Anna released her with the condition that she
go to Camp Gonzalez and warn the Texas
soldiers that he would kill them as he had killed
the men in the Alamo. However, in accord-
ance with true Texas spirit, it is believed that
instead of delivering a threat, she delivered a
warning for the Texans.

As a result of the siege and her husband's
death, Susana was forced to live in poverty
for years. She faced multiple unsuccessful mar-
riages and a difficult life but her spirit re-
mained strong. As a survivor of the Alamo,
she lived to tell the story of the Alamo fight for free-
don against an oppressive and cruel dictator.
The Alamo stands as a pillar of hope and is
the single most significant structure in Texas
history. Susana Dickinson's story of the brave,
heroic men who drew a line in the sand and
fought for Texas's freedom will live in his-
tory because of the future generations of
Texans just what this great state stands for.
Her spirit and bravery will live on in Texas his-
tory.

And that's just the way it is.

IN RECOGNITION OF DR. SHETAL
SHAH AND HIS EXPERTISE IN
CHILD HEALTH POLICY

HON. STEVE ISRAEL
OF NEW YORK
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. ISRAEL. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to
recognize a constituent, physician and re-
searcher, Dr. Shetal I. Shah, MD, FAAP for
his vigorous advocacy efforts in support of
child and newborn health. For over 10 years,
Dr. Shah has been an engaged physician-ad-
vocate in his roles as Legislative Chairman
and Executive Committee member of the Long
Island Chapter of the American Academy of
Pediatrics. A lifelong member of New York's
Third Congressional District, Dr. Shah's med-
cal expertise—coupled with his policy insights
and practical, first-hand knowledge of how pe-
diatric and neonatal medicine are practiced in
the region—have been a vital resource for my
office in interpreting child health legislation.
His work has provided practice insights into
how national policy will directly affect
newborns and children and health-care sys-
tems across my congressional district and
across Long Island.

Throughout my tenure in the United States
Congress, Dr. Shah has volunteered his time
to work with my office to increase pediatric
evaluation and care for children who were
loved—living or at that full speed. In this case, he was on a hunting trip
with friends. He was only twenty-seven years old.

In that vein, Mark Twain once observed that
the fear of death results from of a fear of life,
but someone whose life is well lived is pre-
pared to die at any time. Dave was indeed an
example of life robustly lived, and much of his
time spent on this earth was in the service of
others. A man of large stature and spirit, his pres-
ence was one that welcomed you and that
could not be ignored. As a native of Dauluskie
Island, it comes as no surprise that Dave was
among those who remained behind to watch
over it when Hurricane Matthew hit back in
October. A member of the so-called
"Dauluskie 100"—the name for the number of
residents who rode out the storm, he was
someone that those on the island looked to as
a leader. Indeed, when I last saw Dave, he
was leading the cleanup effort from the driv-
er's seat of his bulldozer.

That was part for the course for him. He
couldn't help but lead. Even as a toddler, Dave
encouraged his mother to give the change to charity whenever they went out to
eat, an early indication that a life filled with
service was to come. Dave's story is one of
leading by example, one of both talking the
walk and walking the walk, and I think there is
a lesson that all of us can learn from within
those pages.

In his memory, I would ask that we take a
moment today for reflection, and pause in ask-
ing how we can live up to his example of lead-
ership. For those of us who knew him, even
when the brevity of life's moments, he will be
missed. Accordingly, I want to offer my condo-
lesences to his mother, Martha, as well as to
the other family and friends he leaves behind.
HONORING HARRIET SOL

HON. THEODORE E. DEUTCH
OF FLORIDA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. DEUTCH. Mr. Speaker, I, along with Congresswoman Lois Frankel, rise today in memory of Harriet Sol, who passed away on November 20th. Her strength of character and her ever-outgoing and optimistic attitude is greatly missed by her loved ones and all who knew her.

From the man she met on a Northeast train who eventually became her husband to the many recipients of her charity work, Harriet made an impact on everyone she met.

Harriet never shied away from a new challenge to help others. Upon retirement, she and her husband Ed settled into life in Delray Beach, where her selfless efforts fundraising for the Andy Roddick Foundation for Children in Distress and for the local National Football League chapter charity earned her the “Volunteer of the Year” award at the Lakes of Delray.

