President of Russia ought to meet on a regular basis every year concerning the nuclear warheads of both sides. We should not set as a standard that the only time they can meet is if they come back with some enormous agreement. As a practical matter, that guarantees failure. They have to meet with or without agreement because there is too much at stake, and we ought to take the lessons of those Congresses in the past to at least let the President come home before we tell him we disagree with him. Let us not have foreign leaders when he is meeting with them see a cacophony of criticism coming, often from those who are not really fully informed of what is going on.

Mr. President, I thank my distinguished colleagues for allowing me to have this time.

I yield the floor.

INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE ACT

The Senate continued with the consideration of the bill.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, we have now reached a point where the Senate is about to give our small towns the right to say no. I hope the House will follow suit quickly so that we can send the bill to the President this year.

We have debated this bill extensively. We have heard a lot of statistics. We have heard a lot about policy. So I would like to use a small example to remind the Senate of why this is so important.

Miles City, MT, is a small prairie town of 8,500 people on the Yellowstone River. Not too long ago, its people faced the prospect of what was probably a Noah's flood of garbage imports. A garbage entrepreneur from Minneapolis came out to look them over. He had a rather remarkable plan: Empty coal trains run out of Minneapolis. Each one of them has about 110 cars—open-roofed cars, 50 feet long, 10 feet wide, 11 feet high. He wanted to fill them to the brim with garbage and bring all that garbage to Miles City and dump it in Miles City. Think of it. A giant garbage snake over a mile long ripening in the sun for anywhere up to 5 days on the run out of Minneapolis, shedding rotten food, broken glass, and used diapers into the Yellowstone River at every bend in the track, steaming into town on a hot summer day with as much trash in one single trip as Miles City throws out in a whole year.

It is crazy; it is humiliating; and Miles City should have the right to say no. So far, the people of Miles City and their representatives in the Montana Legislature have been able to stop these plans. But, with no disrespect to the legislature, it is a weak reed.

Every time waste companies have challenged State laws restricting outof-State waste, the State laws have been overturned by the courts. So we cannot rely on State legislatures. We need a Federal law. Without congressional action, according to the Supreme Court, neither the people of Montana nor of any other State can stop these garbage trains.

Some interstate movement of garbage makes sense. In Montana, two towns have made arrangements to share landfills with western North Dakota towns and some trash from Wvoming areas of Yellowstone Park is disposed in Montana. These arrangements save money for the communities involved and shared regional landfills can be a policy that makes sense. But it only makes sense when the communities involved agree to it. No place should become an unwilling dumping ground. Nobody should have to take garbage they do not want from another community- not Miles City, not anybody.

This bill is a very good start, and I strongly support it. But like any other bill, it is not perfect. In particular, I am concerned that it would allow waste to be imported until a community gets wise to it and has to say no.

I believe we should take a goodneighbor approach. Waste from big cities should not be allowed into our communities until the people agree to accept it. I do not want the people of Miles City to wake up one morning with a garbage train in the station. I want the garbage broker to come to town first and ask the people's permission before using the community as a trash dump. That is just common courtesy.

I hope we can move in that direction as the bill goes ahead, and for now I urge the Senate's support for this critical new law.

Finally, Mr. President, I wish to congratulate the Senators who have worked so very hard over the years in finally developing a balanced bill. Senator COATS from Indiana has been a bulldog, and Senators LAUTENBERG and SMITH, and our new chairman, Senator CHAFEE, have worked tirelessly. Brokering the agreements that brought the bill to this point was not easy, but they met the challenge.

In closing, let us stand up for small towns and give them the right to protect their people from unwanted trash. I thank the Chair. I yield the floor

and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. PELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

RATIFICATION OF THE LAW OF THE SEA CONVENTION WILL PROMOTE THE ECONOMIC INTER-ESTS OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. PELL. Mr. President, the Law of the Sea Convention entered into force on November 16, 1994, and was transmitted to the Senate for its advice and consent on October 6. 1994 [Treaty Document 103-39]. On this occasion I applauded the President's transmittal of this historic treaty and spoke to the ways in which it will protect the economic, environmental, scientific, and most importantly, the national security interests of the United States (CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, Vol. 140, No. 144, p. 14467). On March 14, 1995 I addressed the importance of ratification of the Convention to the fishery interests of the United States (CONGRES-SIONAL RECORD, Vol. 141, No. 47, p. 3862). Today I would like to address how ratification of the convention will best serve U.S. economic interests.

The Third U.N. Conference on the Law of the Sea was initiated as early as 1973 by the United States and the U.S.S.R. to protect navigation rights and freedoms, at a time where coastal States were claiming excessive areas of jurisdiction. Most of the provisions of the convention have long been supported by the United States, and at the conclusion of the law of the sea negotiations in 1982, the Reagan administration indicated that it was fully satisfied with, and supported the entire convention, except for the deep seabed mining part. The recently negotiated part XI implementation agreement, which is also before the Senate [Treaty Document 103-39] addressed all the reservations that the United States and other industrialized countries had. I will speak to the deep seabed mining issues in a forthcoming statement.

The convention directly promotes United States economic interests in many areas: It provides the U.S. with exclusive rights over marine living resources within our 200 miles exclusive economic zone; exclusive rights over mineral, oil and gas resources over a wide continental shelf that is recognized internationally; the right for our communication industry to place its cables on the sea floor and the continental shelves of other countries without cost; a much greater certainty with regard to marine scientific research, and a groundbreaking regime for the protection of the marine environment. With regard to national security, the Department of Defense has repeatedly expressed its strong support for the ratification of the convention because public order of the oceans is best established by a universally accepted Law of the Sea Treaty that is in the U.S. national interest.

The extension by other nations of their national claims were not always limited to matters of resources use but also represented a potential threat to our interests as a major maritime nation in the freedom of commercial and military navigation and overflight. The United States is both a maritime power and a coastal Stage and, as such, it benefits fully from the perfect balance that the convention strikes. It gives extensive rights to States over the resources located within their EEZ's, but also recognizes the need to maintain freedom of navigation on the high seas.