As vice president of the United South County Democratic Club and an active volunteer for the Palm Beach County Democratic Club, Harriet was known as a passionate activist and outreach expert.

Harriet worked hard to better our community, and we have lost a great friend and mentor. It was an honor to have known her and to have represented her in the United States Congress.

HONORING CONTRA COSTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE CHANCELLOR HELEN BENJAMIN

HON. MARK DeSAULNIER
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mr. DeSAULNIER. Mr. Speaker, I, along with Congressmen Mike Thompson, Jerry McNerney, and Eric Swalwell, rise today to honor Helen Benjamin for her long commitment to the future of our youth and her belief in the power of education to improve our lives and our communities. Over the last 27 years, Helen’s leadership has been instrumental to the vibrant academic culture of the Contra Costa Community College District, spending the past twelve years as Chancellor.

Helen was born in the City of Alexandria, Louisiana, and attended Bishop College in Texas and earned her Master’s and doctoral degrees from Texas Woman’s University. Helen began her professional career as a public school teacher before taking a position at a four-year institution and moving to a community college. Helen joined the Contra Costa Community College District in the early 1990s as the Los Medanos College Dean of Language Arts and Humanistic Studies and Related Occupations. She also participated in the inaugural class of the League for Innovation in the Community College’s Expanding Leadership Diversity program.

Helen has held several positions within the district over the years, including President of Contra Costa Community College, before becoming Chancellor in 2005. She leaves behind a legacy of passionate advocacy for higher education, cultural diversity, professional development, and expanding opportunities for the students and faculty at the district’s three main campuses of Diablo Valley College, Los Medanos College, and Contra Costa College.

Helen has also been a guiding force in the expansion of the college district with a new campus in San Ramon and the consideration of a new campus in Brentwood.

Helen is highly respected throughout the Bay Area and beyond as an inspiring public servant. I, along with Congressmen Mike Thompson, Jerry McNerney, and Eric Swalwell, wish Helen and her family well in her retirement and thank her for her years of dedicated service to those seeking to improve their lives through education.

RECOGNIZING THE SERVICE OF ESPERANZA WORLEY

HON. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON
OF TEXAS
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, today I want to recognize the deep and selfless commitment of a public servant who holds a special place in my heart. 2016 will mark the final year that Esperanza Worley works in my District office. We share that, a deep love for Dallas. I have known her since before I was elected into office, and she has worked on our team every single day of my Congressional career. Though my team and the constituents of Texas’ 30th District will lose an exceptional and caring advocate, they will gain a friend who will be rightfully enjoying some much-deserved retirement.

Her attention to detail and warm demeanor made her an excellent congressional staffer, but an even better friend to all of us. Mr. Speaker, I want to recognize the selfless dedication Esperanza Worley has given to the people she has worked with and for in Dallas and beyond. For this she deserves our utmost respect, admiration, and praise—though her humility will likely not allow her to accept it. But more than anything, I want to show how much we like her, and wish her the best.

ANNE OTTERSON
HON. SUSAN A. DAVIS
OF CALIFORNIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Tuesday, November 29, 2016

Mrs. DAVIS of California. Mr. Speaker, San Diego recently lost a giant presence in our community with the passing of Anne Otterson. Anne was a beloved part of San Diego, and she concentrated so much of her life to giving back. Through her work as an activist and philanthropist with Project Concern International (PCi) and the University of California San Diego, she left behind an enormous impact on the community.

A former Fulbright scholar, she was very quick and intelligent, but in a way that was inclusive and encouraging of those around her. Anne had an ability to bring people in. She was always listening and wanted to learn about other people’s experiences. She had a dedication to helping her community and used her resources and talents to do just that. Anne was a celebrated chef and teacher at the local culinary school Perfect Pan School of Cooking. She took that passion to the next level and used it for the good of others by establishing the annual Celebrity Chefs Cook Gala in 1981—this event has gone on for decades and has raised over $9 million for cancer research and care at UCSD’s Moores Cancer Centers.

Anne had a wonderful sense of humor, she was engaging, and had a presence that made people feel good. People just liked being around her.

I was lucky enough to have spent time with Anne, and what always stuck me about her was her strong sense of service. Her genuine interest was advancing PCI’s mission: spreading women’s empowerment, democracy and creating opportunity.

Of all her many accomplishments, Anne considered her children and grandchildren to be her greatest achievements. She leaves behind a proud legacy.

I also love what she described as her philosophy of life—I think it spells out the way she saw the world and how we can all learn from her. Anne said, “Laugh at yourself, be curious and care about others, and listen to the world about you—whether it is the babbling brook, the melodious sounds of a flute, or the plaintive cry of oppressed people.” These are the words that Anne Otterson lived by and they are an inspiration that lives on.
Daily Digest

Senate

Chamber Action

Routine Proceedings, pages S6521–S6578

Measures Introduced: Two bills and five resolutions were introduced, as follows: S. 3483–3484, S. Res. 620–623, and S. Con. Res. 56. Page S6550

Measures Passed:

Expanding Capacity for Health Outcomes Act: Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions was discharged from further consideration of S. 2873, to require studies and reports examining the use of, and opportunities to use, technology-enabled collaborative learning and capacity building models to improve programs of the Department of Health and Human Services, and the bill was then passed by a unanimous vote of 97 yeas (Vote No. 154), after taking action on the following amendment proposed thereto: Pages S6525–26

Adopted:

Daines (for Alexander) Amendment No. 5110, in the nature of a substitute. Pages S6525–26

Public Safety Officers’ Benefits Improvement Act: Senate passed S. 2944, to require adequate reporting on the Public Safety Officers’ Benefits program, after withdrawing the committee amendments, and agreeing to the following amendments proposed thereto: Pages S6571–73

McConnell (for Grassley) Amendment No. 5113, in the nature of a substitute. Page S6573

McConnell (for Grassley) Amendment No. 5114, to amend the title. Page S6573

Cross-Border Trade Enhancement Act: Senate passed S. 461, to provide for alternative financing arrangements for the provision of certain services and the construction and maintenance of infrastructure at land border ports of entry, after withdrawing the committee amendment in the nature of a substitute, and agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto: Pages S6573–75

McConnell (for Cornyn) Amendment No. 5115, in the nature of a substitute. Page S6575

District of Columbia Judicial Financial Transparency Act: Senate passed H.R. 4419, to update the financial disclosure requirements for judges of the District of Columbia courts and to make other improvements to the District of Columbia courts. Page S6575

Air Traffic Controllers Annuity Supplement: Senate passed H.R. 5785, to amend title 5, United States Code, to provide for an annuity supplement for certain air traffic controllers. Page S6575

National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency 20th Anniversary: Committee on Armed Services was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 607, recognizing the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency on its 20th anniversary, and the resolution was then agreed to. Pages S6575–76

40 Years of Women Cadets at the United States Air Force Academy Day: Committee on Armed Services was discharged from further consideration of S. Res. 611, supporting the designation of October 8, 2016, as “40 Years of Women Cadets at the United States Air Force Academy Day”, and the resolution was then agreed to. Page S6576

National Adoption Day and National Adoption Month: Senate agreed to S. Res. 622, expressing support for the goals of National Adoption Day and National Adoption Month by promoting national awareness of adoption and the children awaiting families, celebrating children and families involved in adoption, and encouraging the people of the United States to secure safety, permanency, and well-being for all children. Page S6576

Civil Air Patrol: Senate agreed to S. Res. 623, recognizing the vital role the Civil Air Patrol has played, and continues to play, in supporting the homeland security and national defense of the United States. Page S6576

Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Project in Reno, Nevada: Committee on Veterans’ Affairs was discharged from further consideration of S. 3438, to authorize the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a major medical facility project in Reno, Nevada, and the bill was then passed, after agreeing to the following amendment proposed thereto: Page S6576

McConnell (for Heller) Amendment No. 5116, in the nature of a substitute. Page S6576
Iran Sanctions Extension Act—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that at a time to be determined between the Majority Leader, after consultation with the Democratic Leader, Senate begin consideration of H.R. 6297, to reauthorize the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996; and that Senate vote on passage of the bill, with no intervening action or debate. 

Protect Patrimony Resolution—Agreement: A unanimous-consent agreement was reached providing that the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to request the return of the papers with respect to H. Con. Res. 122, supporting efforts to stop the theft, illegal possession or sale, transfer, and export of tribal cultural items of American Indians, Alaska Natives, and Native Hawaiians in the United States and internationally, so that the Enrolling Clerk may make a technical correction.

Message from the President: Senate received the following message from the President of the United States: 

Transmitting, pursuant to law, a report relative to an alternative plan for pay increases for civilian Federal employees covered by the General Schedule and certain other pay systems in January 2017; which was referred to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs. (PM–57) 

Nominations Received: Senate received the following nominations: 

Joseph Bruce Hamilton, of Texas, to be a Member of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board for a term expiring October 18, 2021. 

Seth Harris, of New York, to be a Director of the Amtrak Board of Directors for a term of five years. 

Jeffrey R. Moreland, of Texas, to be a Director of the Amtrak Board of Directors for a term of five years. 

Rachel A. Meidl, of Wisconsin, to be a Member of the Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board for a term of five years. 

Michael P. Leary, of Pennsylvania, to be Inspector General, Social Security Administration. 

Richard Stengel, of the District of Columbia, to be a Member of the Broadcasting Board of Governors for a term expiring August 13, 2017. 

Richard Stengel, of the District of Columbia, to be Chairman of the Broadcasting Board of Governors. 

Patrick K. Nakamura, of Alabama, to be a Member of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission for a term of six years expiring August 30, 2022. 

Robert P. Storch, of the District of Columbia, to be Inspector General of the National Security Agency. 

1 Navy nomination in the rank of admiral. 

Routine lists in the Army, Foreign Service, and Marine Corps. 

Measures Placed on the Calendar: 

Executive Communications: 

Petitions and Memorials: 

Additional Cosponsors: 

Statements on Introduced Bills/Resolutions: 

Additional Statements: 

Amendments Submitted: 

Authorities for Committees to Meet: 

Record Votes: One record vote was taken today. (Total—154) 

Adjournment: Senate convened at 10 a.m. and adjourned at 6:44 p.m., until 10 a.m. on Wednesday, November 30, 2016. (For Senate’s program, see the remarks of the Majority Leader in today’s Record on pages S6576–77.) 

Committee Meetings

(Committees not listed did not meet) 

VOLUNTARY EDUCATION PROGRAMS 

Committee on Armed Services: Committee concluded a hearing to examine Department of Defense actions concerning voluntary education programs, after receiving testimony from Peter Levine, Acting Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, Stephanie Barna, Acting Principal Deputy Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, and Dawn Bilodeau, Chief, Voluntary Education Programs, all of the Department of Defense.

INTELLIGENCE 

Select Committee on Intelligence: Committee held closed hearings on intelligence matters, receiving testimony from officials of the intelligence community. 

Committee recessed subject to the call.
House of Representatives

Chamber Action

Public Bills and Resolutions Introduced: 9 public bills, H.R. 6394–6402; and 3 resolutions, H. Res. 932, 935–936 were introduced.

Additional Cosponsors: Pages H6365–66

Reports Filed: Reports were filed today as follows:

H.R. 1219, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to convey certain land and appurtenances of the Arbuckle Project, Oklahoma, to the Arbuckle Master Conservancy District, and for other purposes, with an amendment (H. Rept. 114–834);

H.R. 5790, to provide adequate protections for whistleblowers at the Federal Bureau of Investigation (H. Rept. 114–835);

H.R. 5920, to enhance whistleblower protection for contractor and grantee employees (H. Rept. 114–836, Part 1);

H.R. 6302, to provide an increase in premium pay for United States Secret Service agents performing protective services during 2016, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 114–837);

H. Res. 933, providing amounts for further expenses of the Committee on Energy and Commerce in the One Hundred Fourteenth Congress (H. Rept. 114–838); and

H. Res. 934, providing for consideration of the Senate amendment to the bill (H.R. 34) to authorize and strengthen the tsunami detection, forecast, warning, research, and mitigation program of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and for other purposes (H. Rept. 114–839).

Speaker: Read a letter from the Speaker wherein he appointed Representative Womack to act as Speaker pro tempore for today.

Recess: The House recessed at 12:02 p.m. and reconvened at 2 p.m.

Recess: The House recessed at 2:08 p.m. and reconvened at 3:01 p.m.

Suspensions: The House agreed to suspend the rules and pass the following measures:

Veterans TRICARE Choice Act: H.R. 5458, amended, to provide for coordination between the TRICARE program and eligibility for making contributions to a health savings account; Pages H6317–19

Railroad Emergency Services Preparedness, Operational Needs, and Safety Evaluation Act of 2016: S. 546, amended, to establish the Railroad Emergency Services Preparedness, Operational Needs, and Safety Evaluation (RESPONSE) Subcommittee under the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s National Advisory Council to provide recommendations on emergency responder training and resources relating to hazardous materials incidents involving railroads; Pages H6319–21

Designating the Federal building and United States courthouse located at 719 Church Street in Nashville, Tennessee, as the “Fred D. Thompson Federal Building and United States Courthouse”: H.R. 6135, to designate the Federal building and United States courthouse located at 719 Church Street in Nashville, Tennessee, as the “Fred D. Thompson Federal Building and United States Courthouse”; Pages H6321–22

Justice for All Reauthorization Act of 2016: S. 2577, amended, to protect crime victims’ rights, to eliminate the substantial backlog of DNA and other forensic evidence samples to improve and expand the forensic science testing capacity of Federal, State, and local crime laboratories, to increase research and development of new testing technologies, to develop new training programs regarding the collection and use of forensic evidence, to provide post-conviction testing of DNA evidence to exonerate the innocent, to support accreditation efforts of forensic science laboratories and medical examiner offices, to address training and equipment needs, and to improve the performance of counsel in State capital cases; Pages H6322–29

Ensuring funding for the National Human Trafficking Hotline: H.R. 5422, to ensure funding for the National Human Trafficking Hotline, by a 3/5 yeas-and-nays vote of 399 yeas with none voting “nay”, Roll No. 588; Pages H6329–32, H6351–52

United States-Israel Advanced Research Partnership Act of 2016: H.R. 5877, amended, to amend the Homeland Security Act of 2002 and the United States-Israel Strategic Partnership Act of 2014 to promote cooperative homeland security research and antiterrorism programs relating to cybersecurity; Pages H6332–34

United States-Israel Cybersecurity Cooperation Enhancement Act of 2016: H.R. 5843, amended, to establish a grant program at the Department of Homeland Security to promote cooperative research
and development between the United States and Israel on cybersecurity;  

Amending title 38, United States Code, to expand the eligibility for headstones, markers, and medallions furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for deceased individuals who were awarded the Medal of Honor and are buried in private cemeteries: H.R. 4757, amended, to amend title 38, United States Code, to expand the eligibility for headstones, markers, and medallions furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for deceased individuals who were awarded the Medal of Honor and are buried in private cemeteries, by a 2/3 yea-and-nay vote of 401 yeas with none voting “nay”, Roll No. 589;  

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “To expand the eligibility for headstones, markers, and medallions furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for deceased individuals who were awarded the Medal of Honor and are buried in private cemeteries, and for other purposes.”.  

Working to Integrate Networks Guaranteeing Member Access Now Act: H.R. 5166, amended, to amend title 38, United States Code, to provide certain employees of Members of Congress and certain employees of State or local governmental agencies with access to case-tracking information of the Department of Veterans Affairs;  

Agreed to amend the title so as to read: “Amend title 38, United States Code, to permit veterans to grant access to their records in the databases of the Veterans Benefits Administration to certain designated congressional employees, and for other purposes.”.  

Honoring Investments in Recruiting and Employing American Military Veterans Act of 2016: H.R. 5286, amended, to encourage effective, voluntary private sector investments to recruit, employ, and retain men and women who have served in the United States military with annual presidential awards to private sector employers recognizing such efforts;  

No Hero Left Untreated Act: H.R. 5600, amended, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to carry out a pilot program to provide access to magnetic EEG/EKG-guided resonance therapy technology to veterans;  

Naming the Department of Veterans Affairs health care system in Long Beach, California the “Tibor Rubin VA Medical Center”: H.R. 6323, to name the Department of Veterans Affairs health care system in Long Beach, California the “Tibor Rubin VA Medical Center”;  

Expressing the sense of Congress and reaffirming longstanding United States policy in support of a direct bilaterally negotiated settlement of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and opposition to United Nations Security Council resolutions imposing a solution to the conflict: H. Con. Res. 165, expressing the sense of Congress and reaffirming longstanding United States policy in support of a direct bilaterally negotiated settlement of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and opposition to United Nations Security Council resolutions imposing a solution to the conflict; and  


Recess: The House recessed at 6:27 p.m. and reconvened at 6:30 p.m.  

Amending title 40, United States Code, to include as part of the buildings and grounds of the National Gallery of Art any buildings and other areas within the boundaries of any real estate or other property interests acquired by the National Gallery of Art: The House agreed to take from the Speaker’s table and pass H.R. 5160, to amend title 40, United States Code, to include as part of the buildings and grounds of the National Gallery of Art any buildings and other areas within the boundaries of any real estate or other property interests acquired by the National Gallery of Art.  

Veterans Mobility Safety Act of 2016: The House agreed to take from the Speaker’s table and concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 3471, to amend title 38, United States Code, to make certain improvements in the provision of automobiles and adaptive equipment by the Department of Veterans Affairs.  

First Responder Anthrax Preparedness Act: The House agreed to take from the Speaker’s table and pass S. 1915, to direct the Secretary of Homeland Security to make anthrax vaccines and antimicrobials available to emergency response providers.  

Northern Border Security Review Act: The House agreed to take from the Speaker’s table and pass S. 1808, to require the Secretary of Homeland Security to conduct a Northern Border threat analysis.  

Suspension—Proceedings Postponed: The House debated the following measure under suspension of the rules. Further proceedings were postponed.
Protecting Veterans’ Educational Choice Act of 2016: H.R. 5047, to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and the Secretary of Labor to provide information to veterans and members of the Armed Forces about articulation agreements between institutions of higher learning. Pages H6337–38

Presidential Message: Read a message from the President wherein he transmitted an alternative plan for pay increases for civilian Federal employees covered by the General Schedule in January 2017—referred to the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and ordered to be printed (H. Doc. 114–185). Page H6351

Senate Messages: Messages received from the Senate by the Clerk and subsequently presented to the House today appear on page H6316.

Senate Referrals: S. 2974 was held at the desk. S. 2325 was held at the desk. S. 2873 was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce. Pages H6316, H6322, H6361

Quorum Calls—Votes: Two yea-and-nay votes developed during the proceedings of today and appear on pages H6352 and H6352–53. There were no quorum calls.

Adjournment: The House met at 12 noon and adjourned at 8:10 p.m.

Committee Meetings

SYSTEMIC RISK DESIGNATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2016; SENATE AMENDMENT TO THE TSUNAMI WARNING, EDUCATION, AND RESEARCH ACT OF 2015

Committee on Rules: Full Committee held a hearing on H.R. 6392, the “Systemic Risk Designation Improvement Act of 2016”; and Senate amendment to H.R. 34, the “Tsunami Warning, Education, and Research Act of 2015”. The committee granted, by record vote of 7–3, a rule that provides for consideration of the Senate amendment to H.R. 34. The rule makes in order a motion offered by the chair of the Committee on Energy and Commerce or his designee that the House concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 34 with an amendment consisting of the text of Rules Committee Print 114–67 modified by the amendment printed in part B of the Rules Committee report. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the motion. The rule provides that the Senate amendment and motion shall be considered as read. The rule provides one hour of debate on the motion with 60 minutes equally divided and controlled by the chair and ranking minority member of the Committee on Energy and Commerce.

COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2016

Joint Meetings

No joint committee meetings were held.

Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation: Subcommittee on Space, Science, and Competitiveness, to hold hearings to examine the dawn of artificial intelligence, 2:30 p.m., SR–253.

Committee on Finance: business meeting to consider the nominations of Charles P. Blahous, III, of Maryland, and Robert D. Reischauer, of Maryland, both to be a Member of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Hospital Insurance Trust Fund, a Member of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund, and a Member of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Supplementary Medical Insurance Trust Fund, 10 a.m., SD–215.

Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs: to hold hearings to examine initial observations of the new leadership at the Border Patrol, 10 a.m., SD–342.

Select Committee on Intelligence: to receive a closed briefing on certain intelligence matters, 3 p.m., SH–219.

Special Committee on Aging: to hold hearings to examine financial abuse of older Americans by guardians and others in power, 2:30 p.m., SD–562.
House


Committee on Foreign Affairs, Subcommittee on the Middle East and North Africa; and Subcommittee on Terrorism, Nonproliferation, and Trade, joint hearing entitled “Libya Five Years After Ghadafi”, 10 a.m., 2172 Rayburn.

Committee on the Judiciary, Full Committee, markup on H.R. 4919, the “Kevin and Avonte’s Law of 2016”, 10 a.m., 2237 Rayburn.

Committee on Natural Resources, Subcommittee on Federal Lands, hearing on H.R. 5129, the “GO Act”; H.R. 799, the “North Country National Scenic Trail Route Adjustment Act”; and H.R. 3683, the “African American Civil Rights Network Act of 2015”, 10 a.m., 1324 Longworth.

Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, Full Committee, hearing entitled “Oversight of DEA’s Confidential Source Program”, 10 a.m., 2154 Rayburn.

Subcommittee on Government Operations, hearing entitled “Federal Long-Term Care Insurance Program: Examining Premium Increases”, 2 p.m., 2154 Rayburn.
Next Meeting of the SENATE
10 a.m., Wednesday, November 30

Senate Chamber
Program for Wednesday: Senate will be in a period of morning business.

Next Meeting of the HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
10 a.m., Wednesday, November 30

House Chamber
Program for Wednesday: To be announced.

Extensions of Remarks, as inserted in this issue

House
Bishop, Sanford D., Jr., Ga., E1537
Carter, John R., Tex., E1531
Cook, Paul, Calif., E1535
Davis, Susan A., Calif., E1540
DeSaulnier, Mark, Calif., E1540
Deutch, Theodore E., Fla., E1540
Donovan, Daniel M., Jr. N.Y., E1534
Farenthold, Blake, Tex., E1531
Farr, Sam, Calif., E1531, E1532
Garamendi, John, Calif., E1533
Goodlatte, Bob, Va. E1538
Hastings, Alcee L., Fla., E1533, E1536
Israel, Steve, N.Y., E1539
Johnson, Eddie Bernice, Tex., E1540
Kaptur, Marcy, Ohio, E1534
Lee, Barbara, Calif., E1536
Lien, Ted, Calif., E1533
Lujan Grisham, Michelle, N.M., E1531
Mateo, Doris O., Calif., E1536, E1537, E1537, E1538
McCollum, Betty, Minn., E1537, E1538
Messer, Luke, Ind., E1536
Miller, Jeff, Fla., E1532
Payne, Donald M., Jr., N.J., E1533, E1534
Perry, Scott, Pa., E1532
Poe, Ted, Tex., E1539
Roskam, Peter J., Ill., E1535
Sanford, Mark, S.C., E1539
Scott, Austin, Ga., E1535
Visclosky, Peter J., Ind., E1532
Westerman, Bruce, Ark., E1538

Garanendi, John, Calif., E1533
Goodlatte, Bob, Va., E1538
Hastings, Alcee L., Fla., E1533, E1536
Israel, Steve, N.Y., E1539
Johnson, Eddie Bernice, Tex., E1540
Kaptur, Marcy, Ohio, E1534
Lee, Barbara, Calif., E1536
Lien, Ted, Calif., E1533
Lujan Grisham, Michelle, N.M., E1531
Mateo, Doris O., Calif., E1536, E1537, E1537, E1538
McCollum, Betty, Minn., E1537, E1538
Messer, Luke, Ind., E1536
Miller, Jeff, Fla., E1532
Payne, Donald M., Jr., N.J., E1533, E1534
Perry, Scott, Pa., E1532
Poe, Ted, Tex., E1539
Roskam, Peter J., Ill., E1535
Sanford, Mark, S.C., E1539
Scott, Austin, Ga., E1535
Visclosky, Peter J., Ind., E1532
Westerman, Bruce, Ark., E1